# Two new twisted helical nickel(II) and cobalt(III) octahedral monomer complexes: Synthesis and structural characterization

MALAY DOLAI and MAHAMMAD ALI\*

Department of Chemistry, Jadavpur University, Kolkata 700 032, India e-mail: mali@chemistry.jdvu.ac.in

MS received 16 November 2013; revised 21 April 2014; accepted 27 April 2014

**Abstract.** Two mononuclear complexes namely  $[Ni^{II}(L)]$  (1) and  $[Co^{III}(L)](NO_3)$  (2) of a hexacoordinating N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> donor Schiff base ligand were synthesized and characterized by single crystal X-ray studies. In compound 2 the central cobalt is in +3 oxidation state while 'in' compound 2, the nickel ion is in +2 oxidation state. The two complexes are isostructural with octahedral coordination environment exhibiting helical twist topology. They also display strong H-bonding as well as CH- $\pi$  interactions to generate 1D chain.

**Keywords.** Coordination chemistry; nickel(II); cobalt(III); Schiff base; twisted helicity; supramolecular interactions.

## 1. Introduction

Over the last few years, there has been an immense research interest among the chemists towards the investigations of biological properties of nickel that lead to several structural and biochemical models.<sup>1,2</sup> Variable oxidation states coupled with multitude of coordination geometries are the most interesting aspects that have been identified in the active site of many Ni-containing enzymes.<sup>3</sup> Several biomimetic Ni<sup>II</sup> complexes have been found to act as structural and functional models of metalloenzymes,<sup>4</sup> such as the Ni-Fe hydrogenase<sup>5–7</sup> or the CO dehydrogenase/acetyl coenzyme-A synthase,<sup>8,9</sup> etc.

The importance of helicates is related to the development and the understanding of self-assembly in supramolecular chemistry<sup>10</sup> that are frequently perceived in biology and in physics.<sup>11,12</sup> Intense research activities have been focused on this new field in order to explore and apply its power of design and control for the selective preparation of complicated organized chemical architectures. The fundamental research on helicates has recently led to a new fascinating metallosupramolecular architectures based on multicomponents self-assemblies such as directional light-converting devices,<sup>13</sup> inorganic two-dimensional racks, ladders<sup>14</sup> and grids,<sup>15</sup> intertwined catenanes and knots,<sup>16</sup> and allosteric ionophores.<sup>17</sup> On the other hand, CH- $\pi$ ,<sup>18</sup>  $\pi$ - $\pi$ ,<sup>19</sup> cation- $\pi$ ,<sup>20</sup>, anion- $\pi$ ,<sup>21</sup> etc. interactions have been found to play crucial roles in molecular recognition, molecular assembly, and crystal packing of organic materials, nanomaterials and biomolecules.<sup>22</sup> In this context, the occurrence of CH··· $\pi$  interactions in solid-state networks has been extensively described,<sup>18,19</sup> and their importance as stabilizing weak forces have been clearly illustrated in molecular structures.<sup>19–22</sup>

Hence, in this work, an octahedral complex, with  $NiO_2N_4$  chromophore has been investigated (figure 1) along with an isostructural  $Co^{III}$  complex of the same ligand (scheme 1).

Both  $[Ni^{II}L].H_2O$  (1) and  $[Co^{III}L].NO_3$  (2) complexes were prepared by reacting equimolar quantities of  $M^{II}$  and  $(H_2L)$  (scheme 1) in acetonitrile in presence of two equivalents of tryethylamine(TEA) as a base. Here, we are going to discuss the syntheses and structural characterization of two new Ni<sup>II</sup> and Co<sup>III</sup> octahedral complexes that exhibit twisted helicity and H-bonding as well as CH- $\pi$  interactions to generate 1D chain.

#### 2. Experimental

### 2.1 Materials

Chemicals such as 3-methoxy-salicylaldehyde (vanillin), N,N'-bis(3-aminopropyle)-ethylene-diamine(Aldrich),  $Co(NO_3)_2$ , Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and triethylamine (TEA) (Merck, India) are of reagent grade and used without further purification. Solvents like methanol, ethanol, acetoni-trile (Merck, India) were of reagent grade and used as received.

<sup>\*</sup>For correspondence



**Figure 1.** (a) Molecular view of complex 1. All H-atoms are omitted for clarity. Symmetry code: a) x,y,z; b) -x,-y,1/2+z; c) 1/2-x,1/2+y,1/2+z; d) 1/2+x,1/2-y,z.

2.1a Synthesis of ligand( $H_2L$ ): The Schiff base ligand was obtained by condensation of N,N'-bis(3amino-propyle)ethylenediamine (1.74 g, 10 mmol.) and o-vanillin (3.04 g, 20 mmol.) in ethanol by stirring at 60°C for 4 hours, during which time a yellow solid separated out from the reaction mixture. The analytically pure solid was dried, and stored in vacuum over anhydrous CaCl<sub>2</sub> for subsequent use. Yield: 3.31 g (75%). Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{24}H_{34}O_4N_4(MW = 442.55)$ : C 65.13%, H 7.74%, N 12.65 %. Found: C 64.96%, H 7.75%, and N 12.42 %. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) (figure S1):  $\delta$  1.24 (s, 2H, imine<sup>\*</sup>, not detectable precisely), 1.64-1.84 (m, 4H, methylene), 2.30-2.40 (d, 4H, methylene), 2.53–2.74 (d, 4H, methylene), 3.50–3.44 (d, 4H, methylene), 3.90 (s, 6H, methoxy), 6.88–7.28 (d, 2H, H4), (m, 6H, aromatic), 8.28 (s, 2H, azomethine), 13.3125 (s, 2H, phenoxo-OH).



**Scheme 1.** Schematic representation of formation of complexes.

2.1b Synthesis of  $[Ni(L)](H_2O)(1)$ : It was prepared by adding ligand  $(H_2L)$  (0.442 g, 1.0 mmol.) to a stirring acetonitrile solution (30 mL) of Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. 6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.291 g,1.0 mmol) to give a pale yellow solution, after a while a solution of TEA (0.202 g, 2.0 mmol) was added for the deprotonation of ligand which resulted in a brown solution. It was stirred for additional 20 m and filtered and then kept aside undisturbed for slow evaporation. After 3 days, the orange rectangular block-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were formed. Yield: 2.83 g (55%). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>4</sub>NiO<sub>5</sub>: C 55.72%, H 6.63%, N 10.83%. Found: C 55.96%, H 7.05%, and N 10.42 %.

2.1c Synthesis of  $[Co(L)](NO_3)(2)$ : It was prepared in the same way as for  $[Ni(L)](H_2O)$  with the exception that  $Co(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$  (0.293 g,1.0 mmol) was taken instead of  $Ni(NO_3)_2$ .  $6H_2O$ . In 3 days, the dark brown block-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were formed. Yield: 4.37 g (78%). Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{24}H_{32}CoN_5O_7$ : C 51.33%, H 5.74%, N 12.47 %. Found: C 50.96%, H 5.75%, and N 12.49 %.

## 2.2 Physical measurements

Elemental analyses were carried out using a Perkin-Elmer 240 elemental analyzer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was recorded on a Bruker 300 MHz NMR spectrometer using trimethylsilane as an internal standard in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Electronic spectra were recorded from Agilent 8453 UV–vis diode-array spectrophotometer.

## 2.3 *Crystallography*

Single crystal X-ray data of complexes 1 and 2 were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX-II CCD diffractometer using graphite monochromated Mo-K<sub>a</sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Data collection, reduction, structure solution, and refinement were performed using the Bruker APEX-II suite (v2.0-2) program. All available reflections to  $2\theta_{\max}$  were harvested and corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors with Bruker SAINT plus. Reflections were then corrected for absorption, inter frame scaling, and other systematic errors with SADABS.<sup>23</sup> The structures were solved by the direct methods and refined by means of full matrix least-square technique based on  $F^2$  with SHELX-97 software package.<sup>24</sup> All the non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. All the hydrogen atoms belonging to carbon and nitrogen atoms were placed in their geometrically idealized positions, while hydrogen atoms on oxygen atoms of non-coordinated water was found on the difference Furior map, and all of them were constrained to ride on their parent atoms. Drawings of molecules were generated with the program DIAMOND-3.0 and PLATON-1.16. The crystallographic data for **1** and **2** are given in table 1.

# 3. Results and Discussion

Synthesis  $[Ni^{II}(L)].H_2O$  and  $[Co^{III}(L)](NO_3)$  complexes were prepared by reacting the respective  $(Ni^{II} \text{ and } Co^{II})$ metal ions with 1 equivalent of hexacoordinating ligand  $H_2L$  in presence of 2 equivalents of TEA in acetonitrile. It was interesting to note that  $Co^{II}$  ion is oxidized to  $Co^{III}$  in presence of hard-donor  $(N_4O_2)$  atoms probably by the atmospheric oxygen as evidenced from the charge balance of the formed complex and also by BVS calcualtions (*vide infra*).

# 3.1 Structural description

The structure of  $[Ni(L)](H_2O)(1)$  is shown in figure 1. The complex 1 crystallizes in a *orthorhombic* space group *P*na21. The coordination sphere of the hexacoordinated Ni<sup>II</sup> metal centre is surrounded by N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> atoms of the Schiff base ligand (H<sub>2</sub>L). The four N atoms (namely N1, N2, N3 and N4) from the N,N'bis(3-amino-propyle)ethylenediamine part of the ligand are coordinated to nickel(II) centre and the two O atoms (O1 and O3) from the phenolic –OH groups of the two *o*-vanillin moieties of ligand, coordinate axially to the metal centre thereby forming a complete octahedral geometry. The Ni-N bond distances are in the range 2.020 -2.096 Å and Ni-O bond distances 2.038-2.040 Å. All the bond distances are found to be almost identical. Bond distances and bond angles are listed in table 2a.

The structure of  $[Co(L)](NO_3)$  (2) is shown in figure 2. The complex 2 crystallizes in a orthorhombic space group Pccn. The coordination environment arround the Co<sup>III</sup> metal centre is same as that of complex 1. The four N atoms (N1 and N2 and their symmetry related counter parts) from the N,N'-bis(3aminopropyle)ethylenediamine part of the ligand coordinate to Co1 to form the square plane and two O atoms (O2 and its symmetry related counter part) from the phenolic -OH groups of two o-vanillin moieties, occupy the axial positions giving an octahedral geometry. The Co-N bond distances are in the range 1.933-1.976 Å while Co-O bond distances are almost equal  $(\sim 1.890 \text{ Å})$ . The shorter axial Co-O bond length than the equatorial Co-N bond length is in conformity with the results observed previously in cobalt(III) complexes of comparable ligand environment<sup>25</sup> (table 2b).

 Table 1. Crystallographic data and details of the structure determination for 1-2.

	1	2
	(CCDC No894740)	(CCDC No894739)
Formula	C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>32</sub> N <sub>4</sub> Ni O <sub>4</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> O	C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>32</sub> Co N <sub>4</sub> O <sub>4</sub> , N O <sub>3</sub>
FW	517.24	561.48
Crystal System	Orthorhombic	Orthorhombic
Space group	Pna21 (No. 33)	Pccn (No. 56)
a/ Å	37.5238(12)	7.4561(3)
b/ Å	7.5017(2)	18.4285(6)
c /Å	8.6868(3)	19.1192(7)
$\alpha l^{\circ}, \beta l^{\circ}, \gamma l^{\circ}$	90	90
$V/Å^3$	2445.27(13)	2627.07(17)
Ζ	4	4
$\rho_{\rm calc} /{\rm g}  {\rm cm}^{-3}$ ]	1.405	1.420
$\mu$ (Mo-K <sub><math>\alpha</math></sub> )/ mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.836	0.705
F(000)	1096	1176
Theta Min-Max [°]	1.1, 32.6	2.1, 27.1
Index ranges	-56: 56 ; -11: 11 ; -13: 13	-9:9;-23:23;-24:24
Reflection collected	35271	20284
Independent reflections (R <sub>int</sub> )	7937 (0.038)	2908 (0.026)
No. Of Parameter	361	174
GOF	1.11	1.026
$R_1 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0509 ((6648)	0.0355 (2261)
$wR_2$ (all data)	0.1012	0.0979
Min. and Max. Resd. Dens./e $Å^{-3}$	-0.93, 0.38	-0.27, 0.30

(i) Complex <b>1</b> .				
Bond lengthes (Å)		Bond angles (°)		
Ni1- N1	2.020(10)	N1- Ni1- N4	88.56(9)	
Ni1- N4	2.057(3)	N1- Ni1- O3	93.19(8)	
Ni1- O3	2.073(2)	N4- Ni1- O3	84.15(8)	
Ni1- O1	2.0819(17)	N1- Ni1- O1	90.04(8)	
Ni1- N3	2.116(3)	N4- Ni1-O1	92.17(8)	
Ni1- N2	2.066(2)	O3- Ni1-O1	175.04(7)	
Bond angles (°)		N1- Ni1- N3	83.57(10)	
N1- Ni1- N2	169.91(10)	N4- Ni1- N3	169.05(10)	
N4- Ni1- N2	88.56(9)	O3- Ni1- N3	88.69(9)	
O3- Ni1- N2	92.83(8)	01- Ni1- N3	95.42(9)	
O1 - Ni1- N2	84.53(8)	N3- Ni1-N2	88.47(10)	
(ii) Complex <b>2</b> .				
Bond lengthes (Å)		Bond angles (°)		
Co1- O2	1.8901(12)	O2a- Co1- N1a	89.55(5)	
Co1- O2a	1.8901(12)	N1- Co1- N1a	93.61(6)	
Co1- N1	1.9332(15)	O2- Co1- N2	85.90(6)	
Col- Nla	1.9332(15)	O2- Co1- N2a	89.95(6)	
Co1- N2	1.9742(15)	N1- Co1- N2a	90.12(6)	
Co1- N2a	1.9742(15)	N1- Co1- N2	174.32(6)	
Bond angles (°)		O2a- Co1- N2	89.95(6)	
O2 -Co1- O2a	174.30(5)	O2a- Co1- N2a	85.90(6)	
O2- Co1- N1	89.55(5)	N1- Co1- N2a	90.12(6)	
O2- Co1- N1a	94.36(5)	N1a- Co1- N2a	174.32(6)	
O2a- Co1- N1	94.36(5)	N2- Co1- N2a	86.49(6)	

 Table 2.
 Summary of bond distances and bond angles of complexes 1 and 2.

Symmetry code: a = 1/2 - x, 1/2 - y, z.

Space-filling representation of [Ni(L)] and  $[Co(L)]^+$  clearly demonstrates mononuclear single-stranded helical structures for both the complexes figures S2 and S3. It is generally accepted that a central metal ion



**Figure 2.** (a) Molecular view of complex **2**. All H-atoms are omitted for clarity. Symmetry code: a) x,y,z; b) 1/2+x, -y,1/2-z; c) -x,1/2+y,1/2-z; d) 1/2-x,1/2-y,z; e) -x,-y,-z; f) 1/2-x,y,1/2+z; g) x,1/2-y,1/2+z; h) 1/2+x,1/2+y,-z.

which is too small to fit into the cavity of the bound multidentate ligand may generate such topology. Steric interactions between the extremities of the strand induce a slight twisting of the ligand, reminiscent of that found in organic helicenes.<sup>26</sup> Several acyclic polyglycols and linear podands wrap around group I and II metals<sup>27</sup> as exemplified by the carbocyclic antibiotic monensin which gives the mononuclear single-stranded helical complex.<sup>28</sup> Here the helical twist is favoured by the attachment of rigid and bulky terminal aromatic groups (o-vanillin) to comparatively flexible central N,N'-bis(3-aminopropyle)ethylenediamine moiety. The angles between the two planes constructed from two ovanillin moieties is found to be 30.92° and 36.06° for complexes 1 and 2, respectively which clearly demonstrate the fact of helical twisting observed in 1 and 2.

In case of complex 1 there is one non-coordinated water molecule (O1S) that is involved in four-ways H-bonding interactions namely, N1-H1 $\cdots$ O1S, N3-H45 $\cdots$ O1S, O1S-H55 $\cdots$ O1 and O1S-H40 $\cdots$ O3. It forms two H-bonds with two amine nitrogen atoms N1, N3 and with two phenolate oxygen atoms (O1 and O3) belonging to two neighbouring complexes on either sides (figure 3a). Spiral binding of complex 1 through H-bonding is shown in figure 3b. In cobalt(III) complex



**Figure 3.** (a)The quasi 1D chain through bifurcated H-bonding interaction in complex **1**. (b) Space-filling model showing Spiral binding of complex **1** through H-bonding. N1-H1...O1S: H1...O1S = 2.11(4)Å, N1-H1 = 0.92(4)Å, N1...O1S = 3.022(4)Å, <N1-H1...O1S =  $173(3)^{\circ}$ ; O1S-H40...O3: H40...O3 = 2.11(3) Å, O1S-H40 = 0.78(3)Å, O1S...O3 = 2.848(4)Å, <O1S-H40...O3 =  $160(3)^{\circ}$ ; N3-H45...O1S: H45...O1S = 2.45(4)Å, N3-H45 = 0.98(4) Å, N3...O1S = 3.323(4) Å,<N3-H45...O1S =  $148(3)^{\circ}$ ; O1S-H55...O1 = 1.97(4)Å, O1S-H55 = 0.87(4) Å, O1S...O1=2.817(3) Å, <O1S -H55...O1 =  $2.817(3)^{\circ}$ .



**Figure 4.** The one dimensional  $CH \cdots \pi$  (phenyl) interactions (dotted lines in magenta colors) and supramolecular H-bonding(dotted lines in black colors) are observed in complex **1**.

interaction	atoms	distances H · · · Cg (Å)	$\angle C$ -H···Cg (°)	distances $C(H) \cdot \cdot \cdot Cg(Å)$
		Complex 1		
C-H··· $\pi$ (phenyl)	$C(26)-H(49)\cdots Cg(1)$	2.884	132.55	3.582
C-H··· $\pi$ (phenyl)	$C(27)-H(27B)\cdots Cg(1)$	3.554	134.83	4.294
C-H··· $\pi$ (phenyl)	$C(11)-H(11)\cdots Cg(2)$	3.277	108.44	3.679
C-H··· $\pi$ (phenyl)	C(29)- $H(32)$ ··· $Cg(2)$	3.479	118.01	3.999
C-H··· $\pi$ (phenyl)	C(30)- $H(41)$ ··· $Cg(2)$	3.314	131.19	4.040
		Complex 2		
C-H··· $\pi$ (phenyl)	$C(10)-H(10B)\cdots Cg(3)$	3.441	100.65	3.744
C-H··· $\pi$ (phenyl)	$C(9)-H(9A)\cdots Cg(3)$	3.265	129.49	3.953

**Table 3.** C-H··· $\pi$  Interaction for Complexes 1 and 2.

Cg (1) = C15 C14 C100 C13 C12 C17 and Cg (2) = C18 C19 C20 C21 C1 C2 denotes for complex 1, Cg (3) = C3 C13 C4 C5 C6 C7 denotes for complex 2.

2, there is strong H-bonding interaction between H atom on N2 (imine nitrogen) and O3 (non-coordinated  $NO_3^-$ ) atom and extended in 1D fashion. Details of H-bonding interactions are shown in figure 3 for 1 figure S4 for 2.

On the other hand, the packing of the molecules in both complexes 1 and 2 is controlled by CH  $\cdots \pi$  as well as by H-bonding interactions between two neighboring complex units to give compact 1D supramolecular architectures. In complex 1, five types of  $CH \cdots \pi$ (phenyl) interactions are prevalent (figure 4). Among them, three  $CH \cdots \pi$  interactions are established between two methylenic and one azomethine H-atoms of one complex with the centroid of the phenyl ring of its neighboring complex. Similarly, two CH $\cdots \pi$  ineractions are established between one methylenic and one azomethine H atoms and the centroid of the phenyl ring of other complex. These two centroids belong to two different neighboring complexes. These  $CH \cdots \pi$  interactions fall in the range 2.884 – 3.554 Å. Details of CH··· $\pi$  interactions are given in table 3. In complex 2, only two types of CH- $\pi$  interactions are present (figure S5), they are established between methylenic H atoms (H10B and H9A) and neighboring phenyl ring of Schiff base with distances 3.441 Å and 3.265 Å.

#### 3.2 UV-vis spectra of complexes 1 and 2

The electronic spectra of complexes 1 and 2 were recorded in MeCN (figure 5). The electronic transition appears at ~373 nm with molar extinction coefficients ( $\varepsilon$ ) 3280 dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> for complex 1. In case of complex 2 there are two electronic transitions that appear at 633 and 402 nm with  $\varepsilon$  values 392 and 7540 dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The bands at longer wavelengths may be attributed to the d-d transitions for complex 2 (633 nm) but no d-d transition is observed in complex 1. The bands at shorter wavelengths (373 and 402 nm) are due to ligand to metal  $(L \rightarrow M)$  charge-transfer transitions.

## 3.3 BVS calculation

Bond valence sum (BVS) method is very frequently used to examine the nature of coordination and oxidation state of the central metal atom using the crystallographic data in coordination complexes as well as in many biological molecules. The most successfully used empirical equation is:

$$\sum s_{\rm ij} = \sum exp\left(r_{\rm o} - r_{\rm ij}\right)/0.37$$

Where  $r_{\circ}$  is a parameter characteristic of the bond and  $r_{ij}$  is the crystallographically obtained bond distances.



**Figure 5.** UV-vis spectra of complexes **1** and **2** in MeCN. [c] =  $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  M for d-d bands at longer wavelengths (633 nm, green line) for complex **2** and [c] =  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  M for LMCT bands at shorter wavelengths (373 nm, red line for **1** and 402 nm, black line for 2).

 $\Sigma s_{ij}$  represents the charge on the central metal atom. BVS values<sup>13</sup> are: Ni<sup>II</sup>-O = 1.670 Å, Ni<sup>II</sup>-N = 1.647 Å. The BVS of Ni was calculated to be 2.07 (table S1a) which unequivocally establishes the +2 oxidation state of the central Ni atom in complex **1**. Similarly BVS values are: Co<sup>III</sup>-O = 1. 79 Å, Co<sup>III</sup>-N = 1.68 Å<sup>29,30</sup> and calculated BVS value of Co is 3.35 (table S1b) which again establishes the +3 oxidation state for the central cobalt atom in complex **2**.

## 4. Conclusion

In conclusion, two mononuclear complexes of a hexacoordinating Schiff base ligand with N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> donor atoms have showed helical twist topology. Both complexes exhibit strong H-bonding and CH··· $\pi$ (phenyl) interactions that fall in the range 2.884–3.554 Å for complex **1** and 3.265–3.441 Å for 2 to generate 1D chain. The bond valence sum (BVS) calculations clearly indicate that Co is in +3 oxidation state in complex **2** while Ni is in +2 oxidation state in **1**.

#### **Supplementary Information**

The electronic Supplementary Information can be seen at www.ias.ac.in/chemsci.

## Acknowledgements

Financial support from CSIR (Ref. 02(2490)/11/EMR-II) New Delhi, is gratefully acknowledged. M D gratefully acknowledges UGC for the Fellowship (UGC-NET). Special thanks to Atanu Jana and Saugata Konar [SRF (CSIR, NET)], Department of Chemistry, Calcutta University for their kind cooperation.

## References

- 1. Sigel A, Sigel H and Sigel R K O (eds.) 2007 *Metal ions in life science, Nickel and its impact in nature,* (Chichester: John Wiley)
- Cotton F A, Wilkinson G, Murillo C A and Bochmann M 1999 In *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry*, 6th Ed. (New York: Wiley Interscience) p 838
- 3. Ragsdale S W 2009 J. Biol. Chem. 284 18571
- 4. Halcrow M A and Christou G, 1994 Chem. Rev. 94 2421
- 5. Gordon J C and Kubas G J 2010 Organometallics 294 682
- 6. Heinekey D M 2009 J. Organomet. Chem. 694 2671
- 7. Bouwman E and Reedijk J 2005 *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **249** 1555

- 8. Panda R, Zhang Y G, McLauchlan C C, Rao P V T, de Oliveira F A, Munck E and Holm R H 2004 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126** 6448
- Rao P V, Bhaduri S, Jiang J F, Hong D and Holm R H 2005 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127 1933
- 10. Lehn J-M 1995 In Supramolecular Chemistry (Weinheim: VCH)
- 11. Lindsey J S 1991 New J. Chem. 15 153
- 12. Cramer F, Chaos and Order 1993 In *The Complex Structure of Living Systems* (Weinheim:VCH)
- (a) Piguet C and Bünzli J -C G 1996 Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem. 33 165; (b) Bünzli J-C G, Froidevaux P and Piguet C 1995 New J. Chem., 19 661
- 14. (a) Sleiman H, Baxter P, Lehn J-M and Rissanen K 1995 J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 715; (b) Chambron J-C, Dietrich-Buchecker C O, Nierengarten J-F, Sauvage J P, Solladie' N, Albrecht-Gary A-M and Meyer M 1995 New J. Chem. 19 409; (c) Hanan G S, Arana C R, Lehn J-M and Fenske D 1995 Angew.Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 34 1122; (d) Baxter P, Hanan G S and Lehn J-M 1996 Chem. Commun. 2019
- 15. Baxter P, Lehn J-M, Fischer J and Youinou M T 1994 Angew.Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 33 2284
- 16. (a) Sauvage J-P 1990 Acc. Chem. Res. 23 319;
  (b) Dietrich-Buchecker C O and Sauvage J-P 1987 Chem. Rev. 87 795
- (a) Nabeshima T, Inada T, Furukawa N, Hosoya T and Yano Y 1993 *Inorg. Chem.* **32** 1407; (b) Nabeshima T 1996 *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **148** 151
- 18. Schneider H J 2009 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 48 3924
- Braga D, Giaffreda S L, Grepioni F, Maini L and Polito M 2006 Coord. Chem. Rev. 250 1267
- 20. Nishio M. 2004 Cryst. Eng. Comm. 6 130
- 21. Tsuzuki S and Fujii A 2008 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 10 2584
- 22. Tewari A K and Dubey R 2008 *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **16** 126
- 23. Sheldrick G M 2002 SAINT (Version 6.02), SADABS (Version 2.03), Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin
- 24. (a) Sheldrick G M 2007 Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. 64 112; (b) Sheldrick G M 1997 SHELXL-97, Crystal Structure Refinement Programme, University of Göttingen
- 25. (a) Gupta S K, Hitchcock P B and Kushwah Y S 2002 Polyhedron 21 1787; (b) Ware D C, Denny WA and Clark G R 1997 Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C 53 1058; (c) Ware D C, Wilson W R, Denny W A and Rickard C E F 1991 J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1171; (d) Weiss M C, Bursten B B, Peng S -M and Goedken V L 1976 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98 8021
- 26. Meurer K P and Vögtle F 1985 Top. Curr. Chem. 127 1
- Vögtle F and Weber E 1979 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 18 753
- 28. Pinkerton M and Steinrauf L K 1970 J. Mol. Biol. 49 533
- 29. (a) Palenik G J 1997 Inorg. Chem. 36 122; (b) O'Keeffe M and Brese N E 1991 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113 3226
- 30. Brown I D and Altermatt D 1985 Acta Cryst. B 41 244