Formal Syntheses of *N*-Trifluoroacetyl-L-acosamine and *N*-Trifluoroacetyl-L-daunosamine from an Achiral Precursor, Methyl Sorbate

Shinji NAGUMO, Isao UMEZAWA, Junko AKIYAMA, and Hiroyuki AKITA*

School of Pharmaceutical Science, Toho University, 2–2–1 Miyama, Funabashi, Chiba 274, Japan.
Received May 23, 1994; accepted July 25, 1994

***N*-Trifluoroacetyl-L-acosamine 20 and *N*-trifluoroacetyl-L-daunosamine 21 were formally synthesized from an achiral precursor, methyl sorbate 4, based on enzymatic chiral induction and diastereoselective 1,4-conjugated addition of benzylamine to the olefinic moiety of the α,β-unsaturated ester 12.**

Keywords amino sugar; acosamine; daunosamine; conjugated addition; diastereoselective addition

The anthracyclines daunomycin (**1a**) and adriamycin (**1b**) are highly effective in the treatment of childhood leukemia and several types of solid tumors,[1] and contain an amino sugar called L-daunosamine (**2**). Conversion of L-daunosamine of adriamycin into L-acosamine (**3**), the 4-epimer, was reported to suppress the undesired toxic side effects while retaining the anti-tumor activity.[2] Therefore extensive studies have been made on syntheses of this type of amino sugar.[3] We wish to report formal syntheses of L-acosamine and L-daunosamine, starting with an achiral precursor, methyl sorbate **4**, and employing enzymatic chiral induction and diastereoselective conjugated addition of benzylamine to the α,β-unsaturated double bond.

We reported previously that the reaction of (±)-(4,5)-*trans*-epoxy-(2*E*)-hexenoate (**5**) and thiophenol gave the racemic (4,5)-*anti*-5-hydroxy-4-thiophenoxy-(2*E*)-hexenoate (**6**), enzymatic acetylation of which afforded the (4*S*,5*R*)-5-acetoxy ester **7** (50.2% yield, 98% ee) and the (4*R*,5*S*)-5-hydroxy ester **6** (49.7% yield, >99% ee). Thus obtained (4*R*,5*S*)-**6** (>99% ee) was converted into an inseparable mixture (*trans*:*cis*=4:1) of (4*S*,5*S*)-*trans*-**5** and (4*R*,5*S*)-*cis*-**8** in 58% yield, while retaining high optical purity.[4]

Conjugated addition of dimethylamine to the olefinic moiety of (±)-**5** produced an inseparable 3.4:1 mixture of the *lyxo*- and *xylo*-hexonates **9** in 92% yield.[5] On the other hand, the reaction of the (±)-α,β-unsaturated ester



Chart 1



Chart 2

© 1995 Pharmaceutical Society of Japan

NII-Electronic Library Service

10 with benzylamine furnished diastereoselectively the (3,4)-*syn*-3-benzylamino ester **11** in 85% yield.[6] These examples of 1,4 addition of benzylamine to the olefinic moiety in (4*R*,5*S*)-acetonide **12** aroused our interest.

Treatment of a mixture (*trans*:*cis*=4:1) of (4*S*,5*S*)-*trans*-**5** and (4*R*,5*S*)-*cis*-**8** with aqueous 1 N $HClO_4$ in tetrahydrofuran (THF) gave an inseparable mixture (*anti*:*syn*=3:1) of diols, (4*R*,5*S*)-*anti*-**13** and (4*S*,5*S*)-*syn*-**14**, in 63% yield along with 15% recovery of (4*S*,5*S*)-*trans*-**5**. This mixture was subjected to acetonide formation with 2,2-dimethoxypropane in the presence of *p*-TsOH to provide an acetonide mixture, which was separated to afford *anti*-(4*R*,5*S*)-**12** (65% yield) and *syn*-(4*S*,5*S*)-**15** (22% yield). The reaction of (4*R*,5*S*)-**12** with benzylamine (2 eq) in the absence of solvent at room temperature afforded exclusively the diastereoselective 1,4-addition product **16** ($[\alpha]_D$ +10.66° (*c*=1.2, $CHCl_3$)) in 68% yield along with 17% recovery of the starting material **12**. In order to determine the stereochemistry of (+)-**16**, (+)-**16** was converted into a known compound. Hydrogenolysis of (+)-**16** in the presence of 10% $Pd(OH)_2$–C provided quantitatively the 3-amino ester **17**,[7] which was treated with benzoyl chloride in pyridine to furnish the 3-benzoylamino ester **18** in quantitative yield. Cleavage of the acetonide and the subsequent lactonization of **18** in aqueous 80% acetic acid at reflux produced the γ-lactone **19** in 71% overall yield. Physical data (mp 159 °C, $[\alpha]_D$ −47.3° (*c*=1.13, EtOH)) of the present γ-lactone **19** were identical with those (mp 155 °C, $[\alpha]_D$ −43.2° (*c*=1.1, EtOH)) of the reported (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**19**.[8] As conversions of (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**19** into *N*-trifluoroacetyl-L-acosamine **20** and *N*-trifluoroacetyl-L-daunosamine **21** have been reported,[8] chiral syntheses of the above two amino sugar derivatives from an achiral precursor, methyl sorbate **4** could be achieved.

Although the stereoselection in the conjugated addition was reported[9] to be explicable in terms of the Felkin-Anh model,[10] it is difficult to explain unequivocally the present selectivity based on the above-mentioned model.



Chart 3



Chart 4



Chart 5

NII-Electronic Library Service

Experimental

All melting points were measured on a Yanaco MP-S3 micro melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were measured on a JASCO A-3 spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were measured on a JEOL EX 4000 instrument. Spectra were taken for 5—10% (w/v) solutions in $CDCl_3$ with Me_4Si as an internal reference. Mass spectra were obtained with a JEOL JMS-D 300 or JEOL JMS-DX 303 (FAB) spectrometer. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO DIP-370 polarimeter. All organic solvent extracts were washed with saturated brine and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate ($MgSO_4$). All evaporations were performed under reduced pressure. For column chromatography, silica gel (Kieselgel 60) was employed.

Methyl (4*R*,5*S*)-4,5-(Isopropylidenedioxy)-(2*E*)-hexenoate (12) and Methyl (4*S*,5*S*)-4,5-(Isopropylidenedioxy)-(2*E*)-hexenoate (15) i) A solution of epoxy esters ((4*S*,5*S*)-**5**:(4*R*,5*S*)-**8**=4:1, 2.2 g), 1 N $HClO_4$ (2 ml), H_2O (2 ml) and THF (40 ml) was stirred for 40 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with saturated $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ aqueous solution and extracted with ether. Evaporation of the organic solvent provided a crude oily product, which was chromatographed on silica gel (150 g) to afford the recovered (4*S*,5*S*)-**5** (0.57 g, 15% recovery) from the *n*-hexane–AcOEt (9:1) eluate and a pale yellow oily mixture (1.56 g, 63% yield) of (4*R*,5*S*)-**13** and (4*S*,5*S*)-**14** from the *n*-hexane–AcOEt (1:1) eluate. ii) A mixture of diols ((4*R*,5*S*)-**13** and (4*S*,5*S*)-**14**, 1.51 g), 2,2-dimethoxypropane (3 ml) and camphorsulfonic acid (CSA, 20 mg) in benzene (30 ml) was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with benzene (50 ml) and the benzene layer was washed with saturated $NaHCO_3$ aqueous solution. Evaporation of the organic layer afforded a crude oily product, which was chromatographed on silica gel (100 g) to give homogeneous oils, (4*S*,5*S*)-**15** (0.41 g, 22% yield) and (4*R*,5*S*)-**12** (1.22 g, 65% yield), in that order from the *n*-hexane–AcOEt (10:1) eluate. (4*S*,5*S*)-**15**: MS (FAB) *m/z*: 185 ($M^+ - Me$). $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +3.38° (*c*=1.36, $CHCl_3$). IR (neat): 1728, 1660 cm^{-1}. NMR δ: 1.32 (3H, d, *J*=5.9 Hz, 5-Me), 1.42, 1.45 (each, 3H, s, MeCMe), 3.76 (3H, s, COOMe), 3.84 (1H, dq, *J*=8.3, 5.9 Hz, 5-H), 4.08 (1H, ddd, *J*=8.3, 5.9, 1.5 Hz, 4-H), 6.14 (1H, dd, *J*=1.5, 15.6 Hz, 2-H), 6.88 (1H, dd, *J*=5.9, 15.6 Hz, 3-H). (4*R*,5*S*)-**12**: *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{10}H_{16}O_4$: C, 59.98; H, 8.05. Found: C, 59.50; H, 8.23. MS (FAB) *m/z*: 239 (M^++39, in the presence of aqueous KCl). $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ −0.55° (*c*=1.10, $CHCl_3$). IR(neat): 1720, 1660 cm^{-1}. NMR δ: 1.16 (3H, d, *J*=6.3 Hz, 5-Me), 1.38, 1.51 (each 3H, s, MeCMe), 3.75 (3H, s, COOMe), 4.43 (1H, dq, *J*=6.3, 6.3 Hz, 5-H), 4.66 (1H, ddd, *J*=6.3, 5.9, 1.5 Hz, 4-H), 6.09 (1H, dd, *J*=1.5, 15.6 Hz, 2-H), 6.84 (1H, dd, *J*=5.9, 15.6 Hz, 3-H).

Methyl (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-3-Benzylamino-4,5-(isopropylidenedioxy)hexanoate (16) A mixture of (4*R*,5*S*)-**12** (1.17 g) and benzylamine (1.25 g) was stirred for 3 d. The reaction mixture was subjected to silica gel (55 g) column chromatography to provide the recovered (4*R*,5*S*)-**12** (0.2 g, 17% recovery) from the *n*-hexane–AcOEt (10:1) eluate and a pale yellow oil, (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**16** (1.22 g, 68% yield) from the *n*-hexane–AcOEt (4:1) eluate. (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**16**: *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{17}H_{25}NO_4$: C, 66.42; H, 8.20; N, 4.56. Found: C, 66.16; H, 8.46; N, 4.65. MS (FAB) *m/z*: 308 (M^++1). $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +10.67° (*c*=1.20, $CHCl_3$). IR (neat): 3330, 1720 cm^{-1}. NMR δ: 1.26 (3H, d, *J*=6.4 Hz, 5-Me), 1.33, 1.45 (each, 3H, s, MeCMe), 1.81 (1H, s, NH), 2.50 (2H, d, *J*=5.9 Hz, 2-H_2), 3.18 (1H, dd, *J*=5.9, 6.4 Hz, 3-H), 3.68 (3H, s, COOMe), 3.78, 3.88 (each 1H, d, *J*=12.7 Hz, $NHCH_2$–), 4.10 (1H, dd, *J*=6.4, 6.4 Hz, 4-H), 4.31 (1H, dq, *J*=6.4, 6.4 Hz, 5-H), 7.23—7.36 (5H, m, aromatic-H).

Methyl (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-3-Amino-4,5-(isopropylidenedioxy)hexanoate (17) A solution of (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**16** (1.18 g) in EtOH (15 ml) was hydrogenated at ordinary temperature and pressure over 10% $Pd(OH)_2$–C (50 mg). After hydrogen absorption had ceased, the catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated to give (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**17** (0.83 g, >99% yield) as a homogeneous oil. (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**17**: *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{10}H_{19}NO_4$: C, 55.28; H, 8.82; N, 6.45. Found: C, 54.73; H, 8.92; N, 6.25. $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ −6.37° (*c*=1.35, $CHCl_3$). IR (neat): 1720 cm^{-1}. NMR δ: 1.28 (3H, d, *J*=6.3 Hz, 5-Me), 1.35, 1.48 (each 3H, s, MeCMe), 1.68 (2H, s, 3-NH_2), 2.35 (1H, dd, *J*=8.8, 15.6 Hz, 2-H), 2.43 (1H, dd, *J*=3.9, 15.6 Hz, 2-H), 3.28—3.33 (1H, m, 3-H), 3.71 (3H, s, COOMe), 3.87 (1H, dd, *J*=6.3, 6.3 Hz, 4-H), 4.30 (1H, dq, *J*=6.3, 6.3 Hz, 5-H).

Methyl (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-3-Benzoylamino-4,5-(isopropylidenedioxy)hexanoate (18) A mixture of (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**17** (0.80 g) and benzoyl chloride (0.78 g) in pyridine (3 ml) was stirred for 10 min under ice-water cooling. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2. The organic layer was washed. Evaporation of the organic solvent afforded a crude oil, which was chromatographed on silica gel (50 g) to provide a pale yellow oil, (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**18** (1.18 g, >99% yield) from the *n*-hexane–AcOEt (4:1) eluate. (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**18**: *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{17}H_{23}NO_5$: C, 63.54; H, 7.21; N, 4.36. Found: C, 63.97; H, 7.29; N, 4.38. MS (FAB) *m/z*: 322 (M^++1). $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +5.48° (*c*=0.62, $CHCl_3$). IR (Nujol): 2280, 1720, 1630 cm^{-1}. NMR δ: 1.33 (3H, d, *J*=6.3 Hz, 5-Me), 1.40, 1.57 (each, 3H, s, MeCMe), 2.69 (1H, dd, *J*=8.3, 15.6 Hz, 2-H), 2.77 (1H, dd, *J*=5, 15.6 Hz, 2-H), 3.69 (3H, s, COOMe), 4.33 (1H, dd, *J*=1.5, 7 Hz, 4-H), 4.44—4.59 (2H, m, 3-H and 5-H), 6.69 (1H, d, *J*=7.8 Hz, NH).

L-*arabino*-3-Benzoylamino-2,3,6-trideoxyhexanoic Acid γ-Lactone (19) A solution of (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**18** (1.14 g) in 80% AcOH aqueous solution (15 ml) was stirred for 4.5 h at reflux. The reaction mixture was diluted with toluene. Evaporation of the organic solvent gave a crude oil, which was chromatographed on silica gel (50 g) to furnish colorless crystals, (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**19** (0.63 g, 71% yield). Recrystallization of (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**19** from CH_2Cl_2–Et_2O gave colorless prisms. (3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-**19**: mp 159 °C. *Anal.* Calcd for $C_{13}H_{15}NO_4$: C, 62.64; H, 6.07; N, 5.62. Found: C, 62.35; H, 6.18; N, 5.49. MS *m/z*: 249 (M^+). $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ −47.3° (*c*=1.13, EtOH). IR ($CHCl_3$): 3600, 3320, 1740, 1640 cm^{-1}. NMR δ: 1.36 (3H, d, *J*=6.3 Hz, 5-Me), 2.75 (1H, dd, *J*=1, 17.5 Hz, 2-H), 3.05 (1H, dd, *J*=6.3, 17.5 Hz, 2-H), 3.76 (1H, br s, 5-OH), 4.19 (1H, dq, *J*=2.9, 6.3 Hz, 5-H), 4.33 (1H, dd, *J*=2.9, 5.8 Hz, 4-H), 4.95—5.01 (1H, m, 3-H).

Acknowledgement This work was supported by a grant for the Biodesign Research Program from The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN) to H.A. and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 06772082) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan to S.N.

References and Notes

1) F. Arcamone, "Topics in Antibiotic Chemistry," Vol. 2, ed. by P. G. Sammes, Ellis Horwood Ltd., Chichester, 1978.
2) F. Arcamone, S. Penco, A. Vigevani, S. Redaelli, G. Franchi, A. Di Marco, A. M. Casazza, T. Dasdia, F. Formelli, A. Necco, C. Soranzo, *J. Med. Chem.*, **18**, 703 (1975).
3) Recent daunomycin synthesis: Y. Kita, F. Itoh, O. Tamura, Ya Yuan Ke, T. Miki, Y. Tamura, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **37**, 1446 (1989) and references cited therein.
4) *a*) H. Akita, I. Umezawa, M. Takano, H. Matsukura, T. Oishi, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **39**, 3094 (1991); *b*) H. Akita, I. Umezawa, M. Takano, T. Oishi, *ibid.*, **41**, 680 (1993).
5) I. Dyong, H. Bendlin, *Chem. Ber.*, **112**, 717 (1979).
6) H. Matsunaga, T. Sakamaki, H. Nagaoka, Y. Yamada, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **24**, 3009 (1983).
7) In a preliminary experiment, acetylation of (±)-**17** followed by treatment with 80% AcOH aqueous solution gave the D,L-*arabino*-3-acetoamino-2,3,6-trideoxyhexanoic acid δ-lactone **22**, which was converted into the known D,L-*arabino*-3-acetoamino-4-acetoxy-2,3,6-trideoxyhexanoic acid γ-lactone **23**.[11] From this conversion experiment, the relative configuration of the conjugated addition product **16** was determined to be 3,4-*syn*. (Chart 5).
8) G. Fronza, C. Fuganti, P. Grasselli, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1980**, 442.
9) G. J. McGarvey, M. Kimura, T. Oh, J. M. Williams, *J. Carbohydrate Chem.*, **3**, 125 (1984).
10) *a*) M. Cherest, H. Felkin, N. Prudent, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1959**, 2199; *b*) N. T. Anh, *Topics Current Chemistry*, **88**, 145 (1980).
11) M. Hirama, T. Shigemoto, Y. Yamazaki, S. Ito, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **26**, 4133 (1985).

NII-Electronic Library Service