

Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling of (Hetero)Aryl lodides with Fluorinated Secondary Alkyl Bromides

Xuefei Li,[†] Zhang Feng,[‡] Zhong-Xing Jiang,^{*,†} and Xingang Zhang^{*,‡}

[†]School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Wuhan University, 189 Donghu Lu, Wuhan 430071, China

[‡]Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A mild and efficient nickel-catalyzed reductive cross-coupling between fluorinated secondary alkyl bromides and (hetero)aryl iodides is described. The use of FeBr₂ as an additive successfully overcomes the hydrodebromination and β -fluorine elimination of fluorinated substrates and allows the



efficient synthesis of a wide range of trifluoromethyl and difluoroalkyl containing aliphatic compounds with a fluoroalkyl substituted tertiary carbon center. The notable features of this protocol are the synthetic and operational simplicity without preparation of moisture sensitive organometallic reagents and excellent functional group compatibility, even toward active proton containing substrates.

ransition-metal-catalyzed cross-couplings represent one of the fundamental transformations in C–C bond formation.¹ Efficient catalytic systems for a wide range of substrates are widely utilized in the production of pharmaceuticals, fine chemicals, and functional materials. Recently, nickel-catalyzed reductive cross-couplings between two electrophiles have emerged as direct methods for the construction of $C(sp^2)$ - $C(sp^3)^2$ and $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)^3$ bonds because such a strategy omits the preparation of air- and moisture-sensitive organometallics from the corresponding organic halides.⁴ Although important progress in this field has been made, the use of fluorinated secondary alkyl halides $[R_f(Alkyl)CHX, R_f =$ fluoroalkyl, X = halides] as a coupling partner has not been reported thus far; this still poses a synthesis challenge due to the difficulties in suppressing the hydrodehalogenation and β fluorine elimination of fluorinated substrates.⁵

The trifluoromethyl group (CF_3) is of paramount importance in the discovery of new bioactive molecules and advanced functional materials owning to its strong electron-withdrawing ability, high lipophilicity, bulky steric effect, etc.⁶ Over the past few years, great endeavors have been devoted to the synthesis of trifluoromethylated aromatic compounds.⁷ In contrast, less attention has been paid to the development of efficient and general methods for trifluoromethylated aliphatic compounds with a CF_3 substituted tertiary carbon center.^{7b,e,8} Therefore, it is of great interest to develop general and efficient strategies for such compounds. During our manuscript preparation, an efficient nickel catalyzed Negishi cross-coupling between fluorinated secondary alkyl electrophiles with aryl- and alkylzincs were reported.⁹ Despite the importance of this method in trifluoromethylated aliphatic compounds, the use of organozinc reagents less sensitive to moisture is accompanied by extra synthetic steps and special operations. As part of our systematic study on transition-metal-catalyzed reactions for the efficient

synthesis of fluorinated compounds,¹⁰ we herein describe an efficient and mild nickel-catalyzed reductive cross-coupling between (hetero)aryl iodides and readily available trifluoromethylated secondary alkyl bromides $[CF_3(Alkyl)CHBr]$.¹¹

Our initial studies focused on the nickel-catalyzed reductive cross-coupling between trifluoromethylated secondary alkyl bromide 1a with phenyl iodide 2a (Table 1). However, no desired product 3a was observed when the reaction was carried out with 1a (1.0 equiv), 2a (1.1 equiv), NiCl₂·DME (10 mol %), bpy (12 mol %), and Mn⁰ (3.0 equiv) in DMF at room temperature. A higher reaction temperature promoted the reaction and led to 3a in a 19% yield (entry 1). However, both hydrodebrominated and β -fluorine eliminated byproducts 5a and 6a were produced in 36% and 7% yield, respectively. Further optimization of the reaction conditions by examining a range of bipyridine-based ligands and nickel catalysts showed the combination of bpy and NiCl₂·DME was still the best choice (entries 2-4, for details; see the Supporting Information). No improvement in the reaction efficiency was observed by adding iodide ion (i.e., Bu₄NI, NaI) or acids (i.e., Et₃N·HCl, CF₃CO₂H) to activate the reaction medium^{12,13} (entries 5–8). It has been demonstrated that, for the nickel-catalyzed reductive crosscoupling, a radical pathway is involved in the oxidative addition of alkyl halides to the nickel(I) complex.¹⁴ We reasoned that the formation of byproducts 5a and 6a is probably because an active trifluoromethyl containing alkyl radical species was generated in the reaction process, which results in a series of byproducts.

Accordingly, iron salts were examined to improve the yield of 3a (entries 9-13), as low valent iron species could be produced by the reduction of manganese metal with Fe^{II} or Fe^{III}, which may have a beneficial effect on the catalytic cycle.¹⁵ To our delight, a

Received: September 18, 2015

 Table 1. Representative Results for Optimization of Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling between 1a and 2a^a

		[Ni]	(x mol %) BzO.	Ph	BzO
	Br	bpy additi	(y mol %) ve (z equiv)	M ₃ CF ₃ '	(/ ₃ C/3
BzO≁	GF3 +	Mn ⁰ (m	equiv), DMF	Ja BzO _N	Ja
1a	2a	60) ⁰C to rt	P	r ₃ ℃F ₂
					6a
entry	[Ni] (x)	bpy (y)	additive (z)	${ m Mn^0} \ (m)$	yield (%) ⁶ 3a/5a/6a
1	$NiCl_2 \cdot DME$ (10)	12	_	3	19/36/7
2	$\begin{array}{c} \text{NiBr}_2 \cdot \text{DME} \\ (10) \end{array}$	12	_	3	0/0/0
3	$\operatorname{NiCl}_2(\operatorname{PPh}_3)_2$ (10)	12	_	3	0/27/13
4	$\operatorname{Ni(COD)}_{2}$ (10)	12	-	3	0/0/0
5	$NiCl_2 \cdot DME$ (10)	12	$Bu_4NI (0.5)$	3	13/24/9
6	$NiCl_2 \cdot DME$	12	NaI (0.5)	3	0/2/0
7	$NiCl_2 \cdot DME$ (10)	12	$Et_{3}N{\cdot}HCl~(0.5)$	3	15/34/4
8	$NiCl_2 \cdot DME$	12	$CF_3CO_2H(0.5)$	3	18/28/10
9	$NiCl_2 \cdot DME$	12	$FeBr_2(0.5)$	3	43/13/6
10	$NiCl_2 \cdot DME$	12	$FeBr_3(0.5)$	3	41/5/7
11	$NiCl_2 \cdot DME$	12	$FeCl_2(0.5)$	3	37/13/8
12	$NiCl_2 \cdot DME$	12	$\operatorname{FeCl}_{3}(0.5)$	3	32/11/8
13 ^c	$NiCl_2 \cdot DME$	6	$FeBr_2$ (0.4)	2	86(78)/1/10
14 ^c	$NiCl_{2}(5)$	6	FeBr ₂ (0.4)	2	18/16/18
15 [°]	_	6	$FeBr_{2}$ (0.4)	2	nd/7/6
16 ^c	-	6	FeBr ₂ (0.05)	2	nd/5/2
17 ^c	_	_	$FeBr_2$ (0.4)	2	nd/4/6
18 ^c	NiCl ₂ ·DME (5)	6	_	2	3/9/0
19 ^c	$NiCl_2 \cdot DME$ (5)	-	$FeBr_2$ (0.4)	2	0/4/3

^{*a*}Reaction conditions (unless otherwise specified): **1a** (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv), **2a** (1.1 equiv), DMF (2 mL), 60 °C, 6 h. ^{*b*}Determined by ¹⁹F NMR using fluorobenzene as an internal standard, and number in parentheses is isolated yield. ^{*c*}**1a** (1.0 equiv), **2a** (1.3 equiv), DMA (2 mL), rt, 24 h.

43% yield of 3a was afforded, albeit with some byproducts, when FeBr₂ (0.5 equiv) was used (entry 9). Other iron salts also benefited the reaction, but showed less activity (entries 10-12). However, the exact role of iron species remains elusive at this stage and will be addressed in the future. Finally, the optimized reaction conditions were identified by evaluation of the solvents, reaction temperature, and the loading amount of nickel catalyst and additive, providing 3a in 78% yield upon isolation (entry 13). It is noteworthy that under the optimized reactions only 5 mol % of NiCl₂·DME was used and the reaction can be conducted at room temperature (entry 13). A high concentration of Ni catalyst and iron species still provided comparable yields of 3a, but increased homocoupling of 2a was observed when a high loading of Ni catalyst was used¹⁶ (for details, see the Supporting Information). However, the use of NiCl₂ led to a poor yield of 3a (entry 14). Either no or a trace amount of product was observed in the absence of nickel catalyst, bipyridine ligand, or iron species,

thus demonstrating the essential role of these three factors in the reaction (entries 15-19).

Upon the establishment of viable reaction conditions, a wide range of aryl iodides were employed as substrates in this transformation (Scheme 1). Overall, good to high yields of 3





^{*a*}Reaction conditions (unless otherwise specified): **1a** (0.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv), **2** (1.3 equiv), DMA (4 mL), 24 h. All reported yields are isolated yields. ^{*b*}Reaction ran at 50 °C for 48 h. ^{*c*}Aryl iodide (2.0 equiv), 36 h. ^{*d*}NiCl₂·DME (8 mol %), bpy (9.6 mol %). ^{*e*}S-Bromonicotinonitrile was used. ^{*f*}Reaction ran for 36 h.

were obtained, and the electronic nature of the substituents on aryl iodides showed no bias toward the reaction efficiency. A variety of versatile functional groups, including base or organometallic reagent-sensitive functionalities, such as ester, enolizable ketone, formyl, and nitrile were quite well-tolerated (3g-3j and 3q). Remarkably, the free proton containing substrates, such as phenol, aniline, and alcohol underwent the reaction smoothly and provided 3k-3m in moderate to good yields. This is in sharp contrast to the previous results,⁹ in which the organozinc reagents are very sensitive to such functional groups, as a result of additional protection and deprotection steps were required. Thus, the current reaction features the advantage of synthetic and operational simplicity. Most importantly, the aryl bromide and aryl boronic ester showed good tolerance (3n and **30**), which provided good opportunities for the synthesis of complex molecules. This is distinct from the classic transitionmetal-catalyzed cross-coupling, in which aryl bromides and aryl boronic esters are good coupling partners. Heteroaromatics are a prominent moiety found in numerous pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals. Synthesis of fluorinated compounds bearing heteroaromatic rings is highly relevant for drug discovery and development. To our delight, thiophene, pyridine, and quinoline containing substrates are competent coupling partners and

provided 3p-3r in good yields, thus highlighting the generality of the current process.

In addition to demonstrating the scope of this reaction, a variety of trifluoromethylated secondary alkyl bromides were examined (Scheme 2). Generally, good to high yields of 4 were

Scheme 2. Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling between 1 and 2^a



^{*a*}Reaction conditions (unless otherwise specified): **1** (0.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv), **2** (1.3 equiv), DMA (4 mL), 24 h. All reported yields are isolated yields. ^{*b*}Aryl iodide (2.0 equiv), 36 h. ^{*c*}Reaction ran for 36 h. ^{*d*}NiCl₂·DME (8 mol %), bpy (9.6 mol %). ^{*e*}Reaction ran at 50 °C for 48 h.

also obtained. Again, excellent functional group compatibility were observed for the current reaction. In particular, Nheteroaromatics and nucleophile-sensitive phenols, alcohols, and active proton-containing amides still furnished the corresponding products with high efficiency (4c-4g, 4j and 4m). Importantly, thiazole, an important structural motif in natural products and biologically active molecules, underwent the reaction smoothly (4k). An even higher yield of 4l was obtained when the thiazole containing alkyl bromide was treated with 3-iodothiophene. The ferrocenyl group also showed good tolerance, leading to 4j in moderate yield. Moreover, the amino acid containing alkyl bromide did not affect the reaction efficiency and provide 4m in 72% yield. This is noteworthy, as the fluorinated amino acids and their derivatives have important applications in the design of biologically active peptides and protein engineering.¹⁷ Remarkably, the sterically hindered piperidine containing alkyl bromide was a competent coupling partner and afforded 4n-4p with high efficiency, thus further demonstrating the broad substrate of this method. The trifluoromethylated tertiary alkyl bromides were not applicable to the current reaction.¹⁸

Notably, the reaction can also be extended to difluoroacetylated secondary alkyl bromide 8. As shown in Scheme 3,

Scheme 3. Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling between Two Electrophiles

Br	Ar-I	NiCl ₂ DME (5 mol %)	Ar
I +		bpy (6 mol %)	Ph
Ph CF ₂ CO ₂ Et	2	FeBr ₂ (0.4 equiv) Mn ⁰ (2 equiv), DMA, rt	9a , Ar = <i>p</i> -MeCOPh, 62% 9b , Ar = <i>p</i> -CHOPh, 76%

treatment of 8 with aryl iodides 2 still afforded the corresponding products 9 with high efficiency.¹⁹ Previous work required aryl magnesium reagents as a coupling partner, which are incompatible with enolizable ketone and formyl group, thus further demonstrating the advantages of the current method.^{5d}

To probe whether an alkyl radical existed in the reaction, radical inhibition experiments were conducted (Scheme 4a).

Scheme 4. Radical Inhibition and Radical-Clock Experiments



Dramatically decreased yields of 3d were observed with the addition of an ET scavenger 1,4-dinitrobenzene²⁰ or a radical inhibitor hydroquinone to the reaction of 1a with 2d in the presence of NiCl₂·DME (5 mol %), bpy (6 mol %), FeBr₂ (0.4 equiv), and Mn⁰ (2.0 equiv) in DMA. Thus, these results suggest an alkyl radical may be involved in the catalytic cycle. To confirm that a free alkyl radical was generated during the reaction, a radical-clock experiment was conducted (Scheme 4b). When compound 10²¹ was treated with 1a in the presence of aryl iodide 2d under standard reaction conditions, a ring-expanded product 11 was generated (8% yield, determined by ¹⁹F NMR) along with a 23% yield of 3d. This finding demonstrates that a free radical is generated in the reaction process.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a mild and efficient nickel-catalyzed reductive cross-coupling between fluorinated secondary alkyl bromides and (hetero)aryl iodides. The additive FeBr₂ plays an essential role in the promotion of the reaction and allows a broad substrate scope with high efficiency under mild reaction conditions. A deep understanding of the exact role of iron species in the current process would be a subject for future work. The significant features of this protocol are the use of bench stable and abundant organic halides without preparation of moisture-sensitive organometallic reagents and excellent functional group compatibility, even toward free protoncontaining substrates and heteroaromatics. Thus, this method provides facile access to fluoroalkyl containing aliphatic compounds for drug discovery and development.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02716.

Detailed experimental procedures, and characterization data for new compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: zxjiang@whu.edu.cn.

*E-mail: xgzhang@mail.sioc.ac.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (Nos. 2012CB821600 and 2015CB931900), the NSFC (21425208, 21332010, 21372181, and 21572168), and SIOC.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Stille, J. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 508.
 (b) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457. (c) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3009.

(2) For selected papers, see: (a) Durandetti, M.; Gosmini, C.; Perichon, J. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 1146. (b) Everson, D. A.; Shrestha, R.; Weix, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 920. (c) Everson, D. A.; Jones, B. A.; Weix, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 6146. (d) Wang, S.; Qian, Q.; Gong, H. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3352. (e) Zhao, Y.; Weix, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 48. (f) Yan, C.-S.; Peng, Y.; Xu, X.-B.; Wang, Y.-W. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 6039 (corrigendum in 2013, 19, 15438).
(g) Molander, G. A.; Traister, K. M.; O'Neill, B. T. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 5771. (h) Peng, Y.; Xu, X.-B.; Xiao, J.; Wang, Y.-W. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 472.

(3) (a) Yu, X.; Yang, T.; Wang, S.; Xu, H.; Gong, H. Org. Lett. **2011**, *13*, 2138. (b) Xu, H.; Zhao, C.; Qian, Q.; Deng, W.; Gong, H. Chem. Sci. **2013**, *4*, 4022. (c) Peng, Y.; Luo, L.; Yan, C.-S.; Zhang, J.-J.; Wang, Y.-W. J. Org. Chem. **2013**, *78*, 10960. (d) Xue, W.; Xu, H.; Liang, Z.; Qian, Q.; Gong, H. Org. Lett. **2014**, *16*, 4984.

(4) For Co-catalyzed reductive cross-coupling, see: (a) Gosmini, C.; Begouin, J.-M.; Moncomble, A. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3221.
(b) Gomes, P.; Gosmini, C.; Perichon, J. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1043.
(c) Amatore, M.; Gosmini, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2089.
For iron-catalyzed reductive cross-coupling, see: (d) Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; von Wangelin, A. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 607. For palladium-catalyzed reductive cross-coupling, see: (e) Krasovskiy, A.; Duplais, C.; Lipshutz, B. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15592.

(5) For transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling of aryl metals with alkyl halides bearing β -fluorines, see: (a) Zhao, Y.; Hu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, *51*, 1033. (b) Liang, A.; Li, X.; Liu, D.; Li, J.; Zou, D.; Wu, Y.; Wu, Y. Chem. Commun. **2012**, *48*, 8273. (c) Leng, F.; Wang, Y.; Li, H.; Li, J.; Zou, D.; Wu, Y.; Wu, Y. Chem. Commun. **2013**, *49*, 10697. (d) Lin, X.; Zheng, F.; Qing, F.-L. Organometallics **2012**, *31*, 1578.

(6) For recent reviews, see: (a) Müller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. *Science* 2007, 317, 1881. (b) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008, 37, 320. (c) Meanwell, N. A. *J. Med. Chem.* 2011, 54, 2529. (d) Wang, J.; Sanchez-Rosello, M.; Acena, J. L.; del Pozo, C.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Fustero, S.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Liu, H. *Chem. Rev.* 2014, 114, 2432.

(7) For selected reviews, see: (a) Tomashenko, O. A.; Grushin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4475. (b) Furuya, T.; Kamlet, A. S.; Ritter, T. Nature 2011, 473, 470. (c) Besset, T.; Schneider, C.; Cahard, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5048. (d) Qing, F.-L. Youji Huaxue 2012, 32, 815. (e) Alonso, C.; Martinez de Marigorta, E.; Rubiales, G.; Palacios, F. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 1847. For selected papers, see: (f) Cho, E. J.; Senecal, T. D.; Kinzel, T.; Zhang, Y.; Watson, D. A.; Buchwald, S. L. Science 2010, 328, 1679. (g) Oishi, M.; Kondo, H.; Amii, H. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1909.

(8) For selected review, see: (a) Prakash, G. K. S.; Yudin, A. K. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 757. For selected examples, see: (b) Chen, Q.-Y.; Wu, S.-W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 705. (c) Bouillon, J. P.; Maliverney, C.; Merenyi, R.; Viehe, H. G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1991, 2147. (d) Li, Y.; Liang, F.; Li, Q.; Xu, Y.-C.; Wang, Q.-R.; Jiang, L. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 6082. (e) Nishimine, T.; Fukushi, K.; Shibata, N.; Taira, H.; Tokunaga, E.; Yamano, A.; Shiro, M.; Shibata, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 517. (f) Mizuta, S.; Engle, K. M.; Verhoog, S.; Galicia-Lopez, O.; O'Duill, M.; Medebielle, M.; Wheelhouse, K.; Rassias, G.; Thompson, A. L.; Gouverneur, V. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1250. (9) (a) Liang, Y.; Fu, G. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9047. (b) Liang, Y.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 9523.

(10) (a) Feng, Z.; Chen, F.; Zhang, X. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1938.
(b) Feng, Z.; Min, Q.-Q.; Xiao, Y.-L.; Zhang, B.; Zhang, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1669. (c) Min, Q.-Q.; Yin, Z.; Feng, Z.; Guo, W.-H.; Zhang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 1230. (d) Xiao, Y.-L.; Guo, W.-H.; He, G.-Z.; Pan, Q.; Zhang, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9909.
(e) Feng, Z.; Min, Q.-Q.; Zhao, H.-Y.; Gu, J.-W.; Zhang, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1270.

(11) The trifluoromethylated secondary alkyl bromides $[CF_3(Alkyl) CHBr]$ can be easily synthesized from TMSCF₃ and aldehydes; see Supporting Information p S13.

(12) I⁻ is known to accelerate the reduction of Ni(II) salts to an active catalyst in the reductive reactions, probably through acceleration of electron transfer between Mn and Ni or through *in situ* generation of alkyl iodides; see: (a) Colon, I.; Kelsey, D. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 2627. (b) Prinsell, M. R.; Everson, D. A.; Weix, D. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 5743.

(13) TFA is known to activate the Mn^0 surface.

(14) Biswas, S.; Weix, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16192.

(15) (a) Durandetti, M.; Perichon, J. Synthesis 2006, 1542 and ref 4b.

(16) No homocoupling of **1a** was observed when the loading amount of NiCl₂·DME or FeBr₂ was increased. The formation of homocoupling of **2a** does not depend on the concentration of FeBr₂, as no increased homocoupling of **2a** was observed when the loading amount of FeBr₂ was increased.

(17) Smits, R.; Koksch, B. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2006, 6, 1483.

(18) When CF_3CH_2Cl was employed as a coupling partner to react with 2a, only a poor yield of corresponding product was obtained.

(19) For transition-metal-catalyzed difluoroalkylations, see ref 10 and Belhomme, M.-C.; Besset, T.; Poisson, T.; Pannecoucke, X. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 12836.

(20) Huang, X.-T.; Chen, Q.-Y. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 4651 and ref 10b.

(21) Baldwin, J. E. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1197 and ref 10e.