

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 235 (2005) 26-34



www.elsevier.com/locate/molcata

Selective oxidations by nitrosating agents Part 2: Oxidations of alcohols and ketones over solid acid catalysts

N.C. Marziano^{a, *}, L. Ronchin^{a,*}, C. Tortato^a, S. Ronchin^b, A. Vavasori^a

^a Chemistry Department, University of Venice, Dorsoduro 2137, 30123 Venice, Italy
 ^b Department of Physics, University of Trento, Sommarive 14, 38050 Trento, Italy

Received 21 February 2005; received in revised form 3 March 2005; accepted 5 March 2005 Available online 28 April 2005

Abstract

The reactivity of a nitrosating agent (N_2O_3) on oxidations of alcohols and the methyl group of acetophenone were tested. Active electrophylic surface nitrosonium ions (NO^+) was detected on H_2SO_4/SiO_2 catalysts by Raman spectroscopy, suggesting a surface ionic mechanism of oxidation in agreement with the one proposed in acid aqueous solutions. Alcohols are selectively oxidized to ketones and aldehydes in high yield, useful for synthetic applications, at 25 °C in 1,2-dichlorethane and using commercial sulfonated styrene divinyl benzene resins (Amberlyst 15[®]) as catalysts. In addition, nitrous acid ester has been observed as intermediates according to an ionic mechanism by surface NO^+ . Under the same reaction conditions, acetophenone is selectively oxidized to benzoyl cyanide in high yield and selectivity. The comparison with oxidation carried out in aqueous solution of HNO₂, where benzoyl formic acid was obtained, suggests that the differences in the final products are likely due to the specific stabilizing effect of each solvent. Moreover, the reactivity of the intermediates isolated in aqueous systems implies that α -nitroso-acetophenone is a probable reaction intermediate also in aprotic heterogeneous systems. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Oxidations; Nitrosating agents; NO+; Solid acid catalysts

1. Introduction

In part 1, the selective oxidation of methyl and methylene groups of some enolizable ketones in aqueous acidic media has been reported [1]. For instance, benzoylformic acid from acetophenone and 1,2-cyclohexanedione from cyclohexanone are obtained in yields suitable for practical applications, employing HONO in aqueous sulfuric acid at 25 $^{\circ}$ C. The products are obtained in good yield only within selected acidity ranges, where activation of the reacting species by protonation, protonation–dehydration and ketoenol equilibria are occurring [1].

Since the early seventies, nitrogen oxides compounds (NO_x) have been studied mainly for their removal in gaseous

✤ Deceased 30 January 2005.

emission (SCR deNO_x process) rather then their oxidation properties [2]. Recently, a growing interest on nitrogen oxides as selective oxidant is due to the new process for phenol production by direct benzene oxidation [3]. In addition, the use of NO_x/O₂ mixture in catalysed gas phase nitration for environmentally benign nitro-aromatics syntheses increases the importance of these oxidants [4].

Heterogeneous gas/liquids reactions over solid catalysts give simplification in separation procedure and reduce environmental risks, compared to aqueous acid solutions. For instance, NO_x can be employed in organic solvents over solid acid catalysts instead of HONO in aqueous solutions; the latter reviewed in part 1 [1].

This paper deals with studies of non-aqueous solutions of the nitrosating species N_2O_3 in the presence of solid acid catalysts as selective oxidant for alcohols and ketones. The investigation of the surface moiety has been carried out to disclose the nature of the oxidant site in order relate the results

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 041 2348554; fax: +39 041 2348517. *E-mail address:* ronchin@unive.it (L. Ronchin).

^{1381-1169/\$ –} see front matter 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.molcata.2005.03.008

with those obtained in aqueous solutions. The knowledge of the active species and their equilibria allows choosing the best conditions to attain desired products in high yield. However, the final aim of the work is the development of a versatile, selective and safe procedure for the oxidation of a large variety of substrates.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Purified samples of SiO₂ (GRACE, 90 μ m average particle size, 364 m²/g (S_{BET}), 1.14 ml/g pore volume and 10 nm average pore diameter); TiO₂ (Fluka, 5 m²/g (S_{BET}), 0.24 ml/g pore vol.); ZrO₂ (Fluka, 5 m²/g (S_{BET}), 0.24 ml/g pore vol.); macroreticular sulfonated resin Amberlyst 15[®] (Aldrich, Amberlyst is a trade mark Rohm and Haas); H₂SO₄ 95–97% (Riedel de Haen); H₃PO₄ 85% RPE (Carlo Erba); CF₃SO₃H 99% (Acros); CH₃SO₃H 99% (Acros); *p*toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate 98% (Aldrich); NaNO₂ P.A. (Fluka); Ti(OPr)₄ 98% technical (Fluka); Zr(OPr)₄ in isopropanol 70% P.A. (Fluka); NaNO₂ P.A. (Fluka); dichloromethane analar (BDH); 1,2-dichloroethane analar (BDH); ethanol analar (BDH). The starting ketones and alcohols (Aldrich) were purified by the appropriate procedure from commercially available products.

2.2. Preparations of solid acid catalysts

SiO₂-TiO₂ and SiO₂-ZrO₂ were prepared by polycondensation of Ti(OPr)₄ and Zr(OPr)₄ with H₂O over SiO₂; materials were obtained in EtOH employing a molar ratio $M/H_2O = 1/8$ (M = Ti or Zr) in the presence of a small amount of H₂SO₄.

Acid catalysts were prepared by mixing a known amount of solid materials with a known amount of aqueous acid solutions of appropriate concentrations. The slurries were stirred for 1 h, ca. and the surnatant solutions removed by filtration. The wet samples were dried at 105 °C in a stream of N₂ and stored in a dry apparatus.

2.3. Characterizations of solid acid catalysts

Acid percentage compositions of the catalysts were determined by automatic potentiometric titration against standard solutions of NaOH and checked before use. The milli-equivalents of $H^+/g_{catalyst}$ of the catalysts obtained are: $H_2SO_4/ZrO_2 = 0.8$; $H_2SO_4/TiO_2 = 0.9$; $H_3PO_4/SiO_2 = 1.7$; H_2SO_4/SiO_2 -TiO₂ = 2.1; H_2SO_4/SiO_2 -ZrO₂ = 2.3; $H_2SO_4/SiO_2 = 8.6$. Similarly, the total ion exchange capacity in NaOH of Amberlyst 15 was measured by back titration with standard solutions of HCl and is 4.7 meq H⁺/g_{catalyst}.

The samples of H_2SO_4/SiO_2 were also characterized by additional procedures [5,6]. The surface layer of the catalyst has been characterized by XPS measurements; after pyridine

chemisorption the investigation of the N_{1s} XPS band shows a single peak at 401.4 eV, highlighting only the presence of rather strong Broensted acid sites [7].

Surface area and pore size distribution of the samples were determined by nitrogen adsorption isotherm. Samples with different acid loading show a continuous decrease of the BET area as the amount of sulfuric acid loaded on the solids increases. The observed values are between 247 and $108 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ for samples with acid loading between 3.31 and 5.07 mmol H₂SO₄/g_{catalyst}. In any case, pore size distribution is unaffected by H₂SO₄ loading and average pore diameter remains almost unchanged (9 nm, ca.). The BET surface area of Amberlyst 15[®] 15 is 37 m²/g with an average pore diameter of 21 nm.

Studies by UV–vis spectroscopy, used for testing the protonation of weak bases (B), show that chloronitro- and chlorodinitro-anilines can be progressively converted to their conjugated acid (BH⁺) by using H_2SO_4/SiO_2 increasing acid loading [5,6].

2.4. Solutions of N_2O_3 : preparation and spectroscopic measurements in gas liquid and solid phase

2.4.1. Preparation

A known amount of sodium (or potassium) nitrite was mixed with a known volume of 1,2-dichloroethane (or methylene chloride) and the resulting slurry was stirred under nitrogen flow for 1 h, ca. in order to remove the dissolved oxygen. After cooling to 0 °C, the mixture was added drop-wise to an outgassed aqueous solution of sulfuric acid at 60%, obtaining a deep blue solution of N₂O₃ in the organic phase. The organic layer was separated from the acid phase, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and 4 Å molecular sieve, then used immediately or stored under liquid nitrogen. The bleu solution is stable for some hours at 25 °C in a stopped vessel.

2.4.2. IR spectra

The solutions of N_2O_3 in different solvent (methylene chloride, chloroform and 1,2-dichloroethane) were analyzed employing a Nicolet Magna 750 FTIR in a PTFE cell (optical length 1 mm) with CaF₂ windows. Samples of the vapour in equilibrium with the blue solution of N_2O_3 in methylene chloride, chloroform and in 1,2-dichloroethane at the pressure of 25 Torr and at 25 °C were also obtained after the usual freezing–thaw cycles. The spectra were recorded by a Bruker Vector 22 FTIR, in a cell (optical length 160 mm) with KBr windows. The spectral trends of the samples in aprotic solvents and in the gas phase are shown in Figs. 1–3.

2.4.3. Raman spectra

Small amounts of the catalysts (i.e. samples of H_2SO_4/SiO_2 with 2.1 mmol $H_2SO_4/g_{catalyst}$) were added in a quartz cell to a solution of N_2O_3 in 1,2-dichloroethane at 25 °C and the acidic mixture analysed by Raman. The Raman spectra were in the standard 90° geometry using an argon-ion laser operating at 488 nm, vertically polarized and



Fig. 1. Infrared spectra of N2O3 in dichloromethane.

kept at constant power (100 mW). The spectra were recorded by analysing the scattered light with polarization parallel to the one of the exciting beam. The signal was collected by a Jobin Yvon U 1000 double monochromator, and analysed by a photon-counting system. A resolution of 4 cm^{-1} has been employed for all measurements. As already observed



Fig. 2. Infrared spectra of N₂O₃ in chloroform.



Fig. 3. Gas phase infrared spectra of the vapor over the N_2O_3 solution in dichloromethane.

[8], strong luminescence of the solid samples was the main experimental difficulty which was strongly reduced in the presence of N_2O_3 . The spectral trends of the nitrosating agent with and without catalyst are shown in Fig. 4.

2.4.4. Determination of the N_2O_3 concentration

The concentration of N₂O₃ (ca. 0.2 mol l^{-1} of N₂O₃) was estimated by the molar extinction coefficient of N₂O₃ in 1,2dichloroethane ($\varepsilon = 7.3 \, l \, mol^{-1} \, cm^{-1}$, at $\lambda = 700 \, nm$).

The unknown ε values of N₂O₃ in non-aqueous solvents were determined by extraction of the nitrosating agent with a titrated solution of sulfuric acid in the range where quantitative conversion N₂O₃ \rightarrow NO⁺ (ca. 85%) occurs. In this way, the well-known UV–vis spectra of the aqueous solution of nitrosonium ions allow the determination of the unknown value of ε [9–13].

2.5. Oxidation of ketones and alcohols

The oxidations were performed at 25 °C in a well-stirred thermostatted reactor containing weighted samples of solvent, reagents and catalysts. Aliquots of the solutions were drawn at different times and the samples, after dilution, analysed by HPLC using a Perkin-Elmer apparatus and a Lichrospher 100 (RP-18, 5 μ m) column. At the end of the reaction the mixtures were also examined by GC (Agilent 6890) and GC–MS (HP 5890–5931) using an HP-5 capillary column (300 μ m, 30 m long). It is noteworthy that evolution of gas was observed during the course of reaction for every substrate.



29



Fig. 4. Raman spectra of N_2O_3 in 1,2-dichloroethane in liquid phase and adsorbed on H_2SO_4/SiO_2 catalysts.

3. Results and discussion

In order to gain further insight into the features of the new catalytic systems, the state of the nitrosating agent in aprotic solvents was investigated as well as, in analogous solvents, the oxidation of alcohols, acetophenone and of the intermediates detected in the reactions carried out in aqueous acid solutions [1].

3.1. Spectroscopic analysis of the nitrosating agent

Thermodynamic and spectroscopic properties of nitrogen oxides have been the subject of several investigations since these compounds are commonly observed as a mixture of species involved in complex equilibria. In the vapour phase, for instance, NO, NO₂, N₂O₃, N₂O₄ were detected where the dissociations N₂O₃ \rightleftharpoons NO + NO₂ and N₂O₄ \rightleftharpoons 2NO₂ are occurring [12]. In solution, the relative stability of N₂O₃ and N₂O₄ depends on solvation phenomena [14–16]. Furthermore, the presence of nitrous acid cannot be excluded since traces of water may be present into the solvent (NO + NO₂ + H₂O \rightleftharpoons 2HONO) [17].

On the attempt to identify molecular and/or ionic species of the nitrosating agent, the main IR spectral features of the mixture in dichloromethane and in chloroform were investigated and reported in Figs. 1–3.

The trend, within the range $2250-1500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, essentially shows the presence of asymmetric N₂O₃ (NO–NO₂) by

the peak of the nitrosyl –NO stretching mode around at 1830–1845 cm⁻¹ and by the one of the nitro-NO stretching mode around at 1600–1645 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 1a) [17–28]. In the presence of O₂, spectral modifications were observed with time as well as additional peaks in the range 1725–1800 cm⁻¹, already assigned to N₂O₄ (Fig. 1b) [17,20,23,25–27]. Moreover, the extensive presence of N₂O₄ in freshly prepared solution of N₂O₃ in CHCl₃ (Fig. 2) suggests that chloroform is not a suitable solvent due to the intrinsic low stability of the oxidant. The spectral behaviour of the gas phase indicates that only NO and NO₂ are initially present (Fig. 3a) originated from the N₂O₃ decomposition (N₂O₃ \rightleftharpoons NO + NO₂), other nitrogen oxides (mainly N₂O₂, N₂O₄) are gradually formed (Fig. 3b).

Fig. 4 reports the Raman spectra of the nitrosating agent in 1,2-dichloroethane and over a solid acid catalyst. The Raman spectrum in 1,2-dichloroethane exhibits some weak bands due to a mixture of N₂O₃ and N₂O₄, observed respectively at 1850 cm⁻¹ and at 1610 cm⁻¹ for N₂O₃ [22,24] and at 1710 cm⁻¹ for N₂O₄ [21,25,26]. This spectral trend disappears by addition of the H₂SO₄/SiO₂ catalyst and the spectrum of the solid phase exhibits only a strong band, at about 2300 cm⁻¹, already assigned to nitrosoniun ion of dinitrogen trioxide in sulfuric acid by Raman spectroscopy [29]. An analogous behavior has been reported by using nitrating agents over solid acids where the conversion HNO₃ \rightarrow NO₂⁺ has been detected by the Raman analysis of the band at 1300 cm⁻¹ of undissociated nitric acid and of the band at 1400 cm⁻¹ of nitronium ion [8].

As expected, the results are consistent with an acid catalysed ionization of the molecular species. On the other hand, the ionic dissociation constants (K^i) of neutral oxygenated nitrogen compounds in aprotic solvents were found to be very low [15,16]. The observed K^i values in sulfolane are 6.3×10^{-12} for the ionic dissociation of N₂O₃ \rightleftharpoons NO⁺ + NO₂ and 7 × 10⁻⁸ for N₂O₄ \rightleftharpoons NO⁺ + NO₃. In both cases, the concentrations of the ionic species are too low to be detected.

3.2. Oxidation of alcohols

Even though, N_2O_3 has been little employed it has interesting oxidant properties. For instances, it can be conveniently used instead of the well-known procedures based on chromium and selenium compounds [30,31].

The usual method of alcohol oxidation to ketone and aldehyde with chromium(VI) compounds gives acids as coproducts and rarely the yield is higher than 60% [30,31]. In addition, the wastes disposal of chromium is not a problem of minor importance for the current environmental requirements.

Table 1 reports the results obtained in the oxidation of benzyl alcohols to benzaldehyde and of cyclohexanol to cyclohexanone with N_2O_3 .

 N_2O_3 is able to oxidize benzyl alcohol giving conversion to benzaldehyde without catalyst; however, poor yield and

Table 1 Oxidation of alcohols by N_2O_3 over solid acid catalysts at 25 $^\circ C$

Catalysts	$Meq \ H^+/g \ _{catalyst}$	Ratio ^{a,b} alcohol/N ₂	O ₃ React time (ion Conversion h)	n (%) Yield (%) PhCHO	Yield (%) PhCH ₂ ONO ^c	Yield (%) PhCOOH
(A) Benzyl alcohol							
-	_	1:4	24	35	24	8	3
H_3PO_4/SiO_2	1.7	1:4	24	95	85	10	_
Amberlyst 15 [®]	4.7	1:4	0.5	97	72	25	_
Amberlyst 15®	4.7	1:4	1	~ 99	~ 99	-	_
Amberlyst 15 [®]	4.7	1:4	24	~99	~99	_	-
H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂	8.6	1:1	1	~ 99	~ 99	_	_
H_2SO_4/SiO_2	8.6	1:1	24	~99	57	-	42
Catalysts	$Meq \; H^+/g_{catalyst}$	Ratio ^a alcohol/N ₂ O ₃	Reaction time (h)	Conversion (%)	Yield (%) cyclohexanone	Yield (%) cyclohexylnitrite	Yield (%) o.p. ^d
(B) Cyclohexyl alco	hol						
_	-	1:4	2	45	1	44	_
-	_	1:4	24	42	1	40	1
H ₃ PO ₄ /SiO ₂	1.7	1:1	24	38	27	_	11
Amberlyst 15 [®]	4.7	1:1	1	>99	29	58	7
Amberlyst 15 [®]	4.7	1:1	24	>99	86	10	4
Amberlyst 15®	4.7	1:4	1	>99	52	_	48
Amberlyst 15®	4.7	1:4	24	>99	_	-	>99
H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂	8.6	1:1	1/2	62	53	_	9
H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂	8.6	1:4	2	98	88	_	10
H_2SO_4/SiO_2	8.6	1:4	24	~99	83	-	16

^a Solvent = 1,2-dichloroethane; [alcohol] and $[N_2O_3] = 0.2 \text{ mol } 1^-$; catalyst = 0.5 g; reaction volume = 10 ml.

^b *n*-Butanol; iso-butanol,1-octanol over Amberlyst 15[®], give mixtures of aldehydes, ketones, acids.

^c Benzylnitrite.

^d o.p. = products of cyclohexanone oxidation.

low selectivity are achieved (Table 1A). The consecutive reaction of benzaldehyde to benzoic acid is almost completely suppressed in the presence of Amberlyst 15 as acid catalyst. In 1 h, for instance, practically quantitative yield is achieved and after 24 h no further reactions occur (Table 1A). On the contrary, in the presence of H_2SO_4/SiO_2 the reaction proceeds to benzoic acid.

Cyclohexanol reacts with N_2O_3 giving merely cyclohexyl nitrite without catalyst. In the presence of solid acid catalysts, cyclohexanone is formed together with other products

(Table 1B). In this case, the selectivity to cyclic ketone is quite low (at most 87%) since condensation reactions catalysed by the solid acid may occur. This phenomenon is more marked with linear aliphatic alcohols. For instance, 1-octanol at room temperature gives a complex mixture of products due to the fast condensation and isomerization reactions.

From a mechanistic point of view, the isolation of the nitrous ester as intermediate and the formation of the electrophylic species NO⁺ on the catalyst surface are the key steps for this reaction. Such evidences, together with the evolu-



Scheme 1.

Table 2 Acid catalysed oxidations of alcohols by N_2O_3 in 1,2-dichloroethane at 25 $^\circ C$

Acid ^{a,b}	Conversion (%)	Yield (%) PhCHO	Yield (%) PhCOOH
(A) Benzyl alcohol			
p-CH ₃ PhSO ₃ H	~ 99	~99	_
CF ₃ SO ₃ H	~ 99	58	41
CH ₃ SO ₃ H	~ 99	95	2
_	35	24	-
Acid ^a	Conversion (%)	Yield (%) cyclohexanone	Yield (%) other products ^b
(B) Cyclohexyl alcohol			
p-CH ₃ PhSO ₃ H	58	15	43
CF ₃ SO ₃ H	75	64	11
CH ₃ SO ₃ H	48	9	39
-	42	1	41 ^c

^a Solvent = 1,2-dichloroethane; $[ArCOCH_3] = [N_2O_3] = [acid] 0.2 mol l^{-1}$; reaction time = 20 h; reaction volume = 10 ml.

^b Other products.

^c 40% of nitrous esther has been detected.

tion of gas (see Section 2) are in agreement with the mechanism reported in Scheme 1. In addition, a similar explanation is given for the oxidation of alcohols with Cr(VI) where a chromic acid ester is involved [31].

Oxidations of the same alcohols in 1,2-dichloroethane in the presence of homogeneous acid catalysts are reported in Table 2. The same products of the heterogeneously catalysed reactions have been obtained, but the selectivity to ketones in homogeneous systems is lower than in heterogeneous ones (compare Tables 1 and 2). Such a phenomenon may be ascribed to the different reactivity of free molecules compared to the adsorbed ones. It is likely that sterical hindering on the catalyst surface leads to an increase of the selectivity.

3.3. Oxidation of ketones

In part 1 of the present investigation we have shown that $PhCOCH_3$ is selectively oxidized in aqueous sulfuric acid solution of HNO_2 to PhCOCOOH (yield > 95%) [1,30]. In such a system the oxidation of the methyl group

Table 3 Oxidation of acetophenone by N_2O_3 over solid acid catalysts at 25 $^\circ$ C

is related to the protonation of the carbonyl group as well as to the equilibrium of the electrophylic species (NO^+) .

The results obtained with N₂O₃ in 1,2-dichloroethane over solid acid catalysts are reported in Table 3. It is noteworthy that the oxidation product is PhCOCN (benzoyl nitrile) rather than PhCOCOOH. The conversion and the selectivity to nitrile are strictly related to the PhCOCH₃/N₂O₃ ratio and to the nature of the catalyst. For instance, yield of PhCOCN (95%) useful for synthetic applications is obtained with a ratio PhCOCH₃/N₂O₃ = 1/4 and over sulfonated resins (Amberlyst 15[®]) as catalyst.

In order to gain new insights on the mechanism of the catalyzed heterogeneous reactions in non-aqueous solvent, the reactivity of the intermediates, previously isolated in aqueous solutions, are investigated. The results reported in Table 4 shows that PhCOCH₂NO (α -nitroso-acetophenone) is not stable in the presence of solid acid catalysts. For instance, with Amberlyst 15[®] as catalyst the reaction proceeds slightly giving 5% of PhCOCN, while H₂SO₄/SiO₂ promotes the formation of benzoic acid (yield 85%) with whole conversion.

Catalysts ^a	Meq $H^+/g_{catalyst}$	Ratio ArCOCH ₃ /N ₂ O ₃	Conversion (%)	Yield (%) PhCOCN	Yield (%) PhCOOH	Yield (%) ^b other products
_	_	1:1	8	8	_	_
HPMo/SiO2 ^c	_	1:1	31	2	24	5
H_2SO_4/ZrO_2	0.8	1:1	5	1	2	2
H ₂ SO ₄ /TiO ₂	0.9	1:1	19	16	2	1
H ₃ PO ₄ /SiO ₂	1.7	1:1	47	34	8	5
H ₃ PO ₄ /SiO ₂	1.7	1:4	91	63	10	18
Amberlyst 15	4.7	1:1	29	11	2	16
Amberlyst 15	4.7	1:4	~ 99	95	2	2
H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂	8.6	1:1	68	55	10	3
H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂	8.6	1:4	~99	81	18	1

^a Catalyst = 0.6 g; $[ArCOCH_3] = 0.05 - 0.2 mol 1^{-1}; [N_2O_3] = 0.2 mol 1^{-}; solvent = 1,2-dichloroethane; reaction volume = 10 ml; reaction time = 24 h.$

^b Products identified in part.

^c HPMo = phosphomolybdic acid 1%.

Table 4

Reactivit	v of α -nitroso-acet	ophenone.	phenylglyos	sal and benzo	vlformic acid	over solid a	cid catalysts wit	hout and with nitrosati	ing agents at 25 °C
					2				0.0.

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-						
α -NO-acetophenone - Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 5 5 - 1 ^d α -NO-acetophenone - Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 5 5 - 1 ^d α -NO-acetophenone - H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 1 70 16 52 2 ^d α -NO-acetophenone - H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 ~99 13 84 2 ^d α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ - 1 ~99 6 3 90 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 98 15 - 83 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 ~99 65 - 34 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 ~99 30 2 67 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 1 ~99 38 26 35 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 ~99 38 26 35 ^e Phenylglyossal - <td< th=""><th>Compounds^{a,b}</th><th>N₂O₃ Catal</th><th>alysts^c Reaction time (</th><th>h) Conversion (%)</th><th>Yield (%) PhCOCN</th><th>Yield (%) PhCOOH</th><th>Yield (%)^{d,e,f} other products</th></td<>	Compounds ^{a,b}	N ₂ O ₃ Catal	alysts ^c Reaction time (h) Conversion (%)	Yield (%) PhCOCN	Yield (%) PhCOOH	Yield (%) ^{d,e,f} other products
α -NO-acetophenone-Amberlyst 15 [®] 2455-1 ^d α -NO-acetophenone-H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 17016522 ^d α -NO-acetophenone-H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24~9913842 ^d α -NO-acetophenoneN ₂ O ₃ -1~996390 ^e α -NO-acetophenoneN ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 19815-83 ^e α -NO-acetophenoneN ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 24~9965-34 ^e α -NO-acetophenoneN ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 1~9930267 ^e α -NO-acetophenoneN ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24~99382635 ^e α -NO-acetophenoneN ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24~99382635 ^e α -NO-acetophenoneN ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24~99382635 ^e α -NO-acetophenoneN ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24~99382635 ^e Phenylglyossal-Amberlyst 15 [®] 115-141 ^d Phenylglyossal-H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 2445-414 ^d PhenylglyossalN ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 165-5312 ^f PhenylglyossalN ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 6~99-~99-PhenylglyossalN ₂ O ₃ -11 <t< td=""><td>α-NO-acetophenone</td><td>– Amb</td><td>berlyst 15[®] 1</td><td>5</td><td>5</td><td>_</td><td>1^d</td></t<>	α-NO-acetophenone	– Amb	berlyst 15 [®] 1	5	5	_	1 ^d
α -NO-acetophenone - H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 1 70 16 52 2 ^d α -NO-acetophenone - H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 ~99 13 84 2 ^d α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ - 1 ~99 6 3 90 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 98 15 - 83 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 ~99 65 - 34 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 ~99 30 2 67 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 ~99 38 26 35 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 ~99 38 26 35 ^e Phenylglyossal - Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 15 - 14 1 ^d Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 65 - 53 12 ^f Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃	α-NO-acetophenone	– Amb	berlyst 15 [®] 24	5	5	_	1 ^d
α -NO-acetophenone - H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 ~ 99 13 84 2^d α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ - 1 ~ 99 6 3 90^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 98 15 - 83^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 ~ 99 65 - 34^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 1 ~ 99 30 2 67^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 ~ 99 38 26 35^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 ~ 99 38 26 35^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 45 - 14 1 ^d Phenylglyossal - Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 15 - 411 4 ^d Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 65 - 53 12 ^f Phenylgly	α-NO-acetophenone	- H ₂ SC	O_4/SiO_2 1	70	16	52	2 ^d
α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ - 1 ~ 99 6 3 90° α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 98 15 - 83° α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 ~ 99 65 - 34° α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 1 ~ 99 30 2 67° α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 ~ 99 38 26 35° Phenylglyossal - Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 15 - 14 1 ^d Phenylglyossal - Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 455 - 14 31 ^d Phenylglyossal - Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 65 - 53 12 ^f Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 6 ~ 99 - ~ 99 - Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 6 ~ 99 - ~ 99 - Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ -	α-NO-acetophenone	– H ₂ SC	O_4/SiO_2 24	~ 99	13	84	2^d
α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 98 15 - 83 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 \sim 99 65 - 34 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 1 \sim 99 30 2 67 ^e α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 \sim 99 38 26 35 ^e Phenylglyossal - Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 15 - 14 1 ^d Phenylglyossal - Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 455 - 144 31 ^d Phenylglyossal - H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 455 - 411 4 ^d Phenylglyossal - H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 45 - 411 4 ^d Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 65 - 53 12 ^f Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ - 1 1 - 1 - Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ -	α-NO-acetophenone	N ₂ O ₃ –	1	~ 99	6	3	90 ^e
α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 ~99 65 - 34^{e} α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 1 ~99 30 2 67^{e} α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 ~99 38 26 35^{e} Phenylglyossal - Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 15 - 14 1 ^d Phenylglyossal - Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 45 - 14 31 ^d Phenylglyossal - H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 45 - 41 4 ^d Phenylglyossal - H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 45 - 411 4 ^d Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 65 - 53 12 ^f Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 6 ~99 - ~99 - Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ - 1 1 - 1 - Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ - 6	α-NO-acetophenone	N ₂ O ₃ Amb	berlyst 15 [®] 1	98	15	_	83 ^e
α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 1 ~ 99 30 2 67^{e} α -NO-acetophenone N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 ~ 99 38 26 35^{e} Phenylglyossal - Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 15 - 14 1 ^d Phenylglyossal - Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 45 - 14 31 ^d Phenylglyossal - H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 45 - 41 4 ^d Phenylglyossal - H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 45 - 411 4 ^d Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 1 65 - 53 12 ^f Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ Amberlyst 15 [®] 6 ~ 99 - ~ 99 - Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ - 1 1 - 1 - Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ - 6 15 - 15 - Phenylglyossal N ₂ O ₃ - 6 15	α-NO-acetophenone	N ₂ O ₃ Amb	berlyst 15 [®] 24	~ 99	65	_	34 ^e
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	α-NO-acetophenone	N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO	O_4/SiO_2 1	~ 99	30	2	67 ^e
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	α-NO-acetophenone	N ₂ O ₃ H ₂ SO	O_4/SiO_2 24	~ 99	38	26	35 ^e
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Phenylglyossal	– Amb	berlyst 15 [®] 1	15	_	14	1 ^d
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Phenylglyossal	– Amb	berlyst 15 [®] 24	45	_	14	31 ^d
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Phenylglyossal	- H ₂ SC	O_4/SiO_2 24	45	_	41	4 ^d
Phenylglyossal N_2O_3 Amberlyst $15^{\ensuremath{\circledast}}$ 6 ~ 99 - ~ 99 -Phenylglyossal N_2O_3 -11-1-Phenylglyossal N_2O_3 -615-15-Benzovlformic acid-Amberlyst $15^{\ensuremath{\circledast}}$ 24	Phenylglyossal	N ₂ O ₃ Amb	berlyst 15 [®] 1	65	_	53	12 ^f
Phenylglyossal N_2O_3 -11-1-Phenylglyossal N_2O_3 -615-15-Benzovlformic acid-Amberlyst $15^{\mbox{\tiny B}}$ 24	Phenylglyossal	N ₂ O ₃ Amb	berlyst 15 [®] 6	~ 99	_	~ 99	_
Phenylglyossal N_2O_3 -615-15-Benzovlformic acid-Amberlyst $15^{\mbox{\tiny B}}$ 24	Phenylglyossal	N ₂ O ₃ –	1	1	_	1	_
Benzovlformic acid – Amberlyst 15 [®] 24 – – – –	Phenylglyossal	N ₂ O ₃ –	6	15	_	15	_
	Benzoylformic acid	– Amb	berlyst 15 [®] 24	_	_	_	_
Benzoylformic acid $-$ H ₂ SO ₄ /SiO ₂ 24 1 $-$ 1 $-$	Benzoylformic acid	– H ₂ SC	O_4/SiO_2 24	1	_	1	_
Benzoylformic acid N_2O_3 Amberlyst 15 [®] 2 ~99 - ~99 -	Benzoylformic acid	N ₂ O ₃ Amb	berlyst 15 [®] 2	~ 99	_	~ 99	_
Benzoylformic acid N2O3 - 2 ~99 - ~99 -	Benzoylformic acid	N ₂ O ₃ –	2	~99	_	~99	-

^a Run without N_2O_3 : catalysts = 0.6 g; [substrates] = 0.05 mol l^{-1} ; solvent = 1,2-dichloroethane; reaction volume = 10 ml.

^b Run with N₂O₃: catalysts = 0.6 g; [compounds] = 0.05 mol 1⁻¹; [N₂O₃] = 0.2 mol 1⁻¹; solvent = 1,2-dichloroethane; reaction volume = 10 ml.

^c Amberlyst $15^{\circledast+} = 4.7 \text{ meq } H^+/g_{catalyst}$; $H_2SO_4/SiO_2 = 8.6 \text{ meq } H^+/g_{catalyst}$.

^d Unknown products.

 $^{e}\,$ $\alpha\text{-Dinitroso-}$ and $\alpha\text{-trinitroso-;}$ plus unknown products.

^f Benzoylformic acid as coproduct.

In the presence of N_2O_3 , PhCOCH₂NO reacts completely even in the absence of catalyst. The selectivity to PhCOCN is related to the catalyst nature, while Amberlyst $15^{\textcircled{B}}$ leads to 65% of PhCOCN only, H₂SO₄/SiO₂ gives a mixture of PhCOOH and PhCOCN.

PhCOCHO (phenylglyoxal) and PhCOCOOH react readily with N_2O_3 to give quantitatively PhCOOH with or without catalysts, no traces of PhCOCN being observed.

Based on the previous evidences it is likely that the reaction follows Scheme 2.

The mechanism on the catalyst surface is analogous to the one proposed in aqueous solutions, the difference on the final product is essentially due to the different stabilizing effect of the solvent on the products. The steps implicated in the formation of benzoic acid are not clear, and the involvement of PhCOCHO and PhCOCOOH together with other complex reaction pathway cannot be ruled out.

Homogeneous acid catalysed oxidation of acetophenone by N_2O_3 in 1,2-dicholroethane is reported in Table 5. It is noteworthy that the catalytic activity of each acid in the acetophenone oxidation as well as the selectivity to benzoylnitrile is related to their protonating ability (CF₃SO₃H>*p*-CH₃ArSO₃H>CH₃SO₃H) [32]. Furthermore, in 1,2-dichloroethane benzoylformic acid and phenylglyoxal are quantitatively converted to benzoic acid. Such evidence suggests that the different reactivity between



Scheme 2.

Table 5 Acid catalysed oxidations of ketones by N_2O_3 in 1,2-dichloroethane at 25°

Compounds ^a	Catalysts	Conversion (%)	Yield (%) PhCOCN	Yield (%) PhCOOH
Acetophenone	CF ₃ SO ₃ H	87	86	1
Acetophenone	CH ₃ SO ₃ H	36	26	9
Acetophenone	p-CH ₃ ArSO ₃ H	58	55	2
α-NO-acetophenone	CF ₃ SO ₃ H	>99	65	31
α-NO-acetophenone	CH ₃ SO ₃ H	>99	66	30
α-NO-acetophenone	<i>p</i> -CH ₃ ArSO ₃ H	>99	65	31
ArCOCN	CF ₃ SO ₃ H	5	_	_
ArCOCN	CH ₃ SO ₃ H	_	_	_
ArCOCN	p-CH ₃ ArSO ₃ H	-	_	-
ArCOCHO	CF ₃ SO ₃ H	>99	_	>99
ArCOCHO	CH ₃ SO ₃ H	>99	_	>99
ArCOCHO	p-CH ₃ ArSO ₃ H	>99	_	>99
ArCOCOOH	CF ₃ SO ₃ H	>99	_	>99
ArCOCOOH	CH ₃ SO ₃ H	>99	-	>99
ArCOCOOH	p-CH ₃ ArSO ₃ H	>99	_	>99

^a [compounds] = $0.05 \text{ mol } l^{-1}$; $[N_2O_3] = 0.2 \text{ mol } l^{-1}$; $[AH] = 0.01 \text{ mol } l^{-1}$; solvent = 1,2- dichloroethane; reaction volume = 10 ml; reaction time = 20 h.

aqueous and non-aqueous solvent is mainly due to the stabilization of the products in the reaction environment and to the interaction with different catalysts.

We are also indebted to Professor Paolo Marangoni for the helpful suggestions and discussions.

4. Conclusions

Heterogeneously acid catalysed alcohols and ketones oxidation with N_2O_3 in non-aqueous solvent appear to be a practical and safe procedure applicable to large variety of substrates. The method allows a simple and safe replacement of the classical process with Cr_2O_3 and with SeO_2 , avoiding the co-production of highly toxic wastes. Moreover, gaseous nature of the oxidant and solid catalysts allow easy separation procedures.

It is noteworthy that the one step formation of bezoyl nitrile from acetophenone in high yield is important from a synthetic point of view, since nitriles are versatile intermediates in many processes. Differently of what has been observed in part 1 benzoyl formic acid is not a reaction product due to the inherent higher stability of the nitrile, in non-aqueous media, in comparison with the corresponding acid.

The spectroscopic investigations on the adsorbed species give new insight on the nature of the reaction mechanism. Evidence of ionic NO⁺ species on catalyst surface suggests the key role played by the nitrosonium ion as electrophile.

In conclusion, the attractive oxidation properties of N_2O_3 will be object of further investigations over various substrates and under different experimental conditions.

Acknowledgements

Financial support by the Interuniversity Consortium Chemistry for the Environment (INCA) is gratefully acknowledged. We want thank Sandro Baldan for IR spectra.

References

- N.C. Marziano, L. Ronchin, C. Tortato, A. Zingales, L. Scantamburlo, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. Part 1.
- [2] M. Najbar, J. Haber, Introduction to air pollution abatement symposium I Innsbruck, 31 August 2003, Catal. Today 90 (2004) 1.
- [3] A.S. Kharitonov, I. Gennadii, I. Panov, I.G. Kazimira, V.N. Romanikov, G.A. Sheveleva, L.A. Vostrikova, I. Sobolev, U.S. Patent 5,110,995 to Institute of Catalysis Novosibirsk.
- [4] L.V. Malysheva, E.A. Pankshtis, K.G. Ione, Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 37 (1995) 179.
- [5] N.C. Marziano, C. Tortato, L. Ronchin, F. Martini, C. Bianchi, Catal. Lett. 58 (1999) 81.
- [6] N.C. Marziano, L. Ronchin, C. Tortato, A. Zingales, A.A. Sheikh-Osman, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 174 (2001) 265.
- [7] R.B. Borade, A. Adnot, S. Kaliaguine, J. Catal. 126 (1990) 6.
- [8] N.C. Marziano, L. Ronchin, S. Ronchin, M. Ferrari, Catal. Commun. 1 (2000) 25.
- [9] K. Singer, P.A. Vamplew, J. Chem. Soc. (1956) 3971.
- [10] N.S. Bayliss, D.W. Watts, Aust. J. Chem. 9 (1956) 319.
- [11] F. Seel, R. Winkler, Z. Phys. Chem. N.F. 25 (1960) 217.
- [12] N.S. Bayliss, R. Dingle, D.W. Watts, R.J. Wilkie, Aust. J. Chem. 16 (1963) 933.
- [13] K.H. Becker, J. Kleffmann, R. Kunrtenbach, P. Wiesen, J. Phys. Chem. 100 (1996) 14984.
- [14] J. Mason, J. Chem. Soc. 1288 (1959).
- [15] F.H. Verhoek, F. Daniels, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 53 (1931) 1250.
- [16] A. Boughriet, A. Coumare, J.C. Fischer, M. Wartel, J. Electroanal. Chem. 200 (1986) 217.
- [17] A. Boughriet, M. Wartel, J. Electroanal. Chem. 251 (1988) 127.
- [18] M. Mochida, B.J. Finlayson-Pitts, J. Phys. Chem. A 104 (2000) 8038.
- [19] C.H. Bibart, G.E. Ewing, J. Chem. Phys. 61 (1974) 1293.
- [20] L. D'Or, P. Tarte, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège 22 (1953) 276.
- [21] R.G. Snyder, I.C. Hisatsune, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 1 (1957) 139.
- [22] I.C. Hisatsune, K.H. Rhee, Advances in molecular spectroscopy, in: A. Mangini (Ed.), Proceeding of the Fourth International Meeting on Molecular Spectroscopy, vol. 3, Pergamon Press, London, 1959, p. 989.

- [23] I.C. Hisatsune, J.P. Devlin, Spectrochim. Acta 16 (1960) 401.
- [24] I.C. Hisatsune, J.P. Devlin, Y. Wada, J. Chem. Phys. 33 (1960) 714.
- [25] E.M. Nour, L.H. Chen, J. Lane, J. Phys. Chem 87 (1983) 1113.
- [26] I.C. Hisatsune, Advances in molecular spectroscopy, in: A. Mangini (Ed.), Proceeding of the Fourth International Meeting on Molecular Spectroscopy, vol. 3, Pergamon Press, London, 1959, p. 982.
- [27] G.M. Begun, W.H. Fletcher, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 4 (1960) 388.
- [28] C.H. Bibart, G.E. Ewing, J. Chem. Phys. 61 (1974) 1284.
- [29] D.J. Millen, J. Chem. Soc. (1950) 2600.
- [30] A.H. Haines, Methods for the Oxidation in Organic Compounds, Academic Press, London, 1985 (Chapter 2).
- [31] J. March, Advanced Organic Chemistry, Reaction, Mechanisms and Structure, third ed., John Wiley & Sons, NY, 1985, p. 1057.
- [32] N.C. Marziano, A. Tomasin, C. Tortato, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 (1991) 1575.