CSIRO PUBLISHING

Australian Journal of Chemistry

Volume 51, 1998 © CSIRO Australia 1998

A journal for the publication of original research in all branches of chemistry and chemical technology

www.publish.csiro.au/journals/ajc

All enquiries and manuscripts should be directed to The Managing Editor Australian Journal of Chemistry CSIRO PUBLISHING PO Box 1139 (150 Oxford St) Collingwood Telephone: 61 3 9662 7630 Vic. 3066 Facsimile: 61 3 9662 7611 Australia Email: john.zdysiewicz@publish.csiro.au



Published by **CSIRO** PUBLISHING for CSIRO Australia and the Australian Academy of Science



Academy of Science

Synthesis and Binding Studies of a New DNA Minor Groove Binder

Christopher I. Clark,^A Jonathan M. White,^{A,B} David P. Kelly,^A Roger F. Martin^C and Pavel Lobachevsky^C

^A School of Chemistry, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Vic. 3052.

^B Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

 $^{\rm C}$ Trescow thick Research Laboratories, Peter MacCallum Cancer Institute, Melbourne, Vic. 3000.

2-[2'-(4''-Ethoxyphenyl)-1H-indol-6'-yl]-5-(4'''-methylpiperazin-1'''-yl)-1H-benzimidazole (1) has been synthesized from 2-(4'-ethoxyphenyl)-1H-indole-6-carbaldehyde (4b) and 4-(4'-methylpiperazin-1'-yl)benzene-1,2-diamine (3b). The aldehyde (4b) was prepared in five steps by using a modified Leimgruber-Batcho indole synthesis. Evaluation of (1) as a DNA ligand is described.

Introduction

Minor-groove-binding DNA ligands include a variety of structural types. Classic examples¹ are naturally occurring amide-linked bis- and tris-pyrroles, namely netropsin and distamycin A, and synthetic ligands, first the bibenzimidazole Hoechst 33258 $(2)^2$ and then the diamidino phenyl indole DAPI.³ An early interest in these compounds was in the mode of binding to DNA, being distinct from intercalation, and their potential pharmacological properties, which led to the synthesis of a number of analogues.⁴ However, it is their AT selectivity that has attracted sustained attention. DNA sequencing techniques enable discrete ligand-binding sites to be identified by footprinting⁵ or by affinity cleavage with ligands modified to include DNA cleavage ability by chemical,⁶ photolytic^{7,8} or radiolytic⁹ mechanisms, even in DNA in intact cells.¹⁰



The availability of synthetic oligodeoxynucleotides has enabled ligand binding to particular sites, such as $d(AATT)_2$, to be examined by X-ray crystallography^{11–13} and solution n.m.r.^{14,15} To a large extent this activity is aimed at the development of the capability to design ligands with defined sequence selectivity.¹⁶ The AT-selectivity of many of these ligands can be attributed to hydrogen bond donors on the ligands, making contacts in the minor groove with hydrogen bond acceptors on A and T sites.¹⁷ Thus, there have been a number of attempts to modify bibenzimidazole ligands, for example by introducing heterocyclic groups that will act as hydrogen bond acceptors, so as to confer GC-selectivity.^{16,18–20}

We have also undertaken changes to the bibenzimidazole structure, but for quite different reasons. Our interest in these ligands is in their potential use as radiomodifiers in cancer radiotherapy. This potential includes the use of iodinated analogues as radiosensitizers,⁷ whereas the non-iodinated bibenzimidazoles have radioprotective activity.^{21–23} There is some evidence to suggest that this radioprotective activity may be mediated by reduction by the ligand of radiation-induced transient oxidizing species on the DNA.²⁴ Accordingly, we have sought to modify the electronic properties of the ligands, and we report here the synthesis of a new ligand in which one of the benzimidazoles is replaced by an indole, in order to increase the π -electron density in the molecule. Aside from the classic case of DAPI³ there are a number of examples of minor-groove-binding ligands including an indole moiety, $^{25-28}$ but to our knowledge this is the first report of a 2-(indol-6-yl)benzimidazole DNA binding ligand.

Synthesis of the 2-(Indol-6-yl)benzimidazole (1)

We have previously detailed the synthesis of a series of bibenzimidazoles related to Hoechst 33258 (2).^{29,30} These derivatives were prepared by coupling aromatic *o*-diamines with either imino ether hydrochlorides or benzaldehyde derivatives through linear and convergent pathways. Scheme 1 details a linear synthesis of bibenzimidazole (5) involving the coupling of diamine (3a) to



Scheme 1

benzaldehyde (4a). In the course of seeking a pathway to the 2-(indol-6-yl)benzimidazole (1) we entertained the idea that it could be produced by a convergent synthesis between diamine (3b) and indole-substituted aldehyde (4b).

We initially proposed to synthesize 2-(4'-ethoxyphenyl)-1*H*-indole-6-carbaldehyde (4b) via formylation of an appropriately substituted indole. Given that Vilsmeier formylation of indole and C2 substituted indoles has been shown to occur at C3,³¹ not C6 as required for (4b), we decided to prepare the aldehyde via an organometallic route analogous to that reported for indole-6-carbaldehyde by Rapoport *et al.*³² This involved treating bromoindole (9) with potassium hydride, t-butyllithium and dimethylformamide. We chose to synthesize (9) via a modified Leimgruber–Batcho³³ indole synthesis. This involves a *de novo* construction of the indole nucleus with introduction of a C2 aryl substituent,³⁴ and is shown in Scheme 2.

Under standard conditions³³ the nitrotoluene (6)was treated with N,N-dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal and pyrrolidine in dimethylformamide to afford enamine (7a). The enamine was treated with 4ethoxybenzoyl chloride and triethylamine in benzene until t.l.c. indicated most of the starting material had been consumed. Enamine (7b) was isolated and, without purification, hydrolysed and deformylated in dioxan/water over 24 h to give ketone (8) in 50% overall yield from (6). Intramolecular cyclization of (8) was effected by chemical reduction with sodium dithionite in ethanol/tetrahydrofuran/water to give 6-bromo-2-(4'-ethoxyphenyl)-1H-indole (9) in 63% yield. Bromoindole (9) was formylated under standard conditions³² in tetrahydrofuran³⁵ to afford aldehyde (4b) in 36% yield. This was later increased to a moderate 42%. In the final step the coupling³⁶ of aromatic diamine (3b) and formylindole (4b) proceeded smoothly to afford (1) in 65% yield.



Estimation of Dissociation Constants

The binding of the ligand (L) to DNA was studied with the synthetic oligoDNA d(CGCGCGAATTCGCGCG)₂, which is expected to contain a single ligand-binding site. The expression for the dissociation equilibrium

$$DNA-L_n \stackrel{K_d}{\rightleftharpoons} nL + DNA \tag{1}$$

can be written

$$K_{\rm d} = L(1-\alpha)(nx - L\alpha)L\alpha \qquad (2)$$

where α is the fraction of bound ligand, L is the total ligand concentration (mol l^{-1}), n is the number of ligand binding sites per DNA base pair (bp), and x is the total DNA concentration (mol bp l^{-1}).

The spectrophotometric data from titration with DNA was processed by regression analysis. Each intermediate spectrum during the titration process was represented as a combination of the spectra of free $[y_f(\lambda)]$ and bound $[y_b(\lambda)]$ ligand in following form:

$$y(\lambda) = (1 - \alpha)y_{\rm f}(\lambda) + \alpha y_{\rm b}(\lambda) \tag{3}$$

The regression analysis was then applied to expression (3), to yield values for α . These values were used to

build a binding curve (fraction of bound ligand against DNA concentration) and Scatchard plot. The values for $K_{\rm d}$ and n were calculated from the Scatchard plot.

Results

The DNA binding experiments yielded a K_d value of $2 \cdot 8(\pm 0 \cdot 7) \times 10^{-8}$ mol 1^{-1} with $n = 0 \cdot 042(\pm 0 \cdot 001)$. Similar experiments with Hoechst 33258 (2) gave values of $K_d = 1 \cdot 1(\pm 0 \cdot 2) \times 10^{-8}$ and $n = 0 \cdot 023(\pm 0 \cdot 001)$. These values are averages and standard deviations of the results of our experiments. The data from a typical experiment are shown in Fig. 1 for (1) and Fig. 2 for Hoechst 33258 (2). As indicated in Table 1, the apparent binding is around 5–10-fold lower than values reported in the Hoechst 33258 in ethanol-free aqueous buffer.³⁷ The ethanol was included in our experiments to improve ligand solubility and thus allow comparisons between a variety of new ligands, and to minimize non-specific binding to DNA and glassware. The extent of the effect of ethanol on K_d is



Fig. 1. Results for ligand (1). (a) Effect of DNA on ligand absorption. Spectra: 1, free ligand; 2,3 examples of intermediate spectra; 4, bound ligand. Only two intermediate spectra are shown to avoid complication of the figure. (b) Binding curve. (c) Scatchard plot. $L_{\rm b}$ and $L_{\rm f}$ are the concentrations of bound and free ligand respectively; x is the DNA concentration. The closed symbols on panels (b) and (c) represent experimental data; the solid lines are the result of regression analysis.

similar to that reported previously for poly[d(A–T)],³⁷ as shown in Table 1. Given the limited precision, the $K_{\rm d}$ value for the 2-(indol-6-yl)benzimidazole ligand (1) is comparable to that for Hoechst 33258 (2). However, the value for the number of binding sites of the new ligand per oligoDNA molecule is about twice that for Hoechst 33258 (2). This could reflect the binding of two molecules of the ligand, as reported for DAPI^{38,39} and distamycin.⁴⁰

While the relative values of n for the 2-(indol-6-yl)benzimidazole (1) and Hoechst 33258 (2) are close to 2:1, we cannot explain why the absolute values are less than expected.

In conclusion, (1) is the first compound in a new class of DNA binders, the 2-(indol-6-yl)benzimidazoles. Work is in progress to synthesize more derivatives of (1) and other types of compounds with increased π -electron density over (1).

Experimental

The buffer used for the DNA/ligand titration was 20 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4, with 100 mM NaCl, containing 20% ethanol to minimize problems due to solubility, adsorption and non-specific binding of the ligand. The DNA concentration was determined by using the extinction coefficient of the



Fig. 2. Results for ligand (2): see caption to Fig. 1.

Ligand	Ethanol (%)	$K_{\rm d} \pmod{l^{-1}}$	Ref.
(2)	20	$(1 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 2) \times 10^{-8}$	this study
(1)	20	$(2 \cdot 8 \pm 0 \cdot 7) \times 10^{-8}$	this study
(2)		0.25×10^{-8}	37
(2)		0.26×10^{-8}	37
(2)	25	$6 \cdot 8 \times 10^{-8}$	37
	Ligand (2) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2)	Ligand Ethanol (%) (2) 20 (1) 20 (2) (2) (2) 25	Ligand Ethanol (%) $K_d \pmod{l^{-1}}$ (2) 20 $(1 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 2) \times 10^{-8}$ (1) 20 $(2 \cdot 8 \pm 0 \cdot 7) \times 10^{-8}$ (2) $0 \cdot 25 \times 10^{-8}$ (2) $0 \cdot 26 \times 10^{-8}$ (2) 25 $6 \cdot 8 \times 10^{-8}$

Table 1. Binding of ligands (1) and (2) to synthetic DNA

duplex oligoDNA (286000 m⁻¹ cm⁻¹), determined from that for single-stranded DNA (161600 m⁻¹ cm⁻¹) by measuring the relative extinction of native and heat denatured DNA. The concentration of ligand used in titration experiments was in the range $0.4-0.2 \,\mu$ M. The absorption spectra were measured in 50 mm cuvettes. The ultraviolet spectroscopic data obtained for (1) (>90% pure by h.p.l.c.), in 0.1% CF₃CO₂H in 45% MeOH in water (v/v/v), were characterized by maxima at 385 nm (ϵ_{385} 3.98×10⁴ mol⁻¹ l. cm⁻¹) and 249 nm (ϵ_{249} 2.94×10⁴ mol⁻¹ l. cm⁻¹).

Melting points are uncorrected. ¹H, ¹³C and two-dimensional spectra (HMBC, HMQC) were recorded on a Varian Unity 400 spectrometer operating at 399.5 MHz for ¹H and 100 MHz for ¹³C. J values are given in Hz. The addition of a few drops of CF₃CO₂D to the CD₃OD solution of (1) was found to reduce peak broadening and enhance peak definition in the aromatic region of the ¹H n.m.r. spectra. For the acquisition of the carbon spectrum of (1) in CD₃OD the addition of a few drops of CH₃SO₃H was used to increase solubility. Positive-ion electron ionization mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass VG7070F instrument at an ionization potential of 70 eV. Elemental analyses were performed by Chemical and Micro Analytical Services Pty Ltd Melbourne, Victoria.

2-(4^{''}-Bromo-2^{''}-nitrophenyl)-1-(4[']-ethoxyphenyl)ethanone (8)

To (E)-2-(4-bromo-2-nitrophenyl)-N,N-dimethylethenamine (7a) $(3 \cdot 1 \text{ g}, 11 \cdot 57 \text{ mmol})$ ³² prepared from 4-bromo-1-methyl-2-nitrobenzene (6),⁴¹ was added 4-ethoxybenzoyl chloride $(2 \cdot 14)$ g, 11.57 mmol), triethylamine (1.6 ml, 11.57 mmol) and dry benzene (15 ml). The mixture was refluxed for 7 days at which time most of the enamine had been consumed. Upon washing with water the organic layer was refluxed with dioxan (15 ml) and water (5 ml) for 24 h. The solvents were removed and the crude material in dichloromethane was 'flashed' through silica to yield (8) $(2 \cdot 12 \text{ g}, 50 \cdot 4\%)$ as a tan crystalline *solid*, m.p. 117–118°C (from dichloromethane/light petroleum (b.p. 40–60°C)) (Found: C, 52·8; H, 3·8; Br, 21·8; N, $3\cdot8\%$; M⁺ 363.0124. $C_{16}H_{14}BrNO_4$ requires C, 52.7; H, 3.9; Br, 22.0; N, $3 \cdot 9\%$; M⁺ 363 · 0106). $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) $8 \cdot 265$. 1H, d, J 2, H 3''; 7 · 97, 2H, d, J 9 · 1, H 2′,6′; 7 · 71, 1H, dd, J 8 · 1, 2, H 5′′; 7 · 205, 1H, d, J 8.1, H 6''; 6.945, 2H, d, J 9, H 3', 5', 4.63, 2H, s, H 2; 4.11, 2H, q, J 7, OCH₂CH₃; 1.45, 3H, t, J 7.1, OCH₂CH₃. $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 193.1. C1; 163.29, C4'; 149.47, C2''; 136.26, C5''; 134.82, C6''; 130.51, C2',6'; 129.87, C1''; 128.92, C1'; $128 \cdot 10, C3''; 121 \cdot 25, C4''; 114 \cdot 28, C3', 5'; 63 \cdot 80, OCH_2CH_3;$ 43.21, C2; 14.63, OCH₂CH₃; m/z 363 (M⁺, 0.31%), 149 $(100), 121 (37 \cdot 73), 65 (16 \cdot 62), 57 (86 \cdot 95).$

6-Bromo-2-(4'-ethoxyphenyl)-1H-indole (9)

The ketone (8) (284 mg, 0.780 mmol) and sodium dithionite (313 mg, 1.80 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (2 ml), ethanol (2 ml) and water (1.3 ml) were refluxed for 20 min. The reaction was monitored by silica t.l.c. (benzene; $R_{\rm F}$ 0.4 for (8), $R_{\rm F}$ 0.64 for (9)). Additional sodium dithionite and tetrahydrofuran/ethanol/water were added and the solution was reheated if required. Upon completion the organic solvents were removed and (9) was isolated by filtration. The filtrate was acidified with dilute HCl and heated and further product was obtained. Upon drying the title compound was obtained as a beige *solid* (158 mg, 63%), m.p. 225–226°C (repeated trituration with ethyl acetate/light petroleum) (Found: C, 60·9; H, 4·5; Br, 25·3; N, 4·4%; M⁺ 315·0133. C₁₆H₁₄BrNO requires C, 60·8; H, 4·5; Br, 25·3; N, 4·4%; M⁺ 315·0259. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 8·25. 1H, br s, NH; 7·56, 2H, d, $J 8 \cdot 7$, H2′,6′; 7·52, 1H, br s, H7; 7·45, 1H, d, $J 8\cdot 4$, H4; 7·2, 1H, dd, $J 8\cdot 3$, 1·4, H5; 6·97, 2H, d, $J 8\cdot 7$, H3′,5′; 6·665, 1H, d, $J 1\cdot 4$, H3; 4·08, 2H, q, J 7, OCH₂CH₃; 1·45, 3H, t, $J 6\cdot 95$, OCH₂CH₃. $\delta_{\rm C}$ ((CD₃)₂SO) 158·27, C4; 138·84, C2; 137·77, C7; 127·85, C3a; 126·45, C2′,6′; 124·16, C1′; 122·02, C5; 121·25, C4; 114·78, C3′,5′; 113·44, C6 or C7; 113·40, C6 or C7; 97·36, C3; 63·08, O**C**H₂CH₃; 14·54, OCH₂**C**H₃). m/z 315 (M⁺, 98·92%), 286 (59·75), 236 (4·64), 207 (12·03).

2-(4'-Ethoxyphenyl)-1H-indole-6-carbaldehyde (4b)

To potassium hydride (24 mg, 0.6 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (0.5 ml) at 0° C was added dropwise a solution of the indole (9) (189 mg, 0.598 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (3 ml).^{32,35} When the hydride had been consumed the solution was cooled to -100° C and t-butyllithium (0.71 ml, 1.694 M, 1.196 mmol), at -100°C , was added via cannula. Upon warming to -80° C, dimethylformamide (46 μ l, 0.598 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (1 ml) was added and the solution was stirred at -80° C for 15 min before being warmed to room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into ice-cold 1 M phosphorous acid and extracted with ethyl acetate. Washing with saturated sodium bicarbonate, drying with sodium sulfate and removal of the solvent gave a residue which was subject to column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/light petroleum (b.p. $40-60^{\circ}$ C) (32:68)). The title compound (4b) ($R_{\rm F}$ 0.32) was obtained as a crystalline solid (57 mg, 36%), m.p. 199–201°C (Found: C, 77 · 2; H, 5 · 8; N, $5 \cdot 2\%$; M⁺ 265 \cdot 1254. C₁₇H₁₅NO₂ requires C, 77 \cdot 0; H, 5·7; N, 5·3%; M⁺ 265·1103). $\delta_{\rm H}$ ((CD_3)_2CO) 11·15, 1H, br s, NH; 9.99, 1H, s, CHO; 7.94, 1H, br s, H7; 7.845, 2H, d, $J 8 \cdot 8$, H 2', 6'; $7 \cdot 675$, 1H, d, $J 8 \cdot 3$, H 4; $7 \cdot 575$, 1H, dd, $J \ 8\cdot 2, \ 1\cdot 4, \ \mathrm{H}\ 5; \ 7\cdot 035, \ 2\mathrm{H}, \ \mathrm{d}, \ J \ 8\cdot 8, \ \mathrm{H}\ 3', 5'; \ 6\cdot 915, \ 1\mathrm{H},$ d, J 1.7, H3; 4.11, 2H, q, J 7, OCH_2CH_3 ; 1.38, 3H, t, J 6.95, OCH₂CH₃. $\delta_{\rm C}$ ((CD₃)₂SO) 192.47, CHO; 158.89, C4'; $142 \cdot 72$, C 2; 136 $\cdot 32$, C 7a; 133 $\cdot 93$, C 3a; 130 $\cdot 02$, C 6; 127 $\cdot 09$, C2',6'; 123.72, C1'; 119.89, C4,5; 114.92, C3',5'; 114.44,C7; 98.30, C3; 63.22, OCH₂CH₃; 14.65, OCH₂CH₃. m/z $265 (M^+, 89.36\%), 236 (68.08), 208 (20.92), 149 (32.35), 71$ $(57 \cdot 59), 57 (100).$

2-[2'-(4''-Ethoxyphenyl)-1H-indol-6'-yl)]-5-(4'''-methylpiperazin-1'''-yl)-1H-benzimidazole (1)

To freshly prepared 4-(4'-methylpiperazin-1'-yl)benzene-1,2diamine $(3b)^{29}$ (44 mg, 0·21 mmol) in dry ethanol (2 ml) was added a solution of thiosulfate aldehyde complex (prepared by adding sodium metabisulfite (39·5 mg, 0·208 mmol) in ethanol/water (0·5:0·5 ml) to a refluxing solution of (4b) (55 mg, 0·208 mmol) in ethanol (5 ml)). The resultant solution was heated at reflux for 12 h. The solution was cooled, basified with ammonium hydroxide and put in a freezer for several hours. The solid obtained via filtration was washed with water, diethyl ether and dried in vacuum to give (1) as a yellow solid (65 mg, 69%), m.p. 294°C (dec.) (Found: C, 74·0; H, 6·7; N, 15·6; O, 3·3%; M⁺ 451·2343. C₂₈H₂₉N₅O requires C, 74·5; H, 6·5; N, 15·5; O, 3·5%; M⁺ 451·2372). $\delta_{\rm H}$ $(CD_3OD+CF_3CO_2D)$ 8.055, 1H, d, J 1.5, H 7'; 7.745, 2H, d, J 8.7, H2",6"; 7.73, 1H, d, J 8.8, H4'; 7.620, 1H, d, J 8.7, H7; 7.619, 1H, dd, J 8.7, 1.8, H5'; 7.305, 1H, dd, J 9, 2.2, H 6; 7 · 21, 1H, d, J 2 · 1, H 4; 6 · 98, 2H, d, J 8 · 8, H 3'', 5''; 6 · 78, 1H, br s, H3', exchangeable; $4 \cdot 065$, 2H, q, J 7, OCH₂CH₃; 3.885 2H, br d, J 13.4, piperazinyl H; 3.655, 2H, br d, J $12 \cdot 1$, piperazinyl H; $3 \cdot 29$, 2H, br t, $J 9 \cdot 7$, piperazinyl H; $3 \cdot 15$, 2H, br t, J 11.9, piperazinyl H; 2.98, 3H, s, NCH₃; 1.41, 3H, t, J 7.05, OCH₂CH₃. $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CD₃OD+CH₃SO₃H) 160.49, C4''; 150.42, C2 or C3a'; 149.51, C3a or C5; 143.98, C2'; 137·41, C6' or C7a'; 134·15, C2 or C3a'; 133·31, C3a or C5; 127.88, C2,6"; 126.75, C7a; 124.68, C1", 121.55, C4'; 118.66, C5'; 117.86, C6; 115.66, C3,5"; 114.74, C7; 113.99, C6' or C7a'; 111·10, C7'; 100·26, C4; 99·3, C3'; 64·47, OCH_2CH_3 ; 54.41, piperazinyl C; 47.99, piperazinyl C; 43.57, $(NCH_3; 15.19, OCH_2CH_3, m/z 451 (M^+, 100\%), 381 (12.32),$ 253 (14.59), 157 (13.27), 71 (17.21).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the financial support of a grant from the Australian Research Council.

References

- ¹ Zimmer, C., and Wahnert, U., *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.*, 1986, **47**, 31.
- ² Loewe, H., and Urbanietz, J., Arzneim.-Forsch., Drug Res., 1974, 24, 1927.
- ³ Dann, O., Bergen, G., Dement, E., and Volz, G., Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1971, **749**, 68.
- ⁴ Baguley, B. C., Mol. Cell. Biochem., 1982, 43, 167.
- ⁵ Harshman, K. D., and Dervan, P. B., *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 1985, **13**, 4825.
- ⁶ Schultz, P. G., Taylor, J. S., and Dervan, P. B., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, **104**, 6861.
- ⁷ Martin, R. F., Murray, V., D'Cunha, G., Pardee, M., Kampouris, E., Haigh, A., Kelly, D. P., and Hodgson, G. S., *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.*, 1990, **57**, 939.
- ⁸ Murray, V., and Martin, R. F., *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 1994, 22, 506.
- ⁹ Martin, R. F., and Holmes, N., *Nature (London)*, 1983, **302**, 452.
- ¹⁰ Murray, V., and Martin, R. F., J. Mol. Biol., 1988, 201, 437.
- ¹¹ Teng, M., Usman, N., Frederick, C. A., and Wang, A. H.-J., *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 1988, **16**, 2671.
- ¹² Kopka, M. L., Yoon, C., Goodsell, D., Pjura, P., and Dickerson, R. E., *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 1985, **82**, 1376.
- ¹³ Larsen, T. A., Goodsell, D. S., Cascio, D., Grzeskowiak, K., and Dickerson, R. E., J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn., 1989, 7, 477.
- ¹⁴ Parkinson, J. A., Barber, J., Douglas, K. T., Rosamond, J., and Sharples, D., *Biochemistry*, 1990, **29**, 10181.
- ¹⁵ Trotta, E., D'Ambrosio, E., Del Grosso, N., Ravagnan, G., Cirilli, M., and Paci, M., J. Biol. Chem., 1993, 268, 3944.

- ¹⁶ Dervan, P. B., *Science*, 1986, **232**, 464.
- ¹⁷ Mikhailov, M. V., Zasedatelev, A. S., Krylov, A. S., and Gursky, G. V., *Mol. Biol. SSSR*, 1981, **15**, 690.
- ¹⁸ Lown, J. W., Krowicki, K., Bhat, U. G., Skorobogaty, A., Ward, B., and Dabrowiak, J. C., *Biochemistry*, 1986, 25, 7408.
- ¹⁹ Bathini, T., Ekamnareswara, R., Shea, R. G., and Lown, J. W., *Chem. Res. Toxicol.*, 1990, **3**, 268.
- ²⁰ Dwyer, T. J., Geierstanger, B. H., Bathini, Y., Lown, J. W., and Wemmer, D. E., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 5911.
- ²¹ Smith, P. J., and Anderson, C. O., *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.*, 1984, 46, 331.
 ²² M. G. D. L. HULL, D. D. R. J. C. 1000, 40, 515.
- ²² Young, S. D., and Hill, R. P., Br. J. Cancer, 1989, **60**, 715.
- ²³ Denison, L., Haigh, A., D'Cunha, G., and Martin, R. F., *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.*, 1992, **61**, 69.
- ²⁴ Martin, R. F., Broadhurst, S., DeAbrew, S., Budd, R., Sephton, R., Reum, M., and Kelly, D. P., *Br. J. Cancer*, 1996, **74**, S99.
- ²⁵ Tsujii, S., Rinehart, K. L., Gunasekera, S. P., Kashman, Y., Cross, S. S., Lui, M. S., Pomponi, S. A., and Diaz, M. C., *J. Org. Chem.*, 1988, **53**, 5446.
- ²⁶ Xie, G., Gupta, R., Atchison, K., and Lown, J. W., *J. Med. Chem.*, 1996, **39**, 1049.
 ²⁷ Diana Mathematical American Americ
- ²⁷ Fairley, T. A., Tidwell, R. R., Donkor, I., Naiman, N. A., Ohemeng, K. A., Lombardy, R. J., Bentley, J. A., and Cory, M., *J. Med. Chem.*, 1993, **36**, 1746.
- ²⁸ Hurley, L. H., Lee, C.-S., McGovern, P., Warpehoski, M. A., and Mitchell, M. A., *Biochemistry*, 1988, **27**, 3886.
- ²⁹ Kelly, D. P., Bateman, S. A., Martin, R. F., Reum, M. E., Rose, M., and Whittaker, A. R. D., *Aust. J. Chem.*, 1994, 47, 247.
- ³⁰ Kelly, D. P., Bateman, S. A., Hook, R. J., Martin, R. F., Reum, M. E., Rose, M., and Whittaker, A. R. D., *Aust. J. Chem.*, 1994, **47**, 1751.
- ³¹ Remers, W. A., *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.*, 1979, **25**, 357.
 ³² Moyer, M. P., Shiurba, J. F., and Rapoport, H., *J. Org. Chem.*, 1986, **51**, 5106.
- ³³ Clark, R. D., and Repke, D. B., *Heterocycles*, 1984, **22**, 195.
- ³⁴ Garcia, E. E., and Fryer, R. I., J. Heterocycl. Chem., 1974, 11, 219.
- ³⁵ Yang, Y., Martin, A. R., Nelson, D. L., and Regan, J., *Heterocycles*, 1992, **34**, 1169.
- ³⁶ Ridley, H. F., Spickett, G., and Timmis, G. M., *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, 1965, **2**, 453.
- ³⁷ Loontiens, F. G., Regenfuss, P., Zechel, A., Dumortier, L., and Clegg, R. M., *Biochemistry*, 1990, **29**, 9029.
- ³⁸ Trotta, E., D'Ambrosio, E., Ravagnan G., and Paci, M., J. Biol. Chem., 1996, **271**, 27608.
- ³⁹ Kim, S. K., Eriksson, S., Kubista, M., and Norden, B., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, **115**, 3441.
- ⁴⁰ Pelton, P. G., and Wemmer, D. E., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, **112**, 1393.
- ⁴¹ Kosuge, T., Ishida, A., Inaba, A., and Nukaya, H., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1985, **33**, 1414.