Cite this: J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 23030

www.rsc.org/materials



Photosensitizing porphyrin-triazine compound for bulk heterojunction solar cells[†]

Aritat Luechai,^{*a*} Jacek Gasiorowski,^{*b*} Amorn Petsom,^{*c*} Helmut Neugebauer,^{*b*} Niyazi Serdar Sariciftci^{*b*} and Patchanita Thamyongkit^{**bc*}

Received 14th June 2012, Accepted 7th September 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2jm33840h

This report describes the synthesis of a novel porphyrin–triazine compound bearing three porphyrin macrocycles connected to each other *via* a triazine central unit, and investigation of its properties for possible use in bulk heterojunction solar cells (BHJ-SCs). The porphyrin macrocycles serve as light harvesting antennae, while the triazines have been widely known to be charge extracting promoters. According to cyclic voltammetric studies, the Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital (HOMO) and Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital (LUMO) energy levels of the target compound were estimated to be at -5.4 and -3.5 eV *versus* vacuum, respectively. Photoluminescence measurements of the blended films of the porphyrin–triazine compound and phenyl-C₆₁-butyric acid methyl ester (PCBM) or poly(3-hexylthiophene) (P3HT) indicated that the charge transfer was possible when the target porphyrin was used as an electron donor together with PCBM as an acceptor. Additionally, the surface morphology of the films with different donor : acceptor ratios was investigated by atomic force microscopy (AFM). Upon variation of the donor : acceptor weight ratio and thickness of the organic layer, the short circuit current density (J_{SC}) of the BHJ-SCs fabricated herein improved up to approximately 2.25 mA cm⁻².

Introduction

Harvesting energy directly from sunlight using photovoltaic (PV) technology is recognized as one of the major global energy production approaches in future energy supply. Amongst all types of photovoltaic cells, organic solar cells have been of great interest due to their flexibility in chemical design and mechanical properties as well as ultrathin, roll-to-roll fabrication possibilities.¹ An impressive development of their efficiency took place in the last decade and organic solar cells pushed above the 10% power conversion efficiency.² Photosynthetic pigments related to the chlorophylls, such as porphyrins, are particularly good candidates for optical and optoelectronic applications, due to their large molar absorption coefficients, tuneable electrochemical and photophysical properties *via* central metal insertion and/or introduction of various substituents at the macrocycle

peripheral positions,³ thermal and photo-stabilities, and strong two-photon absorption.⁴ Porphyrins have long been of interest as light-harvesting initiators for charge separation⁵ in various optoelectronic devices, for example in photovoltaic cells,⁶ organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs),⁷ and organic field-effect transistors (OFETs).⁸ Recently, porphyrins have been proven to be one of the most promising candidates for dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs)⁹ and bulk-heterojunction solar cells (BHJSCs).^{2,10}

In this study, we use porphyrin macrocycles as light harvesting antennae by attaching them via their meso-carbons on all three peripheral sites of an electron accepting triazine ring via amino groups. Triazine (or 1,3,5-triazine) and its derivatives have high thermal stability, molecular symmetry,¹¹ spatial co-planarity,¹² and great potential for structural modification.13 Triazine derivatives have been extensively studied as electron transporting and hole blocking layers, 12b,c,14 as emissive materials, 12f,14b,15 and as non-linear optical¹⁶ and two-photon absorbing compounds.¹⁷ Covalent connection of the porphyrins, which are known to be ptype semiconductors,¹⁸ with π -electron-deficient conjugated functionalities like the triazine unit is expected to provide functions in BHJ-SCs from the possibly enhanced charge extraction efficiency. Like other planar aromatic compounds, several porphyrin derivatives encounter low solubility due to molecular aggregation. As one of the most important requirements of the photoactive compounds for organic solar cell applications, high solubility is essential for synthetic transformations, purification

^aProgram in Petrochemistry and Polymer Science, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

^bLinz Institute for Organic Solar Cells (LIOS), Institute of Physical Chemistry, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Linz 4040, Austria

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand. E-mail: patchanita.v@chula.ac.th; Fax: +66 2-254-1309; Tel: +66 2-218-7587

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: spectral data, including ¹H-NMR spectra, ¹³C-NMR spectra and mass spectra for new compounds, and absorption and emission spectra of **Zn-2**, as well as the calibration curve of **Zn-2**. Absorption spectrum of a P3HT film. See DOI: 10.1039/c2jm33840h

procedures, diverse physical, photophysical and electrochemical studies, and device fabrication *via* a wet process. This threepointed star architecture, presented here in this work, having three porphyrin rings attached on the triazine ring *via* a flexible amino group is expected to suppress the porphyrin aggregation without the use of solubilizing substituents on the porphyrinrings. To the best of our knowledge, there is no porphyrintriazine derivative studied for organic solar cells yet. Therefore, this work aims to demonstrate the potential use of this class of material for BHJ SCs.

Results and discussion

1. Synthesis

The synthesis of the target porphyrin-triazine derivative for BHJ-SCs is illustrated in Scheme 1. Porphyrin 1^{19} was readily condensed with cyanuric chloride in basic condition, resulting in compounds 2 and 3 in 55% and 44% yield, respectively. A three-column process is required to purify the target compounds. Firstly, the silica column was used to remove unreacted compound 1 and other polar byproducts. Secondly, sized-exclusion chromatography separated pure compounds 2 and 3. Finally, to further remove the remaining impurities another silica column chromatography followed by sonicating-centrifugating the resulting solids in hexane and methanol were performed. Mass spectra confirmed the formation of compounds 2 and 3 by showing their molecular ion peaks at m/z 1964.342 and 1371.742, respectively. Compound 2 was metallated by Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O to



View Article Online

give Zn-2 quantitatively, while compound 3 was kept for other syntheses. The disappearance of the singlet signal at δ -2.84 ppm in a ¹H-NMR spectrum and an emission peak at about 720 nm indicated the completion of the reaction. According to the ¹H-NMR spectrum, small signals between δ 1.00–1.50 ppm was observed, indicating a small amount of impurities that might be encompassed in the aggregates of Zn-2 in the synthesis and purification processes. Solubility of Zn-2 in several common organic solvents, such as CH2Cl2, CHCl3, toluene, chlorobenzene, THF, etc. proved its utility for BHJ-SC preparation from a wet process by using various kinds of solvents. In chlorobenzene, which was a solvent employed in the device fabrication in this study, the solubility of Zn-2 was found to be approximately 45 mg mL⁻¹, equivalent to about 6.3×10^{-5} mol tetraphenylporphyrin rings per 1 mL, while that of its benchmark meso-tetraphenylporphinatozinc(II) (Zn-TPP) was approximately 36 mg mL^{-1} or 4.5 \times 10⁻⁵ mol mL^{-1} . This observation indicated the enhancement of the solubility of Zn-2 due to the presence of the 2,4,6-triamino-1,3,5-triazine central unit that might lower the stacking effect of the porphyrin rings.

2. Photophysical properties of Zn-2

UV-Vis absorption spectra of a **Zn-2** solution in toluene and a **Zn-2** film are shown in Fig. 1. The **Zn-2** solution exhibited a characteristic absorption pattern of a Zn-chelated porphyrin ring having intense B-band at 431 nm and Q-bands at 552 and 601 nm with absorption coefficients of 6×10^5 , 2×10^4 and 9×10^3 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, respectively, indicating that the photophysical properties of the individual porphyrin macrocycle were not significantly affected by the linking with the triazine unit, which is consistent with a previous report.²⁰ The absorption pattern of the film is similar, but broader than that of the solution most likely due to the aggregation of the macrocycle conjugation systems.

The photoluminescence spectra of **Zn-2** solution (toluene) and film are shown in Fig. 2. Upon excitation at 431 nm, emission of the **Zn-2** solution appeared at 611 nm with a small shoulder at 659 nm, while that of the film was found at 611 and 648 nm and the peaks were broader compared to those of the solution. The emission peak at 648 nm in the film spectrum was significantly more pronounced and blue shifted than that at 659 nm in the



Fig. 1 Absorption spectra of a **Zn-2** solution in toluene (solid line) and a **Zn-2** film (dashed line). The inset shows a magnification of the region between 520 and 640 nm.



Fig. 2 Photoluminescence spectra of a Zn-2 solution in toluene (solid line) and a Zn-2 film (dashed line) upon photoexcitation at 431 nm.

solution spectrum, which is commonly observed when the aggregate is created in the bulk sample.

3. Electrochemistry

Based on cyclic voltammetry, the **Zn-2** film can be electrochemically oxidized and reduced (Fig. 3). The oxidation process of the molecule to a radical cation was observed at about +0.9 V *versus* a normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) and a second oxidation of the molecule to a dication was found at about +1.1 V *versus* NHE. The reduction of **Zn-2** to a radical anion and a dianion occurred at about -1.5 and -1.8 V *versus* NHE, respectively. These oxidation and reduction features are consistent with those of Zn-TPP, except that **Zn-2** can be reduced at a significantly lower potential than Zn-TPP (the onset potentials of the first reduction of **Zn-2** and Zn-TPP were -1.3and -1.4 V *versus* NHE, respectively), indicating the higher electronegative nature of **Zn-2** compared to that of Zn-TPP due



Fig. 3 Cyclic voltammograms of a **Zn-2** (solid line) and ZnTPP (dashed line) films in the range between (a) 0 and -2.2 V and (b) 0 and +1.8 V.

to the presence of the triazine unit. According to the previous report,^{12b} the reversible reduction peak at about -2.0 V versus NHE was likely to be reduction of the triazine unit. The indefinable anodic signal from -0.3 and -0.7 V versus NHE is likely to be resulted from the possible formation of unknown products from the reduction process(es) of **Zn-2**, which is attributed to molecular cleavage or deformation, as this feature was more conspicuous at higher cycle numbers and was not observed in the case of Zn-TPP.

The onset potentials of the first oxidation and reduction ($E_{\rm ox}$ and $E_{\rm red}$, respectively) of **Zn-2** obtained from the cyclic voltammetric studies were found to be +0.7 and -1.3 eV, respectively. These values were used to determine an energy gap ($E_{\rm g}$), and the Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital (HOMO) and Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital (LUMO) energy levels ($E_{\rm HOMO}$ and $E_{\rm LUMO}$, respectively) of **Zn-2** *versus* vacuum with an estimated energy for NHE of -4.75 eV *versus* vacuum.²¹ According to the equations:

$$E_{\rm g} = E_{\rm ox} - E_{\rm red};$$

 $E_{\rm HOMO} = -(E_{\rm ox} + 4.75) \text{ (eV); and}$
 $E_{\rm LUMO} = -(E_{\rm erd} + 4.75) \text{ (eV)}^{-22};$

ł

the calculated E_g of **Zn-2** was 1.9 eV with E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} of -5.5 and -3.5 eV, respectively.

In Fig. 4, we display both HOMO and LUMO levels together with the work functions (WF) of ITO, poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) : poly(styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT : PSS) and Al, and the HOMO and LUMO levels of poly(3-hexylthiophene) (P3HT) and phenyl- C_{61} -butyric acid methyl ester (PCBM). For a device with a P3HT : Zn-2 active layer, the LUMO level of Zn-2 is at a lower energy level than that of P3HT, which can allow the acceptance of electrons from P3HT and subsequent charge transfer to the Al electrode. Similarly, when Zn-2 is blended with electron-accepting PCBM, the LUMO level of Zn-2 was estimated to be at a higher energy than that of PCBM, while its HOMO level lies below the WF of PEDOT : PSS, suggesting the possibility of charge transfer in the system. The above-mentioned electrochemical behaviour of Zn-2 and this estimation suggest that Zn-2 should be able to serve both as donor (when used with PCBM) or acceptor (when used with P3HT) in Zn-2-based BHJ-SCs.



Fig. 4 Comparative energy diagram of a Zn-2-based BHJ-SC architecture.

4. Photoluminescence studies of the blend films

In order to study if Zn-2 is able to serve as a donor and/or as an acceptor material, photoluminescence studies of the Zn-2: PCBM (1:1 w/w) and P3HT: Zn-2 (1:1 w/w) blended films were performed. The photoactive layers were photoexcited at the absorption maximum of the donor, *i.e.* **Zn-2** ($\lambda_{max} = 431$ nm) in the case of the **Zn-2** : PCBM film and P3HT ($\lambda_{max} = 517$ nm, see ESI[†]) in the case of the P3HT : Zn-2 film. The results shown in Fig. 5 reveal that the porphyrin emission was completely quenched by PCBM, indicating electron transfer from Zn-2 (donor) to PCBM (acceptor). In the contrary, such complete emission quenching was not observed in the case of the P3HT : Zn-2 blend, indicating that the charge transfer from P3HT (donor) to Zn-2 (acceptor) was not efficient. Therefore, only the Zn-2: PCBM blended film were employed as a photoactive layer in the BHJ-SCs. The use of the blends of porphyrin derivatives and fullerenes as active layers in BHJ-SCs has been demonstrated to efficiently harvest solar energy and to facilitate the photo-induced charge separation process.^{6h,18b,23} Some reports described the formation of a crystallite network between porphyrins and fullerenes in the blends^{23,24} that have proven useful for BHJ-SCs.25



Fig. 5 Results of photoluminescence study of the (a) **Zn-2** : PCBM and (b) the P3HT : **Zn-2** blended films.

5. Photovoltaic characteristics

To determine the potential of **Zn-2** as the photoactive compound in the BHJ-SCs, **Zn-2**-based devices having different weight ratio of **Zn-2** : PCBM as well as thicknesses were fabricated. As shown in Fig. 6, a 60 nm layer of electron blocking PEDOT : PSS was patterned on an ITO-coated glass substrate. The **Zn-2** : PCBM blended film was sandwiched between the PEDOT : PSS layer and a 150 nm Al top contact layer.



Fig. 6 Schematic setup of a BHJ-SC based on Zn-2 : PCBM.

As a control experiment, a standard device having a P3HT : PCBM (2 : 1 w/w) active layer (device 'Standard', Table 1) was prepared in the same batch as the devices based on **Zn-2** : PCBM to ensure a high standard and reproducibility of the device fabrication procedure. The standard device exhibited a cell efficiency (η) of 3.4% with a short circuit current density ($J_{\rm SC}$), and an open circuit voltage ($V_{\rm OC}$) and a fill factor (FF) of 9.78 mA cm⁻², 0.6 V and 55%, respectively. These results are in good agreement with those of the ITO/PEDOT : PSS/P3HT : PCBM/A1 device previously prepared by a similar procedure.²⁶

Table 1 Characteristics of Zn-2 based BHJ-SCs

	Zn-2 : PCBM ratio					
Device	By weight	By mol	$J_{\rm SC}/{\rm mA~cm^{-2}}$	$V_{\rm OC}/{\rm V}$	FF/%	η /%
A	1 · 4	0.106 · 1	1.68	0.4	28	0.2
B	1.6	$0.070 \cdot 1$	1.00	0.5	32	0.3
Ĉ	1:8	0.053:1	2.24	0.6	32	0.4
D	$1:10^{a}$	0.042 : 1	2.25	0.6	32	0.5
Ē	1:12	0.035 : 1	2.06	0.6	32	0.4
F	1:14	0.030 : 1	1.89	0.6	32	0.4
G	$1:10^{b}$	0.042 : 1	1.80	0.6	31	0.3
Ĥ	$1:10^{c}$	0.042 : 1	1.77	0.5	30	0.3
I	Zn-2			n/a^d		
J	PCBM			n/a^d		
-	P3HT : Zn-2 ratio					
К	1:10		< 0.01	0.2	27	< 0.1
L	1:1		0.12	0.4	31	< 0.1
М	10:1		0.02	0.1	24	< 0.1
Standard	P3HT : PCBM 2 : 1		9.78	0.6	55	3.4

^{*a*} A **Zn-2** : PCBM mixed solution was prepared from a 18 mg mL⁻¹ stock solution of each compound. ^{*b*} A **Zn-2** : PCBM mixed solution was prepared from a 9 mg mL⁻¹ stock solution of each compound. ^{*c*} A **Zn-2** : PCBM mixed solution was prepared from a 36 mg mL⁻¹ stock solution of each compound. ^{*d*} No significant J_{SC} and/or V_{OC} was measured.

The **Zn-2** : PCBM weight ratio was varied from 4 : 1 to 1 : 14, corresponding to a molar ratio from 1.70 : 1 to 0.030 : 1. The use of a ratio from 4 : 1 to 1 : 2 gave an η of less than 0.1% and therefore is not described in the table. The results clearly showed that the variation of the **Zn-2** : PCBM weight ratio from 1 : 4 to 1 : 10 (devices A–D) led to the increase in J_{SC} , while V_{OC} and FF increased and reached maximum values at weight ratios of 1 : 8 and 1 : 10, indicating the better charge transfer when the PCBM amount in the **Zn-2** : PCBM film is higher within this weight ratio range. The optimum η of 0.5% with J_{SC} of 2.25 mA cm⁻²,

 $V_{\rm OC}$ of 0.6 V and FF of 32% was obtained when the Zn-2 : PCBM weight ratio was 1 : 10 (device D, Table 1). Additional attempts to improve the cell efficiency by adjusting the thickness of the Zn-2 : PCBM (1 : 10) film *via* the use of the two-fold lower (device G) and higher (device H) concentrations of the Zn-2 : PCBM (1 : 10) spin-casting solution were done. The increase or decrease in thickness results mainly in the drop of $J_{\rm SC}$ and therefore the cell efficiencies. It should be noted that, for the optimum case, with the Zn-2 : PCBM weight ratio of 1 : 10, the Zn-2 : PCBM mole ratio is equivalent to 0.042 : 1 or, in other words, the porphyrin ring : PCBM mole ratio is approximately 0.13 : 1. It can also be considered that under this condition the porphyrin stacking effect tended to be reduced, leading to the increase in the porphyrin–PCBM interface and the improved donor–acceptor electronic communication.

As reference systems, solar cells based on pristine Zn-2 and PCBM (devices I and J, respectively) were fabricated and characterized in the same manner. The results revealed that no significant current was generated from these devices under illumination, indicating that Zn-2 and PCBM are not suitable for being used as a single component in the photoactive layer of organic solar cells. Additionally, BHJ-SC bearing P3HT : Zn-2 blended films with the weight ratio of 1:10, 1:1 and 10:1(devices K, L and M, respectively) were also prepared to examine whether the results correspond to those obtained from the abovementioned photoluminescence study of the P3HT : Zn-2 blended film. As a result, the J_{SC} , V_{OC} and FF of these devices were found to be relatively low compared to those of the BHJ-SCs based on Zn-2: PCBM, which is likely the result of poor charge transfer from P3HT to Zn-2 as demonstrated in the photoluminescence study section.

Current density–voltage (J-V) curves of device D obtained from the measurement in the dark and under illumination are shown in Fig. 7a. The dark curve shows the diode performance. A rectification ratio of around 50 was obtained. Upon illumination, a photovoltaic effect was observed with moderate J_{SC}



Fig. 7 (a) J-V curve and (b) IPCE plot of device D.

and $V_{\rm OC}$. High series resistance and low parallel resistance worked as limiting factors in the cell performance. Incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) spectrum of device D upon excitation at 431 nm exhibited a similar spectral behaviour as the absorption spectrum of **Zn-2** ($\lambda_{\rm max} = 431$ nm) films (Fig. 7b). The maximum value of IPCE was found to be 22%.

6. Thin film nanomorphology

Nanoscale phase separation between the two compounds and film morphology were found to have significant importance for achieving efficient devices.²⁷ Surface topography of the Zn-2: PCBM films with different Zn-2: PCBM weight ratios was investigated by atomic force microscopy (AFM). The results showed that the surface morphology of the films looked very similar with no observable pinhole, suggesting good blending of the two materials. As shown in Fig. 8, an AFM image of the Zn-2: PCBM film of device D having the weight ratio of 1:10 indicates the relatively smooth and evenly distributed blend of Zn-2 and PCBM with root-mean-square (rms) roughness of 0.5 nm. Additionally, the AFM technique was used to determine the film thickness of the Zn-2: PCBM film at the weight ratio of 1:10. The results showed that the use of the Zn-2 and PCBM stock solutions at concentrations of 9, 18 and 36 mg mL⁻¹ (devices G, D and H, respectively) gave the Zn-2 : PCBM film a thickness of approximately 60, 80 and 120 nm, respectively.



Fig. 8 AFM image of device D.

Experimental section

Material and methods

All chemicals were analytical grade, purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received without further purification. Chemical shifts (δ) of ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR measurement are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to the residual CHCl₃ peak (7.26 ppm for ¹H-NMR and 77.0 ppm for ¹³C-NMR). Coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Mass spectra were obtained by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS) using dithranol as a matrix and electron spray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS). Absorption and emission spectra of the solutions were measured in toluene at room temperature, and those of the films were obtained from the drop-casted films on a glass substrate.

Non-commercial compound

5-(4-Aminophenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (1) was prepared according to the previous published literature.¹⁷

Synthesis of compound Zn-2

Following a previously published procedure,²⁸ a solution of compound 1 (0.2317 g, 0.3684 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (0.5103 g, 0.3692 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was treated with evanuric chloride (0.0175 g, 0.0949 mmol) at room temperature. The resulting solution was refluxed for 8 h and then cooled down to room temperature. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by column chromatography [silica, 1%EtOH in CH₂Cl₂] and the purple fraction was collected. Compound 2 was isolated from 3 by consequent size-exclusion column chromatography using THF as eluent and then purified again by a silica column [1% EtOH in CH_2Cl_2], leading to compound 2 (0.1036 g, 56%) as a purple solid. MALDI-MS obsd 1964.241 ([M]⁺), calcd 1964.280 ($[M]^+$; $M = C_{135}H_{90}N_{18}$). Compound **3** was treated in the same manner and obtained as a purple solid (0.0578 g, 44%). MALDI-MS obsd 1371.742 ($[M]^+$), calcd avg mass 1371.998 $([M]^+; M = C_{91}H_{61}ClN_{13})$. Optimized synthesis and detailed characterization of compound 3 and its derivatives will be described elsewhere.

Following recently published procedure²⁹ with slight modification, compound 2 (0.1036 g, 0.05263 mmol) was dissolved in THF (10 mL) and then reacted with a solution of $Zn(OAc)_2 \cdot 2H_2O(0.1743 \text{ g}, 0.5263 \text{ mmol})$ in methanol (10 mL) at room temperature for 8 h. After removal of the solvent, the reaction mixture was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂, washed with water, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated to dryness. Purification by column chromatography [silica, 1% EtOH in CH2Cl2] followed by sonicating-centrifugating in hexane and methanol gave a purple solid (100.7 mg, 89%). δ_H (400 MHz; CDCl₃) 6.47 (3H, s), 7.29-7.59 (m, 6H), 7.60-7.75 (m, 27H), 7.75-7.88 (m, 6H), 7.90-8.29 (m, 18H), 8.76–8.97 (m, 18H), 8.97–9.15 (m, 6H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz; CDCl₃) 119.0, 120.7, 121.1, 124.3, 126.4, 126.5, 127.3, 127.4, 132.0, 134.4, 134.9, 137.7, 138.0, 142.7, 142.8, 143.1, 150.1, 150.2, 150.3, 163.9; MALDI-MS obsd 2154.516; calcd avg mass 2154.462 ($[M]^+$; M = C₁₃₅H₈₄N₁₈Zn₃); ESI-HRMS obsd $2177.5169 ([M + Na]^{+}), calcd 2177.4513 ([M + Na]^{+}), 2154.5145$ $([M]^+)$; $\lambda_{abs}/nm 431$, 552 and 601 ($\epsilon/dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1} 6 \times 10^5$, $6 \times 10^{-1} cm^{-1} 6 \times 10^{-1}$ 10^4 and 9 × 10³); λ_{em} /nm 612 ($\lambda_{ex} = 431$ nm).

Electrochemical studies

Electrochemical properties of compound **Zn-1** were determined by cyclic voltammetry in acetonitrile containing 0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆ by using a ITO-coated glass working electrode, a Pt wire counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl quasi-reference electrode (QRE) with a scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹. The resulting redox potentials were externally calibrated with a ferrocene/ferrocenium couple of which the potential value of 0.40 V versus NHE was used. The value of the NHE versus vacuum level used in this work is -4.75 eV.²¹

Photoluminescence studies

Stock solutions of Zn-2, P3HT and PCBM in chlorobenzene were prepared in the concentration of 18 mg mL⁻¹ and filtered

through 0.45 μ m PTFE syringe filters. The solution of **Zn-2** was mixed with that of P3HT or PCBM in weight ratio of 1 : 1. The resulting P3HT : **Zn-2** and **Zn-2** : PCBM 1 : 1 (w/w) mixture was spin-coated on 15 mm \times 15 mm glass substrates, that were cleaned by consecutive sonication in acetone, isopropanol and deionized water, and then dried by purging with air, with 800 rpm for 25 s.

Device fabrication and characterization

Following a previously reported procedure with slight modification,²⁶ the above-mentioned stock solutions of Zn-2, PCBM and P3HT in chlorobenzene were used to prepare the mixed solution of Zn-2 : PCBM with the weight ratio varying from 4 : 1 to 1:14, that of P3HT : **Zn-2** with weight ratios of 1:10, 1:1 and 10:1, and that of P3HT: PCBM with the weight ratio of 2 : 1. The 15 mm \times 15 mm ITO-coated glass substrates were first wiped with toluene and cleaned by consecutive sonication in acetone, isopropanol and deionized water, and then dried by purging with air. PEDOT/PSS solution (Heraeus Clevios™ PH1000 Clevios P VP AI 4083) was spin-coated on top of the ITO with 2000 rpm for 1 s and 4000 rpm for 45 s, followed by annealing at 150 °C for 10 min. After that, the organic mixed solution was spin-coated on top of this PEDOT/PSS layer at 800 rpm for 25 s. The resulting samples were dried under vacuum at ambient temperature for 1-2 h before a 150 nm thick Al top electrode was deposited by thermal evaporation in a vacuum of about 10^{-6} mbar in a glovebox. The active area of the devices was between 6 and 10 mm². Current-voltage (I-V) characteristics of the devices were measured in the dark and under simulated 100 mW cm $^{-2}$ AM 1.5 solar irradiation in a glovebox using a Keithley 236 source measurement unit. The intensity of the illumination was verified prior to each individual measurement using a calibrated silicon diode with known spectral response. Incident photon to current efficiency (IPCE) measurements were taken using a fiber optical light source from a 90 W Xenon lamp, which is connected to an ACTON Spectra Pro150 monochromator and EG & G 7260 DSP Lock amplifier to measure the current. Three to six samples for each cell condition were prepared to test the reproducibility and reliability of the results.

Film morphology study

An atomic force microscope setup with Digital Instruments Dimension 3100 (Veeco Metrology group), working in tapping mode, was used in order to investigate the surface morphology and determine the thickness of the samples.³⁰

Conclusions

The novel soluble porphyrin derivative having three porphyrin macrocycles linked by the triazine central unit (**Zn-2**) was successfully synthesized and characterized by spectroscopic techniques. Based on cyclic voltammetric analysis, **Zn-2** was found to have appropriate HOMO–LUMO energy levels to possibly serve as both donor and acceptor in ITO/PEDOT : PSS/ **Zn-2** : PCBM/A1 and ITO/PEDOT : PSS/P3HT : **Zn-2**/A1 solar cells, respectively. However, photoluminescence measurements of the blended films of the organic active layers indicated that an electron transfer was observed in the **Zn-2** : PCBM film, but not

View Article Online

in the P3HT : **Zn-2** one. The results from the device study confirmed the possible usage of **Zn-2** as donor material in organic BHJ-SCs. Our best ITO/PEDOT : PSS/**Zn-2** : PCBM/AI device exhibited an energy conversion maximum efficiency of 0.5% with J_{SC} , V_{OC} and FF of 2.25 mA cm⁻², 0.6 V and 32%, respectively. The studies showed that porphyrin rings in the **Zn-2** molecule were useful as light-harvesting units in BHJ-SCs and, with the good collaboration of the triazine core, **Zn-2** could transfer electrons to PCBM, resulting in a photovoltaic effect.

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by Marie Curie International Incoming Fellowship (PIIF-GA-2008-220272), Thailand Research Fund-Master Research Grants-Window II (TRF-MRG-WII 525S022), National Research University Project of Thailand, Office of the Higher Education Commission (Project No: EN1250B), the Center of Excellence of Petroleum, Petrochemicals and Advance Material, and Graduate School of Chulalongkorn University (The 90th Anniversary of Chulalongkorn University Fund, Ratchadaphiseksomphot Endowment Fund).

Notes and references

- (a) A. J. Heeger, N. S. Sariciftci and E. B. Namdas, Semiconducting and Metallic Polymers, Oxford University Press, New York, 1st edn, 2010; (b) C. J. Brabec, V. Dyakonov, J. Parisi and N. S. Sariciftci, Organic Photovoltaics: Concepts and Realization (Springer Series in Materials Science), Springer, Heidelberg, 2010; (c) S.-S. Sun and N. S. Sariciftci, Organic Photovoltaics: Mechanisms, Materials, and Devices (Optical Science and Engineering), Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton, 1st edn, 2005; (d) M. Kaltenbrunner, M. S. White, E. D. Głowacki, T. Sekitani, T. Someya, N. S. Sariciftci and S. Bauer, Nat. Commun., April 3, 2012, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms1772.
- 2 Mitsubishi Chemical Holdings Corporation, http://www.mkagaku.co.jp/english/aboutmcc/RC/special/feature1.html, accessed 17 April 2012.
- 3 K. M. Kadish, E. V. Caemelbecke and G. Royal, in *The Porphyrin Handbook*, ed. K. M. Kadish, K. M. Smith and R. Guilard, Acedemic Press, New York, 2000, vol. 8, ch. 55.
- 4 F. C. Krebs and H. Spanggaard, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2005, 88, 363.
- 5 (a) D. Gust, T. A. Moore and A. L. Moore, Acc. Chem. Res., 2001, 34, 40; (b) H. Imahori and S. Fukuzumi, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2004, 14, 525.
- 6 Selected publications: (a) K. Kalyanasundaram, N. Vlachopoulos, V. Krishnan, A. Monnier and M. Grätzel, J. Phys. Chem., 1987, 91, 2342; (b) A. Kay and M. Grätzel, J. Phys. Chem., 1993, 97, 6272; (c) D. Wohrle, B. Tennigkeit, J. Elbe, L. Kreienhoop and G. Schurpfeil, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1993, 230, 221; (d) S. Cherian and C. C. Wamser, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2000, 104, 3624; (e) F. Odobel, E. Blart, M. Lagree, M. Villieras, H. Boujtita, N. El Murr, S. Caramori and C. A. Bignozzi, J. Mater. Chem., 2003, 13, 502; (f) W. M. Campbell, A. K. Burrell, D. L. Officer and K. W. Jolly, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2004, 248, 1363; (g) H. Imahori, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2004, 108, 6130; (h) T. Hasobe, P. V. Kamat, M. A. Absalom, Y. Kashiwagi, J. Sly, M. J. Crossley, K. Hosomizu, H. Imahori and S. Fukuzumi, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2004, **108**, 12865; (*i*) Q. Wang, W. M. Campbell, E. E. Bonfantani, K. W. Jolley, D. L. Officer, P. J. Walsh, K. Gordon, R. Humphry-Baker, M. K. Nazeeruddin and M. Grätzel, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 15397; (j) T. Hasobe, S. Fukuzumi and P. V. Kamat, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2006, 110, 25477; (k) W. M. Campbell, K. W. Jolly, P. Wagner, K. Wagner, P. J. Walsh, K. C. Gordon, L. S. Mende, M. K. Nazeerruddin, Q. Wang and M. Grätzel, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 11760; (1) H. Imahori, T. Umeyama and S. Ito, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 1809; (m) R. M. Ma, P. Guo, H. J. Cui,

X. X. Zhang, K. N. Mohammad and M. Grätzel, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2009, 113, 10119.

- 7 Selected publications: (a) M. A. Baldo, D. F. O'Brien, Y. You, A. Shoustikov, S. Sibley, M. E. Thompson and S. R. Forrest, *Nature*, 1998, 395, 151; (b) R. C. Kwong, S. Sibley, T. Dubovoy, M. Baldo, S. R. Forrest and M. E. Thompson, *Chem. Mater.*, 1999, 11, 3709; (c) T. F. Guo, S. C. Chang, Y. Yang, R. C. Kwong and W. E. Thompson, *Org. Electron.*, 2000, 1, 15; (d) E. M. Gross, N. R. Armstrong and R. M. Wightman, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2002, 149, E137; (e) V. A. Montes, C. Perez-Bolivar, N. Agarwal, J. Shinar and P. Anzenbacher, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, 128, 12436; (f) X. Wang, H. Wang, Y. Yang, Y. He, L. Zhang, Y. Li and X. Li, *Macromolecules*, 2010, 43, 709.
- 8 Selected publications: (a) Y. Y. Noh, K. Yase and S. Nagamatsu, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2003, 83, 1243; (b) Y. Y. Noh, J. J. Kim, Y. Yoshida and K. Yase, Adv. Mater., 2003, 15, 699; (c) P. Checcoli, G. Conte, S. Salvatori, R. Paolesse, A. Bolognesi, A. Berliocchi, F. Brunetti, A. D'Amico, A. Di Carlo and P. Lugli, Synth. Met., 2003, 138, 261; (d) P. B. Shea, J. Kanicki and N. Ono, J. Appl. Phys., 2005, 98, 014503; (e) C.-M. Che, H.-F. Xiang, S. S.-Y. Chui, Z.-X. Xu, V. A. L. Roy, J. J. Yan, W.-F. Fu, P. T. Lai and I. D. Williams, Chem.-Asian J., 2008, 3, 1092; (f) X. B. Huang, C. L. Zhu, S. M. Zhang, W. W. Li, Y. L. Guo, X. W. Zhan, Y. Q. Liu and Z. Z. Bo, Macromolecules, 2008, 41, 6895; (g) P. Ma, Y. Chen, X. Cai, H. Wang, Y. Zhang, Y. Gao and J. Jiang, Synth. Met., 2010, 160, 510.
- 9 A. Yella, H.-W. Lee, H. N. Tsao, C. Yi, A. K. Chandiran, M. K. Nazeeruddin, E. W.-G. Diau, S. M. Zakeeruddin and M. Grätzel, *Science*, 2011, 334, 629.
- 10 R. F. Service, Science, 2011, 332, 293.
- 11 Selected publications: (a) M. Kucharski and W. Mazurkiewicz, Polymer, 1982, 23, 1688; (b) T. Fang and D. A. Shimp, Prog. Polym. Sci., 1995, 20, 61; (c) T. Nishikubo, A. Kameyama and C. Saito, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2000, 38, 3604.
- Selected publications: (a) I. Nenner and G. J. Schulz, J. Chem. Phys., 1975, 62, 1747; (b) R. Fink, C. Frenz, M. Thelakkat and H. Schmidt, Macromolecules, 1997, 30, 8177; (c) R. Fink, Y. Heischkel, M. Thelakkat and H. Schmidt, Chem. Mater., 1998, 10, 3620; (d) H. Meier, H. C. Holst and A. Oehlhof, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2003, 4173; (e) H. Meier, E. Karpuk and H. C. Holst, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2006, 2609; (f) Q. Fang, S. Ren, B. Xu, J. Du and A. Cao, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2006, 44, 3797; (g) T. Yamamoto, S. Watanabe, H. Fukumoto, M. Sato and T. Tanaka, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2006, 27, 317; (h) L. Zou, Y. Fu, X. Yan, X. Chen and J. Qin, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2008, 46, 702.
- 13 Selected publications: (a) J. A. Zerkowski, J. P. Mathias and G. M. Whitesides, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 430; (b) C. M. Drain, K. C. Russell and J.-M. Lehn, J. Comb. Chem., 1996, 3, 337; (c) J. L. Sessler, C. T. Brown, D. O'Connor, S. L. Springs, R. Wang, M. Sathiosatham and T. Hirose, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 7370; (d) A. Osuka, R. Yoneshima, H. Shiratori, T. Okada, S. Taniguchi and N. Mataga, Chem. Commun., 1998, 1567; (e) K. A. Jolliffe, P. Timmerman and D. N. Reinhoudt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1999, 38, 933; (f) M. H. Al-Sayah and N. R. Branda, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 881; (g) T. Carofiglio, A. Varotto and U. Tonellato, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 8121.
- 14 Selected publications: (a) J. M. Lupton, L. R. Hemingway, W. I. D. Samuel and P. L. Burn, J. Mater. Chem., 2000, 10, 867; (b) J. Pang, Y. Tao, S. Freiberg, X. P. Yang, M. D'Iorio and S. Wang, J. Mater. Chem., 2002, 12, 206; (c) H. Inomata, K. Goushi, T. Masuko, T. Konno, T. Imai, H. Sasabe, J. J. Brown and Adachi, Chem. Mater., 2004, 16, 1285; (d) H. Namazi and C. M. Adeli, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2005, 43, 28; (e) E. J. Acosta, S. O. Gonzalez and E. E. Simanek, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2005, 43, 168; (f) R. Juárez, R. Gómez, J. L. Segura and C. Seoane, Tetrahedron Lett., 2005, 46, 8861; (g) J. Kang, D. Lee, H. Park, Y. Park, J. W. Kim, W. Jeong, K. Yoo, K. Go, S. Kim and J. Kim, J. Mater. Chem., 2007, 17, 3714; (h) A. Richard, H. A. Klenklera, A. Tranc, D. Z. Popovic and G. Xu, Org. Electron., 2008, 9, 285; (i) H. Zhong, E. Xu, D. Zeng, J. Du, J. Sun, S. Ren, B. Jiang and Q. Fang, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 709.
- 15 (a) S. W. Kim, S. C. Shim, B.-J. Jung and H.-K. Shim, *Polymer*, 2002, 43, 4297; (b) Q. Fang and T. Yamamoto, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 2004, 205, 795; (c) T. Murase and M. Fujita, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005,

70, 9269; (d) S. Ren, Q. Fang, F. Yu and D. Bu, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2005, 43, 6554; (e) W. Jia, Y. Hu, J. Gao and S. Wang, Dalton Trans., 2006, 1721; (f) C. K. Kim, E. S. Song, H. J. Kim, C. Park, J. K. Kim, Y. C. Kim, J. W. Yu and C. Kim, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2006, 44, 5855; (g) G. Wen, Y. Xin, X. Zhu, W. Zeng, R. Zhu, J. Feng, Y. Cao, L. Zhao, L. Wang, W. Wei, B. Peng and W. Huang, Polymer, 2007, 48, 1824.

- 16 (a) Q. Y. Hu, W. X. Lu, H. D. Tang, H. H. Y. Sung, T. B. Wen, I. D. Williams, G. K. L. Wong, Z. Lin and G. Jia, *Organometallics*, 2005, **24**, 3966; (b) K. Srinivas, S. Sitha, V. J. Rao, K. Bhanuprakash and K. Ravikumar, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2006, **16**, 496.
- 17 (a) R. Kannan, G. S. He, T.-C. Lin, P. N. Prasad, R. A. Vaia and L.-S. Tan, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 185; (b) Y. Z. Cui, Q. Fang, G. Xue, G.-B. Xu, L. Yin and W.-T. Yu, *Chem. Lett.*, 2005, **34**, 644; (c) L. Zou, Z. Liu, X. Yan, Y. Liu, Y. Fu, J. Liu, Z. Huang, X. Chen and J. Qin, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 5587–5593.
- 18 (a) Y. Harima, K. Takeda and K. Yamashita, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 1995, 56, 1223; (b) T. Hasobe, H. Imahori, P. V. Kamat, T. K. Ann, S. K. Kim, D. Kim, A. Fujimoto, T. Hirakawa and S. Fukuzumi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 1216; (c) Q. Sun, L. Dai, X. Zhou, L. Li and Q. Li, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2007, 91, 253505; (d) T. Hasobe, A. S. D. Sandanayaka, T. Wada and Y. Araki, Chem. Commun., 2008, 3372; (e) Y. Matsuo, Y. Sato, T. Niinomi, I. Soga, H. Tanaka and E. Nakamura, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 1127.
- 19 G. Yan, D. Bischa and S. E. Bottle, *Free Radical Biol. Med.*, 2007, 43, 111.
- 20 K. P. Ghiggino, J. A. Hutchison, S. J. Langford, M. J. Latter and M. Takezaki, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2006, 19, 491.
- (a) R. Gomer and G. Tryson, J. Chem. Phys., 1977, 66, 4413; (b)
 C. M. Cardona, W. Li, A. E. Kaifer, D. Stockdale and
 G. C. Bazan, Adv. Mater., 2011, 23, 2367.
- 22 D. Baran, A. Balan, S. Celebi, B. M. Esteban, H. Neugebauer, N. S. Sariciftci and L. Toppare, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, 22, 2978.
- 23 (a) H. Imahori, K. Hagiwara, M. Aoki, T. Akiyama, S. Taniguchi, T. Okada, M. Shirakawa and Y. Sakata, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 11771; (b) H. Imahori and Y. Sakata, Adv. Mater., 1997, 9, 537; (c) D. M. Guldi, C. Luo, M. Prato, E. Dietel and A. Hirsch, Chem. Commun., 2000, 373; (d) C. Luo, D. M. Guldi, H. Imahori, K. Tamaki and Y. Sakata, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 6535; (e) D. M. Guldi, C. Luo, M. Prato, A. Troisi, F. Zerbetto, M. Scheloske, E. Dietel, W. Bauer and A. Hirsch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 9166; (f) T. Hasobe, Y. Kashiwagi, M. A. Absalom, J. Sly, K. Hosomizu, M. J. Crossley, H. Imahori, P. V. Kamat and S. Fukuzumi, Adv. Mater., 2004, 16, 975; (g) H. Imahori, A. Fujimoto, S. Kang, H. Hotta, K. Yoshida, T. Umeyama, Y. Matano, S. Isoda, M. Isosomppi, N. V. Tkachenko and H. Lemmetyinen, Chem.-Eur. J., 2005, 11, 7265; (h) T. Hasobe, P. V. Kamat, V. Troiani, N. Solladié, T. K. Ahn, S. K. Kim, D. Kim, A. Kongkanand, S. Kuwabata and S. Fukuzumi, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 19; (i) D. M. J. Guldi, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 11432; (j) H. Imahori, K. Mitamura, Y. Shibano, T. Umeyama, Y. Matano, K. Yoshida, S. Isoda, Y. Araki and O. Ito, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2006, 110, 11399; (k) T. Hasobe, S. Fukuzumi, S. Hattori and P. V. Kamat, Chem.-Asian J., 2007, 2, 265; (1) H. Imahori, M. Ueda, S. Kang, H. Hayashi, S. Hayashi, H. Kaji, S. Seki, A. Saeki, S. Tagawa, T. Umeyama, Y. Matano, K. Yoshida, S. Isoda, M. Shiro, N. V. Tkachenko and

H. Lemmetyinen, Chem.-Eur. J., 2007, 13, 10182; (m) T. Hasobe, K. Saito, P. V. Kamat, V. Troiani, H. Qiu, N. Solladié, K. S. Kim, J. K. Park, D. Kim, F. D'Souza and S. Fukuzumi, J. Mater. Chem., 2007, 17, 4160; (n) A. Kira, M. Tanaka, T. Umeyama, Y. Matano, N. Yoshimoto, Y. Zhang, S. Ye, H. Lehtivuori, N. V. Tkachenko, H. Lemmetyinen and H. Imahori, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 13618; (o) T. Umeyama, T. Takamatsu, N. Tezuka, Y. Matano, Y. Araki, T. Wada, O. Yoshikawa, T. Sagawa, S. Yoshikawa and H. Imahori, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2011, 113, 10798; (p) C.-L. Wang, W.-B. Zhang, C.-H. Hsu, H.-J. Sun, R. M. V. Horn, Y. Tu, D. V. Anokhin, D. A. Ivanov and S. Z. D. Cheng, Soft Matter, 2011, 7, 6135.

- 24 (a) M. M. Olmstead, D. A. Costa, K. Maitra, B. C. Noll, S. L. Phillips, P. M. Van Calcar and A. L. Balch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 7090; (b) P. D. W. Boyd, M. C. Hodgson, E. F. Rickard, A. G. Oliver, L. Chaker, P. J. Brothers, С. R. D. Bolskar, F. S. Tham and C. A. Reed, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 10487; (c) N. Armaroli, G. Marconi, L. Echegoyen, J.-P. Bourgeois and F. Diederlich, Chem.-Eur. J., 2000, 6, 1629; (d) N. V. Tkachenko, C. Guenther, H. Imahori, K. Tamaki, Y. Sakata, S. Fukuzumi and H. Lemmetvinen, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2000, 326, 344; (e) H. Imahori, N. V. Tkachenko, V. Vehmanen, K. Tamaki, H. Lemmetvinen, Y. Sakata and S. Fukuzumi, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2001, 105, 1750; (f) P. D. W. Boyd and C. A. Reed, Acc. Chem. Res., 2005, 38, 235; (g) N. Armaroli, G. Accorsi, F. Song, A. Palkar, L. Echegoyen, D. Bonifazi and F. Diederlich, ChemPhysChem, 2005, 6, 732; (h) H. Imahori, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2007, 80, 621.
- 25 (a) P. D. W. Boyd, M. C. Hodgson, C. E. F. Rickard, A. G. Oliver, L. Chaker, P. J. Brothers, R. D. Bolskar, F. S. Tham and A. Reed, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 10487; (b) T. Ishii, C N. Aizawa, R. Kanehama, M. Yamashita, K. Sugiura and Miyasaka, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2002, 226, 113; (c) V. Konarev, A. Y. Kovalevsky, X. Li, I. S. Neretin, н D A. L. Litvinov, N. V. Drichko, Y. L. Slovokhotov, P. Coppens and R. N. Lyubovskaya, Inorg. Chem., 2002, 41, 3638; (d) P. D. W. Boyd and C. A. Reed, Acc. Chem. Res., 2005, 38, 235; (e) P. C. Dastoor, C. R. McNeill, H. Frohne, C. J. Foster, B. Dean, C. J. Fell, W. J. Belcher, W. M. Campbell, D. L. Officer, I. M. Blake, P. Thordarson, M. J. Crossley, N. S. Hush and J. R. Reimers, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 15415; (f) W. J. Belcher, K. I. Wagner and P. C. Dastoor, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2007, 91, 447; (g) T. Oku, A. Takeda, A. Nagata, T. Noma, A. Suzuki and K. Kikuchi, Energies, 2010, 3, 671; (h) N. Cooling, K. B. Burke, X. Zhou, S. J. Lind, K. C. Gordon, T. W. Jones, P. C. Dastoor and W. J. Belcher, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2011, 95, 1767.
- 26 P. A. Troshin, H. Hoppe, J. Renz, M. Egginger, J. Y. Mayorava, A. E. Goryachev, A. S. Peregudov, R. N. Lyubovskaya, G. Gobsch, N. S. Sariciftci and V. F. Razomov, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2009, **19**, 779.
- 27 W. L. Ma, C. Y. Yang, X. Gong, K. Lee and A. J. Heeger, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2005, **15**, 1617.
- 28 K. Ichihara and Y. Naruta, Chem. Lett., 1995, 24, 631.
- 29 J. Jiao, P. Thamyongkit, I. Schmidt, J. S. Lindsey and D. F. Bocian, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 12693.
- 30 C. Teichert, Phys. Rep., 2002, 365, 335.