Solid-Phase Synthesis of Oligo(triacetylene)s and Oligo(phenylenetriacetylene)s Employing Sonogashira and Cadiot-Chodkiewicz-Type Cross-Coupling Reactions

by Nils F. Utesch and François Diederich*

Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, ETH-Hönggerberg, HCI, CH-8093 Zürich

and

Corinne Boudon, Jean-Paul Gisselbrecht, and Maurice Gross

Laboratoire d'Electrochimie et de Chimie Physique du Corps Solide, Faculté Louis Pasteur and CNRS, UMR no. 7512, 4, rue Blaise Pascal, F-67000 Strasbourg

We describe the first polymer-supported synthesis of poly(triacetylene)-derived monodisperse oligomers, utilizing Pd⁰-catalyzed Sonogashira and Cadiot-Chodkiewicz-type cross-couplings as the key steps in the construction of the acetylenic scaffolds. For our investigations, Merrifield resin functionalized with a 1-(4iodoaryl)triazene linker was chosen as the polymeric support (R2; Figure and Scheme 3). The linker selection was made based on the results of several model studies in the liquid phase (Schemes 1 and 2). For the solidsupport synthesis of the oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s 7b - 7d, a set of only three reactions was required: i) Pd⁰catalyzed Sonogashira cross-coupling, ii) Me₃Si-alkyne deprotection by protodesilylation, and iii) cleavage of the linker with liberation of the generated oligomers (Scheme 5). The longest-wavelength absorption maxima of the oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s **7a** – **7d** shift bathochromically with increasing oligometric length, from λ_{max} 337 nm (monomer 7a) to 384 nm (tetramer 7d; Table 2). Based on the electronic absorption data, the effective conjugation length (ECL) of the oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s is estimated to involve at least four monomer units and 40 C-atoms. π -Electron conjugation in these oligomers is less efficient than in the known oligo(triacetylene)s 14a – 14d (Table 2) due to poor transmittance of π -electron delocalization by the phenyl rings inserted into the oligomeric backbone. Similar conclusions were drawn from the electrochemical properties of the two oligomeric series as determined by cyclic (CV) and rotating-disk voltammetry (RDV; Table 3). In sharp contrast to 14b - 14d, the oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s 7b - 7d are strongly fluorescent, with the highest quantum yield $\Phi_{\rm F} = 0.69$ measured for trimer **7c** (*Table 2*). Whereas the *Sonogashira* cross-coupling on solid support proceeded smoothly, optimal conditions for alkyne - alkyne cross-coupling reactions employing Pd⁰-catalyzed Cadiot-Chodkiewicz conditions still remain to be developed, despite extensive experimentation (Scheme 7 and Table 1).

1. Introduction. – Monodisperse linearly π -conjugated oligomers of precise length and constitution have attracted considerable scientific interest. They have been recognized as valuable model systems for the corresponding infinite polymers, and their investigation has greatly benefitted the rational design of new functional polymers [1]. Furthermore, they have become integral to electronic and optical applications in emerging technologies such as organic light-emitting diodes [2], organic semiconductors [3], and nanoscale as well as single-molecule-based molecular electronics [4].

To explore structure – property relationships in oligo(triacetylene)s and extrapolate the properties of long-chain poly(triacetylene)s (PTAs), we had prepared several series of monodisperse PTA oligomers extending in length up to 17.8 nm (24-mer) by

oxidative acetylenic coupling [5] of monomeric (E)-1,2-diethynylethene (DEE; (E)-hex-3-ene-1,5-diyne; *e.g.*, DEE derivative **1**) or higher oligomers [6]. This approach always generated oligomeric mixtures, and the separation and isolation of individual oligomers, formed in low-to-moderate yield, required tedious gravity and high-performance gel permeation chromatography (GPC).



Solid-phase synthesis could offer a more efficient approach towards tailor-made PTA oligomers, potentially facilitating workup and purification protocols, and allowing flexible control of the oligomeric length. With the extensive development of solid-phase organic synthesis in the 1990s [7], monodisperse π -conjugated oligomers, in particular oligothiophenes [8] and oligo(phenylene ethynylene)s [9], have indeed increasingly been prepared on polymeric support, thereby overcoming the problems associated with solution-phase synthesis. Here, we present the development of a solid-phase synthesis on *Merrifield* resin (chloromethyl-substituted, 1% cross-linked poly-(styrene divinylbenzene)) [10] for the construction of oligo(triacetylene)s and oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s, a new class of linearly π -conjugated oligomers, by means of Pd⁰-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions (for a preliminary communication of parts of this work, see [11]).

2. Results and Discussion. – 2.1. Evaluation of Suitable Linker Systems in Liquid-Phase Studies. A prerequisite for a successful application of solid-support chemistry to oligomer synthesis is the choice of an appropriate linker moiety to attach the starting monomer onto the resin. 1-Aryltriazene linkers have been widely used in the preparation of oligo(phenylene ethynylene)s as well as phenylacetylene-based dendrimers [9]; they are compatible with Pd⁰-catalyzed coupling reactions and can be cleaved from the resin under a variety of conditions [7c][9][12]. Thus, aromatic diazonium salts are accessible by cleaving the triazene with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) [12b,c], whereas a cleavage utilizing MeI generates the corresponding aryl iodides [7c][9][12f]. Traceless cleavage replacing the triazene substituent with a C–H bond can be achieved by either using 10% HCl in THF [12a], H₃PO₂ in Cl₂CHCOOH [12a], or HSiCl₃ in CH₂Cl₂ [12e].

We opted for the two resin-linker conjugates **R2** and **R3**, which were introduced by *Moore* and co-workers [9a-c] and differing only in the length of the spacer between the triazene moiety and the resin. In a first step, we investigated their applicability to the synthesis of oligo(triacetylene)s in liquid-phase test reactions by using the readily available, soluble counterparts **2** and **3** (*Figure*).



Figure. Merrifield resin-linker conjugates R2 and R3, and their soluble counterparts 2 and 3

Attachment of mono-deprotected DEE 4 [6a] to both linker systems 2 and 3 by *Sonogashira* cross-coupling [13] proceeded smoothly, providing the DEE-linker conjugates 5 and 6, respectively, in high yield (*ca.* 90%; *Scheme 1*). In contrast, 5 and 6 differed substantially in their reactivity during linker cleavage using MeI at 110° for 6 h. (Benzyloxyethyl)triazene 5 was more reactive and provided aryl iodide 7a in 85% yield, whereas cleavage of benzyl derivative 6 only proceeded in 66% yield, demonstrating the steric advantage of the longer spacer connecting the triazene moiety to the aryl ring in 2 (and to the *Merrifield* resin in **R2**; *Figure*). We also examined the DEE-linker conjugate 6 under the conditions turned out to be too acidic, and, in each experiment, diol 8 was obtained by cleavage of the *O*-protecting silyl groups.

Protodesilylation of 5 and 6 with 2N NaOH in MeOH/THF provided the terminally deprotected alkynes 9 and 10, respectively (Scheme 2). Besides unproblematic Sonogashira cross-couplings with aryl halides [14], acetylenic hetero-couplings under Hay [15] and Cadiot – Chodkiewicz-type conditions [16] were explored with the two alkynes. In the latter conversion, the original protocol (CuCl, Et₂NH, NH₂OH · HCl, EtOH/H₂O 95:5) [16] was not used, since the *Merrifield* resin (in the subsequent solidsupported reactions) swells poorly in alcoholic solutions [17]. To circumvent this problem, we switched to the alternative Pd⁰-catalyzed alkyne cross-coupling in benzene developed by *Vasella* and co-workers for the synthesis of acetylenosaccharides [18]. [(Benzyloxy)ethyl]triazene 9 was found superior in both the Hay coupling with 4 (4 equiv.) and the *Cadiot-Chodkiewicz*-type coupling with bromoalkyne **11** (1 equiv.) [19], providing 12 in 58 and 56% yield, respectively. The yields of 13 in the corresponding coupling with benzyltriazene 10 were markedly lower (47 and 43%, resp.). DEE Dimer 14b, resulting from oxidative homo-coupling of 4, was an important side-product under the Hay-coupling conditions, but was also formed in small quantities in the Pd⁰-catalyzed cross-coupling of 10 with 11.

Finally, the triazene linkers were cleaved with MeI at 110° for 6 h to yield (4iodophenyl)-substituted DEE dimer 15. Again, the yield for cleavage of the (benzyloxy)ethyl derivative 12 was much higher (60%) compared with only 21% for benzyl derivative 13. The moderate cleavage yields for both linker systems are in

Scheme 1. Liquid-Phase Test Reactions with Linkers 2 and 3



a) [Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂], CuI, Et₃N, 25°, 20 h; 89% (**5**); 90% (**6**). *b*) MeI, 110°, 6 h; 85% (from **5**); 66% (from **6**). *c*) 1N HCl/THF 1:10, ultrasound, 50°, 10 min; 43%. *d*) H₃PO₂, Cl₂CHCOOH, 25°, 4 h; 37%. *e*) HSiCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, 40°, 15 min; 52%.

agreement with previous reports, which show that electron-withdrawing groups in the *para*-position of the aromatic ring, such as the DEE dimer fragments in **12** and **13** [14b], slow down the conversion to the aryl iodide [9f][20].

Clearly, the (benzyloxyethyl)triazene linker system with the longer spacer between triazene moiety and aryl ring shows, certainly for steric reasons, substantial advantages over the benzyltriazene analog in terms of alkyne coupling yields and cleavage efficiency. Therefore, the resin-linker combination **R2** (*Figure*) was used in the development of a solid-phase synthesis of oligo(triacetylene)-derived oligomers.

2.2. Solid-Phase Synthesis of Oligo(phenylene Triacetylene)s by Sonogashira Cross-Coupling. In a first step, polymer-supported triazene **R2** was obtained by etherification of Merrifield resin (0.9 mmol Cl/g resin) with (2-hydroxyethyl)triazene **16** with NaH in THF [9b] (Scheme 3). Elemental analysis showed a resin loading of 0.57 mmol I/g resin. Thus, a comparison of this value with the theoretical maximum loading of 0.72 mmol I/g resin provides a yield of 79% [9d] (see also Exper. Part). The resinbound linker was subsequently coupled under Sonogashira conditions with DEE





a) 2N NaOH, MeOH/THF 1:1, 25°, 45 min; quant. *b*) CuCl, TMEDA, O₂, CH₂Cl₂, 25°, 3.5 h; 58% (**12**) and 27% (**14b**); 47% (**13**) and 50% (**14b**). *c*) [Pd₂(dba)₃], CuI, PMP, LiI, C₆H₆, 25°, 7 h; 56% (**12**); 43% (**13**) and 17% (**14b**). *d*) MeI, 120°, 6 h; 60% (from **12**); 21% (from **13**). TMEDA = *N*,*N*,*N*,*N*-Tetramethylethylenediamine; dba = dibenzylideneacetone; PMP = 1,2,2,6,6-pentamethylpiperidine.

monomer 4 (1.5 equiv.) to afford polymer-bound DEE 17 in 91% yield. Conditions were chosen (see *Exper. Part*) to minimize – by rigorous degassing – homo-coupling of 4, and to allow recovery and recycling of excess starting material. At the end of the coupling reaction, resin-bound DEE 17 was isolated by filtration, washed, and dried *in vacuo*. Treatment with MeI at 120° for 24 h led to linker cleavage, providing iodoarylated DEE 7a in a satisfying yield of 83% over three steps (including the attachment of linker 16 to the *Merrifield* resin). Also, protodesilylation of 17 (2N NaOH, MeOH/THF) proceeded smoothly, providing the terminally deprotected alkyne 18 in quantitative yield. The progress of the deprotection was analyzed by infrared (IR) spectroscopy, monitoring the disappearance of the band at 2133 cm⁻¹ ($C \equiv C - SiMe_3$ stretch) and the appearance of the bands at 3311 cm⁻¹ ($C \equiv C - H$



a) NaH, THF, $-78^{\circ} \rightarrow 45^{\circ}$, 5 d; 79%. b) 4, [Pd₂(dba)₃], CuI, PPh₃, Et₃N, 70°, 24 h; 91%. c) MeI, 120°, 24 h; 83% (3 steps). d) 2N NaOH, MeOH/THF 1:1, 70°, 3 h; quant. Yields are given starting from the attachment of 16 to the *Merrifield resin* (see also the *Exper. Part*).

stretch) and 2109 cm⁻¹ ($C \equiv C - H$ stretch). IR Analysis was also useful for monitoring the progress of the subsequent cross-coupling reactions.

Initially, we wanted to build up oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s by mono-crosscoupling of resin-bound DEE **18** to 1,4-diiodobenzene **19**, followed by a repetitive sequence of *i*) cross-coupling with DEE monomer **4**, *ii*) alkyne desilylation, and *iii*) mono-coupling to **19** (*Scheme 4*). Product analysis after each step would be done by both elemental analysis and IR spectroscopy. Regrettably, substantial site-site interactions were already observed during the first coupling between **18** and **19** despite using a large excess of **19** (5 equiv.). After executing the *Sonogashira* cross-coupling, cleavage of the linker with MeI provided the desired bis(4-iodophenyl)-substituted DEE **20** (19% over five steps) in addition to the bis-DEE derivative **21** (27% over five steps). This undesired side-product is formed by the reaction of two adjacent resinbound DEEs **18** with 1 equiv. of diiodide **19**. Site-site interactions are of frequent concern in solid-phase chemistry, even in highly cross-linked resins with low loading levels [21]. The pseudo-dilution effect provided by the *Merrifield* support has been shown to be rather limited, largely due to its conformational flexibility [22].

In view of the encountered site-site interactions, the synthetic strategy was changed to the successful one shown in *Scheme 5*. The oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s **7b**-**7d** were prepared in a short period of time starting from **17** (*Scheme 3*) by repetitive cycles of three conversions: *i*) deprotection of the resin-bound alkyne, *ii*) *Sonogashira* cross-coupling with iodoarylated DEE **7a**, and *iii*) liberation of the formed oligomer from the solid support with MeI. The solvent mixture used in the cross-coupling step was changed from Et₃N to THF/Et₂NH 4:1 to take advantage of the better swelling properties of *Merrifield* beads in THF [17].

Scheme 4. Site-Site Interactions in the Sonogashira Cross-Coupling between Resin-Bound DEE 18 and 1,4-Diiodobenzene (19)



a) [Pd₂(dba)₃], CuI, PPh₃, Et₃N, 70°, 24 h. *b*) MeI, 120°, 24 h; 19% (**20**, 5 steps); 27% (**21**, 5 steps).

The oligomeric yields exhibited a significant dependence upon the iodine-loading level σ of resin **R2**. At a loading of 0.57 mmol/g resin, only dimer **7b** was obtained in good yield (63% over five steps), whereas trimer **7c** was formed in only 10% yield (over seven steps), and tetramer **7d** could not be isolated at all (*Scheme 5*). Apparently, a high concentration of reactive sites functionalized with the oligomers leads to overcrowding of the resin pores, thereby preventing further conversions. The use of solid support **R2** containing a lower iodine-loading of 0.20 mmol/g resin, indeed, provided significantly better results. Whereas monomer **7a** (*Scheme 3*) was obtained in identical yield at both resin loadings, the reduced loading substantially increased the yield of dimer **7b** (82% over five steps), trimer **7c** (56% over seven steps), and tetramer **7d** (36% over nine steps).

The oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s 7b-7d are yellow, air- and light-stable solids that melt, apart from tetramer 7d, without decomposition. Solubility decreases rapidly with increasing oligomeric length. Whereas monomer 7a and dimer 7b are soluble in all common solvents, trimer 7c and especially tetramer 7d are only poorly soluble in CH₂Cl₂.

Dimer **7b** and tetramer **7d** were also prepared by an iterative divergent/convergent strategy (*Scheme 6*) utilizing a resin with an iodine-loading of 0.31 mmol/g resin. In general, this binomial approach provides higher yields of longer oligomers due to the reduction in the number of transformations [9b,c,d][23]. However, in this study, we observed the opposite. Dimer **7b** was formed in only 50% yield (over five steps), and

Scheme 5. Solid-Phase Synthesis of Oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s 7b-7d



a) 2N NaOH, MeOH/THF 1:1, 70°, 3 h. *b*) **7a**, [Pd₂(dba)₃], CuI, PPh₃, Et₂NH/THF 1:4, 70°, 24 h. *c*) MeI, 120°, 24 h. Iodine loading $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}^2}$ in mmol/g resin.

tetramer **7d** was obtained in just 19% yield (over seven steps), which is less than the yields obtained in the stepwise synthesis (*Scheme 5*). We tentatively explain these results that contrast some of those obtained by others on similar resins with similar loadings [9a-d][22][23a-c] with the steric hindrance in the resin pores, caused by the bulky (*t*-Bu)Me₂SiOCH₂ substituents on the oligomeric backbones, as well as the limited solubility of the formed oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s.

2.3. Solid-Phase Synthesis of Oligo(triacetylene)s by Cadiot–Chodkiewicz-Type Cross-Coupling. In contrast to the large number of published Sonogashira cross-coupling reactions on solid phase [1a][9][22][23a–c], only one report has appeared on solid-supported Cadiot–Chodkiewicz couplings [24]. Following the test reactions in solution (Scheme 2), we reacted resin-supported DEE **18** (iodine-loading of precursor resin **R2**: σ =0.20 mmol/g resin) with bromoderivative **11** under various conditions (Table 1) in degassed benzene or THF at 45°. After 20–24 h, IR analysis still showed incomplete conversion. Cleavage of the linker with MeI at 120° provided in each run a mixture of three compounds, namely the desired hetero-coupled dimer **15**, uncoupled monomer **22**, and homo-coupled dimer **23**, resulting from site–site interactions. While rather unstable, oily **22** was readily isolated by chromatography (SiO₂; hexanes/CH₂Cl₂ 2:1), separation of the two yellow, stable dimers **15** and **23** was unsuccessful, even by preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The yields of the two dimers, however, could be determined by analytical HPLC. For a complete character-





a) [Pd₂(dba)₃], CuI, PPh₃, Et₂NH/THF 1:4, 70°, 24 h. *b*) MeI, 120°, 24 h; 83% (**7a**, 3 steps); 50% (**7b**, 5 steps); 19% (**7d**, 7 steps). *c*) 2N NaOH, MeOH/THF 1:1, 70°, 3 h.

ization, dimer 23 was independently prepared by oxidative *Hay* coupling of 22 (*Scheme 7*), obtained by deprotection of **7a**.

The Pd⁰-catalyzed *Cadiot* – *Chodkiewicz* coupling on solid support was investigated in further detail (*Table 1*). Benzene was found to be superior to THF as the solvent, providing slightly higher product yields and selectivities. Omitting Cu¹ ions, while at the same time raising the amount of Pd catalyst, leads to preferential formation of the desired dimer **15** (*Table 1, Entry 4 vs. 1*). The best result was obtained when, Scheme 7. Cadiot-Chodkiewicz Cross-Coupling on Solid Support



a) [Pd₂(dba)₃], CuI, LiI, PMP, C₆H₆ or THF, 45°, 20–24 h. *b*) MeI, 120°, 24 h (for yields, see *Table 1*). *c*) CuCl, TMEDA, O₂, CH₂Cl₂, 25°, 12 h; 19%.

Table 1. Optimization of the Pd⁰-Catalyzed Cadiot-Chodkiewicz Cross-Coupling on Solid Support. Solvent: benzene.

Entry	Equiv. of 11	Equiv. of	Equiv. of	Yield [%]	
		$[Pd_2(dba)_3]$	CuI	22 ^a)	15/23 ^b)
1	1.5	0.03	0.02	27	21:4
2	1.5	0.03	_	35	16:5
3	1.5	0.20	0.10	8	27:13
4	1.5	0.20	_	20	36:8
5	5.0	0.03	_	28	23:7
6	5.0	0.20	_	2	37:10

^a) Isolated yields. ^b) Determined by calibrated HPLC.

additionally, the amount of bromoderivative 11 was raised to 5 equiv. (*Entry* 6). Nevertheless, the formation of the undesired, unseparable side-product 23 by homocoupling could never be completely suppressed. The occurrence of 23 at rather low resin loading provides another example of the limited pseudo-dilution effect of polymeric resins in solid-phase syntheses.

2.4. Optical Spectroscopy. The electronic absorption and emission spectra of the new oligo(triacetylene)s 15, 20, and 23, and of oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s 7a - 7d and 21 in CHCl₃ at room temperature are shown in *Table 2* in comparison to the data for the previously prepared oligo(triacetylene)s 14a - 14d.

In the UV/VIS spectra, the following trends are observed: the longest-wavelength absorption maxima λ_{max} as well as the end-absorptions λ_{end} expectedly shift to lower energies with increasing oligomer length. Thus, the end-absorptions in the spectra of the oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s shift from 362 nm (monomer **7a**), to 443 nm (dimer **7b**), and to 462 nm (tetramer **7d**). With increasing oligomer length, the spectral fine-structure is lost (for a spectral depiction, see [11]). The bathochromic shifts with increasing conjugation length are less pronounced than in the series of oligo(triace-

Table 2. UV/VIS and Fluorescence Data of the New Oligo(triacetylene)s **15**, **20**, and **23**, and of Oligo(phenylene Triacetylene)s **7a**-**7d** and **21** in Comparison to the Known Oligo(triacetylene)s **14a**-**14d**. Spectra recorded at room temperature in CHCl₃.



Compound	$\begin{array}{l} \lambda_{max}/nm^{a}) [eV] \\ (\varepsilon /\!\! M^{-1} cm^{-1})^{b}) \end{array}$	$\lambda_{\rm end}/\rm{nm^{c}})$	$\lambda_{ m exc}/ m nm^d) \ (\epsilon/ m m^{-1} \ m cm^{-1})^b)$	$\lambda_{\rm em}/{\rm nm}^{\rm e})$	${\pmb{\varPhi}_{ extsf{F}}^{ extsf{f}}})$
20	363 [3.42] (sh, 23800)	405	350 (32000)	388, 410	0.02
15	391 [3.17] (sh, 27300)	441	300 (26600)	425, 448	0.02
23	408 [3.04] (sh, 37100)	451	350 (49300)	439, 464	0.10
7a	337 [3.68] (41100)	362	300 (34200)	364	0.01
7b	360 [3.44] (54200)	443	350 (51000)	414, 439	0.24
7c	381 [3.25] (97800)	457	350 (75700)	436, 459	0.69
7d	384 [3.23] (122000)	462	350 (81100)	444, 475	0.60
21	372 [3.33] (60300)	441	350 (51200)	425, 448	0.19
14a ^g)	296 [4.18] (sh, 19700)	322	_	_	_
14b ^g)	376 [3.30] (sh, 24700)	415	356 (25300)	425	0.01
14c ^g)	407 [3.05] (sh, 36700)	449	356 (31800)	399, 440	0.01
14d ^g)	439 [2.82] (sh, 56800)	494	_	_	-

^a) Experimentally observed longest-wavelength absorption maxima. ^b) Molar extinction coefficient. ^c) Experimentally observed wavelength at which the absorption reaches $\varepsilon = 0 \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. ^d) Excitation wavelength. ^e) Fluorescence emission band maximum. ^f) Fluorescence quantum yield: anthracene ($\Phi_F = 0.33$ in hexane) was used as reference compound [25]. ^g) Data taken from [6b,c].

tylene)s **14a**-1**4d**, since the phenyl rings in **7a**-**7d** – with their localized aromatic resonance stabilization – are not as effective in propagating linear π -electron delocalization. Nevertheless, saturation of the optical properties is not yet observed, *i.e.*, the effective conjugation length of oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s involves more than 40 conjugated C-atoms. Substitution of terminal Me₃Si groups by 4-iodoaryl residues results in a bathochromic shift of the longest-wavelength absorption maxima λ_{max} [6e]. This is nicely illustrated by the comparison between the PTA dimers, bis-Me₃Si-end-capped **14b** (376 nm), mono-iodoarylated **15** (391 nm), and bis(iodoarylated) **23** (408 nm).

In sharp contrast to poly(triacetylene) oligomers, the novel oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s are strong emitters in CHCl₃ at room temperature. Fluorescence quantum yields $\Phi_{\rm F}$ were measured relative to anthracene in hexane solution ($\Phi_{\rm F} = 0.33$ [25]; *Table 2*). Whereas PTA dimer **14b** and trimer **14c** are only weakly fluorescent ($\Phi_{\rm F} = 0.01$), and the tetramer **14d** does not fluoresce at all, the oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s **7b**-**7d** are strong emitters with the highest quantum yield, $\Phi_{\rm F} = 0.69$, being measured for trimer **7c**. The weaker emitting properties of tetramer **7d** cannot be explained by chromophoric aggregation (as seen for oligo(phenylene ethynylene)s, see [26]) since the *Lambert–Beer* law [27] is observed in the concentration range applied. Rather, radiationless deactivation of the singlet excited state S₁ could become more

important with increasing oligomeric length as a result of higher conformational flexibility of the π -conjugated rod. The high fluorescence quantum yields of the oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s **7b** – **7d** are quite remarkable in view of their terminal iodine substituents, which should promote intersystem crossing to the corresponding triplet excited state T₁.

2.5. *Electrochemical Investigations*. The redox characteristics of all new compounds listed in *Table 3* were studied by cyclic (CV) and rotating-disk voltammetry (RDV) in CH_2Cl_2 (+0.1M Bu_4NPF_6) at 20° with a classical three-electrode cell. The working electrode was a glassy-C electrode (3 mm in diameter), and the counter electrode was a Pt wire. An Ag/AgCl electrode was used as reference electrode. All potentials are referred to the ferricinium/ferrocene (Fc⁺/Fc) couple used as internal standard.

Compound	CV ^a)		RDV ^b)		
	$E_{\rm pc} [V]^{\rm c})$	$E_{\rm pa}[{ m V}]^{ m c})$	$\frac{E_{1/2}^{\text{red}} [V]}{(\text{slope } [mV]^{d}))}$	$E_{1/2}^{\text{ox}} [V]$ (slope [mV] ^d))	
7a	- 2.35	+1.25	-2.34(80)	e)	
7b	-2.17 -2.32	+1.15	-2.17 (70)	e)	
7c	-2.12 - 2.20	+1.15	-2.27 (140)	<i>ca.</i> +1.2	
7d	-	+1.30	-	e)	
20	-2.24 -2.39	+1.21	e)	e)	
21	-2.13 -2.29	+1.16 + 1.24	^e)	e)	
15	-2.07 -2.32	+1.30	-2.12 (125)	^e)	
23	-2.07 -2.30	+1.23	^e)	e)	

Table 3. Redox Characteristics of the New Oligo(phenylene Triacetylene)s 7a-7d and 21, and of Oligo(triacetylene)s 15, 20, and 23, Determined by Cyclic (CV) and Rotating-Disk Voltammetry (RDV) in CH_2Cl_2 at 20°

^a) Potentials vs. ferricinium/ferrocene (Fc⁺/Fc), glassy C-electrode in CH₂Cl₂ + 0.1M Bu₄NPF₆, scan rate $\nu = 0.1 \text{ V s}^{-1}$. ^b) Potentials vs. ferricinium/ferrocene (Fc⁺/Fc), rotating disk-electrode in CH₂Cl₂ + 0.1M Bu₄NPF₆. ^c) Peak potential E_{pe} and E_{pa} for irreversible reduction and oxidation, respectively. ^d) Logarithmic analysis of the wave obtained by plotting E vs. log[($I/I_{im} - I$)]. ^e) Electrode inhibition.

In contrast to the oligo(triacetylene)s 14a-14d [6b,c], the new oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s 7a-7d (as well as the other new chromophores 15, 20, 21, and 23) displayed only irreversible electron transfers. Strong inhibition of the C-electrode prevented the determination of their oxidation potentials by RDV, whereas the reduction potentials were ascertained. The determination of the reduction potentials E_{pa} for irreversible first oxidation in both oligomeric series are in the same range (7a-7d: between 1.15 and 1.30 V; 14a-14d: between 1.23 and 1.29 V [6b]), the anodic shift of the reduction potentials upon increasing the oligomer length is much more pronounced in the oligo(triacetylene)s (the reversible redox potential E_0 changes from -2.68 (14a) to -1.80 V (14d)) than in the oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s (peak potential E_{pc} changes from -2.35 (7a) to -2.12 V (7c)). Although comparisons of irreversible

peak potentials and reversible reduction potentials need to be taken with caution, the results are in agreement with the UV/VIS data, which indicate that π -electron delocalization in the oligo(triacetylene)s, facilitating electron uptake, is more effective than in the oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s. At present, the reason for the irreversibility of the electron transfers in the new oligometric series is not clear.

3. Conclusions. – We have described the preparation of the first monodisperse oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s 7a - 7d on solid phase by using a *Merrifield* resin with an 1-aryltriazene linker. The key step in the short synthesis involves the Pd⁰-catalyzed *Sonogashira* cross-coupling, confirming previous work that this important C,C bond-forming reaction proceeds smoothly on solid supports [9][12d-f][22][23a-c].

The novel oligo(phenylene triacetylene)s 7a - 7d display interesting optical properties. Their longest-wavelength absorption maxima λ_{max} expectedly shift bathochromically with increasing π -conjugation length although this shift is not as pronounced as in the series of oligo(triacetylene)s 14a - 14d, due to the reduced ability of the phenyl rings to transmit π -electron delocalization along the oligomeric backbone. In sharp contrast to 14b - 14d, however, the new oligomers 7b - 7d display strong fluorescence with the highest quantum yield, $\Phi_F = 0.69$, measured for trimer 7c. The preparation of larger oligomers and related polymers will be further pursued with the objective to explore potential electroluminescence applications.

Whereas the *Sonogashira* cross-coupling on solid support proceeded smoothly, optimal conditions for polymer-supported *Cadiot-Chodkiewicz*-type couplings still remain to be found. One problem encountered in the entire study was the formation of undesirable side products (such as **21** and **23**) resulting from site-site interactions. It is clear that the pseudo-dilution effect is quite limited on *Merrifield* beads even at low loadings, presumably due to the substantial conformational flexibility provided by this support, as had already been pointed out in other studies [21][22].

We acknowledge the financial support by the *Swiss National Science Foundation* through the *NCCR* '*Nanoscale Science*' and the *ETH Research Council*. We thank Prof. Dr. *M. B. Nielsen* (Odense) for helpful discussions and *Boris Thamberger* for the preparation of starting materials.

Experimental Part

General. Reagents and solvents purchased were reagent grade and used as received. Compounds 4 [6a] and 11 [19] were synthesized according to literature procedures. CH_2Cl_2 was dried over CaH_2 , and PhMe and THF over Na/benzophenone ketyl. All reactions, except Hay couplings, were carried out in oven-dried glassware under Ar by applying a positive pressure of the protecting gas. For the Hay couplings, the following mixture was used as 'Hay catalyst': CuCl (0.13 g, 1.3 mmol) and N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA; 0.16 g, 1.4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4.5 ml). Evaporations and concentrations in vacuo were carried out at H₂O-aspirator pressure. TLC: Macherey-Nagel ALUGRAM SIL G/UV254 plates. Column-chromatographic purification refers to flash chromatography (FC) on silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). Anal. HPLC: Merck-Hitachi Pump L-7100, Merck-Hitachi Autosampler L-7200, Merck-Hitachi UV Detector L-7400, Merck-Hitachi Interface L-7000; all separations were carried out at r.t. on a Knauer LiChrosorb 4.0-mm column at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹ and a pressure of 35 bar. M.p.: Büchi Melting Point B-450; uncorrected. UV/VIS Spectra (λ_{max} [nm] (ε [M⁻¹ cm⁻¹])): Varian Cary-500 UV/VIS/NIR spectrophotometer, at r.t. Fluorescence spectra (λ_{em} [nm]): Spex FluoroLog-3.22 spectrophotometer, at r.t. Anthracene in hexane was used as reference compound in quantum-yield determinations [25]. IR Spectra [cm⁻¹]: Perkin-Elmer 1600 FT-IR, measured in KBr, CHCl₃, CCl₄, or as film. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra [ppm]: Bruker AMX-500, Varian Gemini-200 and -300, at r.t. in CDCl₃ or (CD₃)₂SO; solvent peaks (CDCl₃: 7.26 ppm for ¹H and 77.0 ppm for ¹³C; (CD₃)₂SO: 2.05 ppm for ¹H and 39.52 ppm for ¹³C) as internal references. MS (m/z (%)). EI: VG Tribrid mass spectrometer at 70-eV ionization energy; MALDI-TOF-MS: Bruker Reflex-MALDI-TOF (337-nm N₂-laser system), 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB) as matrix; HR-FT-ICR-MALDI-MS: Ion Spec Ultima FT-ICR-MS (337-nm N₂-laser system), DHB and {(2*E*)-3-[4-(*tert*butyl)phenyl]-2-methylprop-2-enylidene]malononitrile (DCTB) as matrix. Elemental analyses were carried out by the Mikroanalytisches Labor des Laboratoriums für Organische Chemie at ETH Zürich.

Resin Loadings and Yields. In case of an easily detectable element present, the respective resin loadings were determined by elemental analysis. Due to the polydispersity of Merrifield resin and the minor-weight fractions of the resin-bound compounds compared to the overall material weight, the data obtained are only approximated values. The following equation was used to determine the resin loadings: $\sigma_x = m_x/M_x$ [mol/g], with σ_x being the resin loading of the element X in mol/g and M_x being the molecular weight of the element X, while m_x corresponds to the weight fraction of X detected by elemental analysis. These resin loadings were compared with the theoretical maximum loading σ_0 : $\sigma_0 = N_0/(1 + N_0 \cdot \Delta M)$ [mol/g], with N_0 being the molar amount of the initial functionality per 1 g of resin and ΔM being the change in molecular weight of the resin-bound compound by a reaction. The ratio between the experimentally obtained resin loading σ_x and the theoretical maximum loading σ_0 provides the yield of a reaction on solid support.

Electrochemistry. CH₂Cl₂ purchased was from *Merck*, spectroscopic grade dried over molecular sieves (4 Å), and stored under Ar prior to use. Bu_4NPF_6 was purchased electrochemical grade from *Fluka* and used as received. The electrochemical experiments were carried out at 20° in CH₂Cl₂ containing 0.1M Bu_4NPF_6 in a classical three-electrode cell. The working electrode was a glassy C-disk electrode (3 mm in diameter) used either in a motionless mode for CV (0.1 to 10 V s⁻¹) or as rotating-disk electrode for RDV. The counter electrode was a Ag/AgCl electrode, and the pseudo-reference electrode used was a Pt wire. All potentials are referenced to the ferricinium/ferrocene (Fc⁺/Fc) couple used as an internal standard. The accessible range of potentials on the glassy C-electrode was +1.4 to -2.4 V vs. Fc⁺/Fc in CH₂Cl₂. The electrochemical cell was connected to the computerized multipurpose electrochemical device *AUTOLAB* (*Eco Chemie BV*, Utrecht, The Netherlands) by the *GPSE* software running on a personal computer.

General Procedure 1 (GP 1) for Cleavage of Me_3Si -Protecting Groups in Solution. To Me_3Si -protected alkyne (2 mmol) in MeOH/THF 1:1 (80 ml), 2N NaOH (2.5 ml) was added, and the mixture was stirred for *ca*. 15 min at r.t. (TLC control). After addition of sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. (120 ml), the two phases were separated, and the aq. phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 120 ml), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated *in vacuo*. The products were used without further purification.

General Procedure 2 (GP 2) for Sonogashira Cross-Couplings in Soln. An oven-dried Schlenk flask was charged with the linker system **2** or **3** (1.0 equiv.), monomer **4** (1.1 equiv.), $[Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2]$ (5 mol-%), and Et₃N (20 ml/1 mmol linker), while Ar was flushed through the flask. After three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, the soln. was frozen once again, and CuI (0.1 equiv.) was added while Ar was flushed through the flask. The flask was evacuated and back-filled with Ar three times. The flask was sealed, and the mixture was allowed to warm up to r.t. After stirring for 20 h, the resulting suspension was taken up in hexane and passed through a plug (SiO₂-60; hexane \rightarrow hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1) to remove residual catalyst. After evaporation *in vacuo*, the crude product was purified by FC.

General Procedure 3 (GP 3) for the Cleavage of the Triazene Linker in Soln. An oven-dried, heavy-walled, and screw-capped sealed tube was charged with the respective linker-alkyne conjugate and MeI (1 ml/0.1 mmol triazene). After three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, the flask was back-filled with Ar, and the soln. was stirred for 6-18 h at $110^{\circ}-120^{\circ}$. The resulting suspension was taken up in hexane and passed through a plug (SiO₂-60; hexane \rightarrow hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2:1). After evaporation *in vacuo*, the crude product was purified by FC.

General Procedure 4 (GP 4) for Pd° -Catalyzed Cadiot – Chodkiewicz Cross-Couplings in Soln. An ovendried Schlenk flask was charged with the linker-alkyne conjugate (1.0 equiv.), LiI (0.2 equiv.), 1,2,2,6,6pentamethylpiperidine (PMP; 2.8 equiv.), $[Pd_2(dba)_3]$ (3 mol-%), and C_6H_6 (3 ml/0.05 mmol alkyne). The mixture was degassed by flushing Ar through the suspension for 10 min. CuI (2 mol-%) and **11** (1.0 equiv.) were added successively, and the mixture was stirred for 7 h at r.t. The resulting suspension was taken up in hexane and passed through a plug (SiO₂-60; hexane \rightarrow hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1) to remove residual catalyst. After evaporation *in vacuo*, the crude product was purified by either FC or prep. TLC.

General Procedure 5 (GP 5) for the Sonogashira Cross-Coupling of 4 to the Solid Support. To an ovendried, heavy-walled flask with an Ar inlet, **R2** (1.0 equiv.), 4 (1.5–2.0 equiv.), and Et₃N or THF/Et₂NH 4:1 (2 ml/g resin) were added. This suspension was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, and the flask was back-filled with Ar. In a separate dry flask, a catalyst soln. consisting of $[Pd_2(dba)_3]$ (2.5 mM), CuI (4.0 mM), and PPh₃ (20.0 mM) in Et₃N or THF/Et₂NH 4:1 was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and stirred at 70° for 2 h under Ar. The supernatant of this soln. (6 ml/g resin) was transferred *via* cannula to the flask containing **R2**. This flask was sealed and kept for 24 h at 65° while agitating periodically. The resin was transferred to a fritted filter with CH₂Cl₂, washed with CH₂Cl₂, DMF, a 0.05M soln. of sodium diethyl dithiocarbamate in DMF/ Et(i-Pr)₂N 99:1, DMF, CH₂Cl₂, and MeOH (30 ml/g resin each), and dried *in vacuo* to constant mass.

General Procedure 6 (GP 6) for Cleavage of Me_3Si Protecting Groups on Solid Support. A suspension of polymer-bound Me₃Si-protected alkyne in MeOH/THF 1:1 (25 ml/g resin) and 2_N NaOH (1 ml/g resin) was heated under gentle stirring to 70° for 3 h. The resin was transferred to a fritted filter, washed sequentially with MeOH, H₂O, MeOH, CH₂Cl₂, DMF, CH₂Cl₂, and MeOH (30 ml/g resin each), and dried *in vacuo*.

General Procedure 7 (GP 7) for Oligomeric Extension by Sonogashira Cross-Couplings on Solid Support. To an oven-dried, heavy-walled flask with an Ar inlet, polymer-bound deprotected alkyne (1.0 equiv.), 4iodoarylated DEE **7a** (2.0 equiv.), and Et₃N or THF/Et₂NH 4:1 (2 ml/g resin) were added. This suspension was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, and the flask was back-filled with Ar. In a separate dry flask, a catalyst soln. consisting of $[Pd_2(dba)_3]$ (2.5 mM), CuI (4.0 mM), and Ph₃P (20.0 mM) in Et₃N or THF/Et₂NH 4:1 was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and stirred at 70° for 2 h under Ar. The supernatant of this soln. was transferred *via* cannula to the flask containing the polymer-bound deprotected alkyne (6 ml/g resin). This flask was sealed and kept for 24 h at 65° while agitating periodically. The resin was transferred to a fritted filter with CH₂Cl₂, washed with CH₂Cl₂, DMF, a 0.05M soln. of sodium diethyl dithiocarbamate in DMF/Et(i-Pr)₂N 99:1, DMF, CH₂Cl₂, and MeOH (30 ml/g resin each), and dried *in vacuo* to constant mass.

General Procedure 8 (GP 8) for Pd^{0} -Catalyzed Cadiot–Chodkiewicz Cross-Couplings on Solid Support. An oven-dried, heavy-walled flask with an Ar inlet was charged with resin-bound alkyne (1.0 equiv.), LiI (0.2 equiv.), PMP (2.8 equiv.), and benzene (18 ml/g resin). The suspension was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and warmed up to r.t. $[Pd_2(dba)_3]$ (3 mol-%) was added while Ar was flushed through the suspension. Finally, CuI (2 mol-%) and a degassed soln. of **11** (1.5–5 equiv.) were added. The flask was sealed and shaken for 20-24 h at 45°. The suspension was taken up in CH₂Cl₂, transferred to a fritted filter, and washed with CH₂Cl₂ (30 ml/g resin). Excess **11** can be recovered from the first CH₂Cl₂ wash. The resin was washed sequentially with DMF, 0.05M soln. of sodium diethyl dithiocarbamate in DMF/Et(i-Pr)₂N 99:1, DMF, CH₂Cl₂, and MeOH (30 ml/g resin each), and dried *in vacuo* to constant mass.

General Procedure 9 (GP 9) for the Cleavage of the Triazene Linkage on Solid Support. To an oven-dried, heavy-walled flask with an Ar inlet, the respective polymer-supported oligomer and MeI (8 ml/g resin) were added. The suspension was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, and the flask was back-filled with Ar and sealed. The suspension was stirred for 24 h at $110-120^{\circ}$. After removal of MeI *in vacuo*, the resin was transferred to a fritted filter with CH₂Cl₂, and the product was extracted from the resin with hot CH₂Cl₂. The resulting soln. was cooled to r.t. and filtered through a plug of SiO₂. After evaporation *in vacuo*, the crude product was purified by FC.

1-(4-Iodophenyl)-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-ethyltriazene (**16**). To a suspension of 2-(ethylamino)ethanol (1.66 ml, 17.0 mmol), K_2CO_3 (9.396 g, 68.0 mmol), and DMF (50 ml) at 0°, 4-iodophenyldiazonium tetrafluoroborate [28] (5.402 g, 17.0 mmol) was added in portions over 1 h. After addition of H_2O (100 ml), the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (150 ml). The org. phase was washed with H_2O (1.5 l) and sat. aq. LiCl soln. (500 ml), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated *in vacuo* to give **16** (4.888 g, 92%). Red oil. FT-IR (CHCl₃): 3011*m*, 2977*w*, 2878*w*, 1433*s*, 1390*s*, 1338*s*, 1239*m*, 1224*s*, 1158*m*, 1046*m*, 1002*m*, 825*m*. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.24 (*t*, *J* = 7.0, 3 H); 2.00 (*s*, 1 H); 3.70–3.85 (*m*, 6 H); 7.14 (*d*, *J* = 8.4, 2 H); 7.60 (*d*, *J* = 8.4, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): 13.4; 52.5; 60.9; 89.5; 122.2; 137.6; 149.6. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 319.0131(3, *M*⁺, C₁₀H₁₄IN₃O⁺; calc. 319.0182), 318.0095 (31, [*M* – H]⁺), 299.9987 (100, [*M* – H – H₂O]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₁₀H₁₄IN₃O (319.15): C 37.63, H 4.42, N 13.17; found: C 37.80, H 4.38, N 12.97.

1-(4-Iodophenyl)-3-[2-(benzyloxy)ethyl]-3-ethyltriazene (**2**). To a soln. of PhCH₂Br (0.855 g, 5.0 mmol) and **16** (1.595 g, 5.0 mmol) in dry THF (100 ml) at -50° , NaH (0.400 g, 10.0 mmol) was added under Ar. The soln. was warmed to r.t., then heated to reflux for 6 h. After addition of H₂O (100 ml), the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×70 ml). The combined org. phases were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated *in vacuo*. FC (SiO₂-60; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 4 : 1) gave **2** (1.625 g, 79%). Yellow oil. FT-IR (film): 3022*m*, 2978*m*, 2931*s*, 2855*s*, 1472*s*, 1427*s*, 1389*s*, 1344*s*, 1239*s*, 1193*m*, 1161*m*, 1093*s*, 1001*m*, 825*m*. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.33 (*t*, *J* = 6.9, 3 H); 3.79 (*t*, *J* = 5.7, 2 H); 3.89 (*q*, *J* = 6.9, 2 H); 3.99 (*t*, *J* = 5.7, 2 H); 4.59 (*s*, 2 H); 7.25 (*d*, *J* = 8.7, 2 H); 7.30 – 7.45 (*m*, 5 H); 7.68 (*d*, *J* = 8.7, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): 14.0; 46.7; 50.0; 67.2; 73.0; 89.2; 122.5; 127.5; 127.6; 128.4; 137.6; 138.0; 150.6. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 409.0610 (19, *M*⁺, C₁₇H₂₀IN₃O⁺; calc. 409.0651), 408.0571 (100, [*M* – H]⁺).

 $\label{eq:3-2-2} 3-[2-(Benzyloxy)ethyl]-1-[4-((E)-3,4-bis][(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-6-(trimethylsilyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-diyne-1-yl)phenyl]-3-ethyltriazene ($ **5**). The following compounds were reacted according to the GP 2:**2**(0.425 g, 1.04 mmol),**4** $(0.500 g, 1.15 mmol), [Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2] (0.036 g, 0.052 mmol, 5.0 mol-%), CuI (0.020 g, 0.052 mmol-%), CuI (0.020 g, 0.$

0.104 mmol), and Et₃N (20 ml). FC (SiO₂-60; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1 \rightarrow hexane/AcOEt 10:1 \rightarrow hexane/AcOEt 5:1) afforded **5** (0.665 g, 89%). Red oil. FT-IR (film): 2951*s*, 2929*s*, 2856*s*, 2133*w*, 1494*w*, 1462*m*, 1428*m*, 1394*m*, 1344*m*, 1251*s*, 1097*s*, 931*w*, 840*s*. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.13 (*s*, 12 H); 0.26 (*s*, 9 H); 0.98 (*s*, 18 H); 1.31 (*t*, *J* = 70, 3 H); 3.77 (*t*, *J* = 5.8, 2 H); 3.88 (*q*, *J* = 7.2, 2 H); 3.98 (*t*, *J* = 5.4, 2 H); 4.56 (*s*, 2 H); 4.58 (*s*, 2 H); 4.59 (*s*, 2 H); 7.25 - 7.50 (*m*, 9 H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): -5.1; -0.1; 11.4; 18.4; 25.8; 63.9; 64.2; 73.2; 81.4; 86.6; 102.1; 102.2; 106.4; 119.7; 120.5; 127.6; 127.7; 128.4; 128.7; 131.1; 132.1; 138.0; 150.9. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 717.4117 (13, *M*⁺, C₄₀H₆₃N₃O₃Si⁺₃; calc. 717.4177), 608.3524 (100, [*M* - BnOH - H]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₄₀H₆₃N₃O₃Si₃ (717.22): C 66.89, H 8.84, N 5.85; found: C 66.94, H 8.67, N 5.60.

3-[2-(Benzyloxy)ethyl]-1-[4-[(E)-3,4-bis][(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]hex-3-ene-1,5-diyn-1-yl]-phenyl]-3-ethyltriazene (9). The following compounds were reacted according to the *GP*1: **5** (0.048 g, 0.067 mmol), THF (10 ml), MeOH (10 ml), and 2N NaOH (0.5 ml). Workup provided **9** (0.043 g, 100%). Yellow oil. FT-IR (CHCl₃): 3312m, 3289w, 3054s, 2930m, 2856m, 2188w, 1461w, 1422w, 1394w, 1343w, 1256s, 1096m, 841m. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.13 (s, 12 H); 0.94 (s, 18 H); 1.29 (t, *J* = 7.2, 3 H); 3.54 (s, 1 H); 3.75 (t, *J* = 5.7, 2 H); 3.86 (q, *J* = 7.2, 2 H); 3.96 (t, *J* = 5.4, 2 H); 4.54 (s, 2 H); 4.55 (s, 2 H); 4.56 (s, 2 H); 7.25 - 7.45 (m, 9 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): -5.3; 18.3; 25.7; 63.9; 64.0; 73.2; 81.1; 86.2; 88.3, 102.1; 115.0; 119.5; 120.5; 127.5; 127.6; 127.8; 128.4; 132.1; 132.4; 139.3; 151.1. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 646.3754 (2, *M*⁺, C₃₇H₅₅N₃O₃Si[‡], calc. 646.0300), 536.3134 (100, [*M* - BnOH – 2 H]⁺).

3-[2-(Benzyloxy)ethyl]-3-ethyl-1-[4-[(E,E)-3,4,9,10-tetrakis[[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-12-(trimethylsilyl)dodeca-3,9-diene-1,5,7,11-tetrayn-1-yl]phenyl]triazene (**12**). The following compounds were reacted according to*GP*4:**9**(0.036 g, 0.050 mmol),**11**(0.025 g, 0.050 mmol), [Pd₂(dba)₃] (0.0012 g, 0.0014 mmol, 2.8 mol-%), CuI (0.00025 g, 0.0012 mmol), PMP (0.023 ml, 0.126 mmol), LiI (0.0012 g, 0.009 mmol), and benzene (3 ml). Prep. TLC (SiO₂; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1) afforded**12**(0.030 g, 56%). Red-brown oil. FT-IR (CHCl₃): 2956s, 2856s, 2367w, 2133w, 1472m, 1433m, 1389m, 1344m, 1252m, 1100s, 839s. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.11 (s, 12 H); 0.13 (s, 12 H); 0.21 (s, 9 H); 0.93 (s, 36 H); 1.28 (t,*J*= 7.2, 3 H); 3.75 (t,*J*= 5.7, 2 H); 3.86 (q,*J*= 7.2, 2 H); 3.96 (t,*J*= 5.4, 2 H); 4.44, (s, 2 H); 4.49 (s, 2 H); 4.54 (s, 2 H); 4.55 (s, 2 H); 4.58 (s, 2 H); 7.25 – 7.45 (m, 9 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): -5.3; -0.3; 10.8; 18.3; 25.8; 63.9; 64.2; 68.1; 73.2; 81.9; 82.6; 85.1; 85.7; 86.9; 101.6; 104.2, 109.2; 119.4; 120.6; 127.6; 127.7; 128.5; 128.9; 129.7; 132.3; 133.1; 133.9; 138.1; 151.3. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 1102.6140 (39, [*M*+ Na]+, C₆₀H₉₇N₃NaO₃Si₅+; calc. 1102.6172), 1079.6144 (41,*M*⁺; C₆₀H₉₇N₃O₃Si₅+; calc. 1102.672), 897.4910 (100, [*M*– BnOH – 2 H]+).

3-Benzyl-1-(4-iodophenyl)-3-propyltriazene (**3**). To a suspension of PhCH₂NH(Pr) [29] (2.237 g, 15.0 mmol), K₂CO₃ (8.291 g, 60.0 mmol), and DMF (100 ml) at 0°, 4-iodophenyldiazonium tetrafluoroborate [28] (4.639 g, 14.6 mmol) was added in portions over 1 h. After addition of H₂O (200 ml), the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (200 ml). The org. phase was washed with H₂O (2 l) and sat. aq. LiCl soln. (500 ml), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated *in vacuo*. FC (SiO₂-60; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2:1) afforded **3** (4.595 g, 81%). Red oil. FT-IR (film): 3028*m*, 2965*s*, 2873*m*, 2367*w*, 1894*w*, 1677*w*, 1600*w*, 1495*m*, 1478*s*, 1450*s*, 1388*s*, 1344*s*, 1172*s*, 1150*s*, 1094*s*. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.04 (*t*, *J* = 7.6, 3 H); 1.80 ('q', *J* = 7.6, 2 H); 3.77 (*t*, *J* = 7.6, 2 H); 5.07 (*s*, 2 H); 7.41 (*m*, 5 H); 7.78 (br. *s*, 2 H); 7.81 (br. *s*, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): 11.3; 21.6; 49.3; 55.7; 89.4; 122.5; 127.3; 127.8, 128.4; 136.5; 137.6; 150.3. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 379.0447 (17, *M*⁺, C₁₆H₁₈IN⁺₃; calc. 379.0545), 378.0414 (100, [*M* - H]⁺), 364.2017 (11, [*M* - Me]⁺), 252.1477 (*3*, [*M* - I]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₁₆H₁₈IN₃ (379.08): C 50.67, H 4.78, N 11.08; found: C 50.85, H 4.90, N 10.92.

*3-Benzyl-1-[4-((E)-3,4-bis/[(*tert-*butyl*)*dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-6-(trimethylsilyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-diyn-1-yl)-phenyl]-3-propyltriazene* (**6**). The following compounds were reacted according to *GP* 2: **3** (0.394 g, 1.04 mmol), **4** (0.500 g, 1.15 mmol), [Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂] (0.036 g, 0.052 mmol, 5.0 mol-%), CuI (0.020 g, 0.104 mmol), and Et₃N (6 ml). FC (SiO₂-60; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2:1) afforded **6** (0.658 g, 90%). Red oil. FT-IR (film): 2963s, 2918s, 2823s, 2133m, 1494m, 1448m, 1400m, 1361m, 1251m, 1097m, 931w, 839s. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.16 (*s*, 12 H); 0.26 (*s*, 9 H); 0.94 (*t*, *J* = 6.6, 3 H); 0.97 (*s*, 18 H); 1.69 ('*q*', *J* = 6.6, 2 H); 3.68 (*t*, *J* = 6.6, 2 H); 4.58 (br. *s*, 4 H); 4.99 (*s*, 2 H); 7.33 (*m*, 5 H); 7.47 (*m*, 4 H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): -5.1; -0.1; 11.4; 18.4; 25.8; 63.8; 64.1; 86.6; 102.0; 102.1; 106.4; 119.7; 120.5; 127.4; 127.9; 128.5; 131.0; 132.1; 136.8; 150.7 MALDI-TOF-MS (DCTB): 688.4 ([*M* + H]⁺), 631.3 ([*M* – *t*-Bu]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₃₉H₆₁N₃O₂Si₃ (688.19): C 68.07, H 8.93, N 6.11; found: C 68.67, H 8.46, N 6.04.

(E)-3,4-Bis[[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyl)oxy]methyl]-1-(4-iodophenyl)-6-(trimethylsilyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-diyne (7a). The following compounds were reacted according to *GP* 3: **6** (0.097 g, 0.146 mmol) and MeI (1.5 ml). FC (SiO₂-60; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2:1) afforded 7a (0.062 g, 66%). Colorless solid. M.p. 51°. UV/VIS (CHCl₃): 253 (14100), 317 (47800), 337 (41100). FT-IR (CHCl₃): 3013*m*, 2957*m*, 2924*m*, 2857*m*, 2135*w*, 1599*w*, 1499*w*, 1489*w*, 1388*w*, 1252*m*, 1222*s*. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.11 (*s*, 12 H); 0.22 (*s*, 9 H); 0.93 (*s*, 18 H); 4.49 (*s*, 2 H); 4.54 (*s*, 2 H); 7.15 (*d*, *J* = 8.4, 2 H); 7.72 (*d*, *J* = 8.4, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): -5.3; -0.3; 18.2; 25.8; 63.9; 64.0; 88.0; 94.5; 100.1; 101.8; 107.2; 122.8; 129.9; 130.5; 132.9; 137.6. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 661.1825 (24, $[M + Na]^+$; $C_{29}H_{47}INaO_2Si_3^+$; calc. 661.1826), 638.1867 (46, M^+ , $C_{29}H_{47}IO_2Si_3^+$; calc. 638.1928), 637.1841 (100, $[M - H]^+$), 581.1235 (29, $[M - t-Bu]^+$). Anal. calc. for $C_{29}H_{47}IO_2Si_3$ (638.85): C 54.52, H 7.42; found: C 55.07, H 7.80.

(E)-2-(*Phenylethynyl*)-3-[(*trimethylsilyl*)ethynyl]but-2-ene-1,4-diol (**8**). To a soln. of **6** (0.10 g, 0.15 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 ml) at r.t., HSiCl₃ (15 μ l, 0.15 mmol) was added. After stirring for 15 min, SiO₂ (0.15 g) was added, and the resulting suspension was filtered. The filtrate was evaporated *in vacuo*, and FC (SiO₂-60; hexane/AcOEt 5:2) afforded **8** (0.022 g, 52%). Yellow solid. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.24 (*s*, 9 H); 1.85–1.95 (2 H); 4.47 (*s*, 2 H); 4.49 (*s*, 2 H); 7.3–7.5 (*m*, 5 H). EI-MS: 284.1 (*M*⁺).

3-Benzyl-1-[4-((E)-3,4-bis[[(tert-*butyl*)*dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]hex-3-ene-1,5-diyn-1-yl)phenyl]-3-propyl-triazene* (**10**). The following compounds were reacted according to *GP 1*: **6** (0.10 g, 0.146 mmol), THF (10 ml), MeOH (10 ml), and 2N NaOH (1 ml). After workup, **10** (0.087 g, 97%) was obtained as a red-brown oil. FT-IR (CHCl₃): 3300*m*, 2928*s*, 2845*s*, 2189*w*, 1600*w*, 1494*m*, 1448*s*, 1400*s*, 1355*s*, 1250*s*, 1094*s*, 839*s*, 778*s*. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.15 (*s*, 12 H); 0.89 (*t*, *J* = 7.0, 3 H); 0.96 (*s*, 18 H); 1.69 ('*q*', *J* = 7.0, 2 H); 3.56 (*s*, 1 H); 3.68 (*t*, *J* = 7.0, 2 H); 4.58 (br. *s*, 4 H); 4.99 (*s*, 2 H); 7.30 (*m*, 5 H); 7.45 (*m*, 4 H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): -5.0; 11.4; 18.4; 25.9; 64.0; 64.1; 80.9; 86.3; 88.3; 102.0; 119.7; 120.6; 127.6; 128.0; 128.6; 131.9; 132.2; 150.9. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 614.3619 (39, [*M* - H]⁺, C₃₆H₃₂N₃O₂Si⁺₂; calc. 614.3598), 569.2479 (7, [*M* - H - 3 Me]⁺); 481.2674 (100, [*M* - 3 H - OSi(*t*-Bu)Me₂]⁺).

*3-Benzyl-3-propyl-1-[4-((*E,E)*-3,4,9,10-tetrakis[[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-12-(trimethylsilyl)-dodeca-3,9-diene-1,5,7,11-tetrayn-1-yl)phenyl]triazene (13).* The following compounds were reacted according to *GP* 4: **10** (0.034 g, 0.055 mmol), **11** (0.028 g, 0.055 mmol), $[Pd_2(dba)_3]$ (0.0014 g, 0.0017 mmol, 3.1 mol-%), CuI (0.00025 g, 0.0012 mmol), PMP (0.023 ml, 0.126 mmol), LiI (0.0012 g, 0.009 mmol), and C₆H₆ (3 ml). Prep. TLC (SiO₂; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1) afforded **13** (0.020 g, 43%) and **14b** (4 mg, 17%) [6b]. Red-brown, viscous oil. FT-IR (CHCl₃): 3677w, 3611w, 3011s, 2389m, 2134w, 1517m, 1416m, 1228s, 1200s, 1044m, 794s. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.11 (*s*, 12 H); 0.12 (*s*, 12 H); 0.21 (*s*, 9 H); 0.92 (*s*, Me); 0.93 (*s*, 36 H); 1.67 ('q', *J* = 7.0, 2 H); 3.66 (*t*, *J* = 7.0, 2 H); 4.43 (br. *s*, 2 H); 4.49 (br. *s*, 2 H); 4.53 (br. *s*, 2 H); 4.58 (br. *s*, 2 H); 4.98 (*s*, 2 H); 7.20 – 7.40 (*m*, 5 H); 7.43 (*m*, 4 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): -5.1; -0.2; 11.4; 18.4; 25.9; 63.9; 64.1; 64.2; 81.9; 82.6; 85.1; 85.7; 86.9; 88.3; 101.6; 104.2; 119.5; 120.7; 127.7; 128.0; 128.6; 129.7; 130.9; 132.2; 132.3; 133.1; 133.8; 151.1. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 1072.6055 ([*M* + Na]⁺, C₅₉H₉₅N₃NaO₄Si[±]; calc. 1072.6068). Anal. calc. for C₅₉H₉₅N₃O₄Si₅ (1050.85): C 67.44, H 9.11, N 4.00; found: C 67.48, H 9.13, N 3.73.

 $(E,E)-1-(4-Iodophenyl)-3,4,9,10-tetrakis{[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-12-(trimethylsilyl)dodeca-3,9-diene-1,5,7,11-tetrayne (15). The following compounds were reacted according to$ *GP*3: 13 (0.010 g, 0.0097 mmol) and MeI (1 ml). FC (SiO₂-60; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1) afforded 15 (0.006 g, 21%). Yellow solid. Mp 62°. UV/VIS (CHCl₃): 260 (30500), 287 (29000), 303 (33100), 324 (33300), 367 (46700), 391 (sh, 33200). FT-IR (CHCl₃): 2955s, 2929s, 2911m, 2856s, 2381w, 2133w, 1475m, 1389w, 1361w, 1252s, 1104s, 838s. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.08-0.12 (br.*s*, 24 H); 0.21 (*s*, 9 H); 0.92 (*s*, 36 H); 4.43 (br.*s*, 2 H); 4.48 (br.*s*, 2 H); 4.51 (br.*s*, 2 H); 4.53 (br.*s*, 2 H); 7.16 (*d*,*J*= 8.4, 2 H); 7.69 (*d*,*J*= 8.4, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): -5.1; -0.2; 18.4; 25.9; 63.9; 64.1; 82.3; 82.6; 85.6; 85.7; 88.3; 94.8; 101.7; 102.0; 102.2; 122.5; 128.9; 129.0; 129.7; 133.0; 133.3; 133.5; 137.8. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 1023.3815 (100, [*M*+ Na]⁺, C₄₉H₈₁INaO₄Si⁺₃; calc. 1023.3924), 897.4863 (13, [*M*- OSi(*t*-Bu)Me₂]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₄₉H₈₁IO₄Si₅ (1001.51): C 58.77, H 8.15; found: C 59.13, H 7.75.

Resin-Bound 3-Ethyl-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-(4-iodophenyl)triazene (**R2**). A *Schlenk* flask was charged with *Merrifield* resin (1.684 g, 1.57 mmol Cl) and three times evacuated and back-filled with Ar. A soln. of **16** (2.50 g, 7.80 mmol) in dry THF (20 ml) was transferred *via* cannula onto the *Merrifield* resin under Ar. The mixture was cooled to -78° , and NaH (0.186 g, 4.65 mmol) was added in portions under a Ar blanket. After complete addition, the mixture was warmed first to r.t., then heated to 45° . After 5 d, the mixture was cooled, and MeOH/ H₂O 1:1 (10 ml) was added. The polymer was isolated by filtration, washed with CH₂Cl₂, H₂O, MeOH, AcOEt, hexane, CH₂Cl₂, and MeOH (3 × 100 ml of each), and dried *in vacuo* to a constant mass of 1.79 g. An elemental analysis revealed an iodide loading of 0.57 mmol/g (79%). FT-IR (CCl₄): 3062*s*, 3029*s*, 2928*s*, 2852*s*, 1942*w*, 1871*w*, 1803*w*, 1602*s*, 1493*s*, 1454*s*, 1369*m*, 1344*m*, 1327*m*, 1179*m*, 1091*m*, 1028*m*, 906*w*. Gel-phase ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 14.4; 22.8; 31.7; 40.5; 42.0; 42.7; 44.0; 45.5; 46.0; 73.2; 89.3; 122.5, 127.9; 137.7; 145.3; 150.5.

Resin-Bound 1-[4-((E)-3,4-Bis[[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-6-(trimethylsilyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-diyn-1-yl)phenyl]-3-ethyl-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)triazene (**17**). The following compounds were reacted according to GP 5: **R2** (0.500 g, 0.29 mmol), **4** (0.308 g, 0.60 mmol), [Pd₂(dba)₃] (0.011 g, 0.012 mmol, 4.1 mol-%), CuI (0.0036 g, 0.019 mmol), PPh₃ (0.025 g, 0.095 mmol), and Et₃N (6 ml). After workup, the polymer was dried *in* vacuo to a constant mass of 0.516 g (91%). FT-IR (CCl₄): 3067w, 3022m, 2927s, 2855m, 2367w, 2133w, 1452s, 1390m, 1344m, 1092m, 1033w, 928w.

Resin-Bound 1-[4-((E)-3,4-Bis][(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]hex-3-ene-1,5-diyn-1-yl)-phenyl]-3ethyl-3-(2-hydroxyethyl)triazene (18). The following compounds were reacted according to GP 6: 17 (0.300 g, 0.13 mmol immobilized DEE), THF (5 ml), MeOH (5 ml), and 2N NaOH (1 ml). After workup, the polymer was dried *in vacuo* to a constant mass of 0.230 g (quant.). FT-IR (CCl₄): 3311m, 3022w, 2955m, 2927m, 2855m, 1448s, 1428m, 1389m, 1344m, 1173w.

(E)-3,4-Bis[[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-1,6-bis(4-iodophenyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-diyne (20) and 1,4-Bis((E)-3,4-bis[[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-6-(4-iodophenyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-diyn-1-yl)benzene (21). The following compounds were reacted according to the modified *GP* 7 (using 19 instead of 7a as chainextending building block): immobilized DEE monomer 18 (1.10 g, 0.20 mmol) and 19 (0.332 g, 1.0 mmol). After workup, the resin-bound products were cleaved according to *GP* 9: immobilized, phenyl-substituted DEE (1.0 g, 0.16 mmol) and MeI (10 ml). FC (SiO₂-60; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2:1) afforded 20 (0.023 g, 19%) and 21 (0.052 g, 27%).

Data of **20**: Yellow solid. M.p. $154^{\circ} - 155^{\circ}$. UV/VIS (CHCl₃): 279 (18200), 316 (27200), 340 (37200), 363 (23800). FT-IR (CHCl₃): 2958*s*, 2872*m*, 1705*w*, 1647*w*, 1432*s*, 1391*m*, 1362*m*, 1314*m*, 1248*m*, 1232*s*, 1215*m*, 1158*s*, 1120*m*, 1026*w*, 861*m*. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.13 (*s*, 12 H); 0.93 (*s*, 18 H); 4.58 (*s*, 4 H); 7.17 (*d*, *J* = 8.7, 4 H); 7.68 (*d*, *J* = 8.7, 4 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): -5.2; 18.3; 25.8; 64.0; 88.2; 94.5; 100.2; 122.8; 129.8; 132.9; 137.7. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 791.0708 ([*M* + Na]⁺, C₃₂H₄₂I₂NaO₂Si⁺₂; calc. 791.0711), 768.0758 (*M*⁺, C₃₂H₄₂I₂O₂Si⁺₂; calc. 768.0813), 753.0613 ([*M* - Me]⁺), 711.0112 ([*M* - *t*-Bu]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₃₂H₄₂I₂O₂Si⁻₂ (768.66): C 50.00, H 5.51; found: C 50.11, H 5.51.

Data of **21**: Yellow solid. M.p. $224^{\circ} - 226^{\circ}$. UV/VIS (CHCl₃): 257 (24400), 289 (22500), 336 (44500), 372 (60300). FT-IR (CHCl₃): 3008*s*, 2975*m*, 2924*w*, 2896*w*, 2857*w*, 2431*w*, 2397*m*, 1698*w*, 1652*w*, 1600*m*, 1516*w*, 1508*w*, 1471*w*, 1443*w*, 1415*w*, 1244*m*, 1046*m*, 928*m*, 872*m*, 836*m*. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.15 (*s*, 24 H); 0.94 (*s*, 36 H); 4.61 (*s*, 8 H); 7.18 (*d*, J = 8.4, 4 H); 7.42 (*s*, 4 H); 7.69 (*d*, J = 8.4, 4 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): -5.2; 18.3; 25.8; 64.0; 64.1; 88.3; 89.0; 94.5; 100.2; 100.9; 122.8; 123.4; 129.8; 129.9; 131.5; 132.9; 137.7. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 1229.3074 (29, $[M + Na]^+$, $C_{58}H_{80}I_2NaO_4Si_4^+$; calc. 1229.31201), 1206.3191 (83, M^+ ; $C_{58}H_{80}I_2O_4Si_4^+$; calc. 1206.3223), 1205.3175 (100, $[M - H]^+$, $C_{58}H_{79}I_2O_4Si_4^+$; calc. 1205.3145).

General Procedures for the Synthesis of the Oligo(phenylene Triacetylene)s 7b-7d. a) Unidirectional, Stepwise Oligomer Growth. The attachment of 4 to Merrifield resin R2 was followed by sequential repetition of GP 6 and GP 7, until the desired oligomer was synthesized. Finally, this oligomer was cleaved from the solid support according to GP 9 and purified by FC.

b) Unidirectional, Iterative Divergent/Convergent Oligomer Growth. After attachment of **4** to Merrifield resin **R2**, one sequence of GP 6, GP 7, and GP 9 led to dimer **7b**, which was purified by FC. This compound was then subjected to another sequence of GP6, GP7, and GP9 to give, after FC, tetramer **7d**.

1-((E)-3,4-Bis[[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-6-(4-iodophenyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-dyine-1-yl)-4-((E)-3,4-bis[[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-6-(trimethylsilyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-diyn-1-yl)benzene (**7b**). The following compounds were reacted according to*GP*9: immobilized DEE dimer (0.800 g, 0.12 mmol) and MeI (10 ml). FC (SiO₂-60; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2 :1) afforded**7b**(0.106 g, 82%). Yellow solid. M.p. 179° – 180°. UV/VIS (CHCl₃): 273 (18900), 321 (37000), 360 (54200). FT-IR (CCl₄): 2952m, 2928m, 2925w, 2857m, 2129w, 1504w, 1481w, 1471w, 1465w, 1387w, 1359w, 1251m, 1101m, 1006w, 928w, 838s. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.12 (*s*, 12 H); 0.14 (*s*, 12 H); 0.22 (*s*, 9 H); 0.93 (*s*, 36 H); 4.51 (*s*, 2 H); 4.54 (*s*, 2 H); 4.59 (*s*, 2 H); 4.61 (*s*, 2 H); 7.16 (*d*,*J*= 8.4, 2 H); 7.40 (*s*, 4 H); 7.68 (*d*,*J* $= 8.4, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): -4.9; 0.0; 18.5; 26.2; 63.9; 64.1; 64.2; 88.2; 88.7; 88.8; 94.5; 100.1; 100.7; 100.8; 101.8; 107.1; 122.6; 123.1; 123.2; 129.6; 129.7; 130.3; 131.2; 132.7, 137.5. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 1099.4236 (100, <math>[M + Na]^+$; C₅₅H₈₅INaO₄Si²; calc. 1094.4339), 1019.3694 (32, $[M - t-Bu]^+$). Anal. calc. for C₅₅H₈₅IO₄Si₅ (107.61); C 61.30, H 7.95; found: C 61.51, H 7.93.

(E)-3,4-Bis{[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-1-[4-((E)-3,4-bis{[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-6-(4-iodophenyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-diyn-1-yl)phenyl]-6-[4-((E)-3,4-bis{[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-6-(trimethylsilyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-diyn-1-yl)phenyl]-6-[4-((E)-3,4-bis{[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-6-(trimethylsilyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-diyn-1-yl)phenyl]hex-3-ene-1,5-diyne (7c). The following compounds were reacted according to *GP* 9: immobilized DEE trimer (1.300 g, 0.17 mmol) and MeI (13 ml). FC (SiO₂-60; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2:1) afforded 7c (0.144 g, 56%). Yellow solid. M.p. $230^{\circ} - 231^{\circ}$. UV/VIS (CHCl₃): 283 (25400), 309 (sh, 36200), 381 (97800). FT-IR (CCl₄): 3005s, 2973m, 2927w, 2890w, 2853w, 2433w, 2396m, 1600m, 1510w, 1443w, 1253m, 1138w, 1043m, 931m, 836m. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.11 (s, 12 H); 0.13 (s, 24 H); 0.21 (s, 9 H); 0.92 (s, 54 H); 4.50 (s, 2 H); 4.59 (br. s, 8 H); 7.15 (d, J = 8.1, 2 H); 7.39 (m, 4 H), 7.40 (m, 4 H); 7.67 (d, J = 8.1, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): -5.0; -0.2; 18.6; 25.9; 63.9; 64.1; 88.3; 88.7; 88.8; 94.5; 100.2; 100.8;

100.9; 101.0; 101.8 107.2; 122.6; 123.2; 123.3; 128.7; 129.8; 130.5; 130.9; 131.4; 132.4; 132.8, 137.6. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 1537.6630 ($[M + Na]^+$, $C_{81}H_{123}INaO_6Si^+$; calc. 1537.6647), 1514.6686 (M^+ , $C_{81}H_{123}IO_6Si^+$; calc. 1514.6749), 1457.6062 (6, $[M - t-Bu]^+$). Anal. calc. for $C_{81}H_{123}IO_6Si_7$ (1516.37): C 64.16, H 8.18; found: C 64.31, H 7.99.

 $\begin{array}{l} 1-\{({\rm E})-3,4-bis\{[({\rm tert}-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-6-[4-(({\rm E})-3,4-bis\{[({\rm tert}-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-6-(4-iodophenyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-diyn-1-yl]phenyl]hex-3-$

(E)-3,4-Bis[[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-1-(4-iodophenyl)hex-3-ene-1,5-diyne (**22**). The following compounds were reacted according to *GP* 1: **7a** (0.120 g, 0.188 mmol), THF (40 ml), MeOH (40 ml), and 2N NaOH (1 ml). Workup afforded **22** (0.091 g, 85%). Colorless solid. M.p. 119° – 120°. FT-IR (CCl₄): 3308w, 2955s, 2929s, 2856m, 1712m, 1583w, 1471m, 1389w, 1253s, 1057m, 1005m. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.11 (12 H); 0.92 (18 H); 3.56 (s, 1 H); 4.52 (s, 2 H); 4.53 (s, 2 H); 7.16 (d, J = 8.4, 2 H); 7.67 (d, J = 8.4, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): – 5.0; 18.4; 25.9; 63.8; 64.0; 80.5; 87.6; 90.0; 94.6; 100.0; 122.5; 131.3; 132.4; 133.5; 137.3. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DHB, two-layer): 589.1421 (100, $[M + Na]^+$, C₂₆H₃₉INaO₂Si¹₂; calc. 589.1431). Anal. calc. for C₂₆H₃₉IQ₂Si₂ (566.67): C 55.11, H 6.94; found: C 55.28, H 6.78.

(E,E)-3,4,9,10-Tetrakis[[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]methyl]-1,12-bis(4-iodophenyl)-dodeca-3,9-dien-1,5,7,11-tetrayne (23). To 22 (0.091 g, 0.16 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 ml), Hay catalyst soln. (0.6 ml) was added. The soln. was stirred 18 h at r.t. and then passed through a plug (SiO₂-60; CH₂Cl₂). Evaporation*in vacuo* $and FC (SiO₂-60; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2:1 <math>\rightarrow$ CH₂Cl₂) afforded 23 (0.035 g, 19%). Yellow solid. M.p. 166° – 168°. UV/VIS (CHCl₃): 256 (26900), 366 (57100), 379 (sh, 55800), 408 (sh, 37100). FT-IR (CCl₄): 2952s, 2925s, 2885m, 2855m, 2358m, 1482m, 1471m, 1459w, 1359w, 1256m, 1099m, 1024w, 1008m, 906s, 836s. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.12 (s, 24 H); 0.93 (s, 36 H); 4.52 (s, 4 H); 4.54 (s, 4 H); 7.16 (d, J = 8.4, 4 H); 7.68 (d, J = 8.4, 4 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): -4.9; 18.5; 25.9; 63.9; 64.0; 82.4; 85.9; 88.0; 94.7; 102.0; 122.3; 128.7; 132.7; 133.1, 137.5. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS (DCTB): 1153.2789 (100, [M + Na]⁺, C₃₂H₇₆INaO₄Si⁺; calc. 1153.2808), 1130.2777 (18, M^+ , C₃₂H₇₆IO₄Si₄I⁺; calc. 1130.2910), 1073.2084 (17, [M - t-Bu]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₃₂H₇₆I₂O₄Si₄ (1131.32): C 55.21, H 6.77; found: C 55.04, H 6.84.

Cadiot–Chodkiewicz *Coupling on Solid Phase*. The following compounds were reacted according to *GP* 8: immobilized DEE monomer **18** (0.500 g, 0.085 mmol), **11** (0.219 g, 0.43 mmol), LiI (0.002 g, 0.017 mmol), PMP (0.04 ml, 0.238 mmol), $[Pd_2(dba)_3]$ (0.0022 g, 0.0026 mmol, 3 mol-%), and benzene (9 ml). After workup, the resin-bound products were cleaved according to *GP* 9: immobilized DEE derivatives (0.48 g) and MeI (5 ml). FC (SiO₂-60; hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2 : 1) afforded **22** (0.001 g, 2%) and an inseparable mixture of **15** and **23**. Further investigation of this mixture by calibrated, anal. HPLC (hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 2 : 1) provided **15** (37%) and **23** (10%).

REFERENCES

- a) J. M. Tour, *Chem. Rev.* 1996, 96, 537; b) 'Electronic Materials: The Oligomer Approach', Eds. K. Müllen, G. Wegner, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998; c) R. E. Martin, F. Diederich, *Angew. Chem.* 1999, 111, 1440; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 1999, 38, 1350; d) H. S. Nalwa, 'Handbook of Organic Conductive Molecules and Polymers', Vols. 1–4, John Wiley, Chichester, 1997; e) P. F. H. Schwab, M. D. Levin, J. Michl, *Chem. Rev.* 1999, 99, 1863; f) U. H. F. Bunz, *Chem. Rev.* 2000, 100, 1605; g) J. Roncali, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2000, 33, 147.
- [2] A. Kraft, A. C. Grimsdale, A. B. Holmes, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 416; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 402; R. H. Friend, R. W. Gymer, A. B. Holmes, J. H. Burroughes, R. N. Marks, C. Taliani, D. D. C. Bradley, D. A. Dos Santos, J. L. Brédas, M. Lögdlund, W. R. Salaneck, Nature 1999, 397, 121; M. Gross, D. C. Müller, H.-G. Nothofer, U. Scherf, D. Neher, C. Bräuchle, K. Meerholz, Nature 2000, 405, 661.

- [3] R. O. Loutfy, A.-M. Hor, C.-K. Hsiao, G. Baranyi, P. Katzmaier, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1988, 60, 1047; T. A. Skotheim, R. L. Elsenbaumer, J. R. Reynolds, 'Handbook of Conducting Polymers', Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998; R. F. Service, *Science* 2001, 294, 2442.
- [4] W. B. Davis, W. A. Svec, M. A. Ratner, M. R. Wasielewski, *Nature* 1998, 396, 60; M. A. Reed, *Proc. IEEE* 1999, 87, 652; R. M. Metzger, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1999, 32, 950; J. M. Tour, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2000, 33, 791; H. D. Sikes, J. F. Smalley, S. P. Dudek, A. R. Cook, M. D. Newton, C. E. D. Chidsey, S. W. Feldberg, *Science* 2001, 291, 1519; Z. J. Donhauser, B. A. Mantooth, K. F. Kelly, L. A. Bumm, J. D. Monell, J. J. Stapleton, D. W. Price Jr., A. M. Rawlett, D. L. Allara, J. M. Tour, P. S. Weiss, *Science* 2001, 292, 2303; L. Cai, Y. Yao, J. Yang, D. W. Price Jr., J. M. Tour, *Chem. Mater.* 2002, 14, 2905; M. A. Rampi, G. M. Whitesides, *Chem. Phys.* 2002, 281, 373; J. Chen, W. Wang, J. Klemic, M. A. Reed, B. W. Axelrod, D. M. Kaschak, A. M. Rawlett, D. W. Price, S. M. Dirk, J. M. Tour, D. S. Grubisha, D. W. Bennett, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 2002, 960, 69; M. Major, C. von Hänisch, H. B. Weber, J. Reichert, D. Beckmann, *Angew. Chem.* 2002, 114, 1228; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2002, 41, 1183.
- [5] P. Siemsen, R. C. Livingston, F. Diederich, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 2740; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2632.
- [6] a) M. Schreiber, J. Anthony, F. Diederich, M. E. Spahr, R. Nesper, M. Hubrich, F. Bommeli, L. Degiorgi, P. Wachter, P. Kaatz, C. Bosshard, P. Günter, M. Colussi, U. W. Suter, C. Boudon, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, M. Gross, Adv. Mater. 1994, 6, 786; b) R. E. Martin, U. Gubler, C. Boudon, V. Gramlich, C. Bosshard, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, P. Günter, M. Gross, F. Diederich, Chem.-Eur. J. 1997, 3, 1505; c) R. E. Martin, T. Mäder, F. Diederich, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 834; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 817; d) R. E. Martin, U. Gubler, J. Cornil, M. Balakina, C. Boudon, C. Bosshard, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, F. Diederich, P. Günter, M. Gross, J. L. Brédas, Chem.-Eur. J. 2000, 6, 3622; e) R. E. Martin, U. Gubler, C. Boudon, C. Bosshard, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, P. Günter, M. Gross, F. Diederich, Chem.-Eur. J. 2000, 6, 4400; f) A. P. Schenning, J.-D. Arndt, M. Ito, A. Stoddart, M. Schreiber, P. Siemsen, R. E. Martin, C. Boudon, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, M. Gross, V. Gramlich, F. Diederich, Helv. Chim. Acta 2001, 84, 296; g) M. J. Edelmann, M. A. Estermann, V. Gramlich, F. Diederich, Helv. Chim. Acta 2001, 84, 473.
- [7] a) L. A. Thompson, J. A. Ellman, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 555; b) J. S. Früchtel, G. Jung, Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 19; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1996, 35, 17; c) F. Guillier, D. Orain, M. Bradley, Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 2091; d) A. C. Comley, S. E. Gibson, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 1042; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1012.
- [8] P. R. L. Malenfant, J. M. J. Fréchet, *Chem. Commun.* 1998, 2657; T. Kirschbaum, C. A. Briehn, P. Bäuerle, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 1 2000, 1211; C. A. Briehn, T. Kirschbaum, P. Bäuerle, *J. Org. Chem.* 2000, 65, 352; C. A. Briehn, P. Bäuerle, *Synth. Met.* 2001, 119, 121; C. A. Briehn, M.-S. Schiedel, E. M. Bonsen, W. Schuhmann, P. Bäuerle, *Angew. Chem.* 2001, 113, 4817; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2001, 40, 4680; C. A. Briehn, P. Bäuerle, *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 1015.
- J. K. Young, J. C. Nelson, J. S. Moore, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 10841; b) P. Bharathi, U. Patel, T. Kawaguchi, D. J. Pesak, J. S. Moore, Macromolecules 1995, 28, 5955; c) J. C. Nelson, J. K. Young, J. S. Moore, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 8160; d) L. Jones, II, J. S. Schumm, J. M. Tour, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1388;
 e) S. Anderson, Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 4706; f) J.-J. Hwang, J. M. Tour, Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 10387.
- [10] R. B. Merrifield, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 2149.
- [11] N. F. Utesch, F. Diederich, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 237.
- [12] a) S. Bräse, D. Enders, J. Köbberling, F. Avemaria, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 3614; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3413; b) S. Bräse, M. Schroen, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 1139; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1071; c) A. de Meijere, H. Nüske, M. Es-Sayed, T. Labahn, M. Schroen, S. Bräse, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 3881; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 3669; d) S. Bräse, S. Dahmen, Chem.–Eur. J. 2000, 6, 1899; e) M. Lormann, S. Dahmen, S. Bräse, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 3813; f) D. B. Kimball, M. M. Haley, Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 3484; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3338.
- [13] K. Sonogashira, in 'Metal-Catalyzed Cross-coupling Reactions', Eds. F. Diederich, P. J. Stang, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998, p. 203–229.
- [14] a) R. E. Martin, J. A. Wytko, F. Diederich, C. Boudon, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, M. Gross, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1999, 82, 1470; b) M. J. Edelmann, J.-M. Raimundo, N. F. Utesch, F. Diederich, C. Boudon, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, M. Gross, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2002, 85, 2195.
- [15] A. S. Hay, J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 3320.
- [16] W. Chodkiewicz, P. Cadiot, C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. 1955, 241, 1055.
- [17] F. Z. Dörwald, 'Organic Synthesis on Solid Phase', Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000.
- [18] C. Cai, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1995, 78, 2053.

- [19] M. B. Nielsen, N. F. Utesch, N. N. P. Moonen, C. Boudon, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, S. Concilio, S. P. Piotto, P. Seiler, P. Günther, M. Gross, F. Diederich, Chem.-Eur. J. 2002, 8, 3601.
- [20] H. Ku, J. R. Barrio, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 5239; Z. Wu, J. S. Moore, Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 5539. [21] J. I. Crowley, H. Rapoport, Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 135; L. Scott, J. Rebek, L. Ovsyanko, C. L. Sims, J. Am.
- Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 625; A. R. Vaino, K. D. Janda, J. Comb. Chem. 2000, 2, 579.
- [22] S. Huang, J. M. Tour, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8898.
- [23] a) J. S. Schumm, D. L. Pearson, J. M. Tour, Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 1445; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1994, 33, 1360; b) D. L. Pearson, J. M. Tour, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1376; c) S. Huang, J. M. Tour, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4908; d) T. V. Bohner, O.-S. Becker, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1999, 82, 198.
- [24] J. M. Montierth, D. R. DeMario, M. J. Kurth, N. E. Schore, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 11741.
- [25] G. G. Guilbault, in 'Practical Fluorescence', Ed. G. G. Guilbault, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990, p. 1-40. [26] J. Zhang, J. S. Moore, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 2655.
- [27] D. A. Skoog, J. L. Leary, 'Principles of Instrumental Analysis', Saunders College Publishing, New York, 1992.
- [28] S. H. Korzeniowski, A. Leopold, J. R. Beadle, M. F. Ahern, W. A. Sheppard, R. K. Khanna, G. W. Gokel, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2153; M. P. Doyle, W. J. Bryker, J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1572.
- [29] S. D. Bull, S. G. Davies, G. Fenton, A. W. Mulvanev, R. S. Prasad, A. D. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1 2000, 3765.

Received October 7, 2003