# Noncompetitive NMDA Antagonists: A Novel Synthesis of 1-Phenyltetrahydro-3-benzazepines<sup>☆</sup>

Bernhard Wünsch\*<sup>a)</sup>, Sven Nerdinger<sup>b)</sup>, Gerd Bauschke<sup>b)</sup>, and Georg Höfner<sup>b)</sup>

<sup>a)</sup> Pharmazeutisches Institut der Universität Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Straße 9, D-79104 Freiburg

<sup>b)</sup> Institut für Pharmazie und Lebensmittelchemie der Universität München, Sophienstr. 10, D-80333 München

# Key Words: NMDA antagonists; 2-(2-bromophenyl)acetaldehyde acetals; 1-aryltetrahydro-3-benzazepines

## Summary

The key step in the synthesis of the pharmacologically interesting 1-phenyltetrahydro-3-benzazepine skeleton is the Michael addition of (2-lithiophenyl)acetaldehyde acetals, which are generated in situ upon treatment of the bromo acetals **5a,b** with *n*-butyl-lithium, to  $\beta$ -nitrostyrene (6). The reductive ring closure of the nitro acetals **7a,b** succeeded with zinc dust and hydrochloric acid to give the 3-benzazepines **11a,b** in good yields. The unsubstituted 3-benzazepine **11a** showed a considerable affinity for the phencyclidine binding site of the NMDA receptor ( $K_i = 6.41 \ \mu$ M), whereas donor substituents in the aryl moiety (**11b,c**) reduce the affinity for the NMDA receptor.

# Introduction

The ionotropic NMDA (*N*-methyl-*D*-aspartate) receptors are a widespread group of excitatory amino acid receptors within the central nervous system. They are involved in a number of physiological functions (e.g. learning, memory) and may even play a key role in synaptic plasticity and neuronal development. However, excessive release of glutamate resulting in overstimulation of NMDA receptors may be part of some acute and chronic neurodegenerative disorders, including epilepsy, stroke, morbus Parkinson, and morbus Alzheimer <sup>[1,2]</sup>. Therefore, compounds that block the enhanced influx of Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions through the NMDA receptor associated ion channel are of considerable therapeutic interest.

The activity of the NMDA receptor associated ion channel may be controlled by several ligands which interact with different modulatory sites. The phencyclidine binding site, one of these modulatory sites, which is located within the cation channel, binds with high affinity the non-competitive tetracyclic NMDA antagonists 1 (MK 801) and 3<sup>[3,4]</sup>. The 1-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline 2, which is derived from MK 801 (1) by cleavage of the C<sub>9a</sub>/C<sub>10</sub> bond showed considerable affinity for the phencyclidine binding site (IC<sub>50</sub> of the racemate = 1.9  $\mu$ M) as well<sup>[5]</sup>. However, the NMDA receptor affinity of the homologous 1-phenyl-3-benzazepines **4**, derived from **3** analogously by dissecting the C<sub>9a</sub>/C<sub>10</sub> bond, has not been investigated.



Scheme 1

In this communication we report on a novel entry to 1-aryl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1*H*-3-benzazepines and their affinities for the phencyclidine binding site of the NMDA receptor. In the literature several procedures for the synthesis of 2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1*H*-3-benzazepines are already described <sup>[6]</sup>. The standard procedure is an acid-catalyzed intramolecular Friedel Crafts alkylation of 1-phenyl-2-(2-phenylethylamino)-ethan-1-ol derivatives which are obtained by the reaction of 2-phenylethan-1-amines with phenyloxiranes <sup>[6c,d]</sup>. This widely used cyclization reaction is facilitated by electron releasing substituents and retarded by electron withdrawing groups in the phenyl moiety.

# **Results and Discussion**

### Chemistry

Our approach for the synthesis of tetrahydro-3-benzazepines should be independent of the substitution pattern of the phenyl ring, because the key step should be a Michael addition of (2-lithiophenyl)acetaldehyde acetals to nitroalkenes.

The readily available (2-bromophenyl)acetaldehyde acetals **5a,b** were used as starting materials <sup>[7,8]</sup>. Thus, treatment of the bromo acetal **5a** with *n*-butyllithium at -78 °C afforded the corresponding aryllithium intermediate which was trapped with  $\beta$ -nitrostyrene (6) providing the nitro acetal **7a** in 42% yield.





Hydrogenation of the nitro acetal 7a furnished a phenylethanamine which without purification was acylated with benzyl chloroformate to give the carbamate 8. Heating of the carbamate 8 with p-toluenesulfonic acid in methanol provided the 1-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-3-benzazepine 9 (28%) yield) and the N/O-acetal 10 (14% yield of a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers). Treatment of the 3-benzazepine 9 with H<sub>2</sub> and Pd/C as catalyst led to hydrogenation of the double bond and hydrogenolytic cleavage of the benzyloxycarbonyl protective group to yield the known 1-phenyltetrahydro-1H-3benzazepine 11a<sup>[6c,d]</sup>. The reaction conditions for this three-step-conversion of the nitro acetal 7a to the 3-benzazepine 11a were not optimized, because heating of 7a with an excess of zinc dust in aqueous hydrochloric acid directly gave the 3-benzazepine 11a in 72% yield. Thus, the one-stepsynthesis increased the yield of **11a** dramatically.

Starting with the dialkoxy substituted bromo acetal  $\mathbf{5b}^{[8]}$  the preparation of the methoxy benzyloxy substituted 3-benzazepine **11b** succeeded in the same manner as **11a**. In contrast to **5a** the bromine/lithium exchange at **5b** and the subsequent addition to  $\beta$ -nitrostyrene had to be performed at -100 °C (yield of **7b** 48%). The roundabout sequence with amine, carbamate and dihydro-3-benzazepine intermediates was not performed with **7b**, since the tetrahydro-3-benzazepine **11b** could be obtained in 86% yield after treatment of the nitro acetal **7b** with zinc dust and hydrochloric acid. Finally, the benzyl protective group of **11b** was cleaved by hydro-genolysis to give the 3-benzazepin-7-ol **11c**.

# Pharmacology

The affinity of the 1-phenyltetrahydro-3-benzazepines **11a–c** for the phencyclidine binding site of the NMDA receptor was investigated by competition experiments with the radioligand <sup>3</sup>H-(+)-MK801 <sup>[1,9]</sup>. Thereby,  $K_i$  values of 6.41  $\mu$ M, 17.9  $\mu$ M, and 61.2  $\mu$ M were found for the 3-benzazepines **11a**, **11b**, and **11c**, respectively. In comparison to the 1-phenyltetrahydroisoquinoline **2** (IC<sub>50</sub> of the racemate =  $1.9 \,\mu$ M)<sup>[5]</sup> the affinity of the homologous 1-phenyltetrahydro-3-benzazepine **11a** is somewhat lower ( $K_i = 6.41 \,\mu$ M). The introduction of two alkoxy substituents in the aryl moiety (**11b**,  $K_i = 17.9 \,\mu$ M) reduces the affinity for the phencyclidine binding site of the NMDA affinity is observed with the phenolic 3-benzazepine **11c** ( $K_i = 61.2 \,\mu$ M).

### Acknowledgement

We thank Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. F. Eiden and Prof. Dr. K.Th. Wanner for their generous support. Thanks are also due to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support and to the Degussa AG for donation of chemicals.

### Experimental

### Chemistry

General: Unless noted otherwise, moisture and air sensitive reactions were conducted under dry nitrogen.– Anhydrous solvents were distilled and stored under nitrogen atmosphere (sodium benzophenone ketyl for THF, P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub> for CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>).– Column chromatography (cc): Silica gel 60, 0.063–0.200 mm (Merck).– Flash chromatography (fc):<sup>1101</sup> Silica gel 60, 0.040–0.063 mm (Merck).– Melting points: Apparatus Dr. Tottoli (Büchi), uncorrected.– MS: Mass spectrometer 5989A (Hewlett Packard); CI = chemical ionization.– IR: Spectrophotometer 1600 FT-IR and 2000 FT-IR (Perkin-Elmer).– <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra: GSX FT NMR spectrometer 400 MHz (Jeol), tetramethylsilane as internal standard. Analyses were correct to within ±0.4% of the calculated values.

#### (±)-2-[2-(2-Nitro-1-phenylethyl)phenyl]acetaldehyde Dimethyl Acetal (7a)

n-Butyllithium (1.6 M in n-hexane, 1.42 mL, 2.29 mmol) was added dropwise at -78 °C to a solution of 5a (0.50 g, 2.04 mmol) in THF (20 mL). After 15 min at -78 °C a solution of β-nitrostyrene (6) (0.29 g, 1.95 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for 4 h at -78 °C. The hydrolysis was performed with a saturated solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (15 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL), the combined organic layers were dried (Na2SO4), concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by fc (diameter of the column 3 cm, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 85:15,  $R_f = 0.51$ ). Orange oil, yield 0.27 g (42%).- IR (film): v = 2833 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1553 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1376 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NO<sub>2</sub>). - <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 2.90 [dd, J = 14.1/4.9 Hz, 1 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.04 [dd, J = 14.1/6.0 Hz, 1 H, Ar-CH2-CH(OCH3)2], 3.28 [s, 3 H, Ar-CH2-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.31 [s, 3 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 4.38 ["t", J = 5.4 Hz, 1 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 4.93 (dd, J = 13.3/8.1 Hz, 1 H, Ar<sub>2</sub>CH-CH<sub>2</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub>), 4.98 (dd, J = 13.3/8.1 Hz, 1 H, Ar<sub>2</sub>CH-CH<sub>2</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub>), 5.36 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H, Ar<sub>2</sub>CH-CH<sub>2</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub>), 7.21-7.33 (m, 9 H, aromat.).- MS (70 eV): m/z = 284  $(M^{\bullet+} - CH_3O^{\bullet}) - C_{18}H_{21}NO_4 (315.4).$ 

#### (±)-2-[4-Benzyloxy-5-methoxy-2-(2-nitro-1-phenylethyl)phenyl]acetaldehyde Dimethyl Acetal (7b)

As described for **7a**, *n*-butyllithium (1.6 M in *n*-hexane, 5.50 mL, 8.80 mmol) was added dropwise at -100 °C to a solution of **5b** (3.04 g, 8.00 mmol) in THF (50 mL). After 15 min at -100 °C a solution of  $\beta$ -nitrostyrene (**6**) (1.16 g, 7.80 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 4 h at -100 °C. Work-up: see **7a**. FC with petroleum ether/ethyl

acetate, 80:20,  $R_f = 0.45$ . Yellow oil, yield 1.74 g (48.1%).– IR (film): v = 2834 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1553 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1376 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NO<sub>2</sub>).– <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 2.83 [dd, J = 14.5/5.4 Hz, 1 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 2.94 [dd, J = 14.5/5.4 Hz, 1 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.28 [s, 3 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.30 [s, 3 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.87 (s, 3 H, ArOCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.32 [t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.87 (s, 3 H, ArOCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.32 [t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 4.76 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 H, Ar<sub>2</sub>CH-CH<sub>2</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub>), 5.08–5.20 (m, 3 H, Ar<sub>2</sub>CH-CH<sub>2</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub> and Ar-OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 6.66 (s, 1 H, 3-H aromat.), 6.77 (s, 1 H, 6-H aromat.), 7.02–7.40 (m, 10 H, Ar-CH-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> and Ar-OCH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>).– MS (70 eV): m/z = 452 (M<sup>++</sup>).– C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>6</sub> (451.5).

# (±)-Benzyl N-{2-[2-(2,2-Dimethoxyethyl)phenyl]-2-phenylethyl/carbamate (8)

Pd/C (10%, 250 mg) was added to a solution of 7a (0.58 g, 1.84 mmol) in methanol (30 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h under hydrogen (3 bar, room temperature). After filtration with celite the organic layer was concentrated in vacuo to yield 0.43 g (82.0%) of a yellow, viscous oil. The residue was dissolved in CH2Cl2 (20 mL). At 0 °C 4-dimethylaminopyridine (10 mg), triethylamine (0.24 g, 2.42 mmol), and benzyl chloroformate (0.36 g, 2.09 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After addition of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) the organic layer was washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (10 mL), with 0.1 N HCl (10 mL) and with water (10 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by fc (diameter of the column 3 cm, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 80:20,  $R_f = 0.26$ ). Pale yellow oil, yield 0.30 g (45.0%).– IR (film): v = 3340 (NH), 1720 (C=O), 1520 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH).–  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 2.85 [dd, J = 14.1/4.7 Hz, 1 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.03 [dd, J = 14.1/6.8 Hz, 1 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.25 [s, 3 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.28 [s, 3 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.83 ("t", J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H, Ar<sub>2</sub>CH-CH<sub>2</sub>-NHCbz), 4.32 [dd, J = 6.8/4.7 Hz, 1 H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 4.59 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H, Ar<sub>2</sub>CH-CH<sub>2</sub>-NHCbz), 4.94 (s br, 1 H, -NH-Cbz), 5.06 (s, 2 H, -CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 7.17-7.36 (m, 14 H, aromat.).- MS (CI):  $m/z = 388 (M + H^+ - CH_3OH^{\bullet}).- C_{26}H_{29}NO_4 (419.4).$ 

# ( $\pm$ )-Benzyl 1-Phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-3-benzazepine-3-carboxylate (9) and ( $\pm$ )-cis-/trans-Benzyl 4-Methoxy-1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1H-3-benz-azepine-3-carboxylate (10)

A solution of 8 (88.6 mg, 0.21 mmol) and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (120 mg, 0.63 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) was refluxed for 5 h and stirred for 72 h at room temperature. After addition of a saturated solution of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (10 mL) the mixture was extracted several times with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL). The CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> layer was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), concentated *in vacuo* and the residue was purified by fc (diameter of the column 1 cm, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, 90:10). At first, compound 9 was eluted, then the fractions containing 10 were collected.

**9** ( $R_f = 0.43$ ): Yellow oil, yield 21.0 mg (27.9%).– IR (film): v = 1714 (C=O), 1643 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=C).– <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 3.40–3.59 (m, 2 H, 2-H), 4.50–5.02 (m, 3 H, 1-H and CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-Ph), 5.58–5.70 (m, 1 H, 5-H), 7.03–7.26 (m, 15 H, 4-H, 6-H, 7-H, 8-H, 9-H, Ar-CH-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> ).– MS (CI): m/z = 356 (M + H<sup>+</sup>).– C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (355.4).

**10** ( $R_f = 0.30$ : Yellow oil, yield 11.6 mg (14.2%).– IR (film):  $v = 1707 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (C=O).– <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 2.96–3.36 (m, 5 H, 5-H (2 H) and -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.38–3.40 (m, 1 H, 2-H), 4.04–4.21 (m, 1 × 0.63 H, 2-H), 4.51–4.78 (m, 1 × 0.37 H, 2-H), 5.00–5.15 (m, 2 H, CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 5.30 (dd, J = 9.5/5.7 Hz, 1 × 0.37 H, 4-H), 5.40 (dd, J = 10.2/4.7 Hz, 1 × 0.63 H, 4-H), 5.69–5.73 (m, 1 H, 1-H), 6.85–7.30 (m, 14 H, 6-H, 7-H, 8-H, 9-H, Ar-CH-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). According to the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum the ratio of *cis*-**10** : *trans*-**10** = 63 : 37.– MS (70 eV): m/z = 356 (M<sup>•+</sup> – CH<sub>3</sub>O<sup>•</sup>).– C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (387.4).

### (±)-1-Phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1H-3-benzazepine (11a)<sup>[6c]</sup>

a) After addition of the catalyst Pd/C (10%, 40.0 mg) to a solution of **9** (118 mg, 0.33 mmol) in methanol (20 mL), the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h under hydrogen (3 bar, room temperature). Then, it was filtered over celite, concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue was purified by cc (diameter of the column 1 cm, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH, 90:10,  $R_f = 0.31$ ). Colourless oil, yield 27.2 mg (36.7%).

b) Zinc dust (1.40 g, 21.4 mmol) and 2 N HCl (8.6 mL) were added to a solution of **7a** (0.36 g, 1.14 mmol) in THF (25 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 3 h. After filtration 2 N NaOH was added (pH 10) and the mixture was extracted several times with Et<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue was purified by cc (diameter of the column 1 cm, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH, 90:10,  $R_f = 0.31$ ). Colourless oil, yield 183.6 mg (72%).

Note: Spectroscopic and analytical data are not given in ref.<sup>[6c]</sup>.

IR (film): v = 3321 cm<sup>-1</sup> (br., NH).– <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 2.90 ("t", J = 5.1 Hz, 2 H, 5-H), 3.00 ("t", J = 5.1 Hz, 2 H, 4-H), 3.36 (dd, J = 13.8/2.1 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 3.59 (dd, J = 13.8/7.3 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 4.29 (dd, J = 7.3/2.1 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), 6.88 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H, aromat.), 7.09–7.26 (m, 6 H, aromat.), 7.35 (td, J = 7.3/1.7 Hz, 2 H, aromat.).– MS (70 eV): m/z = 223 (M<sup>6+</sup>).– C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N (223.3).

#### Preparation of 11a HCl

A 5 M solution of HCl in methanol (0.7 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of **11a** (71.3 mg, 0.32 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O. The solid was filtered and dried.

11a·HCl: Colourless solid (Et<sub>2</sub>O), mp 196–198 °C (ref.<sup>[6c]</sup>: mp 182 °C), yield 72.9 mg (87.9%).

# (±)-8-Benzyloxy-7-methoxy-1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1H-3-benzazepine (11b)

As described for **11a**, procedure b), zinc dust (0.30 g, 4.59 mmol) and 2 N HCl (2.0 mL) were added to a solution of **7b** (97.6 mg, 0.22 mmol) in THF (15 mL) and the mixture was heated to reflux for 4 h. Work-up: see **11a**. CC with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH, 90:10,  $R_{\rm f}$  = 0.18. Pale yellow oil, yield 66.9 mg (86.0%).– IR (film): v = 3330 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH).– <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 2.72 (dd, J = 11.6/8.1 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 2.83–2.90 (m, 2 H, 4-H), 3.00 (dd, J = 11.6/8.1 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 2.83–2.90 (m, 2 H, 4-H), 3.00 (dd, J = 11.6/8.1 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 3.14 (s broad, 1 H, NH), 3.28 (dd, J = 13.6/2.1 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 3.36 (dd, J = 13.6/7.4 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 3.80 (s, 3 H, Ar-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.12 (dd, J = 7.4/2.1 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), 4.86 (s, 2 H, Ar-OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 6.29 (s, 1 H, 9-H), 6.61 (s, 1 H, 6-H), 6.98 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H aromat.), 7.16–7.26 (m, 9 H, Ar-CH-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> and Ar-OCH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>).– MS (70 eV): m/z = 359 (M<sup>•+</sup>), 268 (M<sup>•+</sup> – CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub><sup>•</sup>).– C<sub>2</sub>4H<sub>2</sub>SNO<sub>2</sub> (359.5).

### Preparation of 11b HCl

To a solution of **11b** (78.9 mg, 0.22 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O a 5 M solution of HCl in methanol (0.7 mL) was added dropwise. The solid was filtered and dried. **11b**·HCl: Colourless solid (Et<sub>2</sub>O), mp 130 °C (decomp.), yield 36.9 mg (36.9%).

### (±)-8-Methoxy-5-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1H-3-benzazepin-7-ol (11c)

Pd/C (10%, 7.0 mg) was added to a solution of **11b** (33 mg, 0.92 mmol) in methanol (2 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h under hydrogen (1.2 bar, room temperature). After filtration with celite the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo*. Colourless solid (Et<sub>2</sub>O), mp 112–115 °C, yield 20.4 mg (82.5%).– IR (KBr): v = 3421 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH, OH).– <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ (ppm) = 2.74 (dd, J = 14.9/8.5 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), 2.89 (dd, J = 12.0/8.5 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 2.96–3.02 (m, 1 H, 1-H or 2-H), ), 3.08–3.13 (m, 1 H, 2-H or 1-H), 3.40 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2 H, 4-H), 3.81 (s, 3 H, Ar-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.26 (t, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 6.31 (s, 1 H, 6-H), 6.59 (s, 1 H, 9-H), 7.06 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H aromat.), 7.13–7.29 (m, 3 H aromat.).– MS (70 eV): m/z = 269 (M<sup>++</sup>).– C<sub>1</sub>7H<sub>1</sub>9NO<sub>2</sub> (269.3).

#### Pharmacology

# Investigation of the Affinity for the Phencyclidine Binding Site of the NMDA Receptor<sup>[1,9]</sup>

The test was performed with the radioligand  ${}^{3}$ H-(+)-MK 801 (825 Gbq/mol; Du Pont de Nemours) and receptor preparations from rat brain (cerebral cortex, Du Pont de Nemours, suspension in HEPES buffer, storage at -20 °C).- Scintillation cocktail: Rotiszint eco plus (Carl Roth GmbH). Filter: Whattman GF/B. Buffer: HEPES 20 mM (HEPES = 2-[4-(2-hy-droxyethyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanesulfonic acid), pH = 7.4.

Performance: 200  $\mu$ L of receptor preparation was incubated in 250  $\mu$ L medium (total volume) containing 100  $\mu$ M glutamic acid, 30  $\mu$ M glycine, 20  $\mu$ M HEPES (pH 7.4), about 2 nM <sup>3</sup>H-(+)-MK 801 and various concentrations of test compounds for 80 min at room temperature. The samples were rapidly filtered, under reduced pressure, through GF/B filters (Millipore sampling monifold XX 2702550) and washed with ice-cold buffer (10 mL). Bound radioactivity trapped on the filters was determined by liquid scintillation spectrometry (Canberra Packard TriCarb 1600). Nonspecific binding was determined by using 1  $\mu$ M (+)-MK 801. All experiments were carried out in triplicate.

### References

- Dedicated to Professor Dr. W. Wiegrebe, Regensburg, on the occasion of his 65th birthday.
- [1] E. H. F. Wong, J. A. Kemp, Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 1991, 31, 401.
- [2] P. L. Ornstein, V. J. Klimkowski, In Excitatory Amino Acid Receptors - Design of Agonists and Antagonists; P. Krogsgaard-Larsen, J. J. Hensen, Ed.; Ellis Horwood Ltd., Chichester 1992; pp 183 ff.
- [3] P. D. Leeson, R. W. Carling, K. James, J. D. Smith, K. W. Moore, E. H. F. Wong, R. Baker, J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 1296–1305.

- [4] K. R. Gee, P. Barmettler, R. N. McBurney, M. R. Rodes, R. E. Cotter, P. N. Hamilton, L. Y. Hu, N. L. Reddy, E. Weber, J. F. W. Keana, *J. Med. Chem.* **1993**, *36*, 1938.
- [5] N. M. Gray, B. K. Cheng, S. J. Mick, C. M. Lair, P. C Contreras, J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 1242.
- [6] a) K. Fukumoto, T. Kametani, *Heterocycles* 1975, *3*, 931;b) J. Weinstock, J. P. Hieble, J. W. Wilson, *Drugs Fut.* 1985, *10*, 645; c) N. Anand, J. M. Khana, M. Kumari, *Indian J. Chem.* 1978, *16b*, 129; d) L. A. Walter, W. K. Chang, (Scherico Ltd.), Brit. Patent 1,118,688, 3.7.1968; *Chem. Abstr.* 1968, *69*, P106576g; e) S. J. Coote, S. G. Davies, D. Middleniss, A. Naylor, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1990, *1*, 33; f) C. A. Busacca, R. E. Johnson, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, *33*, 165; g) F. P. Lewis, G. D. Reddy, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, *33*, 4249; h) L. F. Tietze, R. Schimpf, *Synthesis* 1993, 876; i) L. F. Tietze, R. Schimpf, *Angew. Chem.* 1994, *33*, 1089.
- [7] B. Wünsch, Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim) 1990, 323, 493.
- [8] B. Wünsch, S. Nerdinger, Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim) 1995, 328, 301.
- [9] Information sheet Drug Discovery System: Glutamate Receptor NMDA Subtype, Du Pont NEN.
- [10] W. S. Still, M. Kahn, A. Mitra, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923.

Received: May 20, 1997 [FP218]