

View Article Online View Journal

# Journal of Materials Chemistry A

## Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: Z. Zhang, Z. Lu, H. Tan, X. Chen and Q. Yao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, DOI: 10.1039/C5TA06197K.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsA

### Journal Name



## ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

## CeO<sub>x</sub>-Modified RhNi Nanoparticles Grown on rGO as Highly Efficient Catalysts for Complete Hydrogen Generation from Hydrazine Borane and Hydrazine

Zhujun Zhang, Zhang-Hui Lu,\* Hongliang Tan, Xiangshu Chen,\* Qilu Yao

CeO<sub>x</sub>-modified RhNi nanoparticles (NPs) grown on reduced graphene oxide (rGO) (RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO) have been facilely prepared and successfully applied as highly efficient catalyst for the rapid and complete hydrogen generation from aqueous solution of hydrazine borane (N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>) and hydrazine (N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), respectively. It was found that the CeO<sub>x</sub>-doped RhNi NPs with a size of around 3.5 nm were highly dispersed on rGO nanosheet. Among all the catalysts investigated, the optimized catalyst Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO with CeO<sub>x</sub> content of 13.9 mol% exhibited the highest catalytic performance. The total turnover frequency (TOF) of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO for hydrogen generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> reached 666.7 h<sup>-1</sup> (mol<sub>H2</sub>-mol<sub>(Rh+NI)</sub><sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>) at 323 K, which was among the highest of all the catalysts reported to date for this reaction, 10-fold higher than the benchmarked catalyst Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>, 3-fold higher than Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub> with CeO<sub>x</sub> dopant (Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>) and rGO support (Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>/rGO). Even at room temperature, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO can achieve a complete hydrogen generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> with a TOF value of 111.2 and 36.4 h<sup>-1</sup>. This excellent catalytic performance might be attributed to the synergistic structural and electronic effect of RhNi NPs, CeO<sub>x</sub> dopant, and rGO support. Moreover, this general method can be easily extended to facile synthesis of other metal/rGO systems with the doping of rare-earth oxides for more applications.

#### Introduction

The search for effective on-board hydrogen storage materials with the combination of high gravimetric/volumetric hydrogen density and appropriate kinetics remains one of the most challenging barriers on the road to a "hydrogen economy" society.<sup>1-5</sup> Recent developments in this direction have suggested hydrazine borane  $(N_2H_4BH_3)$  and hydrazine  $(N_2H_4)$  as the promising materials for hydrogen storage.<sup>6</sup> Hydrazine borane, a stable and safe solid at room temperature having hydrogen content as high as 15.4 wt%, can be prepared by a reaction of hydrazine hemisulfate with sodium borohydride in dioxane.<sup>7-16</sup> A promising approach for complete  $H_2$  generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> is by hydrolysis of the BH<sub>3</sub> group and selective decomposition of the N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> moiety of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> to H<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> (Eq. (1) and (2)),<sup>10</sup> corresponding to a theoretical gravimetric hydrogen storage capacity (GHSC) of 10.0 wt% for the system  $N_2H_4BH_3-3H_2O$ . Hydrazine ( $N_2H_4$ ), which is a liquid over a wide range of temperatures (219-392 K), is considered as a promising liquid chemical hydrogen storage material because

of its high GHSC of (8.0 wt %), simple byproduct (N<sub>2</sub>) and safe handling.<sup>17-35</sup> The theoretical GHSC of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> is higher than these of benchmarked hydrogen storage systems NaBH<sub>4</sub>–4H<sub>2</sub>O (7.3 wt %) and NH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>–4H<sub>2</sub>O (5.9 wt %).<sup>6</sup> In order to maximize the efficacy of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> as a hydrogen storage material, the incomplete decomposition of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> to NH<sub>3</sub> by another pathway Eq. (3) should be avoided. A number of noble and non-noble metal based bi-metallic catalysts have recently been developed and applied in these reactions. However, most of these catalysts show a poor activity and reusability,<sup>36,37</sup> which will limit their further application. Accordingly, the search for novel heterogeneous catalysts with enhanced catalytic performance for NH<sub>3</sub>-free H<sub>2</sub> production from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> is of current research interest.

 $N_2H_4BH_3(s) + 3H_2O(I) \rightarrow N_2H_4(I) + H_3BO_3(I) + 3H_2(g)$  (1)

$$N_2H_4(I) \rightarrow N_2(g) + 2H_2(g) \tag{2}$$

$$3N_2H_4(I) \rightarrow 4NH_3(g) + N_2(g) \tag{3}$$

Recent studies demonstrated that the catalytic activity of nickel-based catalysts could be greatly enhanced by doping with a small amount of cerium oxide.<sup>38-45</sup> For example, in contrast to pure Ni NPs, the Ni NPs modifying with a small amount of CeO<sub>2</sub> displayed a 3-fold increase of TOF and an enhancement of hydrogen selectivity from 67% to 99% for hydrogen generation from  $N_2H_4$  aqueous solution.<sup>46</sup> Besides,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Jiangxi Inorganic Membrane Materials Engineering Research Centre, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang 330022, China. Email: luzh@jxnu.edu.cn; cxs66cn@jxnu.edu.cn

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details for synthesis  $N_2H_4BH_3$ ; XRD, Raman, FTIR, XPS, MS and NMR patterns. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

#### ARTICLE

we found that an amorphous Ni<sub>0.9</sub>Pt<sub>0.1</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs displayed a much higher activity than that of Ni<sub>0.9</sub>Pt<sub>0.1</sub> NPs without CeO<sub>2</sub> for hydrogen generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>.<sup>47</sup> Yet, to maximize the catalytic activity and stability of the active metal NPs, a suitable support is required to well immobilize and disperse the active NPs. Owing to its inherent advantages such as fantastic thermal/electrical conductivity, light weight, excellent flexibility, and mechanical strength, reduced graphene oxide (rGO) has been applied as an ideal substrate for highperformance catalysts.<sup>48-50</sup> The anchoring of NPs on rGO nanosheet could be capable of facilitating the electron transfer and mass transport kinetics during the catalytic reaction process. In this case, the combination of cerium oxide, rGO and active metal NPs may lead to an enhanced catalytic activity as well as selectivity and stability during the catalytic process.

Herein, CeO<sub>x</sub>-doped RhNi NPs anchored on rGO nanosheet (RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO) were successfully prepared via a one-step co-reduction approach. The rGO nanosheet was proved to be a distinct support and effective dispersing agent for anchoring the RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub> NPs, while the doping of amorphous CeO<sub>x</sub> could decrease the crystallinity of RhNi alloy NPs. In comparison with the pure Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub> alloy NPs, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub> and the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>/rGO catalysts, the obtained Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO nanocomposites (NCs) exhibits a much higher catalytic activity and prominent stability for selective hydrogen generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution without NH<sub>3</sub> impurity.

#### Experimental

#### Materials

Published on 12 October 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 17/10/2015 07:37:21

Sodium borohydride (NaBH<sub>4</sub>, 98%), 1, 4-dioxane (99.8%) and cerium (III) nitrate hexahydrate (Ce(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 99.5%) were purchased from J&KCHEMICAL®. Rhodium chloride hydrate (RhCl<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O, 99.95%) and hydrazine monohydrate (N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, 98.0%) were bought from Alladin. Hydrazine hemisulfate salt  $(N_2H_4 \cdot 1/2H_2SO_4)$  and n-pentane (99.5%) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Nickel (II) chloride hexahydrate (NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O,  $\geq$ 98.0%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH,  $\geq$ 96.0%), and hydrochloric acid (HCl, 36%~37%) were provided by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromideaided (CTAB,  $CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{15}N(Br)(CH_{3})_{3}$ ,  $\geq$ 96.0%), myristyltrimethylammonium bromid (TTAB, C17H38BrN) and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) was got from Fluka. All chemicals were used as obtained. We use ordinary distilled water as the reaction solvent. The synthesis and characterization of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> (>99%) have been described in our previous paper.47,50,51

#### Synthesis of catalysts

The RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs were facilely prepared by coreduction of graphene oxide (GO) and the metal precursors using sodium borohydride as a reducing agent. In a typical synthetic procedure, 5 mL aqueous solution containing 10 mg of GO synthesized by a modified Hummers method<sup>48-50</sup> and 15 mg of CTAB were ultrasoniced for 5 min to fully homogenize the contents. Then, 4.8 mg of NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 16.74 mg of  $RhCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O$  and 7.0 mg of  $Ce(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  were added to the above suspension. The suspension was under vigorous magnetic stirring for 60 min. After that, 30 mg of NaBH<sub>4</sub> was quickly poured into the reactor under rapid magnetic stirring for 20 min, resulting in the generation of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO (13.9 mol% Ce) NCs as a black suspension, which was then directly used for the catalytic reactions. The synthesis of RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs with different bimetallic compositions (Ni,  $Rh_{0.1}Ni_{0.9}$ ,  $Rh_{0.3}Ni_{0.7}$ ,  $Rh_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}$ ,  $Rh_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}$ ,  $Rh_{0.9}Ni_{0.1}$ , Rh) are following the above process by changing the initial molar ratio of metal salts. To discuss the effect of Ce, the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs with different molar content of Ce (Ce/(Rh+Ni+Ce) = 7.5, 13.9, 18.7, 24.4 and 31.6 mol%) were also prepared by the same method by altering the initial amount of Ce(NO<sub>3)3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (3.5, 7.0, 10.0, 14.0 and 20.0 mg). The Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>/rGO, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>, rGO and CeO<sub>x</sub> samples were also synthesized by the same methods.

#### Catalysts characterization

The crystal phase properties of the synthesized nanocatalysts were analyzed with a Rigaku RINT-2200 X-ray diffractometer (XRD) using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation at 40 kV and 40 mA. The morphologies and sizes of the samples were determined by using a transmission electron microscope (TEM, Tecnai G2 F20) equipped with energy dispersed X-ray detector (EDX) for elemental analysis. One or two droplets of the synthesized catalyst suspension were deposited on a carbon coated copper grid for the TEM characterization. Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) measurements were carried on a FSM-Precision FM-Nanoview 1000 AFM. The specific surface area measurements were performed with N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption isotherms at liquid nitrogen temperature (77 K) after dehydration under vacuum at 100 °C for 8 h using BELSORP-mini II. Raman spectra were collected with a confocal Raman microscope (LabRAM HR). FTIR spectra were carried out at room temperature by using a Thermo Nicolet 870 instrument using KBr discs in the 500-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> region. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed with a Thermo scientific ESCALAB 250 multifunctional imaging electron spectrometer. Mass analysis of the generated gases from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> over Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO was performed using a Balzers Prisma QMS 200 mass spectrometer.

#### Catalytic reactions

The catalytic activity of the as-synthesized RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>/rGO, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>, rGO and CeO<sub>x</sub> towards hydrogen release from aqueous solution of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> was evaluated in a typical water-filled graduated burette system. Typically, the reactor containing the catalyst suspension and NaOH (0.5 M) is placed in a water bath thermostated at 50 °C and connected to a water-filled inverted burette. A trap filled with HCl (0.1 M) solution is placed between the reactor and the inverted burette to eliminate the influence of any evolving ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>). The flask is well sealed with a silicon septum. To start the catalytic reaction, N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (n(Rh+Ni)/n(N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>) or n(N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)=0.1) is added into the reactor and the volume of H<sub>2</sub> along N<sub>2</sub> is

DOI: 10.1039/C5TA06197K

Journal Name

measured, from which the molar ratio  $\lambda = n(H_2+N_2)/n(N_2H_4BH_3)$ or  $\gamma = n(H_2+N_2)/n(N_2H_4)$  can be obtained. The selectivity ( $\alpha$ ) for hydrogen generation from  $N_2H_4BH_3$  can evaluated on the basis of the equation:  $N_2H_4BH_3 + 3H_2O \rightarrow B(OH)_3 + (3+2\alpha)H_2 + (2\alpha+1)/3N_2 + 4(1-\alpha)/3NH_3$ , which could be deduced from the equations (1), (2) and (3). Therefore, the selectivity is defined as Eq. (4). The selectivity towards  $H_2$  generation from decomposition of  $N_2H_4$  ( $\beta$ ) can be calculated by using Eq. (5).

$$\alpha = \frac{3\lambda - 10}{8} \quad (\lambda = \frac{n(H2 + N2)}{n(N2H4BH3)}; \quad \frac{10}{3} \ll \lambda \ll 6)$$
(4)

$$\beta = \frac{3\gamma - 1}{8} \qquad (\gamma = \frac{n(H2 + N2)}{n(N2H4)}; \quad \frac{1}{3} \ll \gamma \ll 3)$$
(5)

#### Durability and reusability test

The durability of the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs was tested: after the first cycle of hydrogen generation reaction was completed, another equivalent of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O was added into the reaction system and the gas released was monitored by the gas burette. It should be noted that an excess amount of NaOH (2.0 M) was used in this recycle test for hydrogen generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>, due to the neutralization of NaOH by the hydrolysate (H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>) reuslted from the first step (-BH<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis) of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> dehydrogenation reaction.<sup>50</sup> The reactions were repeated for six runs under the same conditions as the first cycle. Then, the catalyst was separated from the reaction solution by centrifugation, washed by water and recycled for the same catalytic reactions under the same conditions.

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Synthesis and characterization of catalysts

The CeO<sub>x</sub>-doped RhNi alloy NPs were facilely grown on rGO nanosheet via a one-step co-reduction approach (Scheme 1). Typically, the metal salts with different molar ratio were firstly impregnated on GO nanosheets with the help of magnetic stirring and CTAB. To our knowledge, Ce(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> is very unstable in alkaline solution and can be easily hydrolyzed to Ce(OH)<sub>3</sub>, then the redox reaction between Ce(OH)<sub>3</sub> and Rh<sup>3+</sup> or O<sub>2</sub> will lead to the formation of CeO<sub>x</sub> (eqn. (S1) and (S2)).<sup>52</sup> Here, NaBH<sub>4</sub> serve as a reducing agent for the reduction of metal salt and GO, while the hydrolysate (NaB(OH)<sub>4</sub>) of NaBH<sub>4</sub> provided the alkaline environment for the formation of CeO<sub>x</sub>. As the amorphous CeO<sub>x</sub> and RhNi alloy may be formed almost simultaneously, the formed RhNi or CeO<sub>x</sub> served as the in situ





DOI: 10.1039/C5TA06197K

ARTICLE

seeds for the successive growth of RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub> hybrid NPs. CTAB plays a role as a dispersing agent and stabilizer for the synthesis of uniform RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO. The synthesized RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs were used as catalysts for hydrogen release from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution. The Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs exhibited the highest activity among all the samples tested (vide infra), and therefore, were chosen as the model catalyst for full instrument characterization.

The synthesized nanocatalysts were isolated bv centrifugation from the reaction solution, washed by water and following dried in vacuum oven for characterization experiments. The powder XRD patterns of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>/rGO, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>, rGO, and GO are shown in Fig. 1. The most intense peak at around  $2\theta = 10.2^{\circ}$  corresponding to the (001) reflection of GO disappeared at the rGO and rGO-containing samples, and a new peak at around  $2\theta = 21.4^{\circ}$  was observed, indicating that GO was successfully reduced to rGO during the synthetic process. As shown in Fig. 1, all the RhNi containing samples (Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>/rGO, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub> and Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO) exhibited a crystalline peak centered at around  $2\theta = 41.2^{\circ}$ , which was between the fcc (111) diffraction peaks of Rh (PDF#05-0685) and Ni (PDF#04-0850), indicating the formation of RhNi alloy. In addition, the CeO<sub>x</sub>-doped samples (Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub> and Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO) showed a broad and weak peak at around  $2\theta = 28.2^{\circ}$ , which can be assigned to the amorphous state of CeO<sub>x</sub>. In particular, these samples displayed a weaker RhNi alloy signal than these of the samples without the doping of CeO<sub>x</sub> (Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub> and Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>/rGO), which probably due to the fact that the doping of amorphous CeO<sub>x</sub> into the ultrafine nanocrystalline of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub> can disturb the long-range order of the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub> nanoalloy and thus decrease its crystallinity. Furthermore, the formed RhNi alloy are inclined to bind more strongly to the distortions and vacancies of the amorphous CeO<sub>x</sub>.<sup>33</sup> As a result, the ligand and strain effect around these sites may further lead to the disorder of the RhNi nanoalloy, and finally decrease the crystallinity of bimetal NPs. Besides, from the powder XRD patterns of RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs with different molar content

DOI: 10.1039/C5TA06197K Journal Name



Fig. 2 TEM images and the corresponding SAED patterns (inset) for (a)  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_x/rGO$ , (c)  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}/rGO$  and (d)  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_x$ ; (b) The high-resolution TEM image of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO.

of Rh (Fig. S1), it was found that the samples with Rh content ranging from 0 to 30 mol% (sample a, b and c) were in amorphous state, whereas the samples with a higher content of Rh (50-100 mol%, sample d, e, f and g) showed a weak crystalline peak of RhNi alloy or Rh. Herein, we believed that the doping of amorphous CeO<sub>x</sub> can effectively transform the crystalline of Ni based bimetallic NPs with a small quantity of noble-metal containing to the amorphous state, as reported before for  $Ni_{0.9}Pt_{0.1}$ -CeO<sub>x</sub>, <sup>33,47</sup> and can also effectively decrease the crystallinity of Ni-based bimetallic NPs with a majority of noble-metal containing. The RhNi NPs in amorphous state or with a low degree of crystallinity have the features of shortrange order and long-rang disorder, and both these features might contribute to enhance their catalytic performance.

The morphologies and size of the synthesized Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub> and Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>/rGO were characterized by TEM and selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) (Fig. 2). As for the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs, all the



Fig. 3 (a) TEM image of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO; (b) HADDF-STEM and the EDX mapping images of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO.

metallic NPs were highly dispersed on the rGO nanosheet with average particle size of around 3.5 nm (Fig. 2a and Fig. S2). Similar particle size and dispersity were observed in  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}/rGO$  NCs (Fig. 2c), thus illustrating that the doping of  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}/rGO$  with  $CeO_x$  did not influence the distribution and growth of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub> NPs. However, the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub> NPs prepared without GO were aggregated to a bigger size (about 5 nm), as shown in Fig. 2d. This is understandable because the hydrophilic phenyl epoxide and hydroxyl group and the hydrophobic basal plane of GO play a role as a surfactant, which can effectively anchor the metal NPs in special areas and thus control their distribution and agglomeration on the rGO nanosheet during the synthesis process.<sup>50,53</sup> The high resolution TEM image of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CO<sub>x</sub>/rGO (Fig. 2b) shows that the metal NPs are in crystalline state with a d-spacing of 0.218 nm, which differs from the fcc (111) plane of Rh (0.228) and Ni (0.214 nm), and thus further confirms the formation of RhNi alloy. The corresponding SAED patterns show that the CeO<sub>v</sub>-doped samples (Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub> and Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO) exhibit a lower degree of crystallinity (inset of Fig. 2a and 2d) than that of the sample without CeO<sub>x</sub> (Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>/rGO) (inset of Fig. 2c), which is in agreement with the powder XRD results (Fig. 1). The high-angle annular darkfield scanning transmission electron microscopy (HADDF-STEM) and the elemental mapping images for Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO (Fig. 3a and b) show that the metallic elements Rh, Ni, and Ce are homogeneous dispersed in these NPs. Furthermore, the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) spectrum further confirms the existence of Rh, Ni and Ce elements (Fig. S3). The atomic ratio for Rh:Ni:Ce was detected by ICP-AES (shown in Table S1), quite close to their initial contents.

The GO used for the synthesis of catalysts was characterized by using AFM measurement. The AFM results show that the average thickness of the GO nanosheets is about 1.25 nm (Fig. S4), suggesting 2-4 layers of GO. Fig. S5 shows



Fig. 4 (a) The survey XPS spectrum of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs; XPS spectra of (b) Rh 3d and (c) Ni 2p and (d) Ce 3d for the synthesized catalysts.

#### Journal Name

the Raman and FTIR spectra of GO and Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO. It can be seen from Raman spectra (Fig. S5a) that the assynthesized GO and Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO both exhibit two peaks centered at 1335 and 1597 cm<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to the D and G bands of carbon products, respectively.<sup>54</sup> The intensity ratio of the D to G band  $(I_D/I_G)$  of GO is increased from 1.02 to 1.21 after loading of the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub> NPs. The relative changes in  $I_D/I_G$  is due to the increase in the number of smaller graphene domains after reduction of GO.<sup>55</sup> As shown in FTIR spectra of GO and Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO (Fig. S5b), it can be seen clearly that the C=O peak at 1735 cm<sup>-1</sup>, the C-OH peak at 1224 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the C-O peak at 1053 cm<sup>-1</sup> of GO disappeared after loading of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeOx NPs, further indicating that the GO was reduced to rGO during the synthetic process. Additionally, the XPS results for C 1s show that the intensities of the oxygen containing functional groups (such as -C-O, -C=O, -COO) of GO (Fig. S6) decrease significantly after the formation of  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}$ @CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO,<sup>56</sup> which also indicates the reduction of GO.

XPS analyses after Ar sputtering for RhNi@CeOx/rGO, RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub> and RhNi/rGO were also performed to understand the electronic states and surface interaction among Rh, Ni, Ce, and rGO. The characteristic signals of metal state of Rh and Ni and CeO<sub>x</sub> were detected from the sample of RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO. The signals corresponding to  $Rh^0$  in the Rh  $3d_{5/2}$  and  $3d_{3/2}$ levels appear at the binding energies (BEs) of 307.1 and 311.9 eV, respectively (Fig. 4b). And the signals for Ni<sup>0</sup> can be detected in the Ni  $2p_{3/2}$  and Ni  $2p_{1/2}$  levels with BEs of 852.8 and 870.1 eV, respectively (Fig. 4c). In addition, it can be seen from the Ce 3d level that both signals of  $Ce^{3+}$  and  $Ce^{4+}$  are found (Fig. 4d). This is probably due to the fact that the oxygen defect structure of cerium oxide is dynamic and may change spontaneously in response to physical parameters such as temperature, presence of other ions, and partial pressure of oxygen.<sup>57</sup> The XPS spectra show that there is a negative shift in the BEs of Rh 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and Ni 2p<sub>3/2</sub> of RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs as compared to those of the RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub> and RhNi/rGO NCs; whereas a positive shift of the corresponding BE of Ce  $3d_{5/2}$  is observed relative to that of Ce<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub>. These shifts in BEs demonstrate that CeO<sub>x</sub> and rGO nanosheets in the RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs both act as electron donors for atoms of Rh and Ni. Such electronic transport between RhNi, CeO<sub>x</sub>, and rGO in the RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs might enhance their catalytic activity for N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> dehydrogenation. No obvious signal of B was detected by the survey XPS spectrum of  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_x/rGO$  (Fig. 4a). The high resolution of B 1s for both the RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO, RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub> and RhNi/rGO samples show a week signal at around 192.4 eV of oxidized boron (Fig. S7), probably due to a very small residual hydrolysate of NaBH<sub>4</sub> in the samples. As no elemental B or Ni-B or Rh-B signals were observed from B 1s spectrum, we therefore infer that there is no or negligible electronic synergistic effect between B, Ni and Rh.

In this work, CTAB plays a role as a dispersing agent and stabilizer for preparing the uniform RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs. The TEM images (Fig. S8d) show that rGO supported RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub> NPs prepared by using a high concentration of CTAB (35 mg) or

without CTAB have a bigger particle size (Fig. S8a), as compared to that of samples prepared by using a low concentration of CTAB (7.5-15mg) (Fig. S8b and c). The high CTAB concentrations may hinder the impregnation of metal precursors onto GO nanosheets and finally resulting in the generation of ununiform RhNi@CeOx particles with poor dispersity on rGO nanosheets (Fig S8d). From the XRD patterns of the samples prepared with high CTAB concentrations (25-35 mg), the diffraction peaks of CTAB are also observed (Fig. S9), thus indicating the rGO nanosheets and the active metal NPs could be coated by CTAB. Other surfactants, such as PVP and TTAB, can also be used for the synthesis of RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs with similar compositions and particle sizes as confirmed by their XRD patterns and TEM images (Fig. S10). Besides, the influence of different stirring time (0.5-6 h) during the preparation of RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs is not so distinct (Fig. S11). Only the sample prepared without stirring during the impregnation period show a degree of agglomeration in certain areas of the TEM image (Fig. S11a). The RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs prepared in different conditions, such as different CTAB concentrations and stirring time, have a similar and low specific surface area of around 25 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. S12). It is hard to reflect the real specific surface area of RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs as prepared in the reaction solution, probably due to the overlapping of graphene sheets within the self-aggregation during the drying process. To examine the magnetism of the  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_x/rGO$ , we have directly using a magnet to contact the flask containing the catalyst suspension. However, the catalyst suspension was still uniformly dispersed even after 6 hours (Fig. S13), indicating that these catalysts with a low content of Ni have no or only a negligible magnetism.

DOI: 10.1039/C5TA06197K

ARTICLE

#### Catalytic performance

Recent research have shown that the hydrogen selectivity for decomposition of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> can be promoted in the presence of NaOH, which can not only accelerate the rate-determining deprotonation step  $(N_2H_4 \rightarrow N_2H_3^*)$  (thus promoting reaction kinetics), but can also restrain the generation of the basic byproduct NH<sub>3</sub>.<sup>6,47,50</sup> The optimum concentration of NaOH for hydrogen generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> (200 mM, 5 mL) in the presence of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO was determined to be 0.5 M (Fig. S14). The catalytic performance of the catalyst was evaluated based on the volumetric and reaction time measurement of the amount of gases released during the reaction. As shown in Fig. 5, the catalytic performances of the  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}/rGO$ , Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub> Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>, and Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO nanocatalysts have been investigated for hydrogen generation from  $N_2H_4BH_3$  (0.5 M NaOH) at 323 K. The pure Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub> NPs can only generate 5.4 equiv. (H<sub>2</sub>+N<sub>2</sub>) per N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> ( $\lambda$  = 5.4,  $\alpha$  = 77.5%) in about 43 min. After the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub> NPs were immobilized on the rGO support or doped with the amorphous CeO<sub>x</sub>, the catalytic activity and hydrogen selectivity were enhanced greatly, with which 6.0 and 5.8 equiv.  $(H_2+N_2)$  per  $N_2H_4BH_3$  ( $\alpha$  = 100% and 93.6%) released in only 14.5 and 12.8 min, respectively. Clearly, the rGO support or the CeO<sub>x</sub> dopant was inactive for this reaction (Fig. S15). The

DOI: 10.1039/C5TA06197K Journal Name



Fig. 5 Time course plots for H<sub>2</sub> generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> (200 mM, 5 mL) over Rho<sub>8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO, Rho<sub>.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>/rGO, Rho<sub>.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>, and Rho<sub>.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub> catalysts (13.9 mol% Ce;  $n(Ni+Rh)/n(N_2H_4BH_3) = 0.1$ ) with NaOH (0.5 M) at 323 K. (inset) The structure simulation of the catalyst and corresponding total TOF value of H<sub>2</sub>.

enhanced catalytic performance of  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}$  NPs grown on rGO can be reasonable due to the combined effect of excellent dispersion and the intimate interaction derived from rGO. In addition, the  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}$  alloy NPs modified by amorphous CeO<sub>x</sub> shows a very low crystallinity and thus have the high concentration of unsaturated coordination sites, which can effectively improve the catalytic activity and H<sub>2</sub> selectivity. Similar synergistic effects were also observed in our previous work for  $Ni_{0.9}Pt_{0.1}$ -CeO<sub>2</sub><sup>47</sup> and  $Ni_{0.9}Pt_{0.1}$ /graphene<sup>50</sup> in the same reaction.

Since the catalytic activity can be enhanced by the modification of bimetallic NPs with addition of CeO<sub>x</sub> or rGO nanosheet, the combination of CeO<sub>x</sub> dopant, rGO support, and bimetallic NPs may realize a better catalytic performance. As we expected, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub> NPs with the doping of amorphous CeO<sub>x</sub> supported on rGO nanosheet (Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO) showed a greatly enhanced catalytic activity towards hydrogen generation from  $N_2H_4BH_3$  with 6.0 equiv. (H<sub>2</sub>+N<sub>2</sub>) per  $N_2H_4BH_3$  $(\lambda = 6.0, \alpha = 100\%)$  released in only 4.5 min. The H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> ratio of the gases released from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> over the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO catalyst was further confirmed by the

mass spectrometric analysis (Fig. S16), indicating a 100% hydrogen selectivity. The total turnover frequency (TOF) of  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_x/rGO$  reached 666.7  $h^{-1}$  (mol<sub>H2</sub>·mol<sub>(Rh+Ni)</sub><sup>-1</sup>· $h^{-1}$ ), which was 10-fold higher than the benchmarked catalyst Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>, 3-fold higher than Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub> and Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>/rGO. To the best of our knowledge, this is the highest value reported to date for this reaction at 323 K (Table S2). Even at room temperature (303 K), the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs exhibited the complete hydrogen generation from  $N_2H_4BH_3$  with a TOF value of 112.2 h<sup>-1</sup> (vide infra). As far as we know, only one nanocatalyst (Ni<sub>0.6</sub>Pt<sub>0.4</sub>/MSC-30) has been reported to exhibit a 100% hydrogen selectivity and high activity toward complete conversion of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> to hydrogen at room temperature,  $^{\rm 6}$  and  $\rm Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_x/rGO$  is the second one. The catalytic activity of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO for hydrogen generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> at room temperature was lower than that of Ni<sub>0.6</sub>Pt<sub>0.4</sub>/MSC-30.

The RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs with different metal compositions have been tested for hydrogen generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> (0.5 M NaOH) at 323 K (Fig. 6). The catalytic activity and selectivity were strongly dependent on the Rh/Ni ratio. Both monometallic Rh@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO and Ni@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs showed a certain activity, with which 4.6 equiv.  $(H_2+N_2)$  per  $N_2H_4BH_3$  released ( $\lambda$  = 4.6,  $\alpha$  = 47.5%) in 3.8 and 61.0 min, respectively (Fig. 6a). All the RhNi@CeOx/rGO catalysts with different bimetallic compositions exhibited a high catalytic activity and hydrogen selectivity with more than 5.6 equiv. gases released (  $\lambda \ge$  5.6,  $\alpha \ge$  85%) (Fig. 6b). Especially, the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs exhibited the highest catalytic activity with 100% hydrogen selectivity. The enhanced catalytic performance of the RhNi@CeOx/rGO NCs demonstrates that the resulting synergistic effect between Rh and Ni induced by the so-called strain and ligand effects<sup>58</sup> is highly required for the complete hydrogen release from  $N_2H_4BH_3$ . Additionally, when the molar content of Ce is set as the present value of 13.9 mol%, the resulting Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO has the optimum performance (Fig. S17). The catalytic activity of this catalyst will decrease with the decreasing or increasing the Ce content of 13.9 mol%.

The Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs prepared with different



**Fig. 6** Time course plots for H<sub>2</sub> generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> (200 mM, 5 mL) over (a) the monometallic Ni@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO and Rh@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO catalysts and (b) RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO catalysts with different molar content of Rh (13.9 mol% Ce;  $n(Ni+Rh)/n(N_2H_4BH_3) = 0.1)$  in the presence of NaOH (0.5 M) at 323 K.

Journal of Materials Chemistry A Accepted Manuscrip

Journal Name



**Fig. 7** Time course plots for H<sub>2</sub> generation from aqueous solution of (a) N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> and (c) N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> over Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>4</sub>/rGO catalysts (13.9 mol% Ce; n(Ni+Rh)/n(N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) = 0.1) at temperatures ranging from 303 to 333 K. Plot of ln k versus 1/T during the releasing of H<sub>2</sub> from (b) hydrolysis of BH<sub>3</sub> (Part 1) and decomposition of the N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> moiety of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> (Part 2) and (d) decomposition of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution over Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>4</sub>/rGO at different temperatures.

CTAB concentrations were also tested for N2H4BH3 dehydrogenation (Fig. S18a). The sample prepared without surfactant show only a little decrease of catalytic activity (TOF: 400  $h^{-1}$ ) in comparison with the samples prepared with low CTAB concentration (7.5-15mg), probably due to their relative bigger particle sizes. The samples prepared with high CTAB concentrations (25-35 mg) exhibited a decrease in catalytic activity as compared to that of sample with low CTAB concentration or without surfactant. This is likely due to the fact that the surface of the RhNi@CeOx/rGO NCs prepared with high CTAB concentrations were coated by CTAB, and thus leading to a decrease in the number of exposed active sites. The catalysts prepared with other surfactants, such as PVP and TTAB, have a similar catalytic activity as the sample prepared with CTAB (Fig. S18b). The as-prepared catalyst showed a small decrease in the activity, after removed the CTAB by thoroughly washed by ethanol and water (Fig. S19), indicating that the rGO nanosheets supported RhNi@CeOx NPs can be stabilized by CTAB. Besides, the stirring time during the impregnation of metal precursors has no significant influence on catalytic performance towards H<sub>2</sub> generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> (Fig. S20), while only the sample prepared without stirring show a little decrease of activity. To discuss the effects of the residuals oxygen groups on rGO nanosheets, an excess amount of NaBH<sub>4</sub> was used to reduce the oxygen groups completely, which was further verified by XPS measurements (Fig. S21). In comparison with the sample with a few residual oxygen groups (Fig. S6b), the sample without oxygen groups had almost the same activity (Fig. S22), thus indicating that a few residual oxygen groups on rGO nanosheets have negligible effect on the catalytic performance in the present work.

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5TA06197K ARTICLE

The catalytic performance of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs has also been tested for hydrogen generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> at different temperatures (Fig. 7). The catalytic reactions for H<sub>2</sub> generation from N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> were completed in 2.0, 4.5, 9.5 and 27.0 min at 333, 323, 313 and 303 K, respectively, corresponding to TOF values of 1500.0, 666.7, 315.8 and 111.2  $h^{-1}$  (Fig. 7a). Based on the Arrhenius plot fitted by the H<sub>2</sub> generation rate for the hydrolysis of BH<sub>3</sub> group and the decomposition of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> moiety of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> at different reaction temperatures, we can obtain the corresponding activation energy value  $E_{a1}$ =17.4 kJ/mol and  $E_{a2}$ =55.2 kJ/mol, respectively (Fig. 7b). Also, the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO catalysts with different metal concentrations (Rh+Ni: 0.005-0.025 M) were used for the same reactions (Fig. S23). As expected, the H<sub>2</sub> generation rate of both the hydrolysis and decomposition increases with increasing catalyst concentration. The plots based on the H<sub>2</sub> generation rate versus catalyst concentrations (both in logarithmic scale) show that a slope of 0.87 and 0.93 is obtained for the hydrolysis and decomposition reactions, respectively, implying that both the reactions approximate first-order kinetics with respect to the catalyst concentration. The plausible catalytic scheme of hydrazine borane dehydrogenation over the as-prepared RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO is illustrated in Scheme 2. Firstly, the interaction between the N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> molecule and the RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub> surface will produce the activated complex species, who attack by a H<sub>2</sub>O molecule readily leads to concerted dissociation of the B-N bonding of  $N_2H_4BH_3$ . When the BH<sub>3</sub> group of  $N_2H_4BH_3$  is catalytically hydrolyzed by the catalysts, concurrently, the resulting N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> moieties directly interact with metal NPs to generate N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>. The kinetic rate for the hydrolysis of the -BH<sub>3</sub> group is much faster than that of the decomposition of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> moiety of  $N_2H_4BH_3$ 

The  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_x/rGO$  catalyst was used to catalytically decompose the same amount of  $N_2H_4$  as that resulted from the first step of  $N_2H_4BH_3$  dehydrogenation



Scheme 2. Schematic representation of  $H_2$  generation from  $N_2H_4BH_3$  over  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_s/rGO$  catalysts.



**Fig. 8** Durability and reusability test for H<sub>2</sub> generation from aqueous solution of (a)  $N_2H_4BH_3$  and (b)  $N_2H_4$  (1.0 mmol) catalyzed by  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_x/rGO$  (n(Ni+Rh)/n( $N_2H_4BH_3$  or  $N_2H_4$ ) = 0.1) at 323 K.

reaction under the same conditions. The completely decomposition of  $N_2H_4$  at 333, 323, 313 and 303 K can finish in 3.0, 5.7, 15.0 and 33.0 min in the presence of Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO (Fig. 7c), corresponding to total TOF values of 400.0, 210.5, 80.0 and 36.4 h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These TOF values were relatively high value among all the reported catalysts for the decomposition of  $N_2H_4$  at various temperatures (Table S3).<sup>59,60</sup> Especially, the present catalyst can achieve a complete  $H_2$  release from  $N_2H_4$  at room temperature with a TOF of 36.4  $h^{-1}$ , which was 5-fold higher than the benchmarked catalyst Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub> in the previous literature.<sup>36</sup> The activation energy ( $E_{a3}$ ) for decomposition of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> catalyzed by Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO was determined to be 58.0 kJ/mol (Fig. 7d), higher than that value (55.2 kJ/mol) of this catalyst for dehydrogenation of  $N_2H_4$  moiety of  $N_2H_4BH_3$ . The Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs exhibited a lower catalytic activity for the decomposition of  $N_2H_4$  than that for the dehydrogenation of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub>. A plausible explanation is that when the  $BH_3$  group of  $N_2H_4BH_3$  is catalytically hydrolyzed by the catalysts (very fast), concurrently, the resulting N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> moieties directly interact with metal NPs to generate N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>, thus promoting the kinetic properties of  $N_2H_4BH_3$ dehydrogenation. Similar reaction characteristics for Rh₄Ni and Ni<sub>0.6</sub>Pt<sub>0.4</sub>/MSC-30 were observed in the previous reports.<sup>6,37</sup>

As the durability and reusability of catalysts is very important for practical application. In this sense, the durability and reusability of  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_x/rGO$  NCs for hydrogen generation from aqueous solution of  $N_2H_4BH_3$  or  $N_2H_4$  at 323 K were carried out. As shown in Fig. 8, after six cycles, the  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_x/rGO$  NCs showed a 100% hydrogen selectivity and productivity, but a little decrease in activity for hydrogen generation from  $N_2H_4BH_3$  and  $N_2H_4$ , respectively. However, the treatment of this catalyst by washing with water leaded to the recovery of the active sites, which showed almost the same activity as the pristine catalysts for hydrogen generation from  $N_2H_4BH_3$  or  $N_2H_4$ , indicating that the  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_x/rGO$  NCs had a good durability and reusability in the catalytic reactions. The good stability of the  $Rh_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}@CeO_x/rGO$  NCs was verified

by the TEM (Fig. S24) and powder XRD characterization (Fig. S25).

#### Conclusions

In summary, RhNi alloy NPs with the doping of amorphous CeO<sub>v</sub> have been successfully anchored on the surface of rGO nanosheets via a one-step co-reduction synthetic process at room temperature, and successfully applied as highly efficient catalyst for the complete hydrogen production from aqueous solution of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. The TEM and XRD images showed that CeO<sub>x</sub> and rGO can effectively decrease the crystallinity and size of RhNi NPs, respectively. The XPS results demonstrated that  $CeO_x$  and rGO nanosheets in the the RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs both act as electron donors for Rh and Ni. Therefore, the modification of the surface electronic structure and chemical properties of RhNi@CeO, NPs through the strain and ligand effects among Ni, Rh, CeO<sub>x</sub> and rGO is responsible for the high activity of the RhNi@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs. These synergistic structural and electronic effects are highly required for the complete hydrogen release from aqueous solution of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. In comparison with the Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>, Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>/rGO, and most of the catalysts reported to date, the obtained Rh<sub>0.8</sub>Ni<sub>0.2</sub>@CeO<sub>x</sub>/rGO NCs exhibited a much higher catalytic activity towards hydrogen generation from  $N_2H_4BH_3$  and  $N_2H_4$  at 323 K with 100% hydrogen selectivity and outstanding durability and reusability. The catalytic results open up new avenues in the effective application of hydrazine borane and hydrazine aqueous solution as the feasible hydrogen storage materials for fuel cell applications.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21463012 and 21103074). Z.-H. Lu was supported by Young Scientist Foundation of Jiangxi

#### Journal Name

Province (20133BCB23011) and "Gan-po talent 555" Project of Jiangxi Province.

31 L. He, Y. Huang, X. Y. Liu, L. Li, A. Wang, X. Wang, C. Y. Mou and T. Zhang, Appl. Catal. B: Environ., 2014, 147, 779-788.

#### Notes and references

- O. K. Farha, A. M. Spokoyny, K. L. Mulfort, M. F. Hawthorne, C. A. Mirkin and J. T. Hupp, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 12680-12681.
- 2 D. Pukazhselvan, V. Kumar and S. K. Singh, Nano Energy, 2012. 1. 566-589.
- 3 C. Fellay, P. J. Dyson and G. Laurenczy, Angew. Chem., 2008, 120.4030-4032.
- A. Boddien, D. Mellmann, F. Gärtner, R. Jackstell, H. Junge, P. J. Dyson, G. Laurenczy, R. Ludwig and M. Beller, Science, 2011, 333, 1733-1736.
- X. Cao, Y. Han, C. Gao, Y. Xu, X. Huang, M. Willander and N. 5 Wang, Nano Energy, 2014, 9, 301-308.
- 6 Q. L. Zhu, D. C. Zhong, U. B. Demirci and Q. Xu, ACS Catal., 2014. 4. 4261-4268.
- 7 T. Hügle, M. F. Kühnel and D. Lentz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131.7444-7446.
- T. He, H. Wu, G. Wu, J. Wang, W. Zhou, Z. Xiong, J. Chen, T. Zhang and P. Chen, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 5686-5689.
- R. Moury, G. Moussa, U. B. Demirci, J. Hannauer, S. Bernard, E. Petit, A. V. D. Lee and P. Miele, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2012. 14. 1768-1777.
- 10 J. Hannauer, O. Akdim, U. B. Demirci, C. Geantet, J. M. Herrmann, P. Miele and Xu, Q. Energy Environ. Sci., 2011, 4, 3355-3358
- 11 D. Clémençon, J. F. Petit, U. B. Demirci, Q. Xu and P. Miele, J. Power Sources, 2014, 260, 77-81.
- 12 Ç. Çakanyıldırım, U. B. Demirci, T. Şener, Q. Xu and P. Miele, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2012, 37, 9722-9729.
- 13 J. Thomas, M. Klahn, A. Spannenberg, T. Beweries, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 14668-14672.
- 14 C. Li, Y. Dou, J. Liu, Y. Chen, S. He, M. Wei, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 9992-9994.
- 15 S. Karahan, M. Zahmakıran and S. Özkar, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2011, 36, 4958-4966.
- 16 D. Özhava, N. Z. Kiliçaslan, S. Özkar, Appl. Catal. B: Environ., 2015, 162, 573-582.
- 17 J. Wang, L. Wang, Y. Wen, L. Gu and Y. Zhang, Adv. Energy Mater., 10.1002/aenm.201401879.
- 18 J. K. Sun, Q. Xu, ChemCatChem, 2015, 7, 526-531.
- 19 J. M. Yan, Z. L. Wang, H. L. Wang and Q. Jiang, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 10990-10993.
- 20 H. L. Wang, J. M. Yan, S. J. Li, X. W Zhang and Q. Jiang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 121-124.
- 21 L. He, Y. Huang, A. Wang, X. Wang, X. Chen, J. J. Delgado and T. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 6191-6194.
- 22 L. He, Y. Huang, A. Wang, Y. Liu, X. Liu, X. Chen, J. J. Delgado, X. Wang and T. Zhang, J. Catal., 2013, 298, 1-9.
- 23 F. Yang, Y. Z. Li, W. Chu, C. Li, D. G. Tong, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2014, 4, 3168-3179.
- 24 S. K. Singh, A. K. Singh, K. Aranishi and Q. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 19638-19641.
- 25 J. Wang, Y. Li and Y. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2014, 24, 7073-7077.
- 26 A.K. Singh, Q. Xu, ChemCatChem, 2013, 5, 3000-3004.
- 27 B. Xia, N. Cao, H. Dai, J. Su, X. Wu, W. Luoa and G. Cheng, ChemCatChem, 2014, 6, 2549-2552.
- 28 Y. Jiang, Q. Kang, J. Zhang, H. B. Dai and P. Wang, J. Power Sources, 2015, 273, 554-560.
- 29 S-II. O, J. M. Yan, H. L. Wang, Z. L. Wang and Q. Jiang, J. Power Sources, 2014, 262, 386-390.
- 30 W. Gao, C. Li, H. Chen, M. Wu, S. He, M. Wei, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, Green Chem., 2014, 16, 1560-1568.

- 32 J. Zhang, Q. Kang, Z. Yang, H. Dai, D. Zhuang and P. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 11623-11628.
- 33 H. L. Wang, J. M. Yan, Z. L. Wang, S-II. O and Q. Jiang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 14957-14962.
- 34 N. Cao, L. Yang, C. Du, J. Su, W. Luo and G. Cheng, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 14344-14347.
- 35 Y. Du, J. Su, W. Luo, G. Cheng, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 1031-1034.
- 36 S. K. Singh, Q. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 18032-18033. 37 D. C. Zhong, K. Aranishi, A. K. Singh, U. B. Demirci and Q. Xu,
- Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 11945-11947.
- 38 X. Wang, D. Liu, S. Song, H. Zhang, Chem. Eur. J., 2013, 19, 8082-8086.
- 39 Y. J. Xu, N. Zhang, Chem. Mater., 2013, 25, 1979-1988.
- 40 X. Wang, D. Liu, S. Song and H. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013. 135. 15864-15872.
- 41 A. Hornés, M. J. Escudero, L. Daza, A. Martínez-Arias, J. Power Sources, 2014, 249, 520-526.
- 42 F. Zhu, G. Chen, S. Sun and X. Sun, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 288-294.
- 43 A. S. P. Lovón, J. J. Lovón-Quintana, G. I. Almerindo, G. P. Valença, M. I. B. Bernardi, V. D. Araújo, T. S. Rodrigues, P. A. Robles-Dutenhefner and H. V. Fajardo, J. Power Sorces, 2012, 216, 281-289.
- 44 X. Wang, D. Liu and S. Song, H. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 15864-15872.
- 45 M. I. Kim, K. S. Park and H. G. Park, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 9577-9580.
- 46 L. He, B. Liang, L. Li, X. Yang, Y. Huang, A. Wang, X. Wang and T. Zhang, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 1623-1628.
- 47 Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Chen and Z.H. Lu, J. Power Sources, 2015, 291, 14-19.
- 48 Y. Yang, Z.H. Lu, Y. Hu, Z. Zhang, W. Shi, X. Chen and T. Wang, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 13749-13752.
- 49 N. I. Kovtyukhova, P. J. Ollivier, B. R. Martin, T. E. Mallouk, S. A. Chizhik, E. V. Buzaneva and A. D. Gorchinskiy, Chem. Mater., 1999, 11, 771-778.
- 50 Z. Zhang, Z. H. Lu and X. Chen, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2015, 3, 1255-1261.
- 51 Q. Yao, Z. H. Lu, Z. Zhang, X. Chen and Y. Lan, Sci. Rep., 2014, 4, 7597; DOI: 10.1038/srepo7597.
- 52 J. Liu, W. Tang, T. Shen, Z. Zhao, H. Feng, F. Cui, RSC Adv., 2014. 4. 30624-30629.
- 53 J. Wang, X. B. Zhang, Z. L. Wang, L.M. Wang, Y. Zhang, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 6885-6888.
- 54 J. P. Rourke, P. A. Pandey, J. J. Moore, M. Bates, I. A. Kinloch, R. J. Young and N. R. Wilson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 123. 3231-3235.
- 55 D. X. Yang, A. Velamakanni, G. Bozoklu, S. Park, M. Stoller, R. D. Piner, S. Stankovich, I. Jung and D. A. Field, Carbon, 2009, 47, 145-152
- 56 S. Stankovich, D. A. Dikin, R. D. Piner, K. A. Kohlhaas, A. Kleinhammes, Y. Y. Jia, Y. Wu, S. T. Nguyen and R. S. Ruoff, Carbon, 2007, 45, 1558-1565.
- 57 X. Liu, K. Zhou, L. Wang, B. Wang and Y. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009. 131. 3140-3141.
- 58 X. B. Zhang, J. M. Yan, S. Han, H. Shioyama, Q. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 2778-2779.
- 59 D. G. Tong, W. Chu, P. Wu, G. F. Gu, L. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 358-366.
- 60 D. G. Tong, W. Chu, P. Wu, L. Zhang, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 2369-2376.

Journal of Materials Chemistry A Accepted Manuscript



## Amorphous $CeO_x$ -doped Rh-Ni NPs grown on reduced graphene oxide nanosheets have been used as highly efficient and recyclable catalysts for complete hydrogen generation from aqueous solution of N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.