

Article

Promoting Effect of Sulfur Addition on the Catalytic Performance of Ni/MgAl₂O₄ Catalysts for Isobutane Dehydrogenation

Guowei Wang, Zhe Meng, Jianwei Liu, Chunyi Li, and Honghong Shan

ACS Catal., Just Accepted Manuscript • Publication Date (Web): 11 Nov 2013

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on November 17, 2013

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



ACS Publications
High quality. High impact.

ACS Catalysis is published by the American Chemical Society, 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Promoting Effect of Sulfur Addition on the Catalytic Performance of Ni/MgAl₂O₄ Catalysts for Isobutane Dehydrogenation

Guowei Wang, Zhe Meng, Jianwei Liu, Chunyi Li*, Honghong Shan

State Key Laboratory of Heavy Oil Processing, China University of Petroleum, Qingdao 266580, PR China

*Corresponding author's e-mail: chyli@upc.edu.cn, chyli_upc@126.com (C. L.).

ABSTRACT

Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts with high NiO loadings were highly active for isobutane cracking, which led to abundant formation of methane, hydrogen and coke. The results of activity testing and XRD characterization jointly revealed that large ensembles of metallic nickel species formed during reaction notably catalyzed cracking instead of dehydrogenation. However, after introduction of sulfur into Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst through impregnation with ammonium sulfate, undesired cracking reactions were effectively inhibited, and the selectivity to isobutene increased remarkably. Totally, up to ~42 wt% isobutene could be obtained at 560 °C in a single pass after the modification. From the characterization results, it was also concluded that, after sulfur introduction, NiO particles became much smaller and better dispersed on the catalyst surface. NiS species, formed during the induction period of the reaction, not only facilitated isobutene desorption from the catalyst, but also constituted the active sites for isobutane dehydrogenation. In addition, due to the appearance of NiS species, Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst after H₂S/H₂ sulfuration exhibited a high initial activity without experiencing an induction period, further confirming the crucial role that introduced sulfur played.

KEYWORDS: *isobutane, dehydrogenation, Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts, sulfur addition, NiS*

1. INTRODUCTION

Driven largely by the increasing demand for isobutene, which has been widely applied in the production of polyisobutene (PIB), methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) and methyl methacrylate (MMA), the technologies for isobutane dehydrogenation have attracted much attention in recent years.

Two kinds of catalysts commercially applied for catalytic dehydrogenation of isobutane are chromia-based¹⁻³ and platinum-based catalysts⁴⁻⁶. Although relatively satisfactory performance has been achieved, both of them possess their own disadvantages. Cr⁶⁺ species are harmful to humans and the environment during both preparation and application processes, while for Pt-based catalysts, high investment and operating costs are inevitable. Up to now, the development of a novel catalyst system with relatively inexpensive and environmentally friendly characteristics is still one of the urgent issues.

Nickel oxide, known to be active in many important reactions for decades, such as water-gas shift⁷⁻⁹, and steam reforming of methane¹⁰⁻¹¹ and ethanol¹²⁻¹³, may be an attractive alternative. Some researchers¹⁴⁻²⁰ have investigated the reaction mechanism of gas phase transition metal ions with light alkanes to determine the factors governing C-C and C-H bond cleavage ratios. Georgiadis et al.¹⁴ and Jacobson et al.²⁰ reported that nickel ions were prone to insert into the weakest C-C bond rather than the C-H bond; consequently, cracking reactions occurred. Although these homogeneous catalytic reactions investigated in the gas phase have little practical value, the theories developed provide profound guidance to deepen the understanding of the nature of dehydrogenation.

In 1994, Resasco and his coworkers²¹ found that nickel-based catalysts exhibited high catalytic activity but low selectivity towards olefins in isobutane dehydrogenation, and as a result of the rapid cracking reactions, abundant methane and coke were generated. Since the breakage of C-C bond occurs preferentially under the catalysis of nickel species, greater efforts should be made to selectively activate alkanes to achieve higher selectivities towards olefins in alkane dehydrogenation²². To activate the alkanes selectively, two important aspects should not be overlooked: (1) to inhibit C-C bond rupture²³ to reduce the possibility of cracking reactions, (2) to facilitate desorption of the olefin produced²⁴⁻²⁵ to avoid undesired secondary reactions. To achieve the above objectives, the researchers²¹ introduced a certain amount of dimethyl sulfoxide into Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst and found that the selectivity to isobutene was improved effectively, but both the activity and stability still needed to be improved. Moreover, the liquids containing sulfur are generally hazardous and malodorous. Therefore, in this study, relatively safe sulfate species are introduced to modify the nickel-based catalysts instead.

In this study, Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts with different NiO loadings were prepared and evaluated for catalytic dehydrogenation of isobutane. Moreover, sulfur modified Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts were prepared by impregnation of ammonium sulfate and catalytic performance was also evaluated. Experimental results demonstrated that improved dehydrogenation performance was achieved for the sulfur modified catalysts. Subsequently, the effects of sulfur addition on surface structure, redox properties and adsorption-desorption behaviors of Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst were investigated, and the active species for dehydrogenation were also speculated. Furthermore, sulfuration of Ni/MgAl₂O₄

catalyst with $\text{H}_2\text{S}/\text{H}_2$ was carried out to gain deeper insight into the nature of the active phase.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Catalyst Preparation.

MgAl_2O_4 was prepared by the sol-gel method. Pseudo-boehmite powder was mixed with distilled water in a vessel to obtain a suspended solution, and then HCl solution was added dropwise under vigorous stirring at 70 °C. After that, an appropriate amount of magnesium nitrate solution was added. The gel was stirred for 4 h, dried at 140 °C overnight, and calcined at 700 °C in air for 4 h. Finally, the support was crushed and sieved to 80-180 μm for later use.

Nickel oxide catalysts supported on MgAl_2O_4 were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation with appropriate amounts of nickel nitrate. Sulfur modified Ni/ MgAl_2O_4 catalysts were obtained by sequential impregnation of MgAl_2O_4 with aqueous ammonium sulfate and nickel nitrate solutions. After impregnation, the catalysts were dried at 140 °C overnight and calcined at 700 °C in air for 2 h. The as-prepared catalysts are denoted as $x\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2$ for the unmodified catalysts and $x\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2\text{-S}$ for the catalysts with sulfur addition, respectively, where x represents the content of nickel oxide by weight, and the content of SO_4^{2-} was 5 wt% for the sulfur modified catalysts.

2.2. Catalyst Characterization.

Specific surface areas and pore structure properties of the catalysts were determined by adsorption-desorption measurements of nitrogen at liquid nitrogen temperature using a Quadrasorb SI instrument. Prior to the measurement, all samples were evacuated at 300 °C for 4 h at a pressure

of 1.0×10^{-3} kPa to ensure complete removal of adsorbed moisture. X-ray diffraction (XRD) of the catalysts was carried out on an X'Pert PRO MPD diffractometer system using Cu K α radiation at 40 kV and 40 mA, running from 5° to 75° with a speed of 10 °/min. And SEM images were obtained using HITACHI S-4800 scanning electronic microscope.

The redox behavior of catalyst samples was determined by temperature-programmed reduction of hydrogen (H₂-TPR). During the test, about 0.1 g of sample was loaded into the apparatus, preheated in a flow of helium at 200 °C for 0.5 h, and then cooled down to 80 °C. Subsequently, the sample was brought into contact with a mixture of 10 vol. % H₂/N₂ (30 mL/min) and heated at a rate of 10 °C/min to the final temperature. The effluent gas passed through a thermal conductivity detector and was analyzed with a GAS-100Q mass spectrometer.

To investigate the adsorption and desorption behaviors of the catalysts for alkanes and alkenes, isobutane and isobutene adsorption-desorption tests were performed with a FINESORB-3010 chemisorption analyzer, and the gas released from the reactor was analyzed by an online GAS-100Q quadrupole mass spectrometer. A schematic of the apparatus is shown in Figure S1 in the Supporting Information Section. For each test, about 0.2 g of catalyst was loaded into a quartz tube reactor, preheated in a flow of nitrogen (60 mL/min) at 450 °C for 0.5 h, and then cooled to 50 °C. Subsequently, the nitrogen flow was instantaneously switched to a mixture of Ar (30 mL/min) and isobutane or isobutene (30 mL/min). Compared with the insert gas, detection of hydrocarbon was delayed to some extent due to adsorption on the catalyst surface, and a large difference between the signals of inert gas and hydrocarbon implied a stronger adsorption ability of the catalysts for

isobutane or isobutene. For the tests of hydrocarbon desorption, the flow was switched back to nitrogen after adsorption saturation.

2.3. Catalytic Activity Test.

Isobutane dehydrogenation was conducted using a fixed bed micro-reactor at 560 °C under atmospheric pressure with 4 g catalysts (80-180 μm) loaded into the reactor. Prior to reaction, catalysts were degassed at reaction temperature in a nitrogen flow to remove adsorbed oxygen and water from the catalyst surface. During the test, isobutane was fed to the reactor at a fixed flow rate of 2 mL/min with a nitrogen flow of 12 mL/min. The composition of effluent gaseous products was analyzed by a Bruker 450 Gas Chromatograph equipped with a FID detector to determine the composition of hydrocarbons and two TCD detectors to analyze the content of hydrogen, carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide. Through analyzing the quantity of CO₂ generated during in situ coke combustion with the chromatogram, the amount of coke deposited on the catalysts after reaction was determined.

Isobutane conversion and product selectivity are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Isobutane conversion} = \frac{\text{Isobutane, in} - \text{Isobutane, out}}{\text{Isobutane, in}} \times 100 \text{ wt\%} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Selectivity to product } i = \frac{i, \text{ out}}{\text{Isobutane, in} - \text{Isobutane, out}} \times 100 \text{ wt\%} \quad (2)$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Dehydrogenation Performance of Ni/MgAl₂O₄ Catalysts.

Isobutane dehydrogenation over Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts with different NiO loadings was

evaluated in the fixed bed micro-reactor at 560 °C, and the results are displayed in Figure 1. It is apparent that the dehydrogenation performance is strongly affected by NiO loading. As for 1Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst, a very low and steady activity lasting for 2 h was observed, while the selectivity to isobutene was maintained at a high level. With increasing NiO loading, the catalytic performance changed significantly. As the reaction proceeded, the isobutane conversion firstly increased and then decreased dramatically for both 5Ni/MgAl₂ and 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalysts; meanwhile, the selectivity to isobutene exhibited the opposite trend with time on stream (TOS). It is also worth noting that, with decreasing selectivity towards isobutene, abundant methane was generated, indicating the transformation from dehydrogenation to undesirable cracking reactions. In general, due to the low isobutane conversion at low NiO loadings and inferior selectivity to isobutene at high loadings, isobutene yield was unsatisfactory for the investigated catalysts.

Possibly resulting from structural changes in surface nickel species during reaction, the desired dehydrogenation reaction was transformed to cracking reactions, most probably hydrogenolysis reactions with the presence of hydrogen produced in the dehydrogenation reaction, and consequently, abundant methane was generated at reaction time 0.5 h. After 2 h reaction, the coke contents of Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts with NiO loadings of 1, 5 and 13 wt% were 0.09, 1.93 and 3.55 wt%, respectively. Therefore, the decreasing isobutane conversion with TOS could mainly be attributed to the coverage of active sites by coke deposition. And consistent with the variation trend of coke yield, the deactivation rate also increased with NiO loading.




Figure 1. Dehydrogenation performance of Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts with different NiO loadings.

To further investigate the possible transformation of nickel phase during the reaction, XRD characterization of both the fresh 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst and the catalyst reacted for 0.5 h, the latter of which exhibited highest cracking activity and yielded most methane, was carried out. In the XRD patterns displayed in Figure 2, NiO, MgAl₂O₄ and NiAl₂O₄ (the latter two presented indistinguishable characteristic peaks) were all detected on the fresh catalyst. With regard to 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst reacted for 0.5 h, the intensity of diffraction peaks for NiO decreased, and additional peaks characteristic of metallic nickel were observed. It has been reported that metallic nickel is extremely active for C-C bond scission²⁶; moreover, it is also believed that the

hydrogenolysis of alkanes occurs rapidly under the catalysis of Ni-based catalysts²¹, which leads to abundant formation of methane, coke and hydrogen. Therefore, it could be concluded that the metallic nickel species generated during the reaction facilitated the undesired cracking reactions, and at the same time, the dehydrogenation reaction was weakened. One possible explanation for the low cracking activity of the catalysts with low NiO loading is that the hydrogenolysis reaction is structure sensitive and a large ensemble of nickel atoms are required to constitute the active sites and catalyze the reaction²¹. Furthermore, to detect the nickel ensembles directly, SEM images of both 1Ni/MgAl₂ and 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalysts after 0.5 h of reaction have been taken, as shown in Figure S2 in the Supporting Information. As for 1Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst, the nickel species on the support were highly dispersed and hardly aggregated together. In contrast, the surface of 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst was covered by plentiful nickel species, and nickel ensembles with average diameters of about 1.5 μm were also observed, which were likely responsible for hydrogenolysis. Moreover, the reduction process becomes much more difficult for smaller NiO particles²⁷, which also restricted the undesired reactions.

In general, Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts with low NiO loadings exhibited poor activity, while undesired cracking reactions, most probably hydrogenolysis reactions catalyzed by the aggregated metallic nickel formed during the reaction, occurred at high loadings. Consequently, further work is still required to improve the catalytic performance.




Figure 2. XRD patterns of different 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalysts.

3.2. Effect of Sulfur Introduction into Ni/MgAl₂O₄ Catalysts.

3.2.1. Dehydrogenation Performance and Reaction Kinetics.

To improve the dehydrogenation performance of the catalysts, many attempts have been carried out. Among them, sulfur modification by impregnating the catalyst with ammonium sulfate improved the catalyst performance impressively, which was in accordance with the excellent activation ability that the sulfide catalysts behaved in the hydrogenation reaction²⁸⁻³⁰, i.e., reverse reaction to dehydrogenation. Activity test results of the 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst are displayed in Figure 3, and detailed comparison with the properties and performance of 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst

without sulfur addition is shown in Table 1.




Figure 3. Dehydrogenation performance of 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst.

Compared with the catalyst with no sulfur addition, the initial isobutane conversion over 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst exhibited little difference, while the initial selectivity to isobutene was much lower due to the formation of a large amount of CO_x (not shown). Moreover, both the isobutane conversion and selectivity towards isobutene increased gradually with TOS in the first 5 h of the reaction, suggesting the existence of an induction period for 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst. Similar induction periods have also been reported for Mo-based catalysts³¹ and In-based catalysts³²⁻³⁴, which were considered to be the result of the variation of valence state or structure of surface species. Moreover, the selectivity to methane was constantly kept at a very low level during the continuous 12 h reaction, which indicated that the cracking reactions were effectively inhibited by the sulfur modification. At the steady stage of the reaction for sulfur modified catalyst, lasting from 6 h to 10 h, isobutene selectivity up to 90 wt% and isobutene yield higher than 40 wt% were both obtained. And after that, slightly decreasing isobutane conversion due to catalyst deactivation was observed.

Table 1. Properties and Reaction Results of 13Ni/MgAl₂ Catalysts Before and After Sulfur Addition

item	13Ni/MgAl ₂ ^e	13Ni/MgAl ₂ ^f	13Ni/MgAl ₂ -S ^e	13Ni/MgAl ₂ -S ^g
S _{BET} ^a , m ² /g	80		84	
D _p ^b , nm	7.9		6.5	
R ^c , mmol h ⁻¹ g ⁻¹	-		0.6	
methane	54.6	56.2	2.6	9.3
ethane	0	0	0.2	4.0
ethene	0	0	0.2	3.9
propane	0	0	0.3	3.0
propene	0	0	1.5	10.5
<i>n</i> -Butane	0	0.1	0.1	2.5
selectivity ^d , wt%				
<i>n</i> -Butene	0	1.8	1.4	3.2
<i>i</i> -Butene	0	8.4	86.5	52.6
H ₂	3.1	3.3	3.9	5.4
CO ₂	0.2	0.6	0	0
CO	2.2	2.6	2.7	1.7
coke	39.9	26.8	0	1.4
other product	0	0.2	0.6	2.5
conversion ^d , wt%	91.0	48.9	48.4	90.7

^aSpecific surface area.^bPore size.^cFormation rate of isobutene per mass of catalyst.^dData were collected after 0.5 h reaction for 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst and after 5 h reaction for 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst, when maximum isobutane conversion was achieved. And product selectivity data have been normalized.^eCatalytic performances of 13Ni/MgAl₂ and 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalysts obtained at the same reaction conditions as described in the Experimental Section.^fCatalytic performance of 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst obtained at similar conversion level with 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst under different reaction conditions.^gCatalytic performance of 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst obtained at similar conversion level with 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst under different reaction conditions.

For 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalysts before and after sulfur introduction, the reaction conditions were adjusted to obtain the product distributions at similar conversion levels. As listed in Table 1, at

similar conversion levels, the isobutene selectivity increased significantly after sulfur introduction to the catalyst; simultaneously, the selectivity to methane decreased remarkably. It should be mentioned here that the reaction temperature was elevated significantly to obtain the high isobutane conversion over 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst; therefore, the undesired thermal cracking reactions inevitably occurred, leading to decreased selectivity to isobutene.

To investigate possible phase transformation of the catalysts with TOS, 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalysts before and after reaction were both characterized. XRD patterns (see Figure 2) of the fresh 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst were similar with the catalyst without sulfur addition due to the low SO₄²⁻ content of 5 wt%. As for the 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst after 5 h reaction, no diffraction peak corresponding to metallic nickel was detected, suggesting that the nickel oxide on the catalyst surface was possibly not yet reduced during the continuous 5 h reaction, or the metallic nickel species formed were likely to be well dispersed on the catalyst surface. Consequently, the rapid cracking reactions activated by large ensembles of metallic nickel were inhibited remarkably. Moreover, after the introduction of sulfur, the coke content of the used catalyst decreased dramatically, from 3.55 wt% to less than 0.01 wt%, which was consistent with the reported results of Resasco et al.²¹ that the pretreatment of nickel-based catalysts with dimethyl sulfoxide significantly inhibited the coke formation in isobutane dehydrogenation. As such, a low coke formation rate over the catalyst with sulfur addition guaranteed a relatively stable catalytic activity after the induction period.

To further study the reaction kinetics, isobutane dehydrogenation over 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst

was carried out in a fixed bed reactor at different temperatures ranging from 540 °C to 600 °C with various isobutane flow rates under atmospheric pressure, and all experimental data were collected at the steady state rather than the induction period of the reaction. According to the open literature³⁵⁻³⁶, a power law model neglecting adsorption of isobutane and isobutene was adopted for the dehydrogenation kinetics as follows, where r represents reaction rate, k_1 and K_{eq} stand for reaction rate constant of isobutane dehydrogenation and equilibrium constant, respectively.

$$-r_{C_4H_{10}} = k_1 \left(P_{C_4H_{10}} - \frac{P_{C_4H_8} P_{H_2}}{K_{eq}} \right) \quad (3)$$

Accordingly, the value of the frequency factor for isobutane dehydrogenation in this work was estimated to be 9.2×10^6 , and an activation energy of 84 kJ/mol was also obtained, which was much lower than that of 133-142 kJ/mol for isobutane dehydrogenation over Cr_2O_3/Al_2O_3 catalyst³⁷ and 95 kJ/mol over Pt/Sn/SiO₂ catalyst³⁸.

3.2.2 Structure Characteristics of the Catalysts.

To investigate the interaction of introduced sulfur with the support and nickel species after calcination, 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst with SO₄²⁻ content of 15 wt% was prepared and characterized by XRD, with the patterns illustrated in Figure S3 in the Supporting Information. In addition to peaks characteristic of MgAl₂O₄, NiAl₂O₄ and NiO, additional peaks characteristic of MgSO₄ were also detected, indicating that the sulfate introduced was interacting preferentially with MgO in the support.

SEM images shown in Figure 4 illustrate the differences in the morphology of surface species

on 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalysts before and after sulfur introduction. For the catalyst without sulfur addition (see Figure 4a-b), the catalyst surface was densely covered by a large amount of uniformly distributed cubic crystals (100-200 nm in length). Given the morphology of 1Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst (see Figure S2a in the Supporting Information) in which the support MgAl₂O₄ was basically exposed, these semi-cubic particles were speculated to be NiO. Meanwhile, a certain amount of aggregated NiO particles were also observed. In contrast, after the introduction of sulfur, relatively long cubic NiO crystals were transformed to small crystals (20-80 nm in length) (see Figure 4c-d).




Figure 4. SEM images of 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalysts before and after sulfur addition: a-b. 13Ni/MgAl₂; c-d. 13Ni/MgAl₂-S.

This observation is consistent with the increase in specific surface area and the decrease in pore size (as listed in Table 1). The large ensembles of VIII metal were reported to be active for cracking reactions³⁹⁻⁴⁰. It has been reported that⁴¹, with the combined effect of rhenium and sulfur, the surface

1
2
3
4
5 platinum on the catalyst was divided into tiny particles, and then a highly selective catalyst with mild
6
7 dehydrogenation activity and good stability was obtained. In this work, the introduction of sulfur
8
9 also seemed to prevent the nickel species from aggregating, and thus inhibiting the formation of
10
11 agglomerated metallic nickel species and undesired cracking reactions, consistent with the results of
12
13 XRD characterization and activity tests.
14
15
16
17

18 19 3.2.3. Redox Behaviors of the Catalysts.

20
21 As evidenced by the experimental results, metallic nickel and nickel oxide species on the
22
23 catalyst surface exhibited different catalytic behaviors; therefore, the dehydrogenation performance
24
25 of the catalysts is directly related to their reduction properties. Accordingly, H₂-TPR was carried out
26
27 to investigate the effect of sulfur addition on the redox behaviors of Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts, and the
28
29 H₂-TPR profiles are shown in Figure 5.
30
31
32
33
34

35 As for the fresh 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst (as displayed in Figure 5a), the appearance of a weak
36
37 reduction peak extending from 350 °C to 500 °C was speculated to be caused by the reduction of
38
39 nickel oxide species weakly interacting with the support to metallic nickel species. In addition, a
40
41 major peak around 760 °C was also observed, which was reported to result from the reduction of
42
43 other nickel species closely interacting with the support - for instance, in the form of NiAl₂O₄⁴²⁻⁴⁴.
44
45
46
47

48 For the H₂-TPR profile of 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst, three reduction peaks appeared (as displayed
49
50 in Figure 5b). Compared with 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst, the intensity of the low temperature peak
51
52 increased to some extent, indicating that, apart from the reduction of dispersed NiO species, partial
53
54 reduction of introduced sulfate species might also occur. Moreover, a newly emerged large reduction
55
56
57
58
59
60

peak around 600 °C was observed. To determine the origin of this peak, an online MS spectrometer has been applied to detect the released gases during the TPR process. As illustrated in Figure 5c, a peak around 600 °C in the MS spectra corresponding to the formation of H₂S was detected, indicating that the newly emerged peak was attributed to the reduction of sulfate species introduced. And it was also worth noting that the sulfate species could even be reduced at a reaction temperature of 560 °C, which made possible the formation of metal sulfides. Moreover, as for the high temperature reduction peak in Figure 5a and Figure 5b, no obvious variation was observed.




Figure 5. H₂-TPR and real time MS profiles of different 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalysts.

3.2.4. Adsorption and Desorption Behaviors for Isobutane and Isobutene.

Adsorption and desorption are important steps in heterogeneous catalytic reactions, and generate significant impacts on the catalytic behaviors of the catalysts. Strong adsorption of the reactants increases the possibility of the reaction and thus facilitates the conversion of the reactants. However, if the reactant molecules are strongly adsorbed but hardly react, the active sites will be poisoned. Moreover, fast desorption of the products reduces the chances of undesired secondary reactions and further increases the selectivity towards target products⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷.

To investigate the adsorption and desorption behaviors of the catalysts for isobutane and isobutene and their impacts on dehydrogenation performance, the transient response experiment as depicted in the Experimental Section was carried out on the apparatus displayed in Figure S1 in the Supporting Information. For both $^{13}\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2$ catalyst reacted for 0.5 h (when maximum cracking activity was achieved) and $^{13}\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2\text{-S}$ catalyst reacted for 5 h (when maximum dehydrogenation activity was obtained), the transient response signals of Ar and isobutene during the adsorption processes at different temperatures are shown in Figure 6. It is apparent that the signal delay extent between isobutene and Ar of $^{13}\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2$ catalyst was much larger than that of $^{13}\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2\text{-S}$ catalyst. As described previously, a larger signal delay extent between hydrocarbon and inert gas in the adsorption process implies a stronger adsorption ability of the catalysts, while, that in the desorption process indicates more difficult desorption of the hydrocarbon instead. Therefore, compared with $^{13}\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2\text{-S}$ catalyst, the adsorption ability of $^{13}\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2$ catalyst for isobutene is much stronger.




Figure 6. Transient response signals of Ar and isobutene over $13\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2$ catalyst reacted for 0.5 h and $13\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2\text{-S}$ catalyst reacted for 5 h during the adsorption procedure.

To clearly compare the distinction between the transient response signals, the area between the curves of Ar and isobutene was computed through integration of the difference, and the calculated adsorption areas are plotted as a function of temperature in Figure 7a. Similarly, the results of the desorption data for isobutene are also obtained and displayed in Figure 7b. Difficult desorption of alkenes from the nickel sites probably facilitates undesired secondary reactions and coke formation, and consequently, the active sites are covered by deposited coke and further deactivate. In this work,

both smaller adsorption and desorption areas of isobutene were observed for $^{13}\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2\text{-S}$ catalyst, indicating much harder adsorption and easier desorption of the generated isobutene, and consequently, undesired secondary reactions were effectively inhibited and isobutene selectivity increased remarkably.




Figure 7. Integral area between Ar and hydrocarbon over $^{13}\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2$ catalyst reacted for 0.5 h and $^{13}\text{Ni}/\text{MgAl}_2\text{-S}$ catalyst reacted for 5 h during both adsorption and desorption procedures.

Furthermore, both larger integrated adsorption (Figure 7c) and desorption (Figure 7d) areas of isobutane were detected for the Ni/MgAl_2 catalyst, especially at higher temperatures. This result suggests that the catalyst before sulfur introduction possessed stronger adsorption ability of

isobutane, but lower desorption ability, thus facilitating the conversion of isobutane.

3.3. Active Phase of Ni/MgAl₂O₄ Catalysts with Sulfur Addition.

Based on the above discussions, the introduction of sulfur prevented the nickel oxide species from being aggregated, facilitated the desorption of isobutene generated, and thus reduced the possibility of occurrence of cracking reactions and undesired secondary reactions. However, the initial dehydrogenation activity of 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst was relatively low, and an induction period was required to achieve the maximum activity. Observation of such an induction period suggested that the improved performance was probably related to the phase transformation of nickel species during the induction period, and the formation of a new active phase might be the real reason.

To investigate the variation of valence states of sulfur species during the induction period, XPS measurements were performed over both the fresh 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst and the catalyst reacted for 5 h, when maximum catalytic activity was obtained. The S 2*p* XPS spectra are illustrated in Figure 8. For the fresh 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst, only one oxidation state of sulfur with binding energy of S 2*p* between 168.5 and 169.5 eV, characteristic of sulfate species⁴⁸⁻⁴⁹, was detected. After the induction period, additional features with binding energy of S 2*p* around 161.7 eV, corresponding to the formation of S²⁻ species⁵⁰⁻⁵¹, appeared. Therefore, it could be speculated that the nickel sulfide species formed during the reaction were probably the active phase for dehydrogenation, which contributed to the improved performance.




Figure 8. XPS spectra of 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalysts before and after reaction: a. fresh 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst; b. 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst after 5 h reaction.

In order to verify the above speculation that NiS species constitute the active phase of ammonium sulfate modified catalysts for isobutane dehydrogenation, 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst was sulfurated by 10 vol.% H₂S/H₂ and further evaluated, which was similar with the sulfuration process in the hydrogenation reaction⁵²⁻⁵³. Figure S4 in the Supporting Information illustrates the dehydrogenation performance of 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst sulfurated by H₂S/H₂ at a reaction temperature of 560 °C for 1 h, 2 h, 3 h and 5 h, respectively. For all the cases, the induction period disappeared, and the relatively high initial isobutane conversion indicates that the active phase NiS was probably formed during the sulfuration process. The initial isobutane conversion increased gradually with sulfuration time until 3 h, and after that the conversion decreased to some extent. Due to slight changes in isobutene selectivity arising from the different sulfuration processes used, isobutene yield exhibited a similar variation trend with that of isobutane conversion. To study the effect of sulfuration temperature (350 °C, 450 °C, 560 °C and 600 °C) on catalyst dehydrogenation

performance, the sulfuration time was fixed at 3 h. As illustrated in Figure S5 in the Supporting Information, for the catalyst sulfured at 350 °C, an obvious induction period could still be observed. With the increase of sulfuration temperature above 450 °C, the induction period disappeared, and the initial isobutane conversion first increased, and then decreased significantly. In consideration of isobutene yield, the optimum sulfuration temperature was about 560 °C, at which the highest initial isobutane conversion and isobutene yield were both obtained. In conclusion, the catalyst sulfured at 560 °C for 3 h exhibited the best dehydrogenation performance (as shown in Figure 9), for which the initial isobutane conversion up to ~64 wt% and relatively high selectivity to isobutene were obtained.




Figure 9. Catalytic performance of 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst (without sulfur addition) sulfured by H₂S/H₂ for 3 h.

The sulfured 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst under the optimum sulfuration conditions was also characterized by XRD and the patterns are illustrated in Figure 2. In addition to diffraction peaks of fresh 13Ni/MgAl₂ catalyst, additional characteristic peaks of NiS at 30.1°, 34.7° and 53.5° were also detected, in accordance with the results of XPS characterization obtained for 13Ni/MgAl₂-S catalyst.

Therefore, the conclusion can be drawn that, for the Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst with sulfur addition, NiS species, formed during both the reaction and sulfuration processes, are the real active phase for isobutane dehydrogenation.

Furthermore, possibly due to the consumption of the active phase, the catalyst activity decreased gradually with TOS. For the sulfurated catalyst reacted for 3 h, characterization peaks of Ni₇S₆ were observed in the XRD patterns (see Figure 2), suggesting that sulfur loss was the real reason for catalyst deactivation during the reaction. An online MS was applied to detect the gas released during isobutane dehydrogenation over the sulfurated catalyst. In the MS spectra (as illustrated in Figure S6 in the Supporting Information), H₂S (m/z = 34) was detected and its intensity decreased gradually with time on stream, suggesting that the sulfur was lost mainly in the form of H₂S.

In order to recover the activity, the catalyst was sulfurated by H₂S/H₂ for another 3 h after every 3 h reaction, and totally five such sulfuration-reaction cycles were carried out. As displayed in Figure 9, for each cycle, the catalyst activity could be completely restored by the sulfuration treatment, further confirming that the existence of sulfur is essential for achieving excellent dehydrogenation performance.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts with different NiO loading amounts exhibited different performances in isobutane dehydrogenation. Catalysts with low NiO content possessed low catalytic activity. Although the activity was improved at higher NiO loadings, due to the formation of aggregated

metallic nickel species during the initial reduction period, which was verified by XRD characterization, undesirable cracking reactions were intensified and isobutene selectivity was worsened.

To improve the catalytic performance, sulfur was introduced into the Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalysts. Characterization results obtained in SEM and adsorption-desorption tests for isobutane and isobutene indicated that NiO particles were well dispersed and isobutene desorption was facilitated after sulfur introduction. Consequently, cracking reactions were effectively inhibited, and the selectivity to isobutene increased remarkably. Furthermore, an XPS study together with an activity test jointly revealed that, for Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst with sulfur addition, NiS was the real active phase for isobutane dehydrogenation.

Through sulfuration with H₂S/H₂, a high initial activity was achieved for Ni/MgAl₂O₄ catalyst. Subsequently, with the consumption of sulfur species, the isobutane conversion decreased gradually with TOS. After sulfuration treatment with H₂S/H₂ again, the catalyst activity could be completely recovered, fully demonstrating the crucial role that the introduced sulfur played.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Further details are given in Figures S1 to S6. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E-mail: chyli@upc.edu.cn, chyli_upc@126.com (C. L.).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. U1362201), the National 973 Program of China (No. 2012CB215006) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 12CX06040A).

REFERENCES

- (1) Zimmermann, H.; Versluis, F. *US. Patent 5378350*, **1995**.
- (2) Buonomo, F.; Jezzi, R.; Notari, B.; Kotelnikov, G. R.; Michailov, K. R.; Patanov, V. A. *US. Patent 4746643*, **1988**.
- (3) Fritz, P. M.; Boelt, H. V.; Hackner, H.; Van, D. G. J. *EP. Patent 0947247*, **1999**.
- (4) Iezzi, R.; Buonomo, F.; Sanfilippo, D. *US. Patent 5143886*, **1992**.
- (5) Herber, R. R.; Thompson, G. J. *US. Patent 4806624*, **1989**.
- (6) Olbrich, M. E.; McKay, D. L.; Montgomery, D. P.; Jean, B. *US. Patent 4926005*, **1990**.
- (7) Lin, J. H.; Biswas, P.; Gulians, V. V.; Mixture, S. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **2010**, 387, 87-94.
- (8) Xu, J.; Froment, G. F. *Aiche J.* **1989**, 35, 88-96.
- (9) Li, Y.; Fu, Q.; Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, M. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **2000**, 27, 179-191.
- (10) Zeppieri, M.; Villa, P.; Verdone, N.; Scarsella, M.; De Filippis, P. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **2010**,

387, 147-154.

(11) Rostrup-Nielsen, J. R. *J. Catal.* **1984**, *85*, 31-43.

(12) Cerritos, C. R.; Ramírez, F. R.; Alvarado, A. A. F.; Rosales, M. n. J. M.; García, V. T.;

Esquivel, G. I. R. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2010**, *50*, 2576-2584.

(13) Fatsikostas, A. N.; Verykios, X. E. *J. Catal.* **2004**, *225*, 439-452.

(14) Georgiadis, R.; Fisher, E. R.; Armentrout, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 4251-4262.

(15) Freas, R. B.; Campana, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 4659-4661.

(16) Halle, L.; Houriet, R.; Kappes, M. M.; Staley, R. H.; Beauchamp, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 6293-6297.

(17) Byrd, G.; Burnier, R.; Freiser, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 3565-3569.

(18) Van Koppen, P. A.; Brodbelt-Lustig, J.; Bowers, M. T.; Dearden, D. V.; Beauchamp, J.; Fisher, E. R.; Armentrout, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 5663-5665.

(19) van Koppen, P. A.; Bowers, M. T.; Haynes, C. L.; Armentrout, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 5704-5712.

(20) Jacobson, D.; Freiser, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 5197-5206.

(21) Resasco, D. E.; Marcus, B. K.; Huang, C. S.; Durante, V. A. *J. Catal.* **1994**, *146*, 40-55.

(22) Labinger, J. A.; Bercaw, J. E. *Nature* **2002**, *417*, 507-514.

(23) Fokin, A. A.; Schreiner, P. R. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 1551-1594.

(24) Nakagawa, K.; Kajita, C.; Okumura, K.; Ikenaga, N.; Nishitani Gamo, M.; Ando, T.; Kobayashi, T.; Suzuki, T. *J. Catal.* **2001**, *203*, 87-93.

- (25) Watson, R. B.; Ozkan, U. S. *J. Catal.* **2000**, *191*, 12-29.
- (26) Carrera Cerritos, R. I.; Fuentes Ramírez, R.; Aguilera Alvarado, A. F.; Martínez Rosales, J. M.; Viveros García, T. s.; Galindo Esquivel, I. R. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2010**, *50*, 2576-2584.
- (27) Parmaliana, A.; Arena, F.; Frusteri, F.; Giordano, N. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* **1990**, *86*, 2663-2669.
- (28) Mondal, D.; Villemure, G.; Li, G.; Song, C.; Zhang, J.; Hui, R.; Chen, J.; Fairbridge, C. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **2013**, *450*, 230-236.
- (29) Duchet, J. C.; van Oers, E. M.; de Beer, V. H. J.; Prins, R. *J. Catal.* **1983**, *80*, 386-402.
- (30) Louwers, S. P. A.; Prins, R. *J. Catal.* **1992**, *133*, 94-111.
- (31) Haber, J.; Lalik, E. *Catal. Today* **1997**, *33*, 119-137.
- (32) Chen, M.; Wu, J. L.; Liu, Y. M.; Cao, Y.; Guo, L.; He, H. Y.; Fan, K. N. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **2011**, *407*, 20-28.
- (33) Chen, M.; Xu, J.; Liu, Y. M.; Cao, Y.; He, H. Y.; Zhuang, J. H. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **2010**, *377*, 35-41.
- (34) Chen, M.; Xu, J.; Cao, Y.; He, H. Y.; Fan, K. N.; Zhuang, J. H. *J. Catal.* **2010**, *272*, 101-108.
- (35) Gascón, J.; Téllez, C.; Herguido, J.; Menéndez, M. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **2003**, *248*, 105-116.
- (36) Cortright, R. D.; Hill, J. M.; Dumesic, J. A. *Catal. Today* **2000**, *55*, 213-223.
- (37) Airaksinen, S. M.; Harlin, M. E.; Krause, A. O. I. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2002**, *41*, 5619-5626.
- (38) Cortright, R. D.; Levin, P. E.; Dumesic, J. A. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *37*, 1717-1723.
- (39) Coughlin, R. W.; Hasan, A.; Kawakami, K. *J. Catal.* **1984**, *88*, 163-176.

- (40) Coughlin, R. W.; Kawakami, K.; Hasan, A. *J. Catal.* **1984**, *88*, 150-162.
- (41) Biloen, P.; Helle, J. N.; Verbeek, H.; Dautzenberg, F. M.; Sachtler, W. M. H. *J. Catal.* **1980**, *63*, 112-118.
- (42) Mosayebi, Z.; Rezaei, M.; Ravandi, A. B.; Hadian, N. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* **2012**, *37*, 1236-1242.
- (43) Park, D. S.; Li, Z.; Devianto, H.; Lee, H.-I. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.* **2010**, *35*, 5673-5680.
- (44) Guo, J.; Lou, H.; Zhao, H.; Chai, D.; Zheng, X. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **2004**, *273*, 75-82.
- (45) Gründling, C.; Eder-Mirth, G.; Lercher, J. A. *J. Catal.* **1996**, *160*, 299-308.
- (46) Chen, Y.; Guo, Z.; Chen, T.; Yang, Y. *J. Catal.* **2010**, *275*, 11-24.
- (47) Mallat, T.; Baiker, A. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 3037-3058.
- (48) Yu, X.; Liu, F.; Wang, Z.; Chen, Y. *J. Electron Spectrosc.* **1990**, *50*, 159-166.
- (49) Portela, L.; Grange, P.; Delmon, B. *J. Catal.* **1995**, *156*, 243-254.
- (50) Laurenti, D.; Phung-Ngoc, B.; Roukoss, C.; Devers, E.; Marchand, K.; Massin, L.; Lemaitre, L.; Legens, C.; Quoineaud, A. A.; Vrinat, M. *J. Catal.* **2013**, *297*, 165-175.
- (51) Polyakov, M.; van den Berg, M. W. E.; Hanft, T.; Poisot, M.; Bensch, W.; Muhler, M.; Grünert, W. *J. Catal.* **2008**, *256*, 126-136.
- (52) Okamoto, Y.; Hioaka, K.; Arakawa, K.; Fujikawa, T.; Ebihara, T.; Kubota, T. *J. Catal.* **2009**, *268*, 49-59.
- (53) Okamoto, Y.; Kato, A.; Usman; Rinaldi, N.; Fujikawa, T.; Koshika, H.; Hiromitsu, I.; Kubota, T. *J. Catal.* **2009**, *265*, 216-228.

Abstract Graphics

