surements and analyses of the data produced were performed at the Center for Fast Kinetics Research at the University of Texas at Austin. The CFKR is supported jointly by the Biotechnology Research Program of the Division of Research Resources of NIH (Grant No. RR00886) and by the University of Texas at Austin.

Additional lifetime measurements were carried out in the laboratory of Prof. David Holden, University of Waterloo, whom we acknowledge for his assistance. The fluorescence lifetime measurement for 11a by Edinburgh Instruments, Edinburgh, U.K., is also acknowledged.

Studies on the Reactivity of Bicyclomycin with Amines¹

Syed Abuzar and Harold Kohn*

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77204-5641. Received August 25, 1988

Abstract: The reactivity of bicyclomycin (1) under basic conditions has been investigated. Eight different amines were sequentially reacted with 1. Treatment of bicyclomycin with the primary amines methylamine and ethylamine yielded the ring-cleaved products 15-18. Correspondingly, use of the heteroaromatic amines imidazole, benzimidazole, and (dl)- N_{α} -benzoylhistidine methylamide in these experiments led to the formation of a diastereomeric mixture of the ring-opened adducts 19, 21, and 22, respectively. Finally, treatment of 1 with the secondary amines morpholine, ethyl piperazinecarboxylate, and N-methylpiperazine furnished the novel adducts 26-28, respectively. Analysis of the composite results suggests that a key step in the base-mediated chemical processes is the reversible ring-opening of the C(6)-hemiaminal bond to give the enone 2. The mechanism of these reactions and the implications of these studies in relation to the mode of action of the antibiotic are discussed.

Bicyclomycin (1), a clinically used antibiotic, has received considerable attention in recent years.²⁻⁴ It is a structurally unique cyclic peptide possessing pronounced activity against several strains of Gram-negative bacteria. Most proposals pertaining to the mode of action of 1 have suggested that nucleophilic species present within the peptidoglycan assembly of the bacterial cell wall play a pivotal role in the activation and subsequent binding of the chemotherapeutic agent.⁵⁻⁹ Both sulfhydryl-containing proteins⁵ and amidases⁶⁻⁸ have been advanced as likely candidates in these transformations. Information in favor of the former species emanated from the pioneering studies of Iseki and co-workers which demonstrated that 1 reacts with methyl mercaptan at basic pH.^{5a} This result, coupled with the observation that 1 covalently interacts with inner-membrane proteins of Escherichia coli,5c led to the notion that the antibacterial activity of 1 is associated with the binding of a nucleophile (i.e., a protein sulfhydryl group) to the terminal olefinic group [C(5)-C(5a)] of the drug. The initially proposed pathway for this transformation is depicted in Scheme I.⁶ Alternatively, recent work by Vasquez and co-workers has led to the speculation that amidases play an integral role in the bicyclomycin activation process.⁷ Moreover, the close structural correspondence of 1 to the projected structure of the diaminopimelic acid-diaminopimelic acid unit within the peptidoglycan assembly of the cellular membrane has prompted the suggestion by Williams and his group that the drug functions as a competitive

- (5) (a) Someya, A.; Iseki, M.; Tanaka, N. J. Antibiot. 1979, 32, 402. (b) Tanaka, N.; Iseki, M.; Miyoshi, T.; Aoki, H.; Imanaka, H. Ibid. 1976, 29, 155.
 (c) Someya, A.; Iseki, M.; Tanaka, N. Ibid. 1978, 31, 712.
 (6) Williams, R. M.; Armstrong, R. W.; Dung, J.-S. J. Med. Chem. 1985, 8272.
- 28, 733
- (7) Pisabarro, A. G.; Canada, F. J.; Vasquez, D.; Arriaga, P.; Rodriguez-Tebar, A. J. J. Antibiot. 1986, 34, 914.
 (8) (a) Williams, R. M.; Tomizawa, K.; Armstrong, R. W.; Dung, J.-S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6419. (b) Williams, R. M.; Tomizawa, K.; Armstrong, R. W.; Dung, J.-S. Ibid. 1987, 109, 4028.
 (9) Abuzar, S.; Kohn, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4089.

substrate for a protease involved in the biosynthesis of the bacterial cell envelope (Scheme II).⁶ Key steps in this hypothesis include the enzymic cleavage of the C(9)-N(10) amide bond in 1 to yield 4 and the Michael addition of a second biological nucleophile to the conjugated system 5 to generate 6.

In light of these mechanistic scenarios, it is surprising that no information exists on the reactivity of bicyclomycin with amines. All previous accounts have focused on sulfur-5a,8-10 and oxygencontaining¹¹ nucleophiles. In this paper, we report on the chemical reactivity of 1 with primary, secondary, and heteroaromatic amines, including a functionalized derivative of histidine. Special attention is centered on the interplay of both the type of amine used and the pH of the reaction medium on the product profile. Arguments are advanced that a critical step in the chemical activation of the drug is the reversible ring-opening of the C-(6)-hemiaminal bond of bicyclomycin to generate enone 2. Michael addition of the amine to the α,β -unsaturated system generates a C(5a)-substituted adduct. This species can then be converted into a series of novel rearranged, ring-opened, or ring-cleaved products depending upon the reaction conditions.

Results

(a) Choice of Amines. The reactivity of bicyclomycin with eight different amines was assessed. The primary amines methylamine (7) and ethylamine (8), and the heteroaromatic amines imidazole



(9) and benzimidazole (10) were chosen as simple models of

⁽¹⁾ Presented, in part, at the 3rd Chemical Congress of North America, Toronto, Canada, June 1988, Abstract ORGN 287.

⁽²⁾ Williams, R. M.; Durham, C. A. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 511 and references therein.

⁽³⁾ Tanaka, N. Antibiotics (N.Y.) 1979, 5, 18.

^{(4) (}a) Miyoshi, T.; Miyari, N.; Aoki, H.; Kohsaka, M.; Sakai, H.; Imaka, H. J. Antibiot. 1972, 25, 569. (b) Kamiya, T.; Maeno, S.; Hashimoto, M.; Mine, Y. Ibid. 1972, 25, 576. (c) Nishida, M.; Mine, Y.; Matsubara, T. Ibid. 1972, 25, 582. (d) Nishida, M.; Mine, Y.; Matsubara, T.; Goto, S.; Kuwahara, S. Ibid. 1972, 25, 594.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Kohn, H.; Abuzar, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3661. (11) Kohn, H.; Abuzar, S. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 2769.

Scheme I. Proposed Mechanism for the Mode of Action of Bicyclomycin



Scheme II. Proposed Mechanism for the Mode of Action of Bicyclomycin



functionalized derivatives of lysine and histidine, respectively. Both of these amino acids may play an important role in the drug activation and binding process. In addition to 9 and 10, the simple histidine adduct (dl)- N_{α} -benzoylhistidine methylamide (11) was incorporated into this study. The pH of these reactions (i.e., amines 7 and 8, pH 12.5; amines 9-11, pH 9.9-10.6) was governed by the inherent reactivity of the amine^{12a} and its basicity (pK_a) .¹² The high-pH conditions employed in the primary amine (estimated $pK_a = 10.8-12.5^{12b}$) transformations led us to examine the reactivity of 1 with the weaker secondary amines (estimated pK_a $\approx 8.2-9.8^{12b}$) morpholine (12), ethyl piperazinecarboxylate (13), and N-methylpiperazine (14). The reduced basicity of amines 12-14 permitted these reactions to proceed at lower pH values (i.e., pH 8.3-10.8) than those utilized for 7 and 8. These conditions approximated those utilized in our previous studies of bicyclomycin with thiols.9

(b) Primary Amines. Treatment of 1 with excess methylamine (7) led to the formation of three major compounds (15-17) and



an unidentified minor product. At the conclusion of the reaction no starting material was observed (TLC analysis). All three products were readily identified on the basis of their observed spectral properties. Lactam 15 displayed three downfield signals in the proton-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum at 116.55, 137.23, and 170.96 ppm, which have been attributed to carbons 4, 3, and 2, respectively. A similar pattern has been reported for 2-(5*H*)-furanones.¹¹ Inspection of the proton-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum for 16 and 17 indicated that each adduct existed as a pair of diastereomers present in a 1:1 ratio. In agreement with the proposed structures, both compounds exhibited signals in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 16 and 17 indicated that each adduct existed as a pair of diastereomers present in a 1:1 ratio. In agreement with the proposed structures, both compounds exhibited signals in the ¹³C NMR spectrum between 93 and 95 ppm for the hemiaminal carbon atom.¹³ The electron-impact mass spectrum of 16 and 17 displayed prominent ions at m/e 132 and 146, respectively. High-resolution mass spectral analyses of these fragments was consistent with the loss of a carbamyl (C(O)NH₂) moiety from the parent ion of each compound.

Reaction of bicyclomycin with excess ethylamine (8) gave a comparable result (TLC analysis). Repeated PTLC of the product mixture permitted the isolation of 18 and 16.

(c) Heteroaromatic Amines. A different product profile was observed for the reaction of bicyclomycin with a slight excess of imidazole (9), benzimidazole (10), and (dl)- N_{α} -benzoylhistidine methylamide (11). TLC analysis of the reaction of 1 with 9 indicated the absence of bicyclomycin and the presence of four adducts (19a-d) having similar R_f values (R_f 0.38-0.26, 20%



methanol-chloroform). Repeated PTLC (more than four times), permitted the isolation of all four compounds in sufficient quantities to permit their structural elucidation. Compounds 19a-d exhibited a parent ion (M + 1) in the FAB mass spectrum at m/e371, in agreement with the formation of a 1:1 adduct (C₁₅H₂₂-N₄O₇) between bicyclomycin and imidazole. Inspection of the proton-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectra for 19a-d in methanol-d₄ indicated that each chromatographic fraction consisted of a single diastereomer. Interestingly, the proton-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum for 19b in dimethyl-d₆ sulfoxide was considerably more complex than the spectrum observed in methanol-d₄. This phenomenon may reflect the formation of specific conformational isomers in the polar, aprotic solvent dimethyl sulfoxide.¹⁴ Further analysis of the ¹³C NMR spectra (Table I) for 19a-d revealed

^{(12) (}a) Pearson, R. G.; Sobel, H.; Songstad, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1968**, 90, 319. (b) For a compilation of the pK_a values for the conjugate acids of these amines, see: Perrin, D. D. *Dissociation Constants*; Butterworth: London, 1965.

⁽¹³⁾ For related ¹³C NMR assignments, see: (a) Cortes, S.; Kohn, H. J. Org. Chem. **1983**, 48, 2246. (b) Liao, Z. K.; Kohn, H. J. Org. Chem. **1984**, 49, 3812 and references therein.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Wuthrich, K. NMR of Proteins and Nucleic Acids; Wiley: New York, 1986.

Table I. Characteristic ¹³C NMR Data for Bicyclomycin Ring-Opened Compounds^a



compd	C(1)	C(3)	C(4)	C(5)	C(5a)	C(6)	C(1')	C(2')	C(2')CH ₃	C(3')	C _{Ar} (2'')	C _{Ar} (4")	C _{Ar} (5")
19a	94.69	68.99	29.53	47.28 ^b	b, c	108.83	78.75 ^b	80.10 ^b	21.05	80.65 ^b	139.06	129.15	121.20
19b	94.65	68.82	30.05	47.58 ^b	b, c	102.90	78.68	80.06 ^b	21.07	81.36 ^b	138.68	129.09	120.84
19b ^d	92.38	66.73	27.94	45.50 ^b	47.06	101.52	77.18 ^b	78.02 ^b	20.74	78.55 ^b	137.41	128.17	119.40
		67.23	28.63	45.96	47.16		77.22	78.47	20.85	78.73	137.73	128.48	119.99
19c,d	89.69	68.03	30.02	47.82 ^b	b, c	102.85	77.06 ^b	78.05 ^b	22.55	79.59	138.70	129.11	120.91
	93.50	68.43	30.15			102.94	77.46	78.14	23.19	80.59	138.89		
21a	94.67	69.08	29.40	45.27 ^b	47.44	103.07	78.68 ^b	80.01 ^b	21.06	80.68 ^b	145.37 or 143.95		
21b	94.70	68.89	30.14	45.62 ^b	b, c	106.70	78.63 ^b	79.99 ^b	21.11	81.50 ^b	144.91 or 144.09		
21c	89.74	68.80	30.27	45.80 ^b	b, c	106.85	77.08 ^b	78.17	23.15	80.64	144.90 or 144.07		
22a	94.60	68.64	29.46	47.34 ⁶	b, c	102.77	78.69 ^b	80.09 ^b	21.13	81.01 ^b	138.56, 138.84		
	94.67	68.77	30.02	47.55			79.88	80.84		81.37	138.76, 138.98		
		68.91					79.93						
22b	89.70	68.72	30.08	47.45 ⁶	b, c	102.94	77.04 ^b	78.05	23.19	80.61 ^b	138.58, 138.69		
	89.78	68.92		47.75				78.14	23.25		138.78		
23	89.64	68.55	30.47	43.61	59.37	101.51	76.99	78.68	21.09	80.59			
			30.76	44.04		104.33	77.42	79.58	22.49	81.30			
			31.10				78.03	80.23	23.29				

^a The number in each entry is the chemical shift value (δ) observed in ppm relative to Me₄Si. All spectra were obtained at 75.5 MHz. The solvent used was CD₃OD unless otherwise indicated. ^b These peaks may be interchanged. ^c The peak for this carbon atom was obscured by the signal for the solvent. ^d The solvent used was DMSO-d₆.

several additional features that proved helpful in the assignment of structure. In particular, the C(6) resonances appeared between 102.83 and 102.94 ppm, while signals for C(1') and C(3') were detected between 77.06 and 81.36 ppm. These resonances are significantly downfield from the corresponding signals in 1,¹⁵ suggesting that extensive reorganization of the bicyclomycin ring system had taken place. The ¹³C NMR spectra coupled with the ¹H and COSY NMR and mass spectral data supported the proposed general structure 19 for all four imidazole products. The precise stereochemical assignment of each individual adduct was not determined. Significantly, compound 19 is analogous to the revised structure 20 for the bicyclomycin–sodium methanethiolate adduct obtained under basic conditions.¹⁰

A comparable result was observed for the reaction of bicyclomycin with benzimidazole (10). Separation of the product mixture by PTLC (two developments) gave three adducts (R_f 0.5–0.4, 20% methanol-chloroform) whose spectral properties were compatible with the proposed ring-opened structure 21. The ¹³C NMR spectral data for 21a-c are listed in Table I and were in excellent agreement with the values observed for the imidazole adducts 19.

The imidazole-mediated reaction has been extended to the functionalized amino acid derivative (dl)- N_{α} -benzoylhistidine methylamide (11). Treatment of 1 with 11 led to the isolation of two distinct fractions 22a and 22b (R_f 0.42 and 0.30, 20% methanol-chloroform) after PTLC (two developments). The parent ion observed in the mass spectrum of both materials was consistent with the formation of a 1:1 adduct ($C_{26}H_{34}N_6O_9$). The corresponding ¹³C NMR spectra for these samples indicated that both 22a and 22b existed as a mixture of diastereomers. Significantly, the patterns observed in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR (Table I) spectra for these compounds were in accord with the proposed ring-opened adduct 22. Close inspection of the proton-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum permitted the assignment of the site of attachment on the imidazole ring nucleus. Previous NMR studies have documented that notable differences exist between the N(1),C(4)- and the N(1),C(5)-substituted compounds.¹⁶ Both



22a and 22b exhibited signals in the regions of 118 and 138 ppm for the imidazole ring carbon atoms. This pattern is typical of N(1),C(4) substitution. The regioselectivity of the bicyclomycin- N_{α} -benzoylhistidine methylamide process was mirrored by the reaction of 11 with 3-buten-2-one (24). A single adduct 25 was isolated. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of this product was in agreement with the proposed N(1),C(4)-imidazole substitution pattern.



(d) Secondary Amines. Our survey of the reactivity of bicyclomycin with organic bases concluded with the cyclic amines morpholine (12), ethyl piperazinecarboxylate (13), and Nmethylpiperazine (14). Treatment of 1 with a slight excess of each of these amines under moderately basic conditions (pH 10.2-10.8) yielded the corresponding C(5a)-substituted products 26-28, respectively, along with trace amounts of unidentified



(16) (a) Begtrup, M.; Elguero, J.; Faure, R.; Camps, P.; Estopa, C.; Ilavsky, D.; Fruchier, A.; Marzin, C.; de Mendoza, J. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **1988**, 26, 134. (b) Al-Badr, A. A. Spectrosc. Lett. **1983**, 16, 613. (c) Faure, R.; Vincent, E. J. *Heterocycles* **1983**, 9, 1713.

Table II. Characteristic ¹H NMR Data for Rearranged Bicyclomycin Compounds 26-28^a



compd	C(3) <i>H</i> H'	C(3)HH'	C(4) <i>H</i> H′	C(4)HH'	C(5a) <i>H</i> H'	C(5a)HH'	C(1')H	C(2')CH ₃	C(3')HH'	C(3')HH'
26	3.50-3.75 (m)	3.94 (dd, J =	1.41 (dd, J =	2.07 (app dt, J =	2.73 (d, J =	3.30 (d, J =	3.83 (s)	1.16 (s)	3.62 (d, J =	4.15 (d, J =
		6.4, 13.6 Hz)	2.8, 13.6 Hz)	6.4, 13.6 Hz)	14.1 Hz)	14.1 Hz)			12.0 Hz)	12.0 Hz)
27	3.72 (app dt, J =	$3.98 (\mathrm{dd}, J =$	$1.45 (\mathrm{dd}, J =$	2.11 (app dt, $J =$	2.80 (d, $J =$	3.30-3.40 (m)	3.87 (s)	1.20 (s)	3.68 (d, J =	4.21 (d, J =
	2.6, 13.5 Hz)	6.3, 13.5 Hz)	2.6, 13.5 Hz)	6.3, 13.5 Hz)	14.3 Hz)				12.0 Hz)	12.0 Hz)
28	3.66 (app dt, $J =$	$3.93 (\mathrm{dd}, J =$	$1.40 (\mathrm{dd}, J =$	2.06 (app dt, $J =$	2.75 (d, J =	3.22-3.38 (m)	3.82 (s)	1.15 (s)	3.62 (d, J =	4.14 (d, J =
	2.5, 13.6 Hz)	6.3, 13.6 Hz)	2.5, 13.6 Hz)	6.3, 13.6 Hz)	14.2 Hz)				11.9 Hz)	11.9 Hz)

^a The number in each entry is the chemical shift value (δ) observed in ppm relative to Me₄Si, followed by the multiplicity of the signal and the coupling constant(s) in hertz. All spectra were recorded at 300 MHz, and the solvent used was CD₃OD. The ¹H NMR assignments were verified from the corresponding COSY spectrum.

Table III. Characteristic ¹³C NMR Data for Rearranged Bicyclomycin Compounds 26-28^a



compd	C(1)	C(3)	C(4)	C(5)	C(5a)	C(6)	C(9)	C(1')	C(2')	C(2')CH3	C(3')
26	84.99	60.42	32.77	58.20	54.61	196.33	96.35	70.19 ^b	71.87 ^b	21.08	72.63 ^b
27	84.97	59.85	32.71	58.17	54.68 ^c	196.20	96.31	70.22 ^b	71.83 ^b	21.08	72.66 ^b
28	84.97	59.59	32.76	58.20	54.53 ^d	196.27	96.31	70.16 ^b	71.84 ^b	21.07	72.65 ^b

^a The number in each entry is the chemical shift value (δ) observed in ppm relative to Me₄Si. All spectra were obtained at 75.5 MHz. The solvent used was CD₃OD unless otherwise indicated. ^b These peaks may be interchanged. ^c This peak may be interchanged with the signal (55.27 ppm) tentatively assigned for the ethyl piperazinecarboxylate ring. ^d This peak may be interchanged with the signals (55.12 and 56.20 ppm) tentatively assigned for the *N*-methylpiperazine ring.

Scheme III. Proposed Pathway for the Formation of Ring-Cleaved and Ring-Opened Bicyclomycin Adducts



37

38

Scheme IV. Proposed Pathway for the Generation of Rearranged Bicyclomycin Adducts



compounds. Reduction of the pH of the solution (pH 8.3) led to decreased amounts of products (TLC analysis). Support for the proposed structural assignments for 26-28 derived from several key spectral observations. In particular, the C(5a)-methylene protons in the ¹H NMR spectra (Table II) for compounds 26-28 appeared as a distinct AB pair between δ 2.7 and 3.4. In the ¹³C NMR spectra (Table III), diagnostic signals were detected at approximately 85, 96, and 196 ppm and have been assigned to carbons 1, 9, and 6, respectively.¹⁷ These chemical shifts compared favorably with the values previously observed for the ethyl mercaptan-bicyclomycin adduct 29 obtained under "neutral-pH" conditions.9 In the case of 29, definitive proof of structure was obtained by X-ray crystallographic analysis.⁹ Of note, only a single set of signals was observed in the proton-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectra for 26-28, indicating that the secondary amine mediated transformations proceeded in a stereoselective manner.

Treatment of bicyclomycin with morpholine under more basic conditions (pH 12.5) led to a dramatically different product profile. Compound **26** was not detected, but a more polar adduct was isolated as a diastereomeric mixture. This compound has been tentatively identified as the ring-opened product **23**. Compatible with this structure, the proton-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum for **23** (Table I) displayed signals at approximately 103, 81, and 78 ppm for carbons C(6), C(1'), and C(3'), respectively.¹⁰ In the case of the morpholine-mediated reactions, the corresponding isomeric adduct **30** was not observed under basic conditions (pH



8.3-12.5). This compound, however, could be prepared by initial conversion of 1 to the 2',3'-acetonide 31,¹⁸ followed by treatment with morpholine ("pH" 10.6) to give 32, and then deprotection with 50% aqueous acetic acid. The proton-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum for 32 displayed a single set of lines providing evidence

that the addition of morpholine to the exocyclic methylene group in 31 yielded a single stereoisomer.

Discussion

The ring-cleaved (i.e., 15-18), ring-opened (i.e., 19, 21, and 22) and rearranged (i.e., 26-28) products produced in the amine-mediated transformations may stem from a common intermediate. We suggest that the key step is the rupture of the hemiaminal group at C(6) to give enone 2. Subsequent conjugate addition of the amine furnishes 33. In the case of methylamine and ethylamine (Scheme III, route A), this step can be followed by cleavage of the aminal bond at C(1) and then trapping of the resulting imine by the excess primary amine present in the solution to yield 34. Intramolecular acyl bond cleavage of the pyruvamide-type (C(7)-N(8)) bond by the amine at C(5a) then gives 35 and 36, which can cyclize to furnish 37 and 38 (i.e., 15-18). A similar pathway (Scheme III, route B) is envisioned initially for the imidazole, benzimidazole, and N_{α} -benzoylhistidine methylamide mediated reactions. In these transformations, however, Michael addition to enone 2 generates the fully substituted amine 33. This species is not likely to undergo an intramolecular acyl substitution reaction at C(7) in a subsequent step. Accordingly, cleavage of the aminal group at C(1) in these processes ultimately furnishes the bis(tetrahydrofuranyl) derivatives 39 (i.e., 19, 21, and 22). Significantly, formation of 39 is envisioned to proceed with the generation of three new chiral centers, thereby accounting for the number of isomeric products isolated in each reaction. Interestingly, the formation of the ring-opened adducts with the heteroaromatic amines (i.e., $1 \rightarrow 39$) proceeded at lower pH values than the thiolate-induced transformations (i.e., $1 \rightarrow 20$).^{5a,10} This observation suggests that the rupture of the aminal linkage at C(1)is general-base-catalyzed. A related process has been proposed for the cleavage of the C(6)-N(10) bond in 1 upon reaction of bicylcomycin with thiolates.8

Enone 2 is also projected as a key intermediate in the formation of the novel rearranged adducts 26-28 (Scheme IV). Conjugate addition of the secondary amine to 2 generates 33 and the corresponding tautomer 40. Enol 40 is ideally situated to undergo an intramolecular mixed-Claisen reaction to produce 41 and ammonia. Cyclization of 41 in the final step yields the observed hemiketal 42 (i.e., 26-28). Significantly, the mild conditions employed in the secondary amine mediated transformations should minimize alternative reaction processes (i.e., C(1)-O(2) bond cleavage).

A comparable hypothesis can be invoked to account for the generation of 32 from acetonide 31 and morpholine. In this

⁽¹⁷⁾ The numbering system employed for 1 has been retained for compounds 26-28 and is depicted in Tables II and III.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Kamiya, T.; Maeno, S.; Kitaura, Y. Belgium Patent 847 475.

specific scenario, initial enone formation is followed by formation of the C(5a)-substituted adduct 43. This species cannot isomerize



to the thermodynamically more stable bis(tetrahydrofuranyl) product.¹⁹ Accordingly, closure of the piperazinedione ring regenerates the bicyclomycin-ring skeleton to give **32**.

Conclusions

The amine-mediated bicyclomycin transformations yielded a spectrum of products that have provided useful information concerning the pathway for the chemical activation of 1. The type of adduct generated hinged upon the amine employed and the pH of the reaction medium. In all cases, C(5a)-functionalized products were produced. Formation of these adducts can be rationalized by initial cleavage of the C(6)-hemiaminal bond of 1 to generate enone 2. Moreover, under moderate pH conditions, a novel rearrangement $(1 \rightarrow 42)$ of the bicyclomycin ring system was discovered.

These results suggest that bicyclomycin undergoes activation by a chemical-mediated pathway. In this scenario, the initial step is the *reversible* ring opening of the C(6)-N(10) bond to generate enone 2. The efficiency of the subsequent drug-binding process (i.e., $2 \rightarrow 33$ (40)) is expected to be dependent upon the environment (i.e., medium, pH), the biological receptor (nucleophile), and the effective concentration of the nucleophile. The finding that bicyclomycin reacts with secondary amines to yield the novel rearranged adducts 42 may have added biological significance. The proposed intramolecular mixed-Claisen transformation (Scheme IV) generates the highly reactive ring system 41. Piperidinetrione 41 may be capable of undergoing further transformations (i.e., drug binding) necessary for the mode of action of the antibiotic.

Experimental Section

General Methods. Infrared spectra (IR) were run on a Perkin-Elmer 283, an IBM IR-32, or a Nicolet 10DX FT spectrometer and calibrated against the 1601-cm⁻¹ band of polystyrene. Absorption values are expressed in wavenumbers (cm⁻¹). Proton (¹H NMR) and carbon (¹³C NMR) nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were taken on Nicolet NT-300 and General Electric QE-300 NMR instruments. Chemical shifts (δ) are in parts per million (ppm) relative to Me₄Si and coupling constants (J values) are in hertz. Low-resolution electron-impact mass spectral data (MS) were obtained at an ionizing voltage of 70 eV on a Bell and Howell 21-491 mass spectrometer at the University of Texas-Austin. The low-resolution chemical-ionization mass spectral studies conducted at the University of Texas were run on either a Finnegan MAT 4023 or a TSQ-70 instrument, while the low-resolution FAB spectral investigations were conducted on the TSQ-70 instrument. High-resolution electron-impact mass spectra were performed on a CEC 21-110B double-focusing magnetic sector spectrometer at the University of Texas-Austin by Dr. John Chinn. The FAB spectra at the Baylor College of Medicine were performed on a VG ZAB-SEQ instrument by Dr. Simon Gaskell and at the University of Houston on a VG 70 SEQ instrument by Dr. R. B. Freas. The chemical-ionization mass spectral studies at the Baylor College of Medicine were performed by Dr. Simon Gaskell on a VG JS250 instrument. Microanalyses were obtained from Spang Microanalytical Laboratory, Eagle Harbor, MI. pH measurements were determined on a pHM26 meter.

All glassware was dried before use. The solvents and reactants were of the best commercial grade available and were used without further purification unless noted. Thin-layer chromatography and thick-layer chromatography were run on precoated silica gel GHLF microscope slides (2.5 \times 10 cm; Analtech No. 21521) or silica gel GHLF (20 \times 20 cm; Analtech No. 11187).

Treatment of Bicyclomycin with Methylamine (7). A solution (2 mL, pH 12.5) of 4% aqueous methylamine (7) (80 mg, 2.68 mmol) and 1 (50 mg, 0.165 mmol) was stirred at room temperature (15 h). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was purified by PTLC with 20% methanol-chloroform as the eluent to give three distinct fractions (R_f 0.73 (15 and an unidentified compound), 0.35 (17), 0.20 (16); 20% methanol-chloroform). The more mobile component was further purified by PTLC with 3% methanol-chloroform as the eluent (three developments) to yield 15 (R_f 0.70, 20% methanol-chloroform). The following properties were obtained for each isolated compound.

Compound **15** (3.5 mg, 12%): as a semisolid; FTIR (KBr) 1689 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 2.66 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H, C(4)CH₂CH₂OH), 2.89 (s, 3 H, NHCH₃), 2.99 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 3.67 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H, C(4)CH₂CH₂OH), 3.80 (s, 2 H, C(5)H₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 29.53 (C(4)CH₂CH₂OH), 31.04 (CH₃), 32.54 (CH₃), 54.87 (C(5)), 62.43 (C(4)CH₂CH₂OH), 116.55 (C(4)), 137.23 (C(3)), 170.96 (C(2)) ppm; MS (EI) m/e (relative intensity) 170 (53), 139 (100), 110 (77), 94 (31), 58 (51); M_{τ} (EI) 170.105 65 (calcd for C₈H₁₄N₂O₂, 170.105 53).

Compound **17** (5.4 mg, 17%): oil, FTIR (Nujol) 1666 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 1.26 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.74 (s, 3 H, NHCH₃), 3.71–3.90 (m, 3 H, CH₂, C(OH)H); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 22.37, 22.47 (CH₃), 26.30, 28.16 (NCH₃), 77.38, 77.62 (CH₂ or C(OH)H or C(OH)CH₃), 77.52, 80.31 (C(OH)CH₃ or C(OH)H or CH₂), 79.55, 85.19 (C(OH)H, or C(OH)CH₃, or CH₂), 93.54 (H₂NC(O)CNHCH₃), 175.32 (CO) ppm; MS (+CI) *m/e* (relative intensity) 191 [M + 1, 48]⁺, 174 (40), 146 (11), 131 (13), 117 (100); MS (EI) *m/e* (relative intensity) 146 (M⁺ – CONH₂, 21), 132 (100), 127 (29), 83 (34), 58 (99); *M*_r (EI) 146.081 93 (calcd for C₆H₁₂NO₃, M⁺ – CONH₂, 146.081 72), 132.066 26 (calcd for C₅H₁₀NO₃, M⁺ – CONH₂ – CH₂, 132.066 07).

Compound **16** (6.5 mg, 22%): as a semisolid; FTIR (KBr) 1684 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 1.28 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.75-3.91 (m, 3 H, CH₂, C(OH)*H*); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 21.40, 22.52 (CH₃), 77.36, 77.45 (CH₂ or *C*(OH)CH₃ or C(OH)H), 77.55, 78.05 (*C*(OH)CH₃ or C(OH)H or CH₂), 79.61, 85.21 (C(OH)H or CH₂ or *C*(OH)CH₃), 93.46 (H₂NC-(O)CNH₂), 177.62 (CO) ppm; MS (EI) *m/e* (relative intensity) 132 (M⁺ - CONH₂, 100), 74 (85), 73 (84); *M*₇ (EI) 132.06613 (calcd for C₅H₁₀NO₃, M⁺ - CONH₂, 132.06607).

Treatment of Bicyclomycin with Ethylamine (8). A solution (pH 12.5) of 1 (25 mg, 0.082 mmol) in 4% aqueous ethylamine (8) (1 mL, 0.88 mmol) was stirred at room temperature (15 h). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by PTLC with 20% methanol-chloroform as the eluent to give two distinct fractions (R_f 0.70 (18 and an unidentified compound), 0.20 (16); 20% methanol-chloroform). The more mobile fraction was further purified by PTLC with 3% methanol-chloroform as the eluent (three developments) to yield 18 (R_f 0.70, 20% methanol-chloroform).

Compound **18**: (2.5 mg, 15%) as a semisolid; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 1.15 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.16 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 2.62 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H, C(4)CH₂CH₂OH), 3.24 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 3.45 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 3.67 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H, C(4)CH₂CH₂OH), 3.83 (s, 2 H, C(5)H₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 13.84 (CH₃), 15.91 (CH₃), 31.18 (C(4)CH₂CH₂OH), 38.27 (CH₂CH₃), 40.69 (CH₂CH₃), 52.28 (C(5)), 62.11 (C(4)CH₂CH₂OH), 117.21 (C(3)), 135.79 (C(4)), 170.40 (C(2)) ppm; MS (EI) m/e (relative intensity) 198 (24), 167 (53), 124 (100), 96 (42), 82 (30), 68 (26), 56 (24); M_r (EI) 198.136 98 (calcd for C₁₀H₁₈N₂O₂, 198.136 83).

Compound 16 (2.0 mg, 14%): as a semisolid; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 1.28 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.75-3.91 (m, 3 H, CH₂, C(OH)H).

Treatment of Bicyclomycin with Imidazole (9). A solution of 1 (50 mg, 0.165 mmol) and 9 (17 mg, 0.25 mmol) in water (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature (15 h) at pH 10.5. The solvent was removed in vacuo at 40 °C and the residue was purified by PTLC with 25% methanol-chloroform as the eluent to give a mixture of 19a-d (43 mg). This mixture was further purified by PTLC with 15% methanol-chloroform (four developments) as the eluent to give the following compounds.

Compound 19a: yield, 8 mg (13%) as a semisolid; R_f 0.38 (20% methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1685, 1500 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃-OD) δ 1.28 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.91-2.01 (m, 2 H, C(4)HH'), 2.82-2.89 (m, 1 H, C(5)H), 3.90-3.96 (m, 2 H, C(3')HH', C(3)HH'), 4.12-4.22 (m, 2 H, C(3)HH', C(5a)HH'), 4.25-4.33 (m, 3 H, C(3')HH', C(5a)HH', C(1')H), 6.92 (br s, 1 H, C(5')H), 7.14 (br s, 1 H, C(4')H), 7.64 (br s, 1 H, C(2'')H). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 21.05 (CH₃), 29.63 (C(4)), 47.28 (C(5a)), 68.99 (C(3)), 78.75 (C(1') or C(2') or C(3')), 80.65 (C(3') or C(2') or C(1')), 94.69 (C(1)), 102.83 (C(6)), 121.20 (C(5'')), 129.15 (C(4'')), 139.06 (C(2'')), 172.43 (C(7) or C(9)), 173.06 (C(9) or C(7)) pm. The signal for the C(5a) carbon resonance was confirmed by the reverse-detected

⁽¹⁹⁾ Maag, H.; Blount, J. F.; Coffen, D. L.; Steppe, T. V.; Wong, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 6786.

 ${}^{1}H^{-13}C$ heteronuclear shift correlation experiment.²⁰ The peak for the C(5) carbon is presumed to reside beneath the signal for the solvent. MS (+FAB) 371 [M + 1]⁺; M_r (+FAB) 371.1589 (three determinations) (calcd for C₁₅H₂₃N₄O₇, [M + 1]⁺ 371.1567).

Compound 19b: yield, 6 mg (10%) as a semisolid; $R_f 0.34$ (20% methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1684, 1512 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃-OD) δ 1.28 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.93-1.97 (m, 2 H, C(4)HH'), 2.68-2.73 (m, 1 H, C(5)H), 3.88-3.99 (m, 2 H, C(3))HH', C(3')HH'), 4.02-4.18 (m, 2 H, C(3)HH', C(5a)HH'), 4.21-4.33 (m, 2 H, C(3')HH', C(5a)HH'), 4.50 (s, 1 H, C(1')H), 6.88 (br s, 1 H, C(5")H), 7.07 (br s, 1 H, C-(4")H), 7.60 (br s, 1 H, C(2")H). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 21.07 (CH₃), 30.05 (C(4)), 47.58 (C(5) or C(5a)), 68.82 (C(3)), 78.68 (C(1') or C(2') or C(3')), 80.06 (C(2') or C(1') or C(3')), 81.36 (C(3') or C(2') or C(1')), 94.65 (C(1)), 102.88 (C(6)), 120.84 (C(5")), 129.09 (C(4'')), 138.68 (C(2'')), 172.66 (C(7) or C(9)), 172.72, 172.99 (C(9) or C(7)) ppm. An unattributed signal at 68.99 (68.82) ppm was observed. The peak for the C(5) or C(5a) carbon is presumed to reside beneath the signal for the solvent. ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆) 20.74, 20.85 (CH₃), 27.94, 28.63 (C(4)), 45.50, 45.96 (C(5) or C(5a)), 47.06, 47.16 (C(5a) or C(5)), 66.73, 67.23 (C(3)), 77.18, 77.22, 78.02, 78.47, 78.55, 78.73 (C(1'), C(2'), C(3')), 92.38 (C(1)), 101.52 (C(6)), 119.40, 119.99 (C(5")), 128.17, 128.48 (C(4")), 137.41, 137.73 (C(2")), 168.91, 169.31 (C(7) or C(9)), 170.55, 170.66 (C(9) or C(7)) ppm. MS (+FAB) 371 $[M + 1]^+$

Compounds 19c and 19d: yield, 13 mg (21%) as a semisolid; $R_f 0.28$, 0.26 (20% methanol-chloroform); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 1.28, 1.33 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.85–2.04 (m, 2 H, C(4)HH'), 2.59–2.69 (m, 1 H, C(5)H), 3.75–4.20 (m, 6 H, C(3)HH', C(3')HH', C(5a)HH', C(1')H), 4.35–4.50 (m, 1 H, C(5a)HH', C(5')H), 7.16 (br s, 1 H, C(4'')H), 7.68 (br s, 1 H, C(2'')H); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 22.55, 23.19 (CH₃), 30.02, 30.15 (C(4)), 47.82 (C(5) or C(5a)), 68.03, 68.43 (C(3)), 77.06, 77.46 (C(1') or C(2') or C(3')), 78.05, 78.14 (C(2') or C(1') or C(3')), 79.59, 80.59 (C(3') or C(2') or C(1')), 89.69, 93.50 (C(1)), 102.85, 102.94 (C(6)), 120.91 (C(5'')), 129.11 (C(4'')), 138.70, 138.89 (C(2'')), 172.92, 172.99 (C(7) or C(9)), 175.34, 177.60 (C(9) or C(7)) ppm. The peak for the C(5) or C(5a) carbon is presumed to reside beneath the signal for the solvent. The binary mixture (6 mg) was further purified by PTLC with 20% methanol-chloroform as the eluent (five developments) to give pure 19c and 19d.

Compound **19c**: yield, 1 mg as a semisolid; $R_f 0.28$ (20% methanolchloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1684, 1506 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 1.35 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.85-2.03 (m, 2 H, C(4)HH'), 2.60-2.73 (m, 1 H, C-(5)H), 3.88-4.16 (m, 6 H, C(3)HH', C(3')HH', C(1')H, C(5a)HH'), 4.49 (dd, J = 3.06, 13.3 Hz, 1 H, C(5a)HH'), 6.96 (br s, 1 H, C(5'')H), 7.17 (br s, 1 H, C(4'')H), 7.70 (br s, 1 H, C(2'')H). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. MS (+FAB) 371 [M + 1]⁺; M_f (+FAB) 371.1576 (three determinations) (calcd for C₁₅H₂₃N₄O₇, [M + 1]⁺ 371.1567). Compound **19d**: yield, 2 mg as a semisolid; $R_f 0.26$ (20% methanol-

Compound 19d: yield, 2 mg as a semisolid; $R_f 0.26$ (20% methanolchloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1684, 1508 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 1.28 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.88-2.04 (m, 2 H, C(4)HH'), 2.68-2.71 (m, 1 H, C-(5)H), 3.77-4.18 (m, 6 H, C(3)HH', C(3')HH', C(1')H, C(5a)HH'), 4.35-4.50 (m, 1 H, C(5a)HH'), 6.94 (br s, 1 H, C(5'')H), 7.15 (br s, 1 H, C(4'')H), 7.67 (br s, 1 H, C(2'')H); MS (+FAB) 371 [M + 1]⁺.

Treatment of Bicyclomycin with Benzimidazole (10). A solution of 1 (50 mg, 0.165 mmol) and 10 (20 mg, 0.185 mmol) in tetrahydrofuranwater (1:3) (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature (20 h) at "pH" 10.6. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in methanol (5 mL). The insoluble materials were filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated and purified by PTLC with 15% methanol-chloroform (two developments) as the eluent to give the following compounds.

Compound **21a**: yield, 4.5 mg (7%) as a semisolid; $R_f 0.50$ (20% methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1685, 1500 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃-OD) δ 1.13 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.98–2.18 (m, 2 H, C(4)HH'), 3.00–3.15 (m, 1 H, C(5)H), 3.80–4.00 (m, 3 H, C(3)HH', C(3')HH', C(1')H), 4.05–4.25 (m, 2 H, C(3)HH'), C(3')HH'), 4.37–4.51 (m, 1 H, C(5a)HH'), (23')HH'), 4.37–4.51 (m, 1 H, C(5a)HH'), 7.24–7.35 (m, 2 H, C(5'',6'')H), 7.58–7.65 (m, 2 H, C(4'',7'')H), 8.14 (s, 1 H, C(2'')H). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 21.06 (CH₃), 29.40 (C(4)), 45.27 (C(5) or C(5a)), 47.44 (C(5) or C(5a)), 69.08 (C(3)), 78.68 (C(1') or C(2') or C(3')), 80.01 (C(2') or C(1') or C(3')), 80.68 (C(3') or C(2') or C(1')), 94.67 (C(1)), 103.07 (C(6)), 111.71 (C(7'')), 120.10 (C(4'')), 123.63 (C(5'')), 124.48 (C(6'')), 134.81 (C(7a'')), 143.95 (C(2'') or C(3a'')), 145.37 (C(3a'') or C(2'')), 172.16 (C(7) or C(9)), 173.13 (C(9) or C(7)) ppm; MS (-CI) 420 [M]⁻; MS (+FAB) 421 [M + 1]⁺; MS (-FAB) 420 [M]⁻;

Compound 21b: yield, 3.25 mg (5%) as a semisolid; $R_f 0.45$ (20%) methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1684, 1506 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃-OD) δ 1.29 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.96-2.09 (m, 2 H, C(4)HH'), 2.88-2.93 (m, 1 H, C(5)H), 3.88-4.12 (m, 2 H, C(3)HH', C(3')HH'), 4.12-4.19 (m, 2 H, C(3)HH', C(3')HH'), 4.26-4.38 (m, 1 H, C(5a)HH'), 4.46 (s, 1 H, C(1')H), 4.55-4.64 (m, 1 H, C(5a)HH'), 7.25-7.33 (m, 2 H, C-(,6'')H), 7.56–7.65 (m, 2 H, C(4'',7'')H), 8.16 (s, 1 H, C(2'')H). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 21.11 (CH₃), 30.14 (C(4)), 45.62 (C(5) or C(5a)), 68.89 (C(3)), 78.63 (C(1') or C(2') or C(3')), 79.99 (C(2') or C(1') or C(3')), 81.50 (C(3') or C(1') or C(2')), 94.70 (C(1)), 106.70 (C(6)), 111.51 (C(7")), 120.16 (C(4")), 123.47 (C(5")), 124.25 (C(6")), 134.97 (C(7a")), 144.09 (C(2") or C(3a")), 144.91 (C(3a") or C(2")) ppm. The peak for the C(5) or C(5a) carbon is presumed to reside beneath the signal for the solvent. The peaks for the C(7) and C(9)carbons could not be detected. MS (-CI) 420 [M]-; MS (+FAB) 421 $[M + 1]^+$; MS (-FAB) 420 $[M]^-$, 419 $[M - 1]^-$

Compound 21c: yield, 5 mg (7%) as a semisolid; R_f 0.40 (20%) methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1684, 1501 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃-OD) § 1.33 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.82-1.88 (m, 1 H, C(4)HH'), 2.02-2.09 (m, 1 H, C(4)HH'), 2.75-2.86 (m, 1 H, C(5)H), 3.80-4.00 (m, 4 H, C(3)-HH', C(1')H, C(3')HH'), 4.11-4.18 (m, 1 H, C(3)HH'), 4.29-4.37 (m, 1 H, C(5a)HH'), 4.64-4.77 (m, 1 H, C(5a)HH'), 7.26-7.31 (m, 2 H, C(5'',6'')H), 7.64–7.66 (m, 2 H, C(4'',7'')H), 8.22 (s, 1 H, C(2'')H). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 23.15 (CH₃), 30.27 (C(4)), 45.80 (C(5) or C(5a)), 68.80 (C(3)), 77.08, 78.08, 78.17, 80.64, (C(1'), C(2'), C(3')), 89.74 (C(1)), 106.86 (C(6)), 111.73 (C(7")), 120.07 (C(4")), 123.49 (C(5")), 124.31 (C(6")), 134.96 (C(7a")), 144.07 (C(2")) or C(3a")), 144.90 (C(3a") or C(2")), 173.00 (C(7) or C(9)), 175.40 (C(7) or C(9)) ppm. An unattributed signal at 78.08 (77.08) ppm was observed. The peak for the C(5) or C(5a) carbon is presumed to reside beneath the signal for the solvent. MS (-CI) 420 [M]⁻, 419 [M - 1]⁻; MS (+FAB) 421 [M + 1]⁺; MS (-FAB) 420 [M]⁻, 419 [M - 1]⁻.

 N_{a} -Benzoylhistidine Methylamide (11). N_{a} -Benzoylhistidine methyl ester²¹ (100 mg, 0.32 mmol) was added to a 40% methylamine (7) solution (2 mL) and heated at reflux for 6 h. The solvent was removed and the residue was purified by PTLC with 20% methanol–chloroform as the eluent to give the title compound; yield, 50 mg (57%); mp 206 °C (ethanol); R_{1} 0.50 (20% methanol–chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1644 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 2.71 (s, 3 H, NHCH₃), 3.04–3.22 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 4.79 (t, J = 6.73 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.89 (s, 1 H, C(5)H), 7.40–7.50 (m, 3 H, C(3', 4', 5')H), 7.60 (s, 1 H, C(2)H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.40 Hz, 2 H, C(2', 6')H; 1³C NMR (CD₃OD) 26.39 (NHCH₃), 30.50 (CH₂), 55.62 (CH), 118.02 (C(5)), 128.44 (C(2', 6') or C(3', 5')), 129.47 (C(3', 5') or C(2', 6')), 132.82 (C(4')), 135.13 (C(1'), C(4)), 136.31 (C(2)), 169.99 (C₆-H₅CONH), 174.17 (CONHCH₃) ppm.

Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{16}N_4O_2$: C, 61.76; H, 5.88; N, 20.59. Found: C, 61.88; H, 6.00; N, 20.53.

(dl)- N_{α} -Benzoyl-N-1-(3-oxobut-1-yl)histidine Methylamide (25). To a solution of 11 (20 mg, 0.073 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran-water (1:3, 1 mL), 24 (10.3 mg, 0.146 mmol) was added and the "pH" of the solution was raised to 10.00. This solution was stirred at room temperature (15 h) and then the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by PTLC with 10% methanol-chloroform to give the title compound: yield, 13.5 mg (54%) as a semisolid; $R_f 0.70$ (15% methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1709, 1651 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 2.01 (s, 3 H, COCH₃), 2.56 (br s, 3 H, NHCH₃), 2.86-2.91 (m, 4 H, CHCH₂, $COCH_2$), 4.04 (t, J = 6.45 Hz, 2 H, NCH_2), 4.53-4.57 (m, 1 H, CHCH₂), 6.87 (s, 1 H, C(5)H), 7.45-7.56 (m, 4 H, C(3', 4', 5')H, NH), 7.84-7.87 (m, 3 H, C(2)H, C(2', 6')H), 8.64 (d, J = 7.42 Hz, 1 H, NHCH); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆) 25.69 (NHCH₃), 29.84 (COCH₃), 30.30 (CHCH₂), 40.69 (COCH₂), 43.66 (NCH₂CH₂), 53.81 (CHCH₂), 116.46 (C(5)), 127.39 (C(2',6') or C(3', 5')), 128.20 (C(3', 5') or C(2', 6')), 131.26 (C(4')), 134.20 (C(1')), 136.83 (C(2)), 137.86 (C(4)), 166.00 (C₆H₅CONH), 171.62 (CONHCH₃), 206.24 (COCH₃) ppm; MS (+CI) 343 $[M + 1]^+$; M_r (EI) 342.17013 (calcd for $C_{18}H_{22}N_4O_3$, 342.16919)

Treatment of Bicyclomycin with N_{α} -Benzoylhistidine Methylamide (11). Bicyclomycin (25 mg, 0.082 mmol) was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran-water (1:3) (2.5 mL) and 11 (24 mg, 0.088 mmol) was added. The "pH" of the solution was raised to 9.90 and the reaction mixture was stirred (20 h) at room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by TLC with 15% methanol-chloroform (two developments) as the eluent to give the following compounds.

Compound **22a**: yield, 8.5 mg (18%) as a semisolid; R_f 0.42 (20% methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1678 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ

1.26, 1.30, 1.31, 1.32 (s, 3 H, C(2')CH₃), 1.80–2.10 (m, 2 H, C(4)HH'), 2.60–2.67 (m, 1 H, C(5)H), 2.72, 2.75, 2.77 (s, 3 H, NHCH₃), 2.98–3.19 (m, 2 H, CHCH₂), 3.74–4.55 (m, 7 H, C(3)HH', C(3')HH', C(5a)HH', C(1')H), 4.73–4.77 (m, 1 H, CHCH₂), 6.75, 6.90, 6.94 (s, 1 H, C(5')H), 7.42–7.59 (m, 4 H, C(2'')H, C(3''', 4''', 5''')H), 7.79–7.83 (m, 2 H, C(2''', 6''')H). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 21.13 (C(2')CH₃), 26.43, 27.51 (NHCH₃), 29.46, 30.02 (C(4)), 31.42 (CHCH₂), 47.34, 47.55 (C(5) or C(5a)), 55.47, 55.64 (CHCH₂), 68.64, 68.77, 68.91 (C-(3)), 78.69, 79.88, 79.93, 80.09, 80.84, 81.01, 81.37 (C(1'), C(2'), C(3')), 94.60, 94.67 (C(1)), 102.77 (C(6)), 118.71, 118.94 (C(5'')), 128.49(C-(2''', 6''')) or C(3''', 5''')), 132.91 (C(4'')), 135.09 (C(1''')), 138.56, 138.76, 138.84, 138.98 (C(2''), C-(4'')), 169.84, 169.90, 172.49, 172.71, 172.93, 173.09, 173.67, 174.12, 174.25, 174.33 (CO) ppm. The peak for the C(5) or C(5a) carbon is presumed to reside beneath the signal for the solvent. MS (+FAB) 575 [M + 1]⁺.

Compound 22b: yield, 5 mg (11%) as a semisolid; $R_f 0.30$ (20%) methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1686 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 1.32, 1.33 (s, 3 H, C(2')CH₃), 1.66-2.10 (m, 2 H, C(4)HH'), 2.49-2.67 (m, 1 H, C(5)H), 2.72 (s, 3 H, NHCH₃), 2.95-3.12 (m, 2 H, CHCH₂), 3.64-4.21 (m, 6 H, C(3)HH', C(3')HH', C(5a)HH', C(1')H), 4.27-4.39 (m, 1 H, C(5a)HH'), 4.71-4.87 (m, 1 H, CHCH₂), 6.96, 6.97 (s, 1 H, C(5")H), 7.44-7.52 (m, 3 H, C(3", 4", 5")H), 7.58 (br s, 1 H, C-(2")H), 7.64-7.82 (m, 2 H, C(2", 6")H). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 23.19, 23.25 (C(2')CH₃), 26.39, 26.55 (NHCH₃), 30.08 (C-(4)), 31.50 (CHCH2), 47.45, 47.75 (C(5) or C(5a)), 55.60, 55.82 (CH-CH₂), 68.72, 68.92 (C(3)), 77.04, 78.05, 78.14, 80.61 (C(1'), C(2'), C(3')), 89.70, 89.78 (C(1)), 102.94 (C(6)), 118.77, 118.83 (C(5")), 128.46 (C(2", 6") or C(3", 5"')), 129.54 (C(2", 6") or C(3", 5")), 132.84 (C(4")), 135.18 (C(1")), 138.58, 138.69, 138.78 (C(2"), C(4")), 169.87, 172.82, 173.86, 174.27 (CO) ppm. The peak for the C(5) or C(5a) carbon is presumed to reside beneath the signal for the solvent. MS (+FAB) 575 [M + 1]+.

Treatment of Bicyclomycin with Morpholine (12). A 1% aqueous solution (1.25 mL, pH 10.2) of 12 (12.36 mg, 0.142 mmol) and 1 (25 mg, 0.083 mmol) was stirred at room temperature (20 h). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by PTLC (silica gel) with 10% methanol-chloroform as the eluent to yield 26 (7.2 mg, 23%) as a semisolid: Rr 0.50 (10% methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1740, 1699 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 1.16 (s, 3 H, C(2')CH₃), 1.41 (dd, J = 2.8, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, C(4)HH'), 2.07 (app dt, J = 6.4, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, C(4)HH'), 2.50-2.80 (br s, 4 H, N(CH₂)₂), 2.73 (d, J = 14.1 Hz, 1 H, C(5a)HH', 3.30 (d, J = 14.1 Hz, 1 H, C(5a)HH'), 3.50–3.75 (m, 5 H, $O(CH_2)_2$, C(3)HH'), 3.62 (d, J= 12.0 Hz, 1 H, C(3')HH'), 3.83 (s, 1 H, C(1')H), 3.94 (dd, J = 6.4, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, C(3)HH'), 4.15 (d, J =12.0 Hz, 1 H, C(3')HH'). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 21.08 (C(2')CH₃), 32.77 (C(4)), 54.61 (C(5a)), 56.07 (N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 58.20 (C(5)), 60.42 (C(3)), 68.08 (N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 70.19 (C(1') or C(2') or C(3')), 71.87 (C(2') or C(1') or C(3')), 72.63 (C(3') or C(2') or C(1')), 84.99 (C(1)), 96.35 (C(9)), 160.15 (C(7)), 196.33 (C(6)) ppm; M_r (+CI) 373.15878 (calcd for $C_{16}H_{25}N_2O_8$, $[M + 1]^+$, 373.16109)

Treatment of Bicyclomycin with Ethyl Piperazinecarboxylate (13). The preceding reaction was repeated with a 1% aqueous solution (2 mL, pH 10.6) of 13 (35 mg, 0.136 mmol) and 1 (25 mg, 0.083 mmol). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude material was purified by PTLC with 7% methanol-chloroform as the eluent (two developments) to yield 6.0 mg (16%) of 27 as a semisolid: $R_f 0.50$ (10% methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 3495, 1734, 1701 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 1.20 (s, 3 H, C(2')CH₃), 1.28 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.45 (dd, J = 2.6, 13.5 Hz, 1 H, C(4)HH', 2.11 (app dt, J = 6.3, 13.5 Hz, 1 H,C(4)HH'), 2.50-2.90 (br s, 4 H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NCO₂CH₂CH₃), 2.80 (d, J = 14.3 Hz, 1 H, C(5a)HH'), 3.30-3.40 (m, 5 H, C(5a)HH', N- $(CH_2CH_2)_2NCO_2CH_2CH_3)$, 3.68 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H, C(3')HH'), 3.72 (app dt, J= 2.6, 13.5 Hz, 1 H, C(3)HH'), 3.87 (s, 1 H, C(1')H), 3.98 (dd, J = 6.3, 13.5 Hz, 1 H, C(3)HH), 4.13 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 4.21 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H, C(3')HH). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 14.87 (CH₂CH₃), 21.08 (C(2')CH₃), 32.71 (C(4)), 44.83 (N(CH₂CH₂)₂NCO₂CH₂CH₃), 54.68 (C(Sa) or N-(CH₂CH₂)₂NCO₂CH₂CH₃), 55.27 (N(CH₂CH₂)₂NCO₂CH₂CH₂CH₃) C(5a)), 58.17 (C(5)), 59.85 (C(3)), 62.83 (NCO₂CH₂CH₃), 70.22 (C(1') or C(2') or C(3')), 71.83 (C(2') or C(1') or C(3')), 72.66 (C(3') or C(2') or C(1')), 84.97 (C(1)), 96.31 (C(9)), 156.95 (NCO₂CH₂CH₃), 160.16 (C(7)), 196.20 (C(6)) ppm; M_r (EI) 443.189 90 (calcd for $C_{19}H_{29}N_3O_9$, 443.19038)

Treatment of Bicyclomycin with N-Methylpiperazine (14). With use of the previous procedure described for the reaction of 1 with 12, 1 (25

mg, 0.083 mmol) was added to a 1% aqueous solution (2 mL, pH 10.8) of 14 (20 mg, 0.2 mmol) at room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue purified by PTLC with 10% methanol-chloroform as the eluent to yield 28 (3.5 mg, 11%) as a semisolid: $R_f 0.50$ (10%) methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1740, 1697 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃-OD) δ 1.15 (s, 3 H, C(2')CH₃), 1.40 (dd, J = 2.5, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, C-(4)HH', 2.06 (app dt, J = 6.3, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, C(4)HH'), 2.22 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 2.40–3.00 (br s, 8 H, N(CH₂CH₂)₂NCH₃), 2.75 (d, J = 14.2Hz, 1 H, C(5a)HH'), 3.22-3.38 (m, C(5a)HH', CD₃OD), 3.62 (d, J =11.9 Hz, 1 H, C(3')HH'), 3.66 (app dt, J = 2.5, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, C(3)-HH'), 3.82 (s, 1 H, C(1')H), 3.93 (dd, J = 6.3, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, C(3)HH'), 4.14 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H, C(3')HH'). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 21.07 (C(2')CH₃), 32.76 (C(4)), 45.84 (NCH₃), 54.53 (N(CH₂CH₂)-NCH₃ or C(5a) or N(CH₂CH₂)₂NCH₃), 55.12 (N(CH₂CH₂)₂NCH₃ or C(5a) or N(CH₂CH₂)₂NCH₃), 56.20 (N(CH₂CH₂)₂NCH₃ or C(5a) or N(CH₂CH₂)NCH₃), 58.20 (C(5)), 59.59 (C(3)), 70.16 (C(1') or C(2') or C(3')), 71.84 (C(2') or C(3') or C(1')), 72.65 (C(3') or C(1') or C(2')), 84.97 (C(1)), 96.31 (C(9)), 160.17 (C(7)), 196.27 (C(6)) ppm; MS (+CI) 386 $[M + 1]^+$.

Treatment of Bicyclomycin with Morpholine (12) at pH 12.5. To a solution of 1 (50 mg, 0.165 mmol) in water (4 mL, pH 12.5) was added a 1% aqueous morpholine (12) solution (1.7 mL, 0.195 mmol) and the pH of the solution was raised to 12.5. The mixture was stirred at room temperature (3 h), and then the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by preparative TLC with 20% methanol-chloroform (two developments) as the eluent to give compound 23: yield, 5 mg (8%) as a semisolid; Rf 0.40 (20% methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1684 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 1.33 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.76-2.04 (m, 1 H, C(4)HH'), 2.10-2.36 (m, 1 H, C(4)HH'), 2.36-2.97 (m, 7 H, C(5)H, C(5a)HH', N(CH2CH2)2O), 3.47-4.15 (m, 9 H, C(3)HH', C(3')HH', C(1')H, $N(CH_2CH_2)_2O$). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiment. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 21.09, 22.49, 23.29 (CH₃), 30.47, 30.76, 31.10 (C(4)), 43.61, 44.04 (C(5)), 54.97 (N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 59.37 (C(5a)), 67.78 (N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 68.55 (C(3)), 76.99, 77.42, 78.03, 78.68, 79.58, 80.23, 80.59, 81.30 (C(1'), C(2'), C(3')), 89.64 (C(1)), 101.51, 104.33 (C(6)), 175 (CO) ppm. The remaining carbonyl signal was not detected. MS (+FAB) 390 [M + 1]⁺.

Treatment of 2',3'-Bicyclomycin Acetonide (31) with Morpholine (12). Compound 31 (12 mg, 0.035 mmol) was stirred in a 1% morpholine (12) (20 mg, 0.23 mmol) tetrahydrofuran-water (1:1) solution (2 mL, "pH" 10.6) at room temperature (15 h). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was purified by PTLC with 10% methanol-chloroform as the eluent to obtain 8.2 mg of 32 (55%): mp 135-140 °C; R_f 0.70 (15% methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1684 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) & 1.35 (s, 3 H, C(2')CH₃), 1.45 (s, 6 H, C(CH₃)₂), 1.51-1.66 (m, 1 H, C(4)HH'), 1.80-1.95 (m, 1 H, C(4)HH'), 2.24-2.42 (m, 2 H, C(5a)HH', C(5)H), 2.42-2.58 (br s, 2 H, N(CHH'CH₂)₂O), 2.63-2.84 (m, 3 H, C(5a)HH', N(CHH'CH₂)₂O), 3.62-3.78 (m, 5 H, C(3')HH', N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 3.83-3.94 (m, 1 H, C(3)HH'), 4.02-4.15 (m, 1 H, C(3)HH', 4.08 (s, 1 H, C(1')H), 4.45 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H, C(3')HH'); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 24.89 (C(2')CH₃), 26.81 (CCH₃), 28.40 (CCH₃), 31.88 (C(4)), 45.23 (C(5)), 54.24 (N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 61.48 (C(5a)), 66.03 (C(3)), 67.80 (N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 73.17 (C(1') or C(3')), 73.27 (C(3') or C(1')), 85.48 (C(6)), 86.37 (C(2')), 89.48 (C(1)), 111.73 (C(CH₃)₂), 165.78 (C(7)), 171.69 (C(9)) ppm; MS (+FAB) 430 [M + 1]

Conversion of Acetonide 32 to 30. Acetonide 32 (6 mg, 0.014 mmol) was dissolved in 50% aqueous acetic acid (1 mL) and the solution was heated at 60 °C (90 min). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude mixture was purified by PTLC with 15% methanol-chloroform as the eluent to yield 3.5 mg (64%) of 30 as a semisolid: $R_f 0.40 (15\%)$ methanol-chloroform); FTIR (KBr) 1686 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 1.32 (s, 3 H, C(2')CH₃), 1.54-1.72 (m, 1 H, C(4)HH'), 1.78-1.95 (m, 1 H, C(4)HH'), 2.23-2.38 (m, 2 H, C(5)H, C(5a)HH'), 2.38-2.58 (br 2 H, N(CHH'CH₂)₂O), 2.58-2.84 (m, 3 H, C(5a)HH', N- $(CHH'CH_2O)_2$, 3.56 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1 H, C(3')HH'), 3.62–3.80 (m, 5 H, C(3')HH', N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 3.80-3.91 (m, 1 H, C(3)HH'), 4.02 (s, 1 H, C(1')H), 3.98-4.13 (m, 1 H, C(3)HH'). The ¹H NMR assignments were confirmed by the corresponding COSY experiments. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD) 24.19 (C(2')CH₃), 31.89 (C(4)), 45.42 (C(5)), 54.31 (N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 61.37 (C(5a)), 65.41 (C(3)), 67.88 (N(CH₂CH₂)₂O), 68.50 (C(3')), 72.38 (C(1')), 78.09 (C(2')), 85.46 (C(6)), 90.14 (C(1)), 168.83 (C(7)), 172.10 (C(9)) ppm; MS (+FAB) 390 [M + 1]⁺; M_r (+FAB) 390.1876 (three determinations) (calcd for C₁₆H₂₈N₃O₈, [M + 11+ 390.1876).

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institutes of Health (Grant No. GM37934) and the Robert A. Welch Foundation (Grant No. E-607) for their generous support of our work. The

National Science Foundation (Grant No. CHE-8616352) is gratefully acknowledged for providing matching funds for the acquisition of a high-field NMR spectrometer. We also express our appreciation to Drs. John Chinn (University of Texas at Austin) and R. B. Freas (University of Houston) for their help in the mass spectral studies. Special thanks are given to Dr. Simon Gaskell (Baylor College of Medicine) for obtaining the many high-resolution mass spectral results. Grateful acknowledgment is made to Dr. K. Inokuchi and the Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Co.,

Registry No. 1, 38129-37-2; 7, 74-89-5; 8, 75-04-7; 9, 288-32-4; 10, 51-17-2; 11, 120546-75-0; 12, 110-91-8; 13, 120-43-4; 14, 109-01-3; 15, 120546-76-1; 16 (diastereomer-1), 120546-77-2; 16 (diastereomer-2), 120546-87-4; 17 (diastereomer-1), 120546-78-3; 17 (diastereomer-2), 120546-88-5; 18, 120546-79-4; 19, 120546-80-7; 21, 120577-43-7; 22, 120546-81-8; 23, 120546-82-9; 24, 78-94-4; 25, 120546-83-0; 26, 120546-84-1; 27, 120546-85-2; 28, 120577-44-8; 30, 120577-45-9; 31, 63777-16-2; 32, 120546-86-3; PhCO-DL-His-OMe, 120546-89-6.

Organic Reactions Catalyzed by Copper-Loaded Polymers. Reactivity vs Polymer Structure

F. M. Menger* and T. Tsuno

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322. Received November 7, 1988

Abstract: Four types of polymers were constructed: P-M, $P-M-H_1$, $P-H_2-M$, and $P-H_2-M-H_1$, where P = polystyrene, M = metal (Cu²⁺), and H = hydrocarbon chain (H₁ = 14 carbons and H₂ = six carbons). Thus, P-M is devoid of an aliphatic hydrocarbon, whereas P-M-H₁ has a metal interposed between the polymer and a long hydrocarbon chain. With both, however, the metal resides near the polymer backbone. In contrast, $P-H_2-M$ and $P-H_2-M-H_1$ have a six-carbon spacer separating the metal and polymer. The latter also possesses a 14-carbon outer chain, so that the copper is situated between two hydrocarbon regions. Of the four polymeric types, P-H₁-M was found to be the most active in catalyzing the hydrolysis of nerve-agent simulants. Thus, 4-nitrophenyl diphenyl phosphate is rapidly hydrolyzed ($t_{1/2} = 2.7 \text{ min}$) with 1.0 mM polymer-bound Cu²⁺ at pH = 8.0 (25.0 °C). The reactions display saturation kinetics and operate via a turnover mechanism. In addition to the rate studies, six synthetically useful copper-promoted reactions (including a Diels-Alder cyclization, an epoxide opening, and an aryl iodide hydrolysis) were examined. Five of these manifest higher yields and shorter reaction times with the metallopolymer as opposed to an equivalent amount of conventional copper salt. Easy reaction workup is another virtue of the polymer-catalyzed processes.

Chemical-warfare agents, such as nerve gas and mustard, owe their potency to a high reactivity toward nucleophiles in body tissues. Consequently, any strategy for chemical defense against these loathsome materials requires the development of compounds for which the agents have an even greater affinity. Notable progress along these lines has appeared recently from the laboratories of Moss and ourselves.^{1,2} Moss found that iodosobenzoates destroy phosphorus(V) compounds related to nerve agents.1 We, on the other hand, exploited "metallomicelles" to inactivate the deadly neurotoxins.² Turnover mechanisms with 10^{5} - 10^{6} rate enhancements were achieved. The present article is dedicated to rendering nerve agents and their simulants impotent via hydrolyses catalyzed by copper-loaded polymers. Rate studies revealed how the surfaces of the new "metallopolymer" systems interact with small molecules. In addition, the polymers were examined for their ability to promote six synthetically useful organic reactions.3

Four types of polymers were constructed (Figure 1): P-M, $P-M-H_1$, $P-H_2-M$, and $P-H_2-M-H_1$, where P = polystyrene, M = metal (Cu²⁺), and H = hydrocarbon chain (H₁ = 14 carbons, and $H_2 = six$ carbons). Thus, P-M is devoid of hydrocarbon, whereas P-M-H₁ has a metal interposed between the polymer surface and a long hydrocarbon chain. With both polymers,

however, the metal resides near the polymer backbone. In contrast, $P-H_2-M$ and $P-H_2-M-H_1$ have a six-carbon spacer separating the metal and polymer. The latter also possesses a 14-carbon outer chain, so that the metal is situated between two hydrocarbon regions.

The polymers were implanted with copper owing to the known ability of this metal to catalyze the hydrolysis of phosphorus(V) compounds.⁴ There was, of course, also good reason for incorporating hydrocarbon tails into the polymers. If one is to achieve a high level of catalysis, substrates must bind to the polymer prior to the actual chemistry. Contiguous hydrocarbon tails can provide a means for attracting hydrophobic substrates to the polymer surfaces similar to the action of surfactant chains in micelles. This is not speculation. Many years ago, Cordes et al.⁵ showed that small organic molecules bind hydrophobically to poly-4-vinylpyridine quaternized with dodecyl bromide (a "polysoap"). No one has, however, yet investigated the catalytic consequences of embedding Cu²⁺ within nonpolar regions of polymer surfaces.

The catalytic activity of the polymer systems was tested with two substrates: 4-nitrophenyl isopropylphenylphosphinate (I) and 4-nitrophenyl diphenyl phosphate (II). These compounds were selected because: (a) They are easily handled "simulants" of the more relevant but also more toxic nerve agents such as GD. (b) Considerable work, including our metallomicelle experiments,² has been carried out on the substrates, so that there exists a large body of data with which to judge the efficacy of the polymeric catalysts.⁶ (c) The hydrolysis of the substrates, in contrast to GD, can be monitored spectrophotometrically. (d) Since the

⁽¹⁾ Moss, R. A.; Kim, K. Y.; Swarup, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 788

⁽²⁾ Menger, F. M.; Gan, L. H.; Johnson, E.; Durst, D. H. J. Am. Chem.

⁽²⁾ Menger, F. M.; Gan, E. H.; Johnson, E.; Carr, J. H.; Sonnson, E.; Carr, J. H.; Sonnson, E.; Carr, J. H.; Sonnson, Soc. 1987, 109, 2800.
(3) For lead references on polymer-based catalysts and polymer-copper complexes, see: Skelah, A.; Sherrington, D. C. Chem. Rev. 1981, 81, 557. Sahni, S. K.; Reedijk, J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1984, 59, 1. Yokoi, H.; Kawata, S.; Iwaizumi, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3358. Drago, R. S.; Gaul, H.; Zomback, A.; Straub, D. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1033. Koning, Science and J.; Zombeck, A.; Straub, D. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1033. Koning, E.; Brinkhuis, R.; Wevers, R.; Challa, G. Polymer 1987, 28, 2310. Nishide, H.; Minakata, T.; Tsuchida, E. J. Mol. Catal. 1982, 15, 327.

⁽⁴⁾ Gustafson, R. L.; Martell, A. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 2309. (5) Rudolfo, T.; Hamilton, J. A.; Cordes, E. H. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 2281.

⁽⁶⁾ Katritzky, A. R.; Duell, B. L.; Durst, H. D.; Knier, B. L. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 3972.