DOI: 10.1002/cjoc.201100443

Synthesis, Characterization, and Highly Selective Ethylene Dimerization to 1-Butene of [O⁻NX]Ni(II) Complexes[†]

Xu, Chongjie^a(许崇杰) Shen, Qi^a(沈琪) Sun, Xiuli^{*,b}(孙秀丽) Tang, Yong^b(唐勇)

^a School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Suzhou University, Suzhou 215123, China ^b State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, 345 Lingling Lu, Shanghai 200032, China

A series of nickel(II) complexes have been synthesized and characterized. Molecular structure analysis exhibits that a square planar geometry around nickel is adopted. Upon activation with MAO, these nickel(II) complexes are efficient in catalyzing the ethylene dimerization, providing 1-butene with an activity of up to 1.4×10^7 g/(mol•h•atm). The heteroatoms of the sidearm in the complexes were proved to have great impact on the activity and selectivity of 1-butene.

Keywords nickel complexes, ethylene dimerization, 1-butene, selectivity

Introduction

Organonickel complexes have attracted much attention in the filed of olefin polymerization and oligomerization.^[1] And tremendous advances were achieved in both industry and academic field.^[2] For example, SHOP catalyst, developed by Keim et al., can efficiently convert ethylene into value added α -olefins, which has become one of the largest applications of homogeneous catalysis by a transition metal.^[3-6] However, of all the nickel complexes developed, we noticed that bidentate ligands were involved in most cases, probably because of either a square planar geometry or a tetrahedral geometry around nickel being considered suitable to meet the requirement of the olefin coordination polymeriza-tion.^[7-10] Though a few examples of nickel complexes based on tridentate ligands have been reported for this purpose,^[11-13] either low activity or low selectivity towards α -olefin was always observed. Recently, Braunstein et al. described a bis(oxazolinyl)phenyl phosphonite nickel complex used to promote oligomerization of ethylene with an activity up to 1.1×10^{5} $g/(mol(Ni) \cdot h \cdot atm)$ in the presence of AlEtCl₂ with the selectivity for α -butene being up to 21%.^[11] Casagrande et al. documented that tridentate pyrazolyl nickel complexes were efficient catalysts for the ethylene dimerization.^[12] Very rencently, by employing the abovementioned tridentate pyrazolyl nickel complexes, they obtained butenes in an activity of 5.3×10^4 g/(mol(Ni)•h•atm) along with 81% selectivity for 1-butene under the optimized conditions.^[12b] We have

been interested in the olefin coordination polymerization and oligomerization, and a series of new metal complexes were applied successfully in ethylene (co)polymerization,^[14] in which the nickel complexes derived from *N*-[(1*H*-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene]-2-(diphenylphosphino)benzenamine were found active to polymerize the norbornene.^[15] Recently, we designed a kind of nickel complexes derived from tridentate ligands [ONS], which are highly active [up to 14.25×10^6 g/ (mol(Ni)•h•atm)] in catalyzing the ethylene dimerization to provid 1-butene with up to >99% selectivity. In this paper we wish to report the results.

Experimental

All manipulations of air- and/or moisture-sensitive compounds were performed under nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian XL-300 MHz or 400 MHz spectrometer with TMS as the internal standard. Mass spectra were obtained using an HP5959A spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded using an Nicolet AV-360 spectrometer. Elemental analysis was performed by the Analytical Laboratory of Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Acadamy of Sciences. X-ray crystallographic data were collected using a Bruker AXSD8 X-Ray diffractometer. Toluene, THF, hexane and dichloromethane were treated with solvent purification MB SPS-800 for MBRAUN glove box systems prior to use. Methylaluminoxane (MAO) was purchased from Akzo Chemical as an 1.5 mol/L



 ^{*} E-mail: xlsun@sioc.ac.cn; Tel.: 0086-021-54925078; Fax: 0086-021-54925078
 Received September 30, 2011; accepted January 9, 2012; published online May 7, 2012.
 Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cjoc.201100443 or from the author.
 * Dedicated to Professor Weiyuan Huang on the occasion of his 90th birthday.

toluene solution. Polymerization-grade ethylene was purified before use. Gas chromatographic analyses were performed on an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph using an HP Pona column (50 m, 0.2 mm diameter, 0.5 μ m film thickness).

Synthesis of the ligands

Ligands L1—L5,^[14f] $L7^{[14f]}$ and NiPhCl(PPh₃)₂^[17] were prepared according to the reported procedure.

(Z)-3-(2-(Cyclohexylthio)ethylamino)-1,3-diphenylprop-2-en-1-one (L6) To a solution of 1,3-diphenylpropane-1,3-dione (11.62 g, 51.8 mmol) and 2-(cyclohexylthio)ethanamine (8.24 g, 51.8 mmol) in toluene (60 mL) was added 4-methylbenzenesulfonic acid hydrate (0.78 g, 4.1 mmol) at room temperature. The flask was equipped with a water separator. After refluxing for 1 d, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give product as yellow solid 8.3 g. Yield 46%. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 11.45 (s, 1H), 7.89 (d, J=6.3 Hz, 2H), 7.44-7.38 (m, 8H), 5.78 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.38 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.63 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (brs, 1H, S-CH), 1.81-1.57 (m, 5H), 1.25-1.17 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 88.5, 166.3, 140.0, 135.4, 130.7, 129.4, 128.5, 128.1, 127.6, 127.0, 93.8, 44.8, 43.3, 33.5, 30.5, 26.0, 25.6; IR (KBr) v. 3055, 2925, 2848, 1605, 1582, 1553, 1339, 782, 765, 746, 690 cm⁻¹; MS (EI) m/z: 365 (M⁺), 236 (M⁻) CH₂SCy). HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{23}H_{27}NOS$ (M⁺) 365.1813, found 365.1813. Anal. calcd for C₂₃H₂₇NOS: C 75.57, H 7.45, N 3.83; found C 75.68, H 7.40, N 3.85.

(*Z*)-5,5,5-Trifluoro-4-(2-(isopropylthio)ethylamino)pent-3-en-2-one (L8) The same procedure as that for L6. Pale-yellow oil (34%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 11.22 (brs, 1H), 5.35 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.55 (q, *J*=6.6 Hz, 2H, N-CH₂), 3.00—2.91 (m, 1H, S-CH), 2.77 (t, *J*=6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.29 (d, *J*=6.6 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 175.2, 174.8, 169.1, 119.3, 115.5, 89.3, 43.4, 35.0, 29. 9, 23.0, 19.1; ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : -7.08; IR (KBr) *v*: 2964, 2928, 2869, 1619, 1593, 1277, 1250, 1185, 1136, 741, 727 cm⁻¹; MS (EI) *m/z*: 255 (M⁺), 166 (M—CH₂SPr-*i*). HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₀H₁₆F₃NOS (M⁺) 255.0905, found 255.0905. Anal. calcd for C₁₀H₁₆F₃NOS: C 47.05, H 6.32, N 5.49; found C 47.54, H 6.38, N 5.59.

(Z)-3-(2-(Dimethylamino)ethylamino)-1,3-diphenylprop-2-en-1-one (L9) The same procedure as that for L6. Pale-yellow solid (80%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 11.40 (s, 1H, NH), 7.90—7.88 (m, 2H), 7.47—7.36 (m, 8H), 5.76 (s, 1H), 3.29 (q, *J*=6.3 Hz, 2H, N-CH₂), 2.43 (t, *J*=6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.21 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 187.9, 166.1, 140.0, 135.4, 130.3, 129.1, 128.2, 127.8, 127.3, 126.7, 93.1, 59.0, 45.2, 42.5; IR (KBr) *v*: 3419, 2818, 2767, 1595, 1567, 1481, 1334, 1064, 1023, 839, 784, 752, 714, 693, 682 cm⁻¹; MS (EI) *m/z*: 294 (M⁺), 58 (NMe₂). HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₉H₂₂N₂O (M⁺) 294.1732, found 294.1732. Anal. calcd for C₁₉H₂₂N₂O: C 77.52, H 7.53, N 9.52; found C 77.57, H 7.52, N 9.54.

(*Z*)-3-(2-(Diphenylphosphino)ethylamino)-1,3diphenylprop-2-en-1-one (L10) The same procedure as that for L6. Pale-yellow solid (47%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : (s, 1H, NH), 7.89—7.86 (m, 2H), 7.40—7.25 (m, 18H), 5.74 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.34—3.28 (m, 2H, N-CH₂), 2.32—2.27 (m, 2H, P-CH₂); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 188.2, 166.2, 140.0, 137.2, 137.0, 135.1, 132.4, 132.2, 130.6, 129.3, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 127.4, 126.9, 93.6, 41.7, 41.3, 30.2, 30.1; ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : —20.78; IR (KBr) *v*: 3051, 2932, 2865, 1594, 1566, 1408, 1289, 817, 785, 753, 747, 738, 695 cm⁻¹; MS (EI) *m/z*: 435 (M⁺), 330 (M— PhCO). HRMS (EI) calcd for C₂₉H₂₆NOP (M⁺) 435.1752, found 435.1752. Anal. calcd for C₂₉H₂₆NOP: C 79.98, H 6.02, N 3.22; found C 79.95, H 6.24, N 3.02.

(Z)-3-(2-Phenoxyethylamino)-1,3-diphenylprop-2en-1-one (L11) The same procedure as that for L6. Yellow oil (60%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 11.52 (s, 1H, NH), 7.90—7.87 (m, 2H), 7.43—7.36 (m, 8H), 7.29—7.23 (m, 2H), 6.97—6.86 (m, 3H), 5.80 (s, 1H, C=CH), 4.03 (t, *J*=6.3 Hz, 2H), 3.61 (q, *J*=5.9 Hz, 2H, O-CH₂); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 188.5, 166.5, 158.1, 140.0, 135.2, 130.6, 129.4, 129.3, 128.4, 128.0, 127.7, 126.9, 120.9, 114.4, 93.9, 66.8, 43.7; IR (KBr) v: 3060, 2930, 1595, 1569, 1332, 1243, 1060, 813, 777, 751, 692 cm⁻¹; MS (EI) *m/z*: 343 (M⁺), 250 (M— PhO). HRMS (EI) calcd for C₂₃H₂₁NO₂ (M⁺) 343.1575, found 343.1572. Anal. calcd for C₂₃H₂₁NO₂: C 80.44, H 6.16, N 4.08; found C 80.45, H 6.07, N 4.17.

(*Z*)-1,3-Diphenyl-3-(pyridin-2-ylmethylamino)prop-2-en-1-one (L12) The same procedure as that for L6. Yellow solid (60%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : (s, 1H, NH), 8.57 (d, *J*=4.2 Hz, 1H); 7.93 (d, *J*=5.4 Hz, 2H), 7.70—7.66 (m, 1H), 7.43—7.16 (m, 10H), 5.89 (s, 1H, C=CH), 4.57 (d, *J*=5.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 188.4, 166.2, 157.2, 149.1, 139.7, 136.5, 135.0, 130.5, 129.3, 128.3, 127.9, 127.3, 126.8, 121.9, 120.5, 93.8, 49.7; IR (KBr) *v*: 3057, 3014, 2911, 1594, 1571, 1333, 812, 799, 778, 763, 745, 726, 690 cm⁻¹; MS (EI) *m/z*: 314 (M⁺), 209 (M—PhCO). HRMS (EI) calcd for C₂₁H₁₈N₂O (M⁺) 314.1419, found 314.1418. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₁₈N₂O: C 80.23, H 5.77, N 8.91; found C 80.19, H 5.80, N 8.83.

Typical procedure for the synthesis of the Ni(II) complexes (1 as an example)

To a solution of L1 (0.31 g, 1.05 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) at -78 °C was added dropwise a solution of *n*-BuLi (0.42 mL, 1.05 mmol, 2.5 mol/L in hexane) over 10 min. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. After removing the solvent under reduced pressure, the resulting solid was mixed with NiPhCl(PPh₃)₂ (0.71 g, 1.02 mmol) at golvebox and then cooled toluene (-30 °C) was added. The resulting mixture solution was stirred overnight and then was filtered and concentrated. The yellow solid was collected at -30 °C.

Highly Selective Ethylene Dimerization to 1-Butene of [O⁻NX]Ni(II) Complexes

[(1*Z*,3*Z*)-3-(2-(Methylthio)ethylimino)-1,3-diphenylprop-1-en-1-olate]NiPh (1) Yield: 0.39 g (91%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.68 (d, *J*=6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d, *J*=6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.43—7.18 (m, 8H), 7.03— 6.90 (m, 3H), 5.71 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.37—3.31 (m, 1H), 3.25—3.17 (m, 1H), 2.62—2.55 (m, 1H), 2.25—2.21 (m, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H, S-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 172.3, 167.3, 149.1, 140.7, 139.2, 137.3, 129.1, 128.5, 128.1, 127.8, 126.7, 126.3, 125.9, 123.0, 96.7, 52.4, 39.2, 19.8. Anal. calcd for C₂₄H₂₃NNiOS: C 66.69, H 5.36, N 3.24; found C 67.17, H 5.24, N 3.00.

[(1*Z*,3*Z*)-3-(2-(Isopropylthio)ethylimino)-1,3-diphenylprop-1-en-1-olate]NiPh (2) Yield: 0.13 g (86%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.72 (d, *J*=6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (d, *J*=6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.44—7.20 (m, 8H), 7.02—6.90 (m, 3H), 5.72 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.41—3.37 (m, 1H), 3.20—3.15 (m, 1H), 2.60—2.51 (m, 1H), 2.38 (t, *J*=6.3 Hz, 2H), 1.54 (d, *J*=5.1 Hz, 3H), 1.25 (d, *J*= 4.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 172.0, 167.1, 148.8, 140.7, 139.1, 137.9, 129.1, 128.4, 128.0, 127.9, 126.7, 126.3, 125.6, 122.9, 96.6, 52.7, 39.3, 31.6, 21.8. Anal. calcd for C₂₆H₂₇NNiOS: C 67.85, H 5.91, N 3.04; found C 67.99, H 5.79, N 2.90.

[(1*Z*,3*Z*)-1,3-Diphenyl-3-(2-(propylthio)ethylimino)prop-1-en-1-olate]NiPh (3) Yield: 0.40 g (87%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.70 (d, *J*=6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d, *J*=6.9 MHz, 2H), 7.43—7.20 (m, 8H), 7.02— 6.92 (m, 3H), 5.72 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.33—3.20 (m, 2H), 2.58—2.51 (m, 2H), 2.35—2.21 (m, 2H), 1.65—1.48 (m, 2H), 0.85 (t, *J*=7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 172.2, 167.2, 148.8, 140.7, 139.2, 137.5, 129.1, 128.4, 128.1, 127.8, 126.7, 126.3, 125.7, 122.9, 96.6, 52.7, 38.2, 36.6, 21.6, 13.0. Anal. calcd for C₂₆H₂₇NNiOS: C 67.85, H 5.91, N 3.04; found C 67.95, H 6.09, N 2.93.

{(1*Z*,3*Z*)-3-[(2-(*tert*-Butylthio)ethylimino)-1,3-diphenylprop-1-en-1-olate}NiPh (4) Yield: 0.41 g (87%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.71 (d, *J*=6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (d, *J*=6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.43—7.22 (m, 8H), 6.99—6.87 (m, 3H), 5.71 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.27 (br s, 2H), 2.43 (br s, 2H), 1.25 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 171.9, 167.1, 147.3, 140.8, 139.1, 138.8, 129.0, 128.4, 128.0, 127.8, 126.7, 126.3, 125.3, 122.9, 96.5, 53.0, 50.1, 34.5, 30.0. Anal. calcd for C₂₇H₂₉NNiOS: C 68.37, H 6.16, N 2.95; found C 68.49, H 6.32, N 2.96.

[(1*Z*,3*Z*)-1,3-Diphenyl-3-(2-(phenylthio)ethylimino)prop-1-en-1-olate]NiPh (5) Yield: 0.41 g (83%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 8.41—8.38 (m, 2H), 7.73 (d, *J*=6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.49—7.21 (m, 13H), 6.94— 6.82 (m, 3H), 5.79 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.25—3.16 (m, 1H), 3.15—3.09 (m, 1H), 3.03—2.83 (m, 1H), 2.48—2.40 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 172.2, 167.5, 146.4, 140.8, 139.0, 137.7, 133.8, 130.0, 129.6, 129.4, 129.2, 128.5, 128.1, 127.9, 126.8, 126.3, 125.6, 123.0, 96.8, 51.9, 43.2. Anal. calcd for C₂₉H₂₅NNiOS: C 70.47, H 5.10, N 2.83; found C 70.58, H 4.81, N 2.42.

[(1Z,3Z)-3-(2-(Cyclohexylthio)ethylimino)-1,3-di-

phenylprop-1-en-1-olate]NiPh (6) Yield: 0.23 g (91%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.71 (d, *J*=6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, *J*=6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.44—7.19 (m, 8H), 7.00—6.89 (m, 3H), 5.71 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.32—3.25 (m, 1H), 3.25—3.16 (m, 1H), 2.73—2.68 (m, 1H), 2.39 (t, *J*=6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.31—2.23 (m, 1H), 2.05—1.98 (m, 1H), 1.74—1.70 (m, 2H), 1.76—1.50 (m, 1H), 1.50—1.31 (m, 2H), 1.31—1.00 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 Hz, CDCl₃) δ : 172.0, 167.1, 148.5, 140.8, 139.2, 138.0, 129.0, 128.4, 128.0, 127.8, 126.7, 126.4, 125.5, 122.8, 96.6, 52.9, 47.3, 32.4, 32.1, 26.4, 25.1. Anal. calcd for C₂₉H₃₁NNiOS: C 69.62, H 6.25, N 2.80; found C 69.66, H 6.19, N 2.48.

[(1*Z*,3*E*)-3-(2-(Isopropylthio)ethylimino)-1-phenylbut-1-en-1-olate]NiPh (7) Yield: 0.59 g (94%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.71 (dd, *J*=2.1, 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, *J*=6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.28—7.21 (m, 3H), 6.98—6.87 (m, 3H), 5.69 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.59—3.57 (m, 1H), 3.30—3.47 (m, 1H), 2.62—2.52 (m, 3H), 2.03 (s, 3H), 1.50 (br s, 3H), 1.26 (br s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 171.5, 165.1, 148.8, 139.3, 137.9, 128.8, 127.8, 126.6, 125.4, 122.7, 96.5, 50.7, 39.0, 31.7, 23.4, 21.7. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₂₅NNiOS: C 63.34, H 6.33, N 3.52; found C 63.76, H 6.48, N 3.74.

[(2Z,4Z)-5,5,5-Trifluoro-4-(2-(isopropylthio)ethylimino)pent-2-en-2-olate]NiPh (8) Yield: 0.63 g (90%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.38—7.32 (m, 2H), 6.90—6.86 (m, 3H), 5.42 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.62— 3.54 (m, 1H), 3.30—3.24 (m, 1H), 2.53—2.46 (m, 3H), 1.99 (s, 3H), 1.60—1.40 (m, 3H), 1.40—1.25 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 166.3, 161.0, 160.6, 160.2, 159.8, 145.1, 137.6, 125.6, 124.8, 123.2, 121.0, 117.3, 113.5, 96.3(4), 96.3(1), 51.0, 39.5, 31.1, 23.1, 21.7; ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : —73.5. Anal. calcd for C₁₆H₂₀F₃NNiOS: C 49.26, H 5.17, N 3.59, found C 49.70, H 5.42, N 3.59.

[(1*Z*,3*Z*)-3-(2-(Dimethylamino)ethylimino)-1,3-diphenylprop-1-en-1-olate]NiPh (9) Yield: 0.18 g (86%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.87 (d, *J*=7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.42—6.88 (m, 13H), 5.69 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.10 (t, *J*=6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.35 (s, 8H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 172.1, 166.4, 159.3, 140.0, 139.1, 135.9, 128.7, 128.4, 128.2, 127.7, 126.5, 126.4, 124.9, 121.9, 96.5, 65.2, 49.8, 49.2. Anal. calcd for C₂₅H₂₆N₂NiO: C 69.96, H 6.11, N 6.53; found C 69.51, H 6.03, N 6.50.

[(1*Z*,3*Z*)-3-(2-(Diphenylphosphino)ethylimino)-1,3-diphenylprop-1-en-1-olate]NiPh (10) Yield: 0.23 g (89%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.71 (d, *J*=6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.59—7.18 (m, 20H), 6.77—6.75 (m, 3H), 5.81 (s, 1H, C=CH), 3.29 (t, *J*=6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (t, *J*=6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.21—2.14 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 172.2, 167.8, 152.6, 151.9, 141.6, 139.8(3) 139.7(7), 138.0(3), 138.0(0), 133.3, 133.2, 130.6, 130.5(7), 130.3, 129.7, 129.0, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 127.8(4), 127.8(2), 126.9, 126.5, 125.5(3), 125.5(1), 122.0, 96.7, 51.4(4), 51.3(7), 32.2, 31.9; ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 48.53. Anal. calcd for C₃₅H₃₀NNiOP: C 73.71, H 5.30, N 2.46; found C 73.87, H 5.17, N 2.26. [(1*Z*,3*Z*)-3-(2-Phenoxyethylimino)-1,3-diphenylprop-1-en-1-olate]NiPh(PPh₃) (11) Yield: 0.53 g (92%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.60—7.54 (m, 7H), 7.35—7.08 (m, 17H), 6.91—6.71 (m, 8H), 6.53— 6.49 (m, 3H), 5.71 (s, 1H, C=CH), 4.12 (t, *J*=6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (t, *J*=2.9 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆) δ : 171.6, 170.4, 159.8, 152.7, 152.1, 142.5(2), 142.4(7), 139.3, 138.5, 134.9, 134.7, 132.3, 131.7, 129.8, 129.5, 129.0, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.8, 127.7(7), 127.68, 126.8, 126.5, 122.2, 120.5, 115.2, 98.6, 70.7, 53.5; ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 29.00. Anal. calcd for C₄₇H₄₀NNiO₂P: C 76.23, H 5.44, N 1.89; found C 76.48, H 5.41, N 1.93.

[(1*Z*,3*Z*)-1,3-Diphenyl-3-(pyridin-2-ylmethylimino)prop-1-en-1-olate]NiPh (12) Yield: 0.25 g (81%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.83—6.84 (m, 19H), 5.84 (s, 1H, C=CH), 4.54 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 172.9, 166.6, 164.0, 159.9, 152.7, 139.6, 139.0, 136.8, 136.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.4, 127.9, 126.6, 126.2, 125.6, 122.7, 122.5, 119.5, 96.9, 59.4. Anal. calcd for C₂₇H₂₂N₂NiO: C 72.20, H 4.94, N 6.24; found C 71.67, H 5.28, N 6.02.

(1Z,3E)-3-[2-(2,6-Dimethylphenylthio)phenylimino)-1-phenylbut-1-en-1-olate|NiPh(PPh₃) (13)Yield: 0.20 g (74%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.61-7.58 (m, 6H), 7.40-7.09 (m, 14H), 7.00-6.91 (m, 4H), 6.46 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.31–6.16 (m, 4H), 6.05 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.94 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (s, 1H, C=CH), 5.77 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (s, 6H), 1.84 (s, 3H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 171.4, 167.4, 151.6, 151.1, 148.4, 144.6, 139.1, 138.5, 135.3, 134.5, 134.4, 132.2, 131.9, 131.5, 130.3, 129.4, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.4, 128.2, 127.7, 127.6, 127.4, 127.2, 126.3, 125.4, 123.9, 123.5, 123.3, 121.9, 120.3, 95.6, 24.8(1), 24.7(8), 22.0; ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 28.8. Anal. calcd for C48H42NNiOPS: C 74.82, H 5.49, N 1.82; found C 74.42, H 5.68, N 1.48.

{(1Z,3E)-1-Phenyl-3-[2-(phenylthio)phenylimino]but-1-en-1-olate}NiPh(PPh₃) (14) Yield: 0.62 g (82%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.62-7.56 (m, 8H), 7.35-7.10 (m. 14H), 6.96-6.87 (m. 4H), 6.60-6.53 (m, 2H), 6.45–6.42 (m, 2H), 6.26–6.19 (m, 2H), 6.08—6.06 (m, 1H), 5.89—5.86 (m, 2H), 1.70 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 171.5(0), 171.4(8), 166.9, 151.4, 150.8, 149.2, 139.1, 138.3(0), 138.2(9), 136.1, 136.0, 134.5(0), 134.4(6), 134.3, 133.5, 132.9, 131.9, 131.3, 129.3(8), 129.3(5), 129.2, 128.7, 128.0, 127.7, 127.6, 127.3, 126.3, 126.1, 125.7, 125.2(9), 125.2(6), 124.6, 124.1, 124.0, 123.5, 120.3(4), 120.3(1), 95.6, 25.1, 25.0; ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 28.9. Anal. calcd for C₄₆H₃₈NNiOPS: C 74.41, H 5.16, N 1.89; found C 74.50, H 5.25, N 1.83.

General procedure for ethylene dimerization

To a dry 300 mL stainless-steel autoclave was charged with dichloromethane (100 mL). Then the desired amount of cocatalyst and the solution of catalyst in

dichloromethane (1 mL) was introduced into the reactor under nitrogen. After stirring for 10 min at desired temperature, ethylene was continuously fed to maintain the ethylene pressure at desired pressure for 5 or 10 min. Then acidified EtOH and heptane (as internal standard) was injected at -78 °C to quench the reaction. Quantitative GC analysis of the product was performed immediately after the termination of the reaction.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and characterization of the Ni(II) complexes

The ligands were readily available in moderate to good yields by a known procedure (Scheme 1).^[14e-14g] The structures were well-characterized by ¹H, ¹³C NMR, MS, elemental analysis, and IR spectra. A signal at around δ 188 in the ¹³C NMR spectra of the ligands displays the C=O group in β -carbonylenamines.

Scheme 1 Synthesis of the ligands L1-14



Initially, we tried to prepare the corresponding nickel complexes by slowly adding the lithium salts of ligands in toluene to the suspension of NiPhCl(PPh₃)₂ in dry toluene, but failed to obtain the corresponding complexes. To our delight, when we modified the procedure by mixing the lithium salt of L1—L14 with NiPhCl(PPh₃)₂ first, followed by adding toluene at -30 °C, complexes 1—14 were obtain as air- and moisture-sensitive solids in good yields (Scheme 2). 1—14 are easily soluble in toluene, dichloromethane, and tetrahydrofuran at room temperature and the structures of 1—14 were well-characterized by ¹H, ¹³C, ³¹P NMR, and elemental analysis. Similar to the corresponding titanium complexes, ^{[14f-14g] 13}C NMR analysis of complexes 1—14 showed that the chemical shift higher than

 δ 180 (carbonyl group in the ligands) disappeared and two signals at around δ 170 were observed. This observation is confirmed by the X-ray analysis. As shown in Figure 1, X-ray crystallographic analysis of 7 reveals that the ligand coordinats nickel atom with oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur atoms. The geometry around nickel adopts a distorted square planar. The phenyl group attached to Ni lies *trans* to N(1) with an N(1)-Ni(1)-C(20) angle of 176.73(13)°. The O(1), N(1), S(1), and C(20) atoms are nearly coplanar, with O(1) and S(1) occupying 174.91(8)°, the trans position [O(1)-Ni(1)-S(1)]: N(1)-Ni(1)-C(20): 176.73(13)°]. The Ni(1)-N(1) bond length is 1.932(2) Å. The bond length of O(1)—Ni(1) (1.863(2) Å) is shorter than that of the reported salicylaldiminato nickel(II) complex (O-Ni 1.910(3) Å).^[16] The O(1)—C(9) is lengthened and N(1)—C(7) is shortened as compared to the corresponding ligand.^[14f] It is worthy to note that a strong coordination effect exists between sulfur and nickel, as the Ni(1)-S(1) bond length is 2.1389(10) Å (the sum of Van der Waals radius is 4.37 Å). For each complex, satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained. Together with both ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy analysis, it is deduced that the molecular structures of complexes 1-6, 8-10 and 12 are similar to that of 7.





To our surprise, signals above δ 20.0 in the ³¹P NMR spectra were observed in the case of complexes 11, 13, and 14, which are assigned as the signals for the triphenylphosphine coordinated to metal. X-ray analysis of both 13 and 14 confirmed the molecular structure (Figures 2 and 3). As showed in Figure 2, L13 acts as a bidentate ligand, and a PPh₃ coordinated to the metal. The O(1), N(1), P(1), and C(25) atoms constitute a distorted square planar geometry around nickel(II), with O(1) and C(25) occupying the trans position [O(1)-Ni(1)-C(25): 168.67(15)°, N(1)-Ni(1)-P(1): $178.83(10)^{\circ}$]. The bond length of both O(1)—Ni(1)



Figure 1 Molecular structure of 7. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Ni(1)-O(1) = 1.863(2), Ni(1) - N(1) = 1.932(2), Ni(1) - S(1) =2.1389(9), Ni(1) – C(20) = 1.914(3), C(7) – C(8) = 1.413(5), C(8) - C(9) = 1.369(5), O(1) - C(9) = 1.293(4), N(1) - C(7) =1.308(4), O(1)-Ni(1)-C(20) = 87.55(11), O(1)-Ni(1)-N(1) =95.34(11), C(20)-Ni(1)-N(1) = 176.73(13), O(1)-Ni(1)-S(1) = 174.91(8), C(20)-Ni(1)-S(1) = 87.37(9), N(1)-Ni(1)-S(1) = 89.74(9), C(1)-S(1)-C(17) = 104.7(2), C(1)-S(1)-Ni(1) =99.14(12), C(17)-S(1)-Ni(1)=108.37(13).

(1.905(2) Å) and Ni(1)-N(1) (1.926(3) Å) are longer than that of 7. The O(1)—C(9) bond (1.272(4) Å) is shorter than that of complex 7, and N(1)—C(7) (1.319(5) Å) is longer than that of complex 7. The distances between S and Ni atoms in 13 and 14 (3.363 & 3.458 Å, respectively) mean that the corresponding SAr groups coordinate with the metal weakly.

Ethylene dimerization

In the presence of MAO, complex 2 was selected to screen the ethylene oligomerization under different conditions (Table 2). To our delight, the ethylene was dimerized in very high activity $[1.20 \times 10^6 \text{ g/(mol(Ni))}]$ h•atm)] at 0 $^{\circ}$ C and 10 atm ethylene atmosphere along with good selectivity towards 1-butene (84.2%). A even higher activity $[1.91 \times 10^6 \text{ g/(mol(Ni)} \cdot \text{h} \cdot \text{atm})]$ was observed when prolonging the reaction time (Table 2, Entry 7). We suppose that the violent exothermic reaction, which resulted in the rapid rise of the system temperature, induced rapid isomerization of the formed butene. When the ethylene dimerization was carried out at 10 atm ethylene pressures, reducing the reaction temperature from 0 to -15 °C resulted in the selectivity increase of 1-butene from 84.2% to 90.2% (Table 2, Entries 1 vs. 2), and the 1-butene selectivity reached to above 99% when the reaction temperature was further reduced to -78 °C (Table 2, Entry 5). As a result, the lower the temperature, the better the 1-butene selectivity. However, the activity was sharply reduced to $0.01 \times 10^{\circ}$

www.cjc.wiley-vch.de





Figure 2 Molecular structure of **13**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Ni(1)—C(25) = 1.880(4), Ni(1)—O(1) = 1.905(2), Ni(1)—N(1) = 1.926(3), Ni(1)—P(1) = 2.1713(11), O(1)—C(9) = 1.272(4), C(7)—C(8) = 1.406(5), C(8)—C(9) = 1.362(5), N(1)—C(7) = 1.319(5), C(25)-Ni(1)-O(1) = 168.67(15), C(25)-Ni(1)-N(1) = 93.40(15), O(1)-Ni(1)-N(1) = 92.15(11), C(25)-Ni(1)-P(1) = 88.31(12), O(1)-Ni(1)-P(1) = 86.40(8), N(1)-Ni(1)-P(1) = 178.83(10).

Figure 3 Molecular structure of **14**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Ni(1)—O(1) = 1.9089(16), Ni(1)—N(1) = 1.924(2), Ni(1)—C(23) = 1.886(2), Ni(1)—P(1) = 2.1667(7), O(1)—C(9) = 1.279(3), N(1)—C(7) = 1.324(3), C(7)—C(8) = 1.409(4), C(8)—C(9) = 1.387(5), N(1)-Ni(1)-P(1) = 172.77(6), C(23)-Ni(1)-O(1) = 174.57(9), C(23)-Ni(1)-N(1) = 93.29(9), O(1)-Ni(1)-N(1) = 91.60(8), C(23)-Ni(1)-P(1) = 89.83(7), O(1)-Ni(1)-P(1) = 85.59(6).

Table 1	Crystal data and sumn	nary of data collection	on and refinement	details for 7, 13, and 14
---------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------------

Complex	7	13	14
Empirical formula	C ₂₁ H ₂₅ NNiOS	C ₄₈ H ₄₂ NNiOPS	C ₄₆ H ₃₈ NNiOPS
Formula weight	398.19	770.57	742.51
Temperature/K	293(2)	293(2)	133(2)
Wavelength/Å	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Triclinic	Triclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁	<i>P</i> -1	<i>P</i> -1
a/Å	10.0376(19)	9.8968(6)	10.7669(12)
b/Å	11.540(2)	14.0467(9)	11.6150(12)
$c/\text{\AA}$	16.583(3)	18.2858(12)	16.1448(17)
α/(°)	90	103.4080(10)	106.895(2)
$\beta/(^{\circ})$	90	101.9770(10)	90.086(2)
γ/(°)	90	101.289(2)	107.532(2)
$V/\text{\AA}^3$	1920.8(6)	2338.1(3)	1833.4(3)
Ζ	4	2	2
$D_{\rm c}/({\rm Mg}{\cdot}{\rm m}^{-3})$	1.377	1.095	1.345
Size/mm	$0.285 \times 0.226 \times 0.135$	$0.307 \times 0.269 \times 0.211$	$0.35 \times 0.32 \times 0.20$
	$-12 \leq h \leq 12$,	$-11 \leq h \leq 11$,	$-13 \leq h \leq 13$
Limiting indices	$-11 \leq k \leq 14$,	$-17 \leq k \leq 16$,	$-14 \leq k \leq 14$
	$-20 \leq l \leq 19$	$0 \leq l \leq 22$	$-20 \leqslant l \leqslant 20$
Absorption coefficient/mm ⁻¹	1.127	0.525	0.667
<i>F</i> (000)	840	808	776

Highly Selective Ethylene Dimerization to 1-Butene of [O⁻NX]Ni(II) Complexes

chinese journal of CHEMISTRY

Continued

Complex	7	13	14
θ range for data collection/(°)	2.15 to 26.00	1.54 to 25.50	1.32 to 27.00
Reflections collected/unique	10376/3738 [R(int)=0.0340]	8549/8549 [R(int)=0.0000]	13435/7892 [<i>R</i> (int)=0.0215]
Absorption correction	Empirical	Empirical	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	1.00000 and 0.70928	1.0000 and 0.0968	0.8781 and 0.8000
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2
Data/restraints/parameters	3738/0/229	8549/0/481	7892/1/520
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.039	0.898	0.951
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0396, wR_2 = 0.0785$	$R_1 = 0.0598, wR_2 = 0.1235$	$R_1 = 0.0395, wR_2 = 0.1178$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0468, wR_2 = 0.0813$	$R_1 = 0.1221, wR_2 = 0.1412$	$R_1 = 0.0510, wR_2 = 0.1314$
Largest diff. peak and hole/ ($e^{\bullet} A^{-3}$)	0.458 and -0.201	0.379 and -0.267	0.318 and -1.084

g/(mol(Ni)•h•atm) when ethylene was dimerized at -78 °C. Corresponding to it, the activity was improved to 7.54×10^6 g/(mol(Ni)•h•atm) with the selectivity of 1-butene being only 59.9% when the ethylene dimerization was processed at 40 °C. Decreasing in selectivity with the rise of temperature is consistent with the rapid β -H elimination and reinsertion to Ni—H at higher temperature. The rapid β -H elimination and reinsertion to Ni-H could also be observed from the fact that the selectivity of 1-butene reduces to 70.8% when the polymerization proceeds for 10 min (Table 2, Entry 7). High pressure favors the formation of 1-butene. For example, butene was obtained in an activity of $1.05 \times$ 10^6 g/(mol(Ni)•h•atm) with 93.9% selectivity toward 1-butene at 15 atm and -15 °C (Table 2, Entry 3). 2000 is a preferred Al/Ni ratio as too low Al/Ni ratio (500) resulted in both a reduced activity and a low 1-butene selectivity (Table 2, Entry 8). The 1-butene

selectivity could be improved to 86.1% when Al/Ni ratio reaches 3000, while the activity was slightly deteriorated (Table 2, Entry 9). Et₂AlCl also was a good cocatalyst (Table 2, Entry 12). While activation **2** with 1000 equivalent of Et₃Al produced an unfavorable catalyst system (Table 2, Entry 13).

In the presence of MAO, all complexes have been found to be active in catalyzing the ethylene dimerization into butene with excellent selectivity, and in all cases no polymer was observed. The structures of the complexes influence both the activity and selectivity significantly (Table 3). For most of the catalysts bearing a thioether as a sidearm, the activities are above 1×10^6 g/(mol(Ni)•h•atm) (Table 3, Entries 1—7). In the cases of R² being electron-withdrawing CF₃, the activity decreased to 0.35×10^6 g/(mol(Ni)•h•atm) (Table 3, Entry 8). Employing PPh₂ as a sidearm group, the activity reached 3.16×10^6 g/(mol(Ni)•h•atm) (Table 3, Entry

Entry Cocatalyst	Al: Ni Tama /°C	T	Time/min	n/otm	A ativity.b	Selectivity ^c /%		
Епиу	Cocatalyst	AI • INI	Temp./ C	1 IIIIe/ IIIIII	<i>p</i> /aun	Activity	Butene	1-Butene
1	MAO	1000	0	5	10	1.20	>99	84.2
2	MAO	1000	-15	5	10	1.05	>99	90.2
3	MAO	1000	-15	5	15	1.05	>99	93.9
4	MAO	1000	-60	5	15	0.08	>99	96.0
5	MAO	1000	-78	5	15	0.01	>99	>99
6	MAO	1000	0	5	5	0.20	>99	80.8
7	MAO	1000	0	10	10	1.91	>99	70.8
8	MAO	500	0	5	10	0.21	>99	78.3
9	MAO	2000	0	5	10	1.91	>99	84.0
10	MAO	3000	0	5	10	1.45	>99	86.1
11	MAO	1000	40	5	10	7.54	85.7 ^d	59.9
12	Et ₂ AlCl	1000	0	5	10	1.08	>99	81.8
13	Et ₃ Al	1000	0	5	10	0.05	>99	70.9

Table 2 Ethylene dimerization in the presence of 2^a

^{*a*} Catalyst (0.46 mg, 1 μmol), MAO (1.5 mol/L in toluene), 100 mL CH₂Cl₂. ^{*b*} In unit of 10⁶ g/(mol(Ni)•h•atm). ^{*c*} Determined by GC and GC-MS. ^{*d*} Hexenes were observed.

FULL PAPER

	Complex		Selectivity ^{b,c} /%		
Entry ^a		Activity [10 ⁶ g/(mol(Ni)•atm•h)]	Butene	1-Butene	
1	1	1.84	95.68	81.26	
2	2	1.20	>99	84.17	
3	3	5.20	98.44	65.70	
4	4	3.14	>99	82.25	
5	5	2.63	>99	74.77	
6	6	1.18	>99	86.58	
7	7	2.27	94.05	77.03	
8	8	0.35	>99	87.68	
9	9	0.91	>99	87.02	
10	10	3.16	97.18	18.89	
11	11	9.35	90.87	12.63	
12	12	1.03	>99	85.29	
13	13	8.44	89.77	10.12	
14	14	4.68	90.93	11.14	
15^{d}	2	14.25	89.65	15.89	

^{*a*} 1 µmol of catalyst, MAO (1 mmol, 1.5 mol/L in heptane), Al : Ni=1000, 100 mL CH₂Cl₂, 5 min, 0 °C, 10 atm. ^{*b*} Determined by GC and GC-MS. ^{*c*} Hexene and octene were also observed. ^{*d*} 1 µmol of Ph₃P was added.

10). For pyridine-containing complex 12, an activity of 1.03×10^6 g/mol_{Ni}•atm•h was achieved. Complexes 11, 13 and 14 gave excellent activities [up to 9.35×10^6 g/(mol(Ni)•h•atm)]. However, in these cases, the selectivities of 1-butene were only about 10%. When complex 2 was employed in the presence of one equivalent of Ph₃P, the oligomerization activity was improved to 14.25×10^6 g/(mol(Ni)•h•atm), and correspondingly, the selectivity for 1-butene reduced to 15.89%.

Conclusions

A series of β -ketoimine-derived nickel(II) complexes have been synthesized and characterized. X-ray analysis proved that the structures of the complexes deeply depend on the properties of the pendant coordination group. In the presence of MAO, these nickel(II) complexes showed very high activity [up to 14.25×10^6 g/(mol(Ni)•h•atm)] toward ethylene dimerization. Good selectivity for 1-butene is also obtained when the pendant groups coordinate to nickel. Under the optimal conditions, the selectivity towards 1-butene reaches to above 99%. Further studies on the mechanism are under investigation in our laboratory.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful for the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 20821002 and 21174159) and Chinese Academy of Sciences.

References

- (a) Skupinska, L. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 613; (b) Ittel, S. D.; Johnson, L. K.; Brookhart, M. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1169; (c) Gibson, V. C.; Spitzmesser, S. K. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 283; (d) Gibson, V. C.; Redshaw, C.; Solan, G. A. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 1745; (e) Boardman, B. M.; Bazan, G. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1597; (f) Nakamura, A.; Ito, S.; Nozaki, K. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 5215; (g) Forestière, A.; Olivier-Bourbigou, H.; Saussine, L. Oil Gas Sci. Technol. 2009, 46, 649.
- [2] Applied Homogeneous Catalysis with Organometallic Compounds, Ed.: Vogt, D., VCH, Weinheim, 2002.
- [3] Keim, W.; Kowalldt, F. H.; Goddard, R.; Krüger, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 466.
- [4] Klabunde, U.; Ittel, S. D. J. Mol. Catal. 1987, 41, 123.
- [5] Klabunde, U.; Muhlhaupt, R.; Herskowitz, T.; Janowicz, A. H.; Calabrese, J.; Ittel, S. D. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. 1987, 25, 1989.
- [6] (a) Starzewski, K. A.; Witte, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 24, 599; (b) Pietsch, J.; Braunstein, P.; Chauvin, Y. New J. Chem. 1998, 467; (c) Heinicke, J.; He, M.; Dal, A.; Klein, H.; Hetche, O.; Keim, W.; Flörke, U.; Haupt, H. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 431; (d) Soula, R.; Broyar, J. P.; Flauro, M. F.; Tomov, A.; Spitz, R.; Claverie, J.; Drujon, X.; Malinge, J.; Saudemont, T. Macromolecules 2001, 34, 2438; (e) Gibson, V. C.; Tomov, A.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Chem. Commun. 2001, 719; (f) Gibson, V. C.; Tomov, A. Chem. Commun. 2001, 1964; (g) Heinike, J.; Köhler, M.; Peulecke, N.; He, M.; Kindermann, M. K.; Keim, W.; Fink, G. Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 6093; (h) Heinike, J.; Köhler, M.; Peulecke, N.; Keim, W. J. Catal. 2004, 225, 16; (i) Kuhn, P.; Sémeril, D.; Jeunesse, C.; Matt, D.; Lutz, P.; Welter, R. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 1477; (j) Kuhn, P.; Sémeril, D.; Jeunesse, C.; Matt, D.; Neuburger, M.; Mota, A. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 5210; (k) Kuhn, P.; Sémeril, D.; Jeunesse, C.; Matt, D.; Lutz, P. J.; Louis, R.; Neuburger, M. Dalton Trans. 2006, 3647; (1) Kuhn, P.; Sémeril, D.; Matt, D.; Chetcuti, M. J.; Lutz, P. Dalton Trans. 2007, 515; (m) Kermagoret, A.; Braunstein, P. Dalton Trans.

2008, 822.

- [7] (a) Martin, M. E.; Folli, C.; Walter, O.; Doring, M. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2005, 229, 177; (b) Dyer, P. W.; Fawcett, J.; Hanton, M. J. Organometallics 2008, 27, 5082; (c) Flpper, J.; Kooijman, H.; Lutz, M.; Spek, A. L.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M.; Elsevier, C. J.; Kamer, P. C. J. Organometallics 2009, 28, 1180; (d) Chavez, P.; Rios, I. G.; Kermagoret, A.; Pattacini, R.; Meli, A.; Bianchini, C.; Giambastiani, G; Braunstein, P. Organometallics 2009, 28, 1776; (e) Flapper, J.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M.; Elsevier, C. J.; Kamer, P. C. J. Organometallics 2009, 28, 3264.
- [8] (a) Yang, P.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, C.; Yang, X.-J.; Hu, H.-M.; Gao, Y.; Wu, B. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2009, *362*, 89; (b) Liu, F.-S.; Gao, H.-Y.; Song, K.-M.; Zhao, Y.; Long, J.-M.; Zhang, L.; Zhu, F.-M.; Wu, Q. *Polyhedron* 2009, *28*, 673; (c) Liu, F.-S.; Gao, H.-Y.; Song, K.-M.; Zhang, L.; Zhu, F.-M.; Wu, Q. *Polyhedron* 2009, *28*, 1386; (d) Mukherjee, S.; Patel, B. A.; Bhaduri, S. *Organometallics* 2009, *28*, 3074; (e) Song, S.-J.; Li, Y.; Redshaw, C.; Wang, F.-S.; Sun, W.-H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2011, *696*, 3772.
- [9] Kermagoret, A.; Braunstein, P. Dalton Trans. 2008, 1564.
- [10] Wang, K.; Patil, A. O.; Zushma, S.; McConnachie, J. M. J. Inorg. Biochem. 2007, 101, 1883.
- [11] (a) Speiser, F.; Braunstein, P.; Saussine, L. Dalton Trans. 2004, 1539;
 (b) Yang, Q.-Z.; Kermagoret, A.; Agostinho, M.; Siri, O.; Braunstein, P. Organometallics 2006, 25, 5518; (c) Kermagoret, A.; Tomicki, F.; Braunstein, P. Dalton Trans. 2008, 2945.
- [12] (a) Kunrath, F. A.; de Souza, R. F.; Casagrande, Jr. O. L.; Brooks, N. R.; Young, Jr. V. G. Organometallics 2003, 22, 4739; (b) Ajellal, N.; Kuhn, M. C. A.; Boff, A. D. G.; Hörner, M.; Thomas, C. M.; Carpentier, J. F.; Casagrande, Jr. O. L. Organometallics 2006, 25, 1213; (c) de Oliveira, L. L.; Campedelli, R. R.; Kuhn, M. C. A.; Carpentier, J.-F.; Casagrande, Jr. O. L. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2008, 288, 58; (d) Ulbrich, A. H. D. P. S.; Bergamo, A. L.; Casagrande, Jr. O. L. Catal. Commun. 2011, 16, 245.
- [13] (a) Su, B.; Zhao, J.; Sun, W. Sci. China Ser. B Chem. 2005, 48(Suppl.), 45; (b) Fan, R.; Zhu, D.; Mu, Y.; Li, G.; Feng, S. Chem. J.

Chin. Univ. 2005, 26, 1215 (in Chinese); (c) Hou, J.; Sun, W.-H.; Zhang, S.; Ma, H.; Deng, Y.; Lu, X. Organometallics 2006, 25, 236; (d) Sun, W.-H.; Wang, K.; Wedeking, K.; Zhang, D.; Zhang, S.; Cai, J.; Li, Y. Organometallics 2007, 26, 4781; (e) Hao, P.; Zhang, S.; Sun, W.-H.; Shi, Q.; Adewuyi, S.; Lu, X.; Li, P. Organometallics 2007, 26, 2439; (f) Armitage, A. P.; Champouret, Y. D. M.; Grigoli, H.; Pelletier, J. D. A.; Singh, K.; Solan, G. A. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2008, 4597; (g) Yang, Y.; Yang, P.; Zhang, C.; Li, G.; Yang, X.-J.; Wu, B.; Janiak, C. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2008, 296, 9; (h) Gao, R.; Zhang, M.; Liang, T.; Wang, F.; Sun, W.-H. Organometallics 2008, 27, 5641; (i) Xiao, L.; Zhang, M.; Gao, R.; Cao, X.; Sun, W.-H. Aust. J. Chem. 2010, 63, 109.

- [14] (a) Hu, W.-Q.; Sun, X.-L; Wang, C.; Gao, Y.; Tang, Y.; Shi, L.-P.; Xia, W.; Sun, J.; Dai, H.; Li, X.; Yao, X.; Wang, X. Organometallics 2004, 23, 1684; (b) Wang, C.; Sun, X.-L.; Guo, Y.-H.; Gao, Y.; Liu, B.; Ma, Z.; Xia, W.; Shi, L.-P.; Tang, Y. Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2005, 26, 1609; (c) Wang, C.; Ma, Z.; Sun, X.-L.; Gao, Y.; Guo, Y.-H.; Tang, Y.; Shi, L.-P. Organometallics 2006, 25, 3259; (d) Gao, M.-L.; Wang, C.; Sun, X.-L.; Qian, C.-T.; Ma, Z.; Bu, S.; Tang, Y.; Xie, Z.-W. Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2007, 28, 1511; (e) Yang, X.-H.; Sun, X.-L.; Han, F.-B.; Liu, B.; Tang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Gao, M.-L.; Xie, Z.-W.; Bu, S.-Z. Organometallics 2008, 27, 4618; (f) Yang, X.-H.; Wang, Z.; Sun, X.-L.; Tang, Y. Dalton Trans. 2009, 8945; (g) Yang, X.-H.; Liu, C.-R.; Wang, C.; Sun, X.-L.; Guo, Y.-H.; Wang, X.-K.; Wang, Z.; Xie, Z.-W.; Tang, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2009, 48, 8099.
- [15] Han, F.-B.; Zhang, Y.-L.; Sun, X.-L.; Li, B.-G.; Guo, Y.-H.; Tang, Y. Organometallics 2008, 27, 1924.
- [16] (a) Wang, C.-M.; Friedrich, S.; Younkin, T. R.; Li, R.-T.; Grubbs, R. H. *Organometallics* 1998, *17*, 3149; (b) Younkin, T. R.; Connor, E. F.; Henderson, J. I.; Friedrich, S. K.; Grubbs, R. H.; Bansleben, D. A. *Science* 2000, *287*, 460.
- [17] (a) Fernelius, W. C.; Bryant, B. E. *Inorg. Synth.* 1957, *5*, 105; (b)
 Schunn, R. A. *Inorg. Synth.* 1972, *13*, 124; (c) Hidai, M.; Kashiwagi, T.; Ikeuchi, T.; Uchida, Y. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1971, *30*, 279.

(Pang, B.; Qin, X.)