DOI: 10.1002/cctc.201800454



Bench-Stable N-Heterocyclic Carbene Nickel Precatalysts for C—C and C—N Bond-Forming Reactions

Felix Strieth-Kalthoff, [a, b] Ashley R. Longstreet, [a, c] Jessica M. Weber, [a] and Timothy F. Jamison*[a]

Herein, we introduce a new class of bench-stable *N*-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) nickel-precatalysts for homogeneous nickel-catalysis. The nickel(II) complexes are readily activated to Ni⁰

in situ under mild conditions, via a proposed Heck-type mechanism. The precatalysts are shown to facilitate carbonyl-ene, hydroalkenylation, and amination reactions.

Homogeneous nickel catalysis has evolved into a powerful and versatile tool for organic synthesis.^[1] The particularly attractive properties of nickel extend beyond its low price compared to palladium, and include its ability to undergo facile oxidative addition^[2] and high binding affinity towards unsaturated systems,^[3] along with flexibility in accessing 0 to III oxidation states.^[1a] The development of *N*-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligands^[4] initiated remarkable progress in this field.^[5] Controlled by the electron-rich, highly-shielded metal center, Ni–NHC systems have proven effective in a variety of transformations, including challenging cross-couplings,^[6] cycloadditions,^[7] C—H activation of olefins^[8] and arenes,^[9] and (hydro-)functionalization of olefins.^[10]

The majority of the abovementioned transformations use $[Ni(cod)_2]$ (cod=1,5-cyclooctadiene) as the nickel source. Both $[Ni(cod)_2]$ and free NHCs demonstrate severe sensitivity towards oxygen and moisture and thus require a glovebox for storage and handling. In order to combat this sensitivity, a number of researchers have investigated various strategies [11] including the formation of stable nickel(II) complexes. Nolan [12] and Snieckus [13] independently demonstrated in 2005 the use of Cowley's η^5 -cyclopentadienyl NHC-complex $\mathbf{1}^{[14]}$ (Scheme 1 a) as an effective, bench-stable Ni-precatalyst for aryl aminations and Kumada cross-couplings, respectively. Matsubara also demonstrated the use of NHC-phosphine Ni-precatalyst $\mathbf{2}$ for Kumada cross-couplings in 2006. [15] While these precatalysts perform well with reactions such as Suzuki and Kumada cross-


[a] F. Strieth-Kalthoff, Dr. A. R. Longstreet, J. M. Weber, Prof. Dr. T. F. Jamison Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139 (USA) E-mail: tfj@mit.edu

[b] F. Strieth-Kalthoff Present address:


Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Organisch-Chemisches Institut Corrensstr. 40, 48149 Münster (Germany)

[c] Dr. A. R. Longstreet Present address: Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry & Physics, The University of Tampa 401 W. Kennedy Blvd. Tampa, FL 33606 (USA)

Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201800454.



b) Jamison (2013-2014):



Scheme 1. a) Nickel precatalysts bearing an *N*-heterocyclic carbene ligand. $^{[12,13,15,18]}$ b) Phosphine Ni-precatalysts previously developed by our group. $^{[21]}$.

couplings^[16] and hydrosilylations,^[17] reactions are limited when a strong reductant is absent and often require high temperatures. For Ni-catalyzed aminations, Nicasio demonstrated how mild conditions and a broader substrate scope are obtainable with η^3 -allyl complex $\mathbf{3}$,^[18] presumably by opening up an SN2′ pathway for facile precatalyst activation from Ni^{II} to Ni⁰.^[19] However, the stability of the complex in air was sacrificed for this enhancement.^[17] The Doyle and Monfette groups^[20] reported an air-stable (TMEDA)Ni(o-tolyl)Cl (TMEDA = tetramethyle-thylenediamine) precatalyst featuring a labile TMEDA that enables a variety of ligands such as phosphines, diimines and




NHCs to be used. While this provides a flexible, modular approach to the formation of the active catalyst, the generality of the precatalyst is limited by its inability to be activated at room temperature.^[20a]

Our group has previously developed a series of Ni-precatalysts (4) bearing phosphine ligands (Scheme 1 b). The complexes provide facile access to catalytically active Ni⁰ in the presence of silyl triflates.^[21] The reduction of 4 from Ni^{II} to Ni⁰ is suggested to occur by transmetallation with an additional equivalent of 4 followed by reductive elimination, thus only activating 50% of the material (Scheme 1 b).

Herein, we describe a new NHC Ni-precatalyst design (5, Scheme 1 c) that readily reduces to the catalytically active Ni(0) species for reactions such as the Ni-catalyzed carbonyl-ene, hydroalkenylation, and amination reactions. This design was inspired by the previous phosphine complexes (4) by containing an aryl ligand with the addition of a piperidine moiety to satisfy the coordination sphere. An olefin was also appended to the complex to facilitate the reduction of Ni^{II} to Ni^O by an intramolecular Heck reaction.

The investigation began by synthesizing the NHC-Ni complexes with IPr as the NHC and a bidentate aryl ligand (Table 1). The complexes were each prepared by oxidative addition of the corresponding aryl chloride to a pre-formed solution of [(IPr)Ni(cod)₂] in a glovebox. The new complexes were initially synthesized with π -acceptors trans to the strong σ -donating carbene, such as pyridines (8-10), an imine (11), and a phosphite (12), because it was thought necessary for obtaining stable complexes (Table 1, Entries 1-5).[22] Unexpectedly, stable motifs could also be obtained in the absence of strong π -acceptors using amine ligands trans to the NHC. Whereas acyclic amines did not afford stable complexes (Entry 6), morpholine-(13), pyrrolidine- (14), and piperidine-derived complexes (15) could also be prepared in low to moderate yields (Table 1, Entries 7-9). However, complexes 13 and 14 could not be purified to homogeneity. Similar complexes bearing appended olefins with varying carbon linker lengths were also prepared with yields ranging between 38 and 43% (5a, 16, 17, Entries 10-12). The addition of the olefin was made in order to test whether the precatalyst could reduce from Ni^{II} to Ni⁰ by undergoing an intramolecular Heck-reaction. Interestingly, the bright yellow, cyclic amine complexes (5 a, 15-17) demonstrated remarkable stability to both air and column chromatographic conditions with neutral alumina. Lastly, in addition to IPr, complex **5b** was synthesized with the SIPr ligand (Entry 13).

The synthesized complexes along with Cowley's complex (1 a) were then evaluated as precatalysts for the IPr-Ni-catalyzed carbonyl-ene reaction between benzaldehyde, 1-octene, and Et₃SiOTf (Table 2).^[23] As expected, Cowley's complex 1 a was not a suitable precatalyst for the carbonyl-ene reaction (Table 2, Entry 1). When 2-phenylpyridine (PPy) complex 8 and its electron deficient derivatives (9 and 10) were evaluated, very minimal reactivity was observed (Entries 2–4). This may have been caused by catalyst poisoning by the pyridines present. Even when complex 11 with a more bulky imine ligand was employed, no reaction was observed (Entry 5). Complex



R = Me, Et, Ph

 $NR_2 = N$ -morpholyl (13)

 $NR_2 = N$ -pyrrolidyl (14)

 $NR_2 = N$ -piperidyl (15)

m=2, n=3 (16)

m=1, n=1 (17)

m = 2, n = 1 (5 a)

0

29^[a]

41^[a]

12

38

39

43

57

12 was then tested for catalytic activity, as cooperative catalysis has been demonstrated for a variety of phosphite-carbene systems^[24] including the previously mentioned carbonyl-ene reaction^[23] (Entry 6). Regrettably, 12 also displayed no signs of activity. Complexes with tertiary amines without olefins also displayed little to no reactivity in the carbonyl-ene reaction (Entries 7–9). However, the yield of the reaction with complex 15 continued to increase to 30% over seven days. After evaluating a series of complexes with appended olefins with varying linker lengths (Entries 10–12), complex 5 a (Entry 12) was determined to be an effective precatalyst for the carbonyl-ene reaction producing 7 a in 93% in situ yield after 48 h.

[a] Impure complex isolated. See the Supporting Information.

With the success of complex **5a** as a precatalyst, an investigation into the possible modes of precatalyst activation was


8

10

11

12

13



Entry	NI-Precatalyst	Yield of 7 a [%]	
1	IPr Ni(Cl 1a	NR	
2	8	<1	
3	9	<1	
4	10	< 1	
5	11	NR	
6	12	NR	
7	13	NR	
8	14	NR	
9	15	< 5, 30 ^[b]	
10	16	NR	
11	17	< 5	
12	5 a IPr 	93	
13	Ni····Cl N	< 5	

[a] Yields determined by GC against a calibrated internal standard (dodecane, 10 mol%). [b] Reaction time = 7 days.

undertaken. We hypothesized that by appending an olefin to the NHC-complex, activation via an intramolecular Heck-reaction could occur. Indeed, styrene **6** was confirmed to be present by comparing a prepared standard to GC traces and ¹H NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures (Scheme 2). Byproducts that would form by an intermolecular Heck or a mechanism of activation similar to precatalyst **4** were not observed by GC/MS. The necessity of the olefin was further verified by the lack of reactivity observed when complex **18** bearing only the alkyl side chain was used (Table 2, Entry 13).

As shown in the product profile for the carbonyl-ene reaction, precatalyst **5a** even outperformed the previously reported catalytic system with [Ni(cod)₂]/IPr by providing an improved turnover number (TON) at identical catalyst loading (Figure 1). After an induction period for ca. 1 h, the reaction with **5a** produced a catalyst with greater catalytic activity than the reaction with [Ni(cod)₂]/IPr, which resulted in a higher product yield. Heating the reaction with precatalyst **5a** to 50 °C did lower the reaction time to 4 h with only a 6–8%

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{NHC} \\ & \downarrow \\$$

Scheme 2. Hypothesized mechanism of activation for precatalyst **5**.




Figure 1. Product profile for the nickel catalyzed carbonyl-ene reaction with [Ni(cod)₂]/IPr or 5 a. Yields determined by GC against a calibrated internal standard (dodecane, 10 mol%). [a] The addition of cod (0.6 equiv) was used.

drop in yield (See Supporting Information for details). As an added benefit, no decrease in yield of **7a** was observed even after precatalyst **5a** was stored on a benchtop at room temperature for over a month. Because cod was demonstrated to impede reaction yields in earlier reports,^[21] the carbonyl-ene reaction with precatalyst **5a** was performed with cod (0.6 equiv) to determine its effect. Indeed, the product yield was suppressed in the presence of cod, with a dramatic 70% decrease in yield relative to the use of **5a** without cod at the same 48 h time point.

With these findings in mind, a comparison between precatalyst **5a** and [Ni(cod)₂]/IPr with other substrates was performed under otherwise unaltered reaction conditions (Table 3). In all cases, comparable or improved yields with **5a** were observed. While remarkable improvements in yield for electron deficient aldehydes were observed (Table 3, Entries 4–5), substrates from sterically hindered alkenes still proved to be challenging (Entry 6).

After establishing ${\bf 5a}$ as a valuable precatalyst for the carbonyl-ene reaction, we next aimed to demonstrate its potential in the Ni-catalyzed hydrovinylation of α -olefins (Table 4). For substrates prepared from styrene (${\bf 19a}$) or 2-vinylnaphthalene (${\bf 19b}$), precatalyst ${\bf 5a}$ provided similar or slightly improved yields than the original system (Table 4, Entries 1 and 2). In addition, an electron-poor substrate demonstrated an improvement in yield by 41% when ${\bf 5a}$ was employed in place of [Ni(cod)₂]/IPr (Entry 3).

Lastly, we investigated whether a nickel complex would function as a precatalyst in a reaction that did not involve activation by a silyl triflate. For the Ni-catalyzed *N*-arylation of in-



Table 3. Reductive, three-component coupling of aldehydes, α -olefins and silyl triflates.

Entry	Product	R^1	R^2	Yield of 7 [%] ^[a]	
				[Ni(cod) ₂]/IPr	5 a
1	7 a	<i>n</i> -hexyl	phenyl	73 ^[b]	93 ^[b]
2	7 b	phenyl	<i>p</i> -anisyl	62	56
3	7 c	benzyl	<i>p</i> -anisyl	79	75
4	7 d	<i>n</i> -hexyl	2-furfuryl	10	69
5	7 e	<i>n</i> -hexyl	p-chlorophenyl	41	78
6	7 f	<i>t</i> -bu	<i>p</i> -anisyl	4	5

[a] Determined by ¹H NMR against an internal standard (nitromethane). [b] Determined by GC against a calibrated internal standard (dodecane, 10 mol %).

Table 4. Tail-to-tail hydrovinylation of olefins with [Ni(cod)₂]/IPr or preca-[Ni(cod)₂]/IPr or 5a (5 mol %) p-anisaldehyde (5 mol %) 1-octene (10 mol %) Et₃SiOTf (10 mol %) NEt₃ (30 mol %) 19 PhMe, rt, 24 h + isomers Yield of 19 (%)[a] R^2 Entry Product R^1 [Ni(cod)₂]/IPr 79 81 19 a n-hexvl phenyl 19 b 2-naphthyl n-hexyl 53 65 19 c^[b] *p*-fluoro-phenyl p-fluoro-phenyl 24 [a] Isolated yields. [b] The additions of p-anisaldehyde, 1-octene, and

doles,^[26] the reaction proceeded smoothly with precatalyst **5a** in lieu of [(IPr)Ni(styrene)₇] (Scheme 3).

In summary, we have developed a series of novel bench-stable precatalysts for homogeneous nickel-NHC catalysis. These aryl(NHC)nickel(II) chloride complexes with tethered piperidine and olefin moieties were demonstrated to produce the active nickel catalyst in the presence of silyl triflates and under aryl-amination conditions for the carbonyl-ene, hydroal-kenylation, and amination reactions. While the synthesis of the precatalysts could be further improved to eliminate the need for [Ni(cod)₂] entirely, the complex 5 has otherwise shown great potential in aiding the continued growth of homogene-

Scheme 3. Arvl amination with precatalyst 5 a.

ous Ni-catalysis by offering convenience in storage and handling in addition to a catalyst that offers enhanced product yields. The unique tethered-olefin design has been an inspiration for our group to further develop Ni-precatalysts beyond NHC ligands and discover new ways to generate Ni⁰ from stable Ni^{II} complexes in situ.

CCDC 1820361 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation ("Medicines For All Initiative"), the NIH under the Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award F32GM120852 from the NIGMS (A.R.L.), and the Bayer Science and Education Foundation (F.S.K.). We thank Li Li and Dr. Peter Müller (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) for HRMS data and X-Ray crystallography, respectively. We are also grateful to Dr. Justin A.M. Lummiss and Dr. Rachel L. Beingessner for helpful discussions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: homogeneous catalysis \cdot hydroalkenylation \cdot *N*-heterocyclic carbene ligands \cdot nickel \cdot precatalyst

- a) S. Z. Tasker, E. A. Standley, T. F. Jamison, *Nature* 2014, 509, 299-309;
 b) V. P. Ananikov, *ACS Catal.* 2015, 5, 1964-1971;
 c) E. A. Standley, S. Z. Tasker, K. L. Jensen, T. F. Jamison, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, 48, 1503-1514.
- [2] a) T. T. Tsou, J. K. Kochi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 6319 6332; b) S. A. Macgregor, G. W. Neave, C. Smith, Faraday Discuss. 2003, 124, 111 127.
- [3] a) S. Strömberg, K. Zetterberg, P. E. M. Siegbahn, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1997, 4147–4152; b) C. Massera, G. Frenking, Organometallics 2003, 22, 2758–2765.
- [4] a) M. N. Hopkinson, C. Richter, M. Schedler, F. Glorius, *Nature* 2014, *510*, 485–496; b) E. Peris, *Chem. Rev.* 2017, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00695.
- [5] a) M. Henrion, V. Ritleng, M. J. Chetcuti, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 1283 1302;
 b) V. Ritleng, M. Henrion, M. J. Chetcuti, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 890 906.
- [6] a) T. Schaub, M. Backes, U. Radius, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 15964–15965; b) M. J. Iglesias, A. Prieto, M. C. Nicasio, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4318–4321; c) M. R. Harris, L. E. Hanna, M. A. Greene, C. E. Moore, E. R. Jarvo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3303–3306.
- [7] A. Thakur, J. Louie, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 2354-2365.
- [8] K. D. Schleicher, T. F. Jamison, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 875-878.
- [9] a) Y. Nakao, N. Kashihara, K. S. Kanyiva, T. Hiyama, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
 2010, 49, 4451–4454; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 4553–4556; b) C.-C.
 Tsai, W.-C. Shih, C.-H. Fang, C.-Y. Li, T.-G. Ong, G. P. A. Yap, J. Am. Chem.
 Soc. 2010, 132, 11887 11889.
- [10] a) D. A. Malyshev, N. M. Scott, N. Marion, E. D. Stevens, V. P. Ananikov, I. P. Beletskaya, S. P. Nolan, Organometallics 2006, 25, 4462–4470; b) C. Dash, M. M. Shaikh, R. J. Butcher, P. Ghosh, Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 2515–2524; c) Y. Hoshimoto, Y. Hayashi, H. Suzuki, M. Ohashi, S. Ogoshi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10812–10815; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 10970–10973.
- [11] J. E. Dander, N. A. Weires, N. K. Garg, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 3934-3936.
- [12] R. A. Kelly, N. M. Scott, S. Díez-González, E. D. Stevens, S. P. Nolan, Organometallics 2005, 24, 3442 – 3447.
- [13] T. K. Macklin, V. Snieckus, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2519 2522.
- [14] C. D. Abernethy, A. H. Cowley, R. A. Jones, J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 596, 3-5.

Et₃SiOTf were doubled.





- [15] K. Matsubara, K. Ueno, Y. Shibata, *Organometallics* **2006**, *25*, 3422 –
- [16] a) V. Ritleng, A. M. Oertel, M. J. Chetcuti, *Dalton Trans.* 2010, 39, 8153 8160; b) A. M. Oertel, V. Ritleng, M. J. Chetcuti, *Organometallics* 2012, 31, 2829 2840.
- [17] a) L. P. Bheeter, M. Henrion, L. Brelot, C. Darcel, M. J. Chetcuti, J.-B. Sortais, V. Ritleng, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2619–2624; b) L. Postigo, B. Royo, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2613–2618.
- [18] M. J. Iglesias, A. Prieto, M. C. Nicasio, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1949 1954.
- [19] Pd allyl complexes were shown to reduce readily even in the absence of a reductant: a) M. S. Viciu, R. F. Germaneau, O. Navarro-Fernandez, E. D. Stevens, S. P. Nolan, *Organometallics* 2002, 21, 5470 – 5472; b) M. S. Viciu, R. F. Germaneau, S. P. Nolan, *Org. Lett.* 2002, 4, 4053 – 4056.
- [20] a) J. D. Shields, E. E. Gray, A. G. Doyle, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2166-2169;
 b) J. Magano, S. Monfette, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 3120-3123.
- [21] a) E. A. Standley, T. F. Jamison, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 1585 1592;
 b) E. A. Standley, S. J. Smith, P. Müller, T. F. Jamison, Organometallics 2014, 33, 2012 2018.
- [22] For examples of Pd-complexes that possess lingands *trans* to the NHC capable of π -backbonding, see: a) C. J. O'Brien, E. A. B. Kantchev, C. Val-

- ente, N. Hadei, G. A. Chass, A. Lough, A. C. Hopkinson, M. G. Organ, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 4743–4748; b) J. Nasielski, N. Hadei, G. Achonduh, E. A. B. Kantchev, C. J. O'Brien, A. Lough, M. G. Organ, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 10844–10853.
- [23] a) C.-Y. Ho, T. F. Jamison, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 782-785; Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 796-799.
- [24] a) W. A. Herrmann, G. D. Frey, E. Herdtweck, M. Steinbeck, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 1677–1691; b) X. Bantreil, T. E. Schmid, R. A. M. Randall, A. M. Z. Slawin, C. S. J. Cazin, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 7115–7117; c) O. Diebolt, V. Jurčík, R. Correa da Costa, P. Braunstein, L. Cavallo, S. P. Nolan, A. M. Z. Slawin, C. S. J. Cazin, Organometallics 2010, 29, 1443–1450.
- [25] a) C.-Y. Ho, L. He, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9182-9186; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 9368-9372.
- [26] S. G. Rull, J. F. Blandez, M. R. Fructos, T. R. Belderrain, M. C. Nicasio, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 907 – 911.

Manuscript received: March 16, 2018 Version of record online: ■ ■ ■ , 0000


5

FULL PAPERS

F. Strieth-Kalthoff, A. R. Longstreet, J. M. Weber, T. F. Jamison*



☐ Bench-Stable *N*-Heterocyclic Carbene Nickel Precatalysts for C-C and C-N **Bond-Forming Reactions**



NHC Nickel Precatalysts: Bench-stable N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) nickel(II) precatalysts have been designed to undergo a Heck-like mode of activation

under mild conditions. Catalytic efficiency is demonstrated with carbonyl-ene, hydroalkenylation, and amination reactions.