## CHEMISTRY OF SECOPENICILLINS. PART I: THE NAYLER REACTION REVISITED

Ching-Pong Mak and Hans Fliri SANDOZ Forschungsinstitut Brunnerstraße 59, A-1235 Wien, Austria

Abstract: Reaction of several penicillin derivatives 1a-1g with sodium hydride/methyl iodide gave secopenicillins 2a-2g. A mechanism for this reaction is proposed.

In 1971, Nayler and coworkers  $^{1a}$  reported a novel ring cleavage reaction of methyl 66-(triphenylmethylamino)penicillanate  $\underline{1a}$  with strong anhydrous base (NaH, K<sup>t</sup>OBu) and methyl iodide to give the secopenicillin  $\underline{2a}$ . Subsequently they also showed that this reaction is applicable to 6,6-dibromopenicillanate  $\underline{1b}$  but not to acylaminopenicillins, methyl penicillanate and 6 $\alpha$ -bromopenicillanate, and the principal products obtained are of structure  $\underline{3}^{1b,c}$ . Details of this reaction are not well understood  $^2$ .

The advent of the nonclassical  $\beta$ -lactams and the obvious potential of penicillin as precursor for their synthesis has prompted many workers to study this reaction with different C6 substituents. Silylethers of  $\underline{1c}$  have been successfully used in this process by the Merck group in their synthesis of thienamycin from penicillin and by Hirai and coworkers; in addition the latter group discovered that  $\underline{2b}$  obtained from  $\underline{1b}$  is completely  $\underline{racemic}$ ! We have been making extensive use of  $\underline{1b}$  in connection with our carbapenem program and have independently investigated the scope and mechanism of the Nayler reaction. Table I summarizes our results.

In order to rationalize the formation of racemic 2b and the diastereomeric mixtures 2f/2f' and 2g/2g' from the corresponding optically and diastereomerically pure precursors under these conditions, either base catalyzed racemization/epimerization at C5/C4 has to occur, or an intermediate must be involved where C5 of the precursors has become trigonal. Base catalyzed epimerization can be excluded based on results obtained by the original authors  $^{1C}$ . Hirai assumed reversible dissociation of the C5-S bond in a preformed sulphonium salt of  $1b^2$  for the formation of racemic 2b. In our opinion, however, this is not very likely for the following reasons: i) treatment of 1b with methyl iodide in the absence of base (entry 3) gave no seco product and no sulphonium salt<sup>2</sup>; optically pure 1b was recovered quantitatively. Even when performing the experiment in the presence of base, besides racemic 2b, optically pure 1b could be recovered (entry 2); ii) if sulphonium salt formation indeed preceded elimination, why should the products not be alkylated further?

We are in favor of a mechanism which involves an anion-induced, concerted non-synchonous [3+2] cycloreversion to give acyclic thioaldehyde  $\underline{4}$ , which subsequently either enolizes to give vinylthiolate  $\underline{7}$ , or recyclizes by addition of the enamide anion to give cyclic thiolates  $\underline{5/6}$ , depending on the respective relative rates (scheme 1). This ring closure is controlled by the nature of the substituents  $R^1/R^2$ , leading to racemic products from achiral  $\underline{4}$  ( $R^1 = R^2$ ) and

$$R^{2}$$
 $R^{1}$ 
 $R^{1}$ 
 $R^{2}$ 
 $R^{4}$ 
 $R^{2}$ 
 $R^{4}$ 
 $R^{5}$ 
 $R^{5}$ 
 $R^{5}$ 
 $R^{5}$ 
 $R^{6}$ 
 $R^{5}$ 
 $R^{6}$ 
 $R^{6$ 

TABLE I

start. mat. product											
ENTR		R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>		$R^1$	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>	R <sup>4</sup>		recov. <u>1</u> [%]	yield of <u>2</u> [%]
1	<u>1a</u>	Ø <sub>3</sub> CNH	н	<u>2a</u>	Ø <sub>3</sub> CNH	Н	SCH <sub>3</sub>	н		40	25
2	<u>1b</u> *	Br	Br	<u>2b</u>	Br	Br	SCH <sub>3</sub>	Н			78
3	<u>1b</u> **	Br	Br							100	
4	<u>1b</u> ***	Br	Br	disu	lfides <u>10</u>	<u>/10'</u> (2	20 %) we	ere iso	lated	40	
5	<u>1c</u> *	Н	HO MeCH (R)	<u>2c</u>	Н	OH MeCH (R)	SCH <sub>3</sub>	Н		20	63
6	1d	SiO MeCH (R)	Н	<u>2d</u>	→SiO MeCH (R)	Н	Н	scH <sub>3</sub>			42
7	<u>le</u> *	Br	Н	<u>2e</u>	Н	Br	sch <sub>3</sub>	Н		8	8
		ΛU		<u>2f</u>	OH MeCH (R)	Br	SCH <sub>3</sub>	Н			
8	<u>1f</u> *	OH MeCH (R)	Br	<u>2f'</u>	OH MeCH (R)	Br	Н	SCH <sub>3</sub>	<u>2f/2f'</u> =56/44		78
				<u>2g</u>	Br	OH MeCH (S)	Н	SCH <sub>3</sub>			
9	<u>1g</u> *	Br	SiO MeCH (S)	2g'	Br	OH MeCH (S)	SCH <sub>3</sub>	Н	<u>2g/2g¹</u> =37/63		63

in a parallel experiment the reaction was stopped on purpose to check the properties <u>1b</u>\* of recovered 1b.

<sup>16\*\*</sup> reaction performed in the absence of base.

<sup>1</sup>b\*\*\* 1b was exposed to sodium hydride in furan as a solvent; after 5 days 1% 2-propanol was

added. the OH group was in situ protected as trimethylsilylether; acidic work up.  $\underline{2e}$  is racemic; in addition 9 %  $\underline{3}$  (R =Br) and 8 % methyl 6  $\alpha$  - bromopenicillanate were isolated; we assume that  $\underline{1e}$  was equilibrated to a mixture of 6  $\alpha$  /6 $\beta$ -bromo isomers. 1c\*,1f\* <u>le</u>\* <u>1g\*</u> acidic work up

to chiral products from chiral  $\underline{4}$ ; formation of the thermodynamically less stable cis-product  $\underline{2a}$  from  $\underline{1a}$  is probably due to a chelated diamonic species  $\underline{A}$ .

$$R^{2} \xrightarrow{H} S$$

$$R^{2} \xrightarrow{H} S$$

$$R^{3} \xrightarrow{H} S$$

$$R^{4} \xrightarrow{R^{2}} S$$

$$R^{1}(R^{2}) = H$$

$$R^{2} \xrightarrow{H} S$$

$$R^{1}(R^{2}) = H$$

$$R^{2} \xrightarrow{H} S$$

$$R^{2} \xrightarrow$$

Stepwise formation of  $\frac{4}{2}$  via  $\frac{5}{2}$  is disregarded for the following reason: the disulfide  $\frac{10}{2}$  obtained from  $\frac{15}{2}$  by exposure to sodium hydride in the absence of methyl iodide (entry 4) was shown to be an inseparable, optically inactive mixture of meso  $(10^{\circ})$  and d,l (10) isomers<sup>8</sup>; this must have been formed by oxidative dimerization of the racemized thiolate  $\frac{5}{6}$ . On the other hand, optically active  $\frac{10}{2}$  ( $[\alpha]_{21}^{D}$  = + 171°) could be obtained via  $\frac{8}{2}$  under conditions which presumably involve thiolate  $\frac{5}{9}$  (scheme 2); in order to give optically active  $\frac{10}{2}$ ,  $\frac{5}{2}$  must be configurationally stable<sup>10</sup>.

- a) i) 1 eq. 2-mercaptobenzthiazole, toluene,  $90^{0.13}$ ; ii) cat.  $\mathrm{Et_3N}$ ,  $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$ , r.t.
- b) cat. Et $_3$ N/2-mercaptobenzthiazole, CH $_2$ Cl $_2$ , r.t.

There is ample precedence for cycloreversion reactions of saturated five membered heterocycles bearing a negative charge <sup>11</sup> and we believe that such a mechanism is also operative in related cases <sup>12</sup>. A more detailed investigation on this principle is presently being undertaken in our laboratory. These results, together with synthetic applications of the Nayler process, will be reported shortly.

## REFERENCES:

- a) J.P. Clayton, J.H.C. Nayler, R. Southgate, and P. Tolliday, J.Chem.Soc., Chem. 1. Commun., 590 (1971); b) J.P. Clayton, J.H.C. Nayler, M.J. Pearson, and R. Southgate, J.Chem.Soc. Perkin Trans.I. 22 (1974); c) E.G. Brain, I. McMillan, J.H.C. Nayler, R. Southgate, and P. Tolliday, J.Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I, 562 (1974).
- Similar seconpenicillins were also obtained from penicillin sulphonium salts: P.M. Denerley, and E.J. Thomas, J.Chem.Soc.Perkin Trans.I, 3175 (1979).
- "Chemistry and Biology of Beta Lactam Antibiotics", R.B. Morin, ed., Academic Press, 3. New York 1982.
- S. Karady, J.S. Amato, R.A. Reamer, and L. Weinstock, J.Am. Chem. Soc., 103, 6765
- K. Hirai, Y. Iwano, and K. Fujimoto, Heterocycles 17, 201 (1982). 5.
- C.P. Mak, K. Baumann, F. Mayerl, C. Mayerl, and H. Fliri, Heterocycles 19, 1647 (1982).
- 7. M.J.S. Dewar, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 104, 209 (1984).
- Selected physical properties: (NMR spectra were taken in  $\mathrm{CDCl}_3$ ; coupling constants (J) 8. were given in Hz) 2d: 0.10(s,6), 0.90(s,9), 1.34(d,3,J=6.5), 2.00(s,3), 2.12(s,3), 2.22(s,3),3.27(dd,1,J=5,2.5),3.78(s,3),4.27(dq,1,J=6.5),5.00(d,1,J=2.5). 2e: 2.02(s,3),2.18  $(s,3),2.28(s,3),3.80(s,3),4.71(d,1,J=2.2),5.09(d,1,J=2.2), \underline{2f}: 1.58(d,3,J=6),2.04(s,3),$ 2.23(s,3), 2.30(s,3), 2.46(d,1,J=4.5), 3.81(s,3), 4.26(dq,1,J=6;4.5), 5.24(s,1).(d,3,J=6),2.06(s,3),2.15(s,3),2.30(s,3),2.53(d,1,J=4.5),3.80(s,3),4.24(dq,1,J=6;4.5),5.26(s,1).  $\underline{10}$ : 2.02(s,3),2.34(s,3),3.84(s,3),5.67(s,1);  $\underline{13}$ CNMR: 22.2(= $\underline{\phantom{0}}$ Mg), 23.7 -(=-Me),  $52.3(OCH_3)$ , 54.8(C3), 84.7(C4), 117.5(>C=),  $158.3(>=CMe_2)$ , 158.4(O=C-N), 162.8 $(CO_{9}Me)$ . 10': 2.01(s,3),2.35(s,3),8.84(s,3),5.67(s,1).  $\text{MS(FAB): m/e } 402/404/406(C_9 H_{10} Br_2 NO_3 S_2); \text{ m/e } 338/340/342(C_9 H_{10} Br_2 NO_3).$
- A.J. Parker, and N. Kharasch, J.Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 3071 (1960).
- For additional evidence of the configurational stability of such thiolates see e.g.: L.V. Kapili, M.S. Kellogg, and R.J. Martingano, Heterocycles 16, 1651 (1981).
- 11. a) J.N. Hines, M.J. Peagram, E.J. Thomas, and G.H. Whitham, J.Chem.Soc.Perkin Trans.I, 2332 (1973); b) G. Demailly, J.B. Ousset, and C. Mioskowski, Tetrahedron Lett., 25, 4647 (1984); for other examples see E. Vedejs, and G.A. Krafft, Tetrahedron 38, 2857 (1982).
- 12. D. Seebach, and Th. Weber, Helv.Chim.Acta, 67 1650 (1984), and references cited
- 13. T. Kamiya, T. Teraji, Y. Saito, M. Hashimoto, O. Nakaguchi, and T. Oku, Tetrahedron Lett. 3001 (1973).

(Received in Germany 13 November 1984)