JOC The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Article

Base-directed photoredox activation of C-H bonds by PCET

Maraia E. Ener, Julia Whitney Darcy, Fabian S Menges, and James M. Mayer J. Org. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.0c00333 • Publication Date (Web): 04 May 2020 Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on May 4, 2020

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

7 8

9 10

11 12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

60

Base-directed photoredox activation of C–H bonds by PCET

Maraia E. Ener, Julia W. Darcy, Fabian S. Menges, and James M. Mayer*

Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8107, james.mayer@yale.edu

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: Photoredox catalysis using proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) has emerged as a powerful method for bond transformations. We previously employed traditional chemical oxidants to achieve multiple-site concerted protonelectron transfer (MS-CPET) activation of a C-H bond in a proof-of-concept fluorenyl-benzoate substrate. As described here, photoredox oxidation of the fluorenyl-benzoate follows the same rate constant vs driving force trend determined for thermal MS-CPET. Analogous photoredox catalysis enables C-H activation and H/D exchange in a number of additional substrates with favorably positioned bases. Mechanistic studies support our hypothesis that MS-CPET is a viable pathway for bond activation for substrates in which the C-H bond is weak, while stepwise carboxylate oxidation and hydrogen atom transfer likely predominates for stronger C-H bonds.

Introduction

Selective activation and functionalization of C–H bonds under mild conditions remains a primary challenge in synthetic chemistry.¹ Photoredox catalysis has emerged as a method for performing difficult bond transformations by harnessing the redox power of photocatalyst electronic excited states.² Recent photoredox strategies have selectively activated strong X–H bonds by multiple-site concerted protonelectron transfer (MS-CPET).³ In this mechanism, electron transfer (ET) with a photocatalyst occurs simultaneously with proton transfer to a separate, basic site. For polar X–H bonds, hydrogen bonding of the base assists the concerted reaction (Scheme 1A). In contrast, nonpolar C–H bonds have typically been activated by stepwise heteroatom oxidation and then hydrogen atom transfer (HAT; Scheme 1B).^{3a,4}

Scheme 1. Photoredox PCET mechanisms for X–H and C–H bond activation. B represents a generic base, X is N or O. This work involves case C.



Recently, C–H bond activation by MS-CPET was reported using traditional chemical ("thermal") oxidants and a proof-of concept substrate (**1**) containing a favorably positioned carboxylate base (**Figure 1A**).⁵ For **1**, initial intermolecular ET is concerted with intramolecular proton transfer (PT) to the carboxylate base. Conversion of radical intermediate **1**[•] to the observed lactone product (**1-lac**) involves additional loss of $1e^{-}/1H^{+}$. The substrate scope of such reactions is restricted by incompatibility of carboxylate bases with many thermal oxidants such as ferrocenium cations.^{5a} The direct reaction of an oxidant [electron poor] with a base [electron rich] is a known limitation of MS-CPET reactivity.⁶

We elected to explore photocatalysis as a path to mitigate incompatibilities and enable further investigation of the fundamental aspects governing MS-CPET reactivity at C-H bonds. During the preparation of this manuscript, the Knowles and Alexanian groups reported a termolecular MS-CPET system in which the photocatalyst and phosphate base form a noncovalent adduct that is capable of activating sp³ C-H bonds.⁷ We report here a photoredox system that oxidizes **1** and related substrates, achieves non-oxidative base-directed C-H activation on additional substrates, and investigates the competition between MS-CPET (**Scheme 1C**) and stepwise ET/HAT (**Scheme 1B**) mechanisms.



Figure 1. A) Oxidation of substrate **1. B)** Photoredox cycle showing the flash-quench pathway for oxidation of **1** under oxidative conditions (red), and transient C-H activation of **1** by *****[**Ir**^{III}] under redox neutral conditions (blue). Q represents the Co(acac)₃ quencher for oxidative photoredox. **C)** Ir photocatalysts in this work.

Results and Discussion

The 2-electron oxidation of **1** to **1-lac** proceeds under oxidative photoredox conditions (**Figures 1A** and **1B**). A 410 nm LED was used to irradiate a 3-5 mM solution of **1** (generated *in situ* by deprotonation of the carboxylic acid with 0.8 eq tetrabutylammonium acetate, TBAOAc), with 10 mol% iridium photocatalyst [**Ir**^{III}_H] or [**Ir**^{III}_{tBu}],⁸ and ~1.2 eq of Co(acac)₃ terminal oxidant, in deoxygenated acetonitrile. After 2-4 hours of irradiation, up to 90% formation of the **1-lac** final product was observed (¹H NMR), with respect to equivalents of base and terminal oxidant.⁹ Importantly, omitting light, photocatalyst, terminal oxidant, or added base results in no or only trace **1-lac**.¹⁰

A variety of factors influence the efficacy of this photoredox transformation (see Supporting Information [SI] for additional discussion). Notably, using TBAOAc for *in situ* deprotonation enhances long-term photocatalyst stability, compared to tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAOH) or DBU (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene). We presume that the stoichiometric amount of acetic acid formed during *in situ* deprotonation reduces the nucleophilicity of the **1** carboxylate, attenuating degradation of the photocatalysts as a result of nucleophilic attack on the ligands. However, both Ir catalysts degrade slowly under these basic conditions over many hours, as evidenced by red-shifting of the UV-visible absorption and emission spectra (see SI).¹¹

Photo-oxidant stability is also enhanced when using < 1 equivalent of base, and adding protic solvents (e.g., MeOH). However, additions > 5% v/v of MeOH significantly slow or halt the conversion of **1** to **1-lac**, consistent with studies employing thermal oxidants.^{5a} No additional products are formed under these more protic conditions. The selection of a terminal oxidant is somewhat limiting, as many weak oxidants react directly with **1** (e.g., *N*-bromo succinimide, methyl viologen), and other reagents common to photoredox (e.g., aryl diazonium salts) form reactive radicals that could interfere with the desired mechanistic analysis. Co(acac)₃ meets the experimental limitations for our purposes,^{2a} but its competitive absorbance throughout the visible region renders it less than optimal. To probe the identity of the active oxidant during these reactions, Stern-Volmer quenching experiments were employed. The excited state *****[**Ir**^{III}] reacts rapidly with Co(acac)₃ with $k_q \approx 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ (**Figure 1B**, top red arrow).¹⁰ This forms [**Ir**^{IV}], which can oxidize **1**. The direct reaction of *****[**Ir**^{III}] with **1** is slower, ($k_q \approx 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, see below). Nearly equimolar Co(acac)₃ and substrate **1** are employed in the reaction mixture, and [**Ir**^{IV}] persists in solution longer than *****[**Ir**^{III}], so we expect [**Ir**^{IV}] to be the predominant oxidant under oxidative photoredox conditions (**Figure 1B**, red pathway).

C-H bond activation was also probed under net-redox neutral photoredox conditions, in the absence of $Co(acac)_3$ terminal oxidant. No formation of 1-lac is observed under these conditions. However, 1 can be transiently oxidized to 1. by *[Ir^{III}] (Figure 1B, blue pathway). Formation of reduced photosensitizer ($[Ir^{II}]$) is observed upon quenching with **1**, by comparison of transient spectra to that of electrochemicallyreduced photosensitizer, and to [IrII] photochemically produced in the presence of an established reductive quencher, tritolylamine.¹⁰ This confirms electron transfer from 1 to *Ir^{III}. Stern-Volmer analysis indicates a rate constant for luminescence quenching of $\ast[Ir^{III}_{\ tBu}]$ by 1 of approximately $k_q = 4(\pm 2) \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ (comparing luminescence lifetimes at varying concentration of **1**). There is significant batch-to-batch variability in the rate constant vs concentration dependence¹² (also see SI), possibly due to small impurities (e.g., water hydrogen-bonding to the carboxylate in 1) that could affect the observed reactivity.

Additional evidence for transient C–H activation under net redox-neutral conditions was obtained from deuterium incorporation studies (**Scheme 2**). The fluorenyl C–H of **1** in solution does not exchange with methanol-OD within 24 hours, in the absence of photocatalyst and light.^{5a} However, irradiating a solution of **1-h** (denoting isotopic substitution at the fluorenyl carbon) and *[Ir^{III}] with ~2% v/v d₄-methanol in d₃-MeCN led to 80% conversion to **1-d** (¹H NMR and HR-ESI-MS). Enrichment is envisioned to occur because the carboxylic acid proton of intermediate **1**• exchanges with ~0.5 M CD₃OD to give **1**•-**CO**₂**D** competitively with its reduction to **1-d** by the quenching product [Ir^{II}] (\leq 150 µM) under these net redox neutral conditions. Therefore, deuterium incorporation can be used as a marker for transient C–H activation by *[Ir^{III}].

Scheme 2. Deuterium incorporation under net-redox neutral photoredox conditions is a marker for C–H activation.



Table 1. Deuterium incorporation^{*a*} and lactone formation^{*b*} for various substrates under photoredox conditions.

1

2

3

4

5

2

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60



^{*a*} D incorporation under net redox-neutral conditions (~3 mM substrate acid, 0.8 eq TBAOAc, 10 mol% **[Ir^{III}]**, 2% d_4 -MeOD (v/v) in MeCN, irradiated overnight, 410 nm.) %D determined by HR-ESI-MS. "2D" indicates double deuteration. %D not determined for **4** due to low solubility in MeCN. ^{*b*} Oxidative photoredox of **1-6** (~6 mM substrate acid, 0.9 eq TBAOAc, 10 mol% **[Ir^{III}]**, 1.2 eq Co(acac₁₃), MeCN, irradiated overnight, 410 nm) gave corresponding lactone product by NMR and/or HR-ESI-MS, ("+ox"). No lactone product observed for **7**. Substrates **8-14** not screened for formation of lactones.

This H/D exchange methodology has allowed us to explore photoredox activation of C–H bonds in other substrates with a positioned base (Table 1), including many that were unreactive with thermal oxidants (5-10). Deuterium incorporation was observed for substrates **1-10** (NMR and/or HR-ESI-MS). In addition, irradiating **1-6** under oxidative photoredox conditions – with Co(acac)₃ – showed some formation of the oxidized lactone product (¹H NMR and/or HR-ESI-MS, denoted "+ox" in **Table 1**).

The substrates in **Table 1** span a range of C–H bond strengths from ~74 kcal/mol (1)^{5a} to ~87 kcal/mol (*ortho*toluate, **7**),¹³ and include an example with an internal sulfonamide base (**10**). Importantly, no evidence of deuterium incorporation is seen for para-carboxylate substrates **11** and **12**, indicating the importance of base position for reactivity. There is also no observed deuteration for **14**, which has a weaker pyridine base.^{14,15} Time course NMR studies with substrates **1**, phenyl *o*-toluate (**5**) and *o*-toluate (**7**) indicate that the majority of deuteration occurs within the first 20-30 minutes.¹⁰ This is a rapid, simple, and regioselective method for isotope incorporation that is complementary to a recently reported photoredox strategy for amine-directed deuterium and tritium incorporation.¹⁶

Preliminary studies explored functionalization of the carbon radical intermediates generated under redox neutral conditions. Methylvinylketone (MVK) and TEMPO have been employed by others as traps to achieve photoredox-mediated C–C and C–O bond formations.^{3a,4b,17} Acetonitrile solutions of substrate 7 (toluate) with 10 mol% [Ir^{III}_{tBu}] and 2 eq of the trap were irradiated at 410 nm for 16 hrs. HR-ESI-MS spectra of the resulting mixtures showed anions corresponding to TEMPO–CH₂C₆H₄CO₂⁻ and CH₃C(O)(CH₂)₃C₆H₄CO₂^{-,10}

The H/D exchange and trapping results show that photoredox C–H activation occurs for a variety of benzylic substrates with internal carboxylates. Previously reported rate constants for thermal oxidations of **1** correlate with the

 $E_{1/2}$ of the ferricenium and triarylaminium oxidants used.^{5a,5c} The approximate rate constant for photoredox C–H bond cleavage in **1** by *****[**Ir**^{III}_{**tBu**}] fits this same correlation (**Figure 2**), supporting an MS-CPET mechanism.¹⁸



Figure 2. Plot of rate constant vs. oxidant strength for oxidation of **1.** Red circles and trendline are thermal oxidations;^{5a} cyan star is oxidation by *****[Ir^{III}_{tBu}] (this work). Star size encompasses uncertainty in $k_{MS-CPET}$ and E(oxidant).

To explore whether [Ir^{IV}] and/or *[Ir^{III}] can directly oxidize some carboxylates to carboxyl radicals, we estimated the reduction potentials from cyclic voltammetry and optical estimates of the E_{0-0} energies (Table 2, Supporting Information Section 4). These values show that the ground state Ir^{IV} species are capable of oxidizing carboxylates by outer-sphere electron transfer, and very likely the excited states as well. This is consistent with Glorius' report that *[Ir^{III}tBu] oxidizes benzoate to PhCO₂, which then activate a variety of aliphatic C-H bonds by intermolecular HAT (Scheme 1B).^{4a} Our transient absorption experiments show the formation of reduced photosensitizer ([Ir^{II}]) in the presence of benzoate, further supporting this possibility. This stepwise mechanism may be expected to outcompete a concerted one (MS-CPET) for substrates with stronger C-H bonds, in which MS-CPET is expected to be slower (conceptually illustrated in Figure 3).

Table 2. Measured and estimated reduction potentials vs. ferrocene^{+/0} (Fc^{+/0}) in MeCN.^{*a*}

Redox Couple	E _{1/2}	References
$E_{1/2}(\mathbf{Ir^{IV}}_{H}/\mathbf{Ir^{III}}_{H})$	~1.38 V	estimated here
$E_{1/2}(\mathbf{Ir^{IV}_{tBu}}/\mathbf{Ir^{III}_{tBu}})$	~1.35 V	estimated here a
$E_{1/2}(*\mathbf{Ir^{III}}_{\mathbf{H}}/\mathbf{Ir^{II}}_{\mathbf{H}})$	~1.05 V	estimated here
$E_{1/2}(*\mathbf{Ir^{II}}_{tBu}/\mathbf{Ir^{II}}_{tBu})$	~0.95 V	estimated here $_{b}$
$E_{1/2}(\text{RCO}_2^{\bullet}/\text{RCO}_2^{-})$	~0.9 V	3a,4a,19

^{*a*} Quasi-reversible waves by cyclic voltammetry; see Supporting Information, Section 4.1. ^{*b*} See discussion of the optical E_{0-0} energies in Supporting Information, Section 4.2.

We have probed the mechanistic possibilities of stepwise ET/HAT vs. MS-CPET (**Scheme 1B** vs. **C**) by comparing *****[**I**r^{III}_{tBu}] luminescence quenching by different substrates. Benzoate (which can only undergo direct ET) quenches the *****[**I**r^{III}_{tBu}] luminescence with an observed rate constant that is very sensitive to conditions. For benzoate formed *in situ* from benzoic acid and TBAOAc, $k_q = 4 \times 10^5 \text{ M} \cdot 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$. In contrast, k_q for

TBA-benzoate in dry acetonitrile (no acetic acid as potential hydrogen bond partner) is approximately two orders of magnitude faster²⁰ (4×10^7 M⁻¹s⁻¹ at low concentrations, in reasonable agreement with other reports).^{4a} Assuming that all luminescence quenching by benzoate/HOAc is due to ET, the rate constant of $k_q = 4 \times 10^5$ M⁻¹s⁻¹ gives an approximate bound at which ET/HAT becomes competitive with MS-CPET under these acetic acid-tuned conditions (**Figure 3**). For substrate **7** (toluate), which has one of the strongest C–H bonds in **Table 1**, the $k_q = 4 \times 10^5$ M⁻¹s⁻¹, suggests that ET/HAT is a likely mechanism for C–H activation.



Figure 3. Conceptual transition between concerted and stepwise C-H activation mechanisms with a single oxidant. Boxed numbers represent measured k_q for substrates **1** and **7**. The blue line (ET/HAT), representing measured k_q for benzoate, is independent of C-H bond strength. The red line (MS-CPET) represents the *k vs.* driving force relationship for thermal oxidations of substrate **1**.^{5a,5b} Inset: schematic of MS-CPET (red) and ET/HAT (blue) mechanisms.

The substrates in **Table 1** span the range of bond strengths between that of **1** and **7**, and are expected to span the transition between mechanisms. Trityl substrates **2**, **3**, and **4** have similar C–H bond strengths to **1** and probably follow an MS-CPET mechanism, while phenyl toluate (**5**) may undergo predominantly ET/HAT, or a combination of both mechanisms. Limited solubility of these substrates (< 20 mM) has precluded Stern-Volmer analysis. Similarly, the available data do not allow drawing mechanistic conclusions for the reduction of the fluorenyl radical, the last part of the isotope exchange pathway (Scheme 2, Supporting Information 6.2).

Conclusion

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

The results presented above demonstrate that photoredox can be used as a mild, versatile method for base-directed C-H activation, deuterium incorporation, and functionalization. Photoredox conditions can replace thermal oxidants to accomplish MS-CPET at the fluorenyl C-H bond in 1, opening new avenues to probe fundamental aspects of this concerted mechanism. For many substrates, two mechanistic pathways are possible under the highly oxidizing conditions generated during photoredox. MS-CPET is likely in competition with the two-step pathway of rate-limiting ET followed by rapid HAT, which has been reported in other studies.4a The stepwise ET/HAT path is favored by a more easily oxidized carboxylate and by stronger C-H bonds, since it is independent of the C-H bond strength. MS-CPET may be favored when the C-H bond is weak, and the proton acceptor (typically carboxylate here) is basic and difficult to oxidize. We hope these insights will facilitate further development of strategies for activating C-H bonds, and deeper explorations into the fundamental aspects of MS-CPET processes at carbon.

Experimental

Materials

Substrates 1 (including both isotopic variants 1-*h* and 1-*d*). 2, S15 and 6 were synthesized as described previously.^{5a,21} Substrate 3 was synthesized as described below. The remaining chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Co(acac)₃, and substrates 10 and S20 were obtained from Alfa Aesar; substrate **S16** was obtained from Alfa chemicals; substrates 4, 5, 8, and 14 were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; substrate 13 was obtained from Acros Organics; substrate 7 was obtained from Tokyo Chemical Industry; tetrabutylammonium acetate (TBAOAc) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich and dried in a vacuum oven: 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene benzoic acid, (TMB), tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAOH, 1M solution in methanol), tetrabutylammonium benzoate (TBAOBz), [Ir^{III}H] and [Ir^{III}tBu], and substrates 9, 12, S17, S18, S19, S21, S22, **S23** were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Phthalic anhydride was obtained from Sigma Aldrich and recrystallized from chloroform before use. Substrate 11 was obtained from Trans World Chemicals. Anaerobic acetonitrile (Burdick Jackson low water) was sparged with argon, and was plumbed directly glovebox and used without additional into the purification/drying. Deuterated solvents were obtained from Cambridge Isotope Lab, deoxygenated by freeze-pump-thaw, and stored in an N2-filled glovebox.

Synthesis

2-(bis(4-methoxyphenyl)methyl)benzoic acid (3). Phenolphthalein (1.0 g, 3.1 mmol, 1 eq), K₂CO₃ (1.3 g, 9.3 mmol, 3 eq), and acetone (20 mL) were stirred at reflux for 10 minutes to ensure deprotonation. Then, iodomethane (3.1 mL, 6.2 mmol, 2 eq) was added. The reaction was guenched after 22 hrs with 20 mL 2 M NaOH. The aqueous layer was reacidified with 1 M HCl until a white precipitate formed. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (3 × 40 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The crude product was purified on a silica column using pure EtOAc to yield the triply methylated methyl 2-(bis(4-methoxyphenyl)methyl)benzoate. The methyl ester (3.1 mmol) was deprotected by refluxing in KOH_{aq} (1.7 g in 50 mL H_2 O) for 5 hours. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and acidified with ~40 mL 1 M HCl until a white precipitate formed. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (2 × 40 mL) and dried over Na_2SO_4 . The resulting white solid was recrystallized from MeOH; yield 110 mg, 10.1%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN) δ (ppm): 7.85 (d, 1H), 7.45 (t, 1H), 7.31 (t, 1H), 7.05 (d, 1H), 6.95 (d, 4H), 6.84 (d, 4H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 3.75 (s, 6H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CD₃CN) δ (ppm): 169.2, 159.1 146.4, 137.3, 132.6, 131.6, 131.5, 131.4, 131.2, 127.2, 114.5, 55.8, 51.2. HR-MS (negative ion mode, for the carboxylate anion): m/z 347.1319 (theoretical: 347.1284).

Photoredox Reactions

Photoredox reaction mixtures were prepared in a nitrogenfilled glovebox using deoxygenated, deuterated solvent, and placed in sealed J-Young NMR tubes. Blue/violet light irradiation was applied between 45 minutes and 16 hours (depending on the experiment), using a 410 nm LED apparatus assembled in house. Lamp components were purchased from Mouser Electronics: 410 nm LED (e.g., LZ1-10UB00-01U8), heat sink, focusing lens, and associated lamp

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

components. The distance between lamp and J-Young tube was typically 15-20 cm. No effort was made to cool the samples during irradiation, and no filters were used. More details for these and other experiments are given in the Supporting Information.

For net oxidative photoredox reactions, samples typically included 5 mM substrate, 0.8-0.9 eq TBAOAc as in situ base, 6 mM Co(acac)₃ as terminal oxidant, and 75-150 µM Ir photocatalyst in d^3 -acetonitrile. For net neutral photoredox (H/D exchange) conditions, samples typically included 3 mM substrate, ~ 0.8 eq TBAOAc, and $\sim 150 \mu$ M Ir photocatalyst in d_3 -acetonitrile with 2% (v/v) d_4 -methanol. Deuterium incorporation was first assessed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, then the sample was diluted to 1 mM in acetonitrile, and a High Resolution Mass Spectrum (HR-MS) was collected. ¹H NMR spectra were collected in the Yale Chemical and Biophysical Instrumentation Center on either a 400 MHz or 500 MHz Agilent NMR spectrometer. HR-MS was performed with a Thermo Scientific Orbitrap Velos Pro Mass Spectrometer. HR-MS data were recorded in anion mode with a resolution (FWHM) of at least 30,000 at 400 m/z and averaged over at least 100 spectra. The spray needle was held at 2.5kV with an injection rate of 2.5µL/min, source temperature 40°C, capillary temperature 225°C and sheath gas flow rate of 8 a.u. Instrument parameters were held constant for all MS experiments. Isotope patterns were modeled by hand with natural abundance isotopic distribution. Linear combinations of isotope patterns for substrates containing 1, 2, or 3 deuterium that best matched the experimental data were used to determine % D incorporation.

Electrochemistry

Cyclic voltammograms were collected to determine the ground state potentials of the two photocatalysts, $[Ir_H]$ and $[Ir_{tBu}]$. The experiments were performed in a nitrogen-filled glovebox, in acetonitrile with 0.1M TBAPF₆ electrolyte. The three electrode setup consisted of a glassy carbon working electrode, platinum counter electrode, and pseudo reference electrode with a silver wire encased in a fritted compartment containing 100 mM TBAPF₆. After collection of the voltammograms for the photocatalysts, ferrocene was added as an internal standard and voltammograms were corrected to the Fc^{+/0} potential. This addition of ferrocene caused the Ir^{IV/III} couple to become less reversible.

Luminescence and Transient Absorption

For luminescence and transient absorption experiments, samples were prepared in a nitrogen-filled glovebox using deoxygenated acetonitrile, placed in quartz cuvettes with inner path lengths of $2mm \times 1cm$ quartz cuvettes equipped with airtight Kontes stoppers. Samples typically contained 100-300 µM photocatalyst and 0.5-16 mM substrate. UVvisible absorption spectra were acquired on an Agilent 8453 UV-visible spectrophotometer. Time Resolved and Steady State luminescence measurements were conducted on a TCSPC TD_Fluor Horiba Fluorolog 3 Time Domain Fluorimeter (time resolved studies used a pulsed 390 nm LED) in the Yale Chemical and Biophysical Instrumentation Center. Time resolved measurements were acquired until a maximum intensity of approximately 2000 counts. For Stern-Volmer Luminescence experiments specifically, samples contained 80-100 μ M iridium photocatalyst [Ir_{tBu}] and a wide range (0-200 mM) of substrate concentrations in acetonitrile unless otherwise noted. Kinetics traces were fit to а monoexponential decay using MATLAB software, and rate constants were determined from the slope of the best fit line for rate vs. concentration of substrate plots. For Transient Absorption experiments, excitation was performed at 430 nm with a Spectra-Physics Nd/YAG laser (Quanta-Ray) and OPO (basiScan). Probe light was supplied by an Edinburgh Instruments Xe900 xenon lamp directed at a 90° angle to the excitation beam. Multiple-wavelength transient absorption changes were monitored with an Andor iStar300 series CCD camera. Single-wavelength kinetics (luminescence and transient absorption) were monitored at 530 nm using an LP920-K UV-Vis detector. Samples were excited along the long path length and probed at 90 degrees (through the short path length dimension). Data were analyzed, fit, and plotted using MATLAB software. References ¹⁹ and ²⁰ are cited in the SI.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Additional experimental procedures and analytical methods. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <u>http://pubs.acs.org</u>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* james.mayer@yale.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge NIH 2R01GM50422 for funding this work. FSM thanks the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) under grants FA9550-17-1-0267 (DURIP) and FA9550-18-1-0213. We thank Prof. Mark A. Johnson for helpful discussions, Brian Koronkiewicz for assistance with transient absorption experiments, and Scott Coste for help with a final experiment and final manuscript preparation.

REFERENCES

- (a) Hartwig, J. F. Evolution of C–H Bond Functionalization from Methane to Methodology. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 2-24; (b) Shul'pin, B. G. New Trends in Oxidative Functionalization of Carbon–Hydrogen Bonds: A Review. Catalysts 2016, 6, 50; (c) Crabtree, R. H. Alkane C-H Activation and Functionalization with Homogeneous Transition Metal Catalysts: A Century of Progress-a New Millennium in Prospect. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2001, 2437-2450; (d) Liao, K.; Negretti, S.; Musaev, D. G.; Bacsa, J.; Davies, H. M. L. Site-Selective and Stereoselective Functionalization of Unactivated C–H Bonds. Nature 2016, 533, 230-234.
- 2. (a) Prier, C. K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Visible Light Photoredox Catalysis with Transition Metal Complexes: Applications in Organic Synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 5322-5363; (b) Schultz, D. M.; Yoon, T. P. Solar Synthesis: Prospects in Visible Light Photocatalysis. *Science* **2014**, *343*, 1239176; (c) Nicewicz, D. A.; Nguyen, T. M. Recent Applications of Organic Dyes as Photoredox Catalysts in Organic Synthesis. *ACS Catalysis* **2014**, *4*, 355-360; (d) Shaw, M. H.; Twilton, J.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Photoredox Catalysis in Organic Chemistry. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 6898-6926.
- (a) Choi, G. J.; Zhu, Q.; Miller, D. C.; Gu, C. J.; Knowles, R. R. Catalytic Alkylation of Remote C–H Bonds Enabled by Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer. *Nature* 2016, *539*, 268-271;

(b) Yayla, H. G.; Wang, H.; Tarantino, K. T.; Orbe, H. S.; Knowles, R. R. Catalytic Ring-Opening of Cyclic Alcohols Enabled by PCET Activation of Strong O-H Bonds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 10794-10797.

- 4. (a) Mukherjee, S.; Maji, B.; Tlahuext-Aca, A.; Glorius, F. Visible-Light-Promoted Activation of Unactivated C(sp³)–H Bonds and Their Selective Trifluoromethylthiolation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 16200-16203; (b) Jeffrey, J. L.; Terrett, J. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. O–H Hydrogen Bonding Promotes H-Atom Transfer from α C–H Bonds for C-Alkylation of Alcohols. *Science* 2015, *349*, 1532-1536.
- 5. (a) Markle, T. F.; Darcy, J. W.; Mayer, J. M. A New Strategy to Efficiently Cleave and Form C-H Bonds Using Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer. *Sci. Adv.* 2018, *4*, eaat5776; (b) Darcy, J. W.; Kolmar, S. S.; Mayer, J. M. Transition State Asymmetry in C-H Bond Cleavage by Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 10777-10787; (c) Sayfutyarova, E. R.; Goldsmith, Z. K.; Hammes-Schiffer, S. Theoretical Study of C-H Bond Cleavage Via Concerted Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer in Fluorenyl-Benzoates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 15641-15645; (d) Sayfutyarova, E. R.; Lam, Y.-C.; Hammes-Schiffer, S. Strategies for Enhancing the Rate Constant of C-H Bond Cleavage by Concerted Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 15183-15189.
 - Waidmann, C. R.; Miller, A. J. M.; Ng, C.-W. A.; Scheuermann, M. L.; Porter, T. R.; Tronic, T. A.; Mayer, J. M. Using Combinations of Oxidants and Bases as PCET Reactants: Thermochemical and Practical Considerations. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2012, *5*, 7771-7780.
 - Morton, C. M.; Zhu, Q.; Ripberger, H.; Troian-Gautier, L.; Toa, Z. S. D.; Knowles, R. R.; Alexanian, E. J. C–H Alkylation Via Multisite-Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer of an Aliphatic C-H Bond. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 13253-13260.
 - 8. The two photocatalysts have very similar photophysical properties, also see SI. [Ir_H] is a slightly more potent oxidant (~100 mV), and [Ir_{tBu}] is slightly more robust in the presence of carboxylates. Oxidative photoredox studies were performed using [Ir_H] for historical reasons. Stern Volmer quenching experiments intentionally used [Ir_{tBu}] due to its slightly enhanced stability. The two catalysts were used interchangeably in the redox-neutral deuterium exchange studies, and appeared to be equally effective.
 - 9. The oxidation of 1 to 1-lac is a 2e⁻, 2H⁺ reaction, but only one equivalent of base and terminal oxidant were used. This was due to the dark color of Co(acac)₃ oxidant (which absorbed much of the incident light) and instability of the Ir photocatalyst in the presence of excess TBAOAc base.

10. See SI.

11. (a) These shifts in photocatalyst absorption and emission spectrum only occur after irradiation. In the absence of irradiation, the addition of carboxylate-containing substrates to MeCN

SYNOPSIS TOC

solutions of [Ir^{III}] do not result in shifting of the photocatalyst absorption or emission spectra, indicating the absence of noncovalent photosensitizer-substrate complexes. Such complexes has been implicated by such shifting in CH₂Cl₂ solutions.^{7,11b} (b) Farney, E. P.; Chapman, S. J.; Swords, W. B.; Torelli, M. D.; Hamers, R. J.; Yoon, T. P. Discovery and Elucidation of Counteranion Dependence in Photoredox Catalysis *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, *15*, 6385-6391

- 12. Previously reported reactions of **1** with thermal oxidants showed KIEs of 2-4. The batch-to-batch variability of **1-H** is on this same order, precluding conclusive determination of a KIE for photoinitiated reactions
- Warren, J. J.; Tronic, T. A.; Mayer, J. M. Thermochemistry of Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer Reagents and its Implications. *Chem. Rev.* 2010, *110*, 6961-7001.
- 14. In acetonitrile solution, the $pK_{a}s$ of acetic acid (22) and benzoic acid (24) are significantly higher than that of pyridine (13) see SI for further discussion and references.
- (a) Kütt, A.; Leito, I.; Kaljurand, I.; Sooväli, L.; Vlasov, V. M.; Yagupolskii, L. M.; Koppel, I. A. A Comprehensive Self-Consistent Spectrophotometric Acidity Scale of Neutral Brønsted Acids in Acetonitrile. *J. Org. Chem.* 2006, *71*, 2829-2838; (b) Lõkov, M.; Tshepelevitsh, S.; Heering, A.; Plieger, P. G.; Vianello, R.; Leito, I. On the Basicity of Conjugated Nitrogen Heterocycles in Different Media. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 2017, 4475-4489.
- 16. Loh, Y. Y.; Nagao, K.; Hoover, A. J.; Hesk, D.; Rivera, N. R.; Colletti, S. L.; Davies, I. W.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Photoredox-Catalyzed Deuteration and Tritiation of Pharmaceutical Compounds. *Science* **2017**, *358*, 1182-1187.
- 17. Gentry, E. C.; Rono, L. J.; Hale, M. E.; Matsuura, R.; Knowles, R. R. Enantioselective Synthesis of Pyrroloindolines Via Noncovalent Stabilization of Indole Radical Cations and Applications to the Synthesis of Alkaloid Natural Products. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 3394-3402.
- 18. The reduction reaction that re-forms the C-H or C-D bond could similarly be MS-CPET, or could proceed through a stepwise mechanism. See SI for discussion.; the data available do not allow a confident assignment. See SI Section 6.2 for discussion.
- 19. Galicia, M.; González, F. J. Electrochemical Oxidation of Tetrabutylammonium Salts of Aliphatic Carboxylic Acids in Acetonitrile. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2002**, *149*, D46-D50.
- 20. The relationship between observed quenching rate and benzoate concentration is non-linear over a large range of concentrations. This is an indication of side reactions.
- DeZutter, C. B.; Horner, J. H.; Newcomb, M. Rate Constants for 1, 5-and 1, 6-Hydrogen Atom Transfer Reactions of Mono-, Di-, and Tri-Aryl-Substituted Donors, Models for Hydrogen Atom Transfers in Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid Radicals. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2008, *112*, 1891-1896.

1

2

3

58

59

60

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

