This article was downloaded by: [University of Winnipeg] On: 23 August 2014, At: 06:21 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcoo20

Synthesis, crystal structure, and DNAbinding of a 3-D netlike supramolecular manganese picrate complex with 2,6bis(benzimidazol-2-yl)pyridine

Zhen-Zhong Yan<sup>a</sup>, Zhi-Hong Xu<sup>b</sup>, Guo-Liang Dai<sup>a</sup>, Hua-Ding Liang<sup>a</sup> & Song-Lin Zhao<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Pharmaceutics and Chemical Engineering , Taizhou University , Linhai 317000, PR China

<sup>b</sup> College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xuchang University, Xuchang 461000, PR China Published online: 25 Mar 2010.

To cite this article: Zhen-Zhong Yan , Zhi-Hong Xu , Guo-Liang Dai , Hua-Ding Liang & Song-Lin Zhao (2010) Synthesis, crystal structure, and DNA-binding of a 3-D netlike supramolecular manganese picrate complex with 2,6-bis(benzimidazol-2-yl)pyridine, Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 63:7, 1097-1106, DOI: <u>10.1080/00958971003728288</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958971003728288</u>

## PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms &

Conditions of access and use can be found at <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>



## Synthesis, crystal structure, and DNA-binding of a 3-D netlike supramolecular manganese picrate complex with 2,6-bis(benzimidazol-2-yl)pyridine

ZHEN-ZHONG YAN\*<sup>†</sup>, ZHI-HONG XU<sup>‡</sup>, GUO-LIANG DAI<sup>†</sup>, HUA-DING LIANG<sup>†</sup> and SONG-LIN ZHAO<sup>†</sup>

> Department of Pharmaceutics and Chemical Engineering, Taizhou University, Linhai 317000, PR China
> College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xuchang University, Xuchang 461000, PR China

(Received 26 June 2009; in final form 17 November 2009)

Manganese picrate with 2,6-bis(benzimidazol-2-yl)pyridine (L) has been prepared and characterized by elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The complex crystallizes in the triclinic system, space group *P*-*I* with *a* = 14.234(3) Å, b = 14.324(2) Å, c = 15.242(2) Å,  $\alpha = 77.569(2)^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 63.350(3)^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = 82.130(2)^{\circ}$ , and Z = 2. Interaction of the complex with calf-thymus DNA (CT-DNA) has been investigated with diverse spectroscopic techniques and viscosity measurements, and the binding constant is  $1.76 \times 10^5$  mol<sup>-1</sup>. Results suggest that the complex bind to CT-DNA *via* intercalation.

*Keywords*: Crystal structure; 2,6-Bis(benzimidazol-2-yl)pyridine; Manganese picrate complex; DNA-binding

#### 1. Introduction

Considerable attention has been given to the synthesis of bis-benzimidazole compounds because of their properties in cancer therapy. Various studies with bis-benzimidazole derivatives have shown that their binding affinity to calf-thymus DNA (CT-DNA) correlates positively with the *in vitro* topoisomerase inhibitory potency or cytotoxicity [1]. The chelation of 2,6-bis(benzimidazol-2-yl)pyridine (L) is depicted in scheme 1 [2–4]. Metal complexes having polypyridyl moieties have been extensively studied as DNA structural probes and DNA-dependent electron transfer probes [5–7]. Interaction of metal complexes with DNA has been examined due to their cationic character, water solubility, stability in water, and spectroscopic signature. Bünzli *et al.* [8–11] have reported that attachment of five-membered rings to the central pyridine in extended tridentate ligand (L) improves affinity for metal ions. Some metal complexes of polypyridine ligands activate endonucleolytic cleavage in DNA in the presence

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: yanzhzh@tzc.edu.cn



Scheme 1. The structure of L.

of external agents or footprinting agents and as models for the reactivity of some antitumor antibiotics [12].

In this work, an organic picrate possessing relatively weak coordination activity was chosen as a counter anion for manganese complex with 2,6-bis(benzimidazol-2-yl)-pyridine (L). Elemental analyses indicate a 1:2:2:1 metal-to-L-to-picrate-to-ethanol stoichiometry,  $MnL_2(Pic)_2(C_2H_6O)$ . The crystal structure indicates that  $[MnL_2](Pic)_2$  link to each other by intermolecular hydrogen bonds and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions to form a three-dimensional (3-D) netlike supermolecule. The interactions of DNA with the complex have been investigated to evaluate biological activity.

### 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Materials and methods

All reagents and solvents were purchased commercially and used without purification unless otherwise noted.  $Mn(Pic)_2$  was prepared by the reaction of manganese carbonate and picric acid in hot water. Calf-thymus DNA (CT-DNA) was obtained from Sigma Chemicals Co. (USA) and used as received. The solutions of CT-DNA in 50 mmol NaCl, 5 mmol Tris-HCl (tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane hydrochloride) (pH 7.2) gave a ratio of UV-Vis absorbance of 1.8–1.9:1 at 260 and 280 nm, indicating that the DNA was sufficiently free of protein [13]. The concentration of DNA was determined spectrophotometrically using a molar absorptivity of  $6600 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (260 nm) [14]. Doubly distilled water was used to prepare buffers.

Carbon, nitrogen, and hydrogen analyses were determined using an Elementar Vario EL. The IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 360 FT-IR instrument using KBr discs from  $4000-400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was measured on a Varian Mercury-300B spectrometer in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution with TMS as internal standard. The luminescence and phosphorescence spectra were obtained on a Hitachi RF-4500 spectrophotometer at room temperature.

**2.1.1. Preparation of L.** The ligand 2,6-bis(benzimidazol-2-yl)pyridine was prepared by reaction of pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid and *O*-phenylenediamine in syrupy phosphoric acid (40 mL) at *ca* 230° for 4 h as described previously [15], yield 55%, m.p. > 250° (Found: C, 70.86; H, 4.05; N, 22.06. Calcd for L (%): C, 70.27; H, 4.03; N, 21.40). IR(cm<sup>-1</sup>) in KBr pellet:  $\nu$ (C=N) 1459s;  $\nu$ (C=C): 1436s;  $\nu$ (C–N):1274s;

 $\nu$ (N–H) 3083 m, 3058 m and  $\nu$ (O–H) 3180 m. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz): 7.3–8.5 (m, aromatic), 13.2 (s, imino).

**2.1.2.** Synthesis of the manganese picrate complex. The manganese picrate complex was prepared as yellow powder by the reaction of  $Mn(Pic)_2$  and L at 1:1 molar ratio in ethanol (Found: C, 52.47; H, 2.802; N, 19.47. Calcd for MnL<sub>2</sub>(Pic)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O) (%): C, 52.93; H, 3.08; N, 18.99). IR(cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  $\nu$ (C=N): 1610;  $\nu$ (C=C): 1441;  $\nu$ (C-N): 1274; v<sub>as</sub>(-NO<sub>2</sub>): 1555; v<sub>s</sub>(-NO<sub>2</sub>): 1342.

#### 2.2. DNA-binding experiments

Absorption titration experiments were performed by maintaining the metal complex concentration as constant at 10 µmol while varying the concentration of CT-DNA within 0.5-10 µmol. While measuring absorption spectra, equal quantity of CT-DNA was added to both the complex and the reference solution to eliminate the absorbance of DNA itself.

For fluorescence measurements, fixed amounts (10 µmol) of the complex were titrated with increasing amounts (0-50 µmol) of CT-DNA. The excitation wavelength was 415 nm, scan speed =  $240 \text{ nm min}^{-1}$ , and slit width 2.5/2.5 nm.

Viscosity experiments were conducted on an Ubbelohde viscometer immersed in a thermostatted water bath maintained at  $25 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C. The data were presented as  $(\eta/\eta_0)^{1/3}$ versus the ratio of the concentration of the compound to CT-DNA, where  $\eta$  is the viscosity of CT-DNA in the presence of the compound and  $\eta_0$  is the viscosity of CT-DNA alone. The viscosity values were calculated from the observed flow time of CT-DNA containing solutions corrected from the flow time of buffer alone  $(t_0)$ ,  $\eta = t - t_0$  [16].

## 2.3. Crystal structure determination

Yellow crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of the ethanol solution of the Mn complex. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction was performed on a Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer equipped with a graphite crystal monochromator situated in the incident beam for data collection. A single crystal with dimensions  $0.30 \times 0.19 \times 0.17 \text{ mm}^3$  was chosen for X-ray diffraction studies. The determination of unit cell parameters and data collection were performed with Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) at 298(2) K on a Bruker SMART diffractometer. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXS program of the SHELXL-97 package and refined with SHELXL [17]. Manganese centers were located from the E-map and other non-hydrogen atoms were located in successive difference Fourier syntheses. The final refinement was performed by full matrix least squares with anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms on  $F^2$ . The hydrogens were added geometrically and not refined. The final R = 0.0885 and wR = 0.2046 ( $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0477P)^2 + 0.1617P]$ , where P = 0.001,  $(\Delta \rho)_{max} = 0.794$ ,  $(\Delta \rho)_{min} = -0.417 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ , and  $(\Delta / \sigma)_{max} = 0.000$ .  $P = (F_{\rm o}^2 + 2F_{\rm c}^2)/3),$ 

## 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Crystal structure of the title complex

A summary of crystallographic data and details of the structure refinements are listed in table 1; selected bond lengths and angles are given in table 2.

As shown in figure 1, in  $MnL_2(Pic)_2(C_2H_6O)$ , Mn(II) is coordinated with six nitrogens from two tridentate L in a distorted octahedron. Two picrates are counter anions and one ethanol is in the outer coordination sphere. All atoms of the same L are almost in a plane, and the dihedral angle between two planes is 80.50°.

A 3-D netlike supramolecule (figure 2) was further formed through hydrogen bonds and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions. The  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions between the picrate groups, which are formed between two benzene rings of the benzimidazole rings [the centroid (C14, C15, C16, C17, C18, and C19) to centroid distances are 3.800 Å (symmetry code: 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z); the centroid (C33, C34, C35, C36, C37, and C38) to centroid (C26, C33, C34, N9, and N10) distances are 3.909 Å (symmetry code: 1 - x, -y, 1 - z)] form a 2-D layer supramolecule [18]. In addition, the layers are linked by  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions between two picrate ions [the centroid (C39, C40, C41, C42, C43, and C44) to centroid distances are 4.004 Å (symmetry code: x, y, z)] and intermolecular N-H  $\cdots$  O and C-H  $\cdots$  O hydrogen bonds between nitrogens of L and picrate. All of the relevant hydrogen-bonding values and symmetry codes are listed in table 3.

Table 1. Crystal and experimental data.

Empirical formula	C <sub>52</sub> H <sub>36</sub> N <sub>16</sub> O <sub>15</sub> Mn
Formula weight	1179.91
Temperature (K)	298(2)
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073
Crystal system	Triclinic
Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)	
a	14.234(3)
b	14.324(2)
С	15.242(2)
α	77.569(2)
β	63.350(3)
γ	82.130(2)
Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> ), Z	2709.5(8), 2
Calculated density $(mg m^{-3})$	1.446
Absorption coefficient $(mm^{-1})$	0.328
F(000)	1210
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	$0.30 \times 0.19 \times 0.17$
$\theta$ range for data collection (°)	2.12-25.01
Limiting indices	$-8 \le h \le 16; -17 \le k \le 17; -17 \le l \le 18$
Reflections collected	13,771
Independent reflection	9136 $[R(int) = 0.0618]$
Completeness to $\theta = 25.00$ (%)	95.8
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.9464 and 0.9080
Data/restraints/parameters	9136/451/835
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.001
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0885, wR_2 = 0.2046$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.2379, wR_2 = 0.2985$
Largest difference peak and hole ( $e Å^{-3}$ )	0.794 and 0.079
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$

## 3.2. Absorption titration

The electronic absorption spectroscopy in DNA-binding studies is one of the most useful techniques [19, 20]; absorption spectra of the complex in the absence and presence of CT-DNA (at a constant concentration of the complex) are given in figure 3. In the presence of DNA, absorption bands of the complex at 333 nm exhibit hypochromism of about 26.1% and bathochromism of about 13 nm. These spectral characteristics suggest that the complex interacts with DNA most likely through a mode that involves a stacking interaction between the aromatic chromophore and the base pairs of DNA.

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°).

Mn(1)-N(1)	2.228(7)	N(1)-Mn(1)-N(2)	72.0(3)
Mn(1) - N(6)	2.238(7)	N(1)-Mn(1)-N(4)	71.6(2)
Mn(1) - N(2)	2.253(7)	N(1)-Mn(1)-N(6)	162.4(3)
Mn(1) - N(7)	2.261(7)	N(1)-Mn(1)-N(7)	123.7(2)
Mn(1) - N(4)	2.262(7)	N(1)-Mn(1)-N(9)	93.2(3)
Mn(1)-N(9) 2.307(7)	N(2)-Mn(1)-N(4)	142.2(3)	
		N(2)-Mn(1)-N(6)	118.6(2)
	N(2)-Mn(1)-N(7)	91.6(2)	
		N(2)-Mn(1)-N(9)	99.3(2)
	N(4)-Mn(1)-N(6)	99.2(2)	
	N(4)-Mn(1)-N(7)	100.4(2)	
	N(4)-Mn(1)-N(9)	92.4(2)	
	N(6)-Mn(1)-N(7)	71.9(3)	
		N(6)-Mn(1)-N(9)	71.8(3)
		N(7)-Mn(1)-N(9)	143.0(3)



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram (30% probability ellipsoids) showing the molecular structure of the Mn complex (the free ethanol is omitted for clarity).

The absorption data were analyzed to evaluate the intrinsic binding constant  $K_b$ , which can be determined from [16]:

$$[DNA]/(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_f) = [DNA]/(\varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_f) + 1/K_b(\varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_f)$$

where [DNA] is the concentration of DNA in base pairs, the apparent absorption coefficient  $\varepsilon_a$ ,  $\varepsilon_f$ , and  $\varepsilon_b$  correspond to  $A_{obsd}/[M]$ , the extinction coefficient of the free compound and the extinction coefficient of the compound when fully bound to DNA, respectively. In plots of [DNA]/ $(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_f)$  versus [DNA],  $K_b$  is given by the ratio of slope to the intercept. The intrinsic binding constant  $K_b$  of the complex was  $1.76 \times 10^5 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ .



Figure 2. 3-D netlike supermolecule generated by intermolecular hydrogen bonds and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions in the title complex (hydrogen bonds are indicated by the yellow dashed lines).

D–H · · · A	<i>d</i> (D–H)	$d(\mathbf{H}\cdots\mathbf{A})$	$d(\mathbf{D}\cdots\mathbf{A})$	∠ DHA	Symmetry
N(3)–H(3)····O(15)	0.86	1.90	2.7452	168	-1+x, y, 1+z
$N(5) - H(5) \cdots O(1)$	0.86	2.17	2.9981	160	
$N(8) - H(8) \cdots O(3)$	0.86	2.08	2.9369	178	1-x, 1-y, 1-z
$N(10) - H(10) \cdots O(8)$	0.86	1.90	2.7545	171	1-x, -v, 1-z
$C(5)-H(5A)\cdots O(1)$	0.93	2.28	3.1621	159	, ,,
$C(16) - H(16) \cdots O(2)$	0.93	2.36	3.1517	142	
$C(23) - H(23) \cdots O(10)$	0.93	2.41	3.3393	174	1 + x, y, z
$C(24) - H(24) \cdots O(9)$	0.93	2.43	3.2123	142	1 - x, -y, 1 - z

Table 3. Hydrogen bonds in crystal packing  $(Å, \circ)$ .

## 3.3. Thermal denaturation study

DNA melting is observed when double-stranded DNA molecules are heated and separate into single strands; it occurs due to the disruption in intermolecular forces such as  $\pi$  stacking and hydrogen-bonding interactions between DNA base pairs. Here, a DNA melting experiment revealed that  $T_{\rm m}$  of CT-DNA was  $67 \pm 0.2$  and  $74 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$ C in the absence and presence of the complex, respectively. However, for the ligand alone, there is no change in DNA melting temperature. The  $\Delta T_{\rm m}$  of  $7^{\circ}$ C indicates that the binding cannot be classical intercalative. Such  $\Delta T_{\rm m}$  is generally associated with partial intercalation binding of the metal complex [21]. One would expect a much larger increase in  $T_{\rm m}$  for classical intercalators [22–24]. A small  $\Delta T_{\rm m}$  also indicates weak binding of the complex to DNA. The change in  $T_{\rm m}$  is indicative of moderate binding of the complex with DNA.

#### 3.4. Fluorescence study

In the absence of DNA, the complex emits fluorescence in Tris buffer at room temperature, with a maximum at 415 nm (the excitation and emission slit widths were 2.5 nm). Upon addition of CT-DNA, emission intensities of the complex are quenched steadily (figure 4), implying that the complex interacts with DNA with photoelectron transfer from DNA to the complex [25–28]; the hydrophobic environment inside the DNA helix reduces the accessibility of water to the complex and complex mobility is restricted at the binding site, leading to the decrease of the vibrational modes of relaxation.



Figure 3. Electronic spectra of the complex  $(10 \,\mu\text{mol L}^{-1})$  in the presence of 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 25, and  $30 \,\mu\text{L} \, 1.0 \times 10^{-3} \,\text{mol L}^{-1}$  CT-DNA. Arrow shows the absorbance changes upon increasing CT-DNA concentration. Inset shows the plots of [DNA]/ $(\varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_f)$  vs. [DNA] for interaction between DNA and the complex.



Figure 4. The fluorescence emission spectra of the complex upon increasing CT-DNA concentration at room temperature, concentration of the complex  $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>.

## 3.5. Viscosity measurements

Spectroscopic experiments provide necessary, but not sufficient clues to support a binding mode. The measurements of DNA viscosity sensitive to DNA length are regarded as the least ambiguous and the most critical tests of binding in solution in the absence of crystallographic structural data [29]. A classical intercalation mode results in lengthening the DNA helix as base pairs are separated to accommodate the binding ligand, leading to the increase of DNA viscosity. In contrast, a partial nonclassical intercalation of ligand could bend (or kink) the DNA helix and reduce its effective length [30]. Upon addition of the complex, the viscosity of rod-like CT-DNA increased significantly, as illustrated in figure 5, which suggests that the complex binds to DNA by intercalation.

## 4. Conclusions

A manganese(II) complex with symmetrical tridentate ligand (L) was synthesized and characterized by elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction.  $[MnL_2](Pic)_2 \cdot C_2H_5OH$  are linked by intermolecular hydrogen bonds and  $\pi-\pi$  interactions to form a 3-D netlike supermolecule. Sun *et al.* [31] reported intrinsic binding constants  $K_b$  of  $4.1 \times 10^4$  mol<sup>-1</sup>. DNA binding of our complex was investigated by spectroscopic techniques and viscosity measurements, with intrinsic binding constants  $K_b$  of  $1.76 \times 10^5$  mol<sup>-1</sup>. The studies of metal complexes can give some guidance to explore the possible new drugs, but further studies will be needed to understand the selectivity of complexes.



Figure 5. Effect of increasing amounts of the complexes on the relative viscosity of DNA at  $25 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C.

## Supplementary material

The X-ray crystallographic file, in CIF format, has been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, CCDC No. 625773. Copy of this information may be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ, UK (Email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

#### References

- [1] P.R. Turner, W.A. Denny. Mutant. Res., 355, 141 (1996).
- [2] Z.Z. Yan, Y. Tang, M.Y. Tan, W.S. Liu, D.Q. Wang. Acta Chim. Sinica, 65, 607 (2007).
- [3] G. Muller, J.C.G. Bunzli, K.J. Schenk, C. Piguet, G. Hopfgartner. Inorg. Chem., 40, 2642 (2001).
- [4] F. Benetollo, G. Bombieri, K.K. Fonda, A. Polo, J.R. Quagliano, L.M. Vallarino. *Inorg. Chem.*, 30, 1345 (1991).
- [5] K.E. Erkkila, D.T. Odom, J.K. Barton. Chem. Rev., 99, 2777 (1999).
- [6] C. Metcalfe, J.A. Thomas. Chem. Soc. Rev., 32, 215 (2003).
- [7] I. Haq, P. Lincoln, D. Suh, B. Norden, B.Z. Choedhry, J.B. Chaires. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 117, 4788 (1995).
- [8] C. Piguet, A.F. Williams, G. Bernardinelli, E. Moret, J.C.G. Bünzli. Chim. Acta, 75, 1697 (1992).
- [9] S. Petoud, J.C.G. Bünzli, K.J. Schenk, C. Piguet. Inorg. Chem., 36, 1345 (1997).
- [10] H. Nozary, C. Piguet, P. Tissot, G. Bernardinelli, J.C.G. Bünzli, R. Deschenaux, D. Guillon. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 120, 12274 (1998).
- [11] H. Nozary, C. Piguet, J.P. Rivera, P. Tissot, G. Bernardinelli, N. Vulliermet, J. Weber, J.C.G. Bünzli. *Inorg. Chem.*, 39, 5286 (2000).
- [12] X.H. Zou, B.H. Ye, H. Li, Q.L. Zhang, H. Chao, J.G. Liu, L.N. Ji. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem., 6, 143 (2001).
- [13] S. Satyanarayana, J.C. Dabrowiak, J.B. Chaires. Biochemistry, 32, 2573 (1993).
- [14] J. Marmur. J. Mol. Biol., 3, 208 (1961).
- [15] M.T. Caster, M. Rodriguez, A.J. Bard. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 111, 8901 (1989)
- [16] S. Satyanarayana, J.C. Dabrowiak, J.B. Chaires. Biochemistry, 32, 2573 (1993).
- [17] G.M. Sheldrick. SHELXS-97, A Program for X-ray Crystal Structure Solution, and SHELXL-97, A Program for X-ray Structure Refinement, Gottingen University, Germany (1997).
- [18] C. Janiak. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 3885 (2000).
- [19] G.A. Jeffrey, H. Maluszynska, J. Mitra. Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 7, 336 (1985).

- [20] A. Wolf, G.H. Shimer Jr, T. Meehan. Biochemistry, 26, 6392 (1987).
- [21] S. Arounaguiri, B.G. Maiya. Inorg. Chem., 35, 4267 (1996).
- [22] M. Cory, D.D. McKee, J. Kagan, D.W. Henry, J.A. Miller. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107, 2528 (1985).
- [23] J.M. Kelly, A.B. Tossi, D.J. McConnell, C. OhUigin. Nucleic Acids Res., 13, 6017 (1985).
- [24] G.A. Neyhart, N. Grover, S.R. Smith, W.A. Kalsbeck, T.A. Fairley, M. Cory, H.H. Thorp. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 115, 4423 (1993).
- [25] J.M. Kelly, D.J. McConnell, C. OhUigin, A.B. Tossi, A.K. Mesmaeker, A. Masschelein, J. Nasielski. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1821 (1987).
- [26] V.G. Vaidyanathan, B.U. Nair. J. Inorg. Biochem., 94, 121 (2003).
- [27] A. Kirsch-De Mesmaeker, G. Orellana, J.K. Barton, N.J. Turro. Photochem. Photobiol., 52, 461 (1990).
- [28] P.X. Xi, X.H. Liu, H.L. Lu, Z.Z. Zeng. Transition Met. Chem., 32, 757 (2007).
- [29] D.S. Pilch, M.J. Waring, J.S. Sun, M. Rougee, C.H. Nguyen, E. Bisagni, T. Garestier, C. Helene. J. Mol. Biol., 232, 926 (1993).
- [30] D.S. Sigman, A. Mazumder, D.M. Perrin. Chem. Rev., 93, 2295 (1993).
- [31] W. Sun, M. Jiang, Y.T. Li, Z.Y. Wu, W.B. Peng. J. Coord. Chem., 62, 2520 (2009).