

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical

Societies Publishing

Chemistry A European Journal



Accepted Article

Title: Intramolecular Halo Stabilization of Silyl Cations - Silylated Halonium- and Bis-Halo Substituted Siliconium Borates

Authors: Thomas Müller, Anastasia Merk, Lukas Bührmann, Natalie Kordts, Katharina Görtemaker, and Marc Schmidtmann

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Chem. Eur. J. 10.1002/chem.202004838

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202004838

WILEY-VCH

Intramolecular Halo Stabilization of Silyl Cations - Silylated Halonium- and Bis-Halo Substituted Siliconium Borates

Anastasia Merk, Lukas Bührmann, Natalie Kordts, Katharina Görtemaker, Marc Schmidtmann and Thomas Müller^{*[a]}

[a] MSc A. Merk, MSc L. Bührmann, Dr. N. Kordts, MSc K. Görtemaker, Dr. M. Schmidtmann, Prof. Dr. T. Müller Institute of Chemistry Carl von Ossietzky University Oldenburg Carl von Ossietzky, Str. 0.11, D. 26120, Oldenburg

Carl von Ossietzky-Str. 9-11, D-26129 Oldenburg, Federal Republic of Germany, European Union E-mail: thomas.mueller@uni-oldenburg.de

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: The stabilizing neighboring effect of halo substituents on silyl cations was tested for a series of *peri*-halo substituted acenaphthyl-based silyl cations **3**. The chloro- (**3b**), bromo- (**3c**) and iodo- (**3d**) stabilized cations were synthesized by the Corey protocol. Structural and NMR spectroscopic investigations for cations **3b-d** supported by the results of density functional calculations indicate their halonium ion nature. According to the fluorobenzonitrile (FBN) method, the silyl-Lewis acidity decreases along the series of halonium ions **3**, the fluoronium ion **3a** being a very strong and the iodoniumion **3d** a moderate Lewis acid. Halonium ions **3b** and **3c** react with starting silanes in a substituent redistribution reaction and form siliconium ions **4b** and **4c**. The structure of siliconium borate $4c_2[B_{12}B_{12}]$ reveals the trigonal bipyramidal coordination environment of the silicon atom with the two bromo substituents in the apical positions.

Introduction

Recent years have witnessed the transformation of silvl cations from laboratory curiosities to valuable reagents and catalysts in synthetic organic chemistry.^[1] These positively charged organosilicon compounds are of interest due to their high electrophilicity and Lewis acidity, properties that severely hampered their isolation and application.^[2] Significant progress in the preparation methods for silvl cations was needed before their synthetic potential could be exploited. In particular, the quest for non-coordinating reaction conditions has been omnipresent in silvl cation chemistry. This was guaranteed by the use of weakly coordinating anions (nearly exclusively halogenated tetraarylborates, aluminates, boranes and carboranes) and solvents such as arenes and halogenated arenes.^[3] The halo substitution is of significant importance for the stability of the anions as it increases their oxidation potential and lowers their nucleophilicity. Halogenated arenes as solvents differ in their reactivity versus silvl cations from aromatic hydrocarbons in so far as the most nucleophilic side is the halo substituent and not the aromatic π -system. This difference is obvious from the recorded ²⁹Si NMR chemical shifts^[2b] (Figure 1), it is manifested in solid state structures of the corresponding salts of the silyl cation solvent complexes^[4] and leads to distinctly different reactivity of the cation, for example versus carbon dioxide.^[5] The recent synthesis of the parent silylium ion [SiH₃]⁺ by the Oestreich group highlights the role of halogenated counter anions and halogenated arenes as solvents.^[6] In o-dichlorobenzene solution [SiH₃]⁺ exists as close ion pair with the brominated carborane anion with the silicon cation coordinated via a bromine atom to the anion (Figure 1). The solid-state structure of the silylium carborane revealed a one-dimensional polymeric structure with a trigonal-bipyramidal-coordinated silicon atom with two bromine substituents from two different carborane anions in the apical positions (Figure 1).



Figure 1. ^{29}Si NMR chemical shifts of triethylsilyl cation in different solvents and of silyl cation in solution and the solid state $^{[2b,\,6]}$

In addition, the interaction between halo substituents and silyl cations is the first step of each C-F or C-Cl activation by silyl cations or of the decomposition of silyl cations in halogenated solvents.^[2b, 7] Bissilylated halonium ions have been studied by our group^[8] and, more extensively, by the groups of Schulz and Wagner.^[9] The interaction between aryl halides and silyl cations has not been studied systematically. In view of the importance of

RESEARCH ARTICLE

halo stabilization in silyl cation chemistry, we included these substituents in our general study on neighboring effects on the properties of silylium ions.^[10] We synthesized the acenaphthyl silanes **1** and naphthyl silane **2** and studied their reactivity versus trityl cation (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Acenaphthyl silanes 1 and naphthyl silane 2.

Results and Discussion

peri-Halo-silyl-substituted acenaphthenes 1 and the 8-silylsubstituted bromonaphthalene 2 were synthesized according to established protocols and were fully characterized by standard analytical technics especially multinuclear NMR spectroscopy (see Supporting Information). The molecular structures of silanes 1a and 1c were obtained from X-ray diffraction analysis of suitable single crystals. Their molecular structures in the crystal are inconspicuous (Figure 3). Remarkable is that the fluoro substituted acenaphthyl silane 1a shows the on-set of an intramolecular Si/F interaction.[11] This is indicated by an almost linear alignment of the F/Si-H atoms (177.9°) and a Si/F separation of 300.9 pm that is significant shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (357 pm).^[12] In addition, a through space coupling of ^{TS}J = 5.7 Hz was detected in both ^{29}Si NMR ($\delta^{29}Si$ = 12.4) and ¹⁹F NMR spectra ($\delta^{19}F = -119.4$).^[13] The bromo substituted acenaphthyl silane 1c adopts a conformation that brings the Si-H in a syn-arrangement to the bromine atom. The H/Br separation is 292 pm, almost exactly the expectation value from the sum of the van der Waals radii (293 pm).^[12] The sum of the bond angles $\Sigma\beta$ involving the atoms defining the bay region of silane 1c (Si, C⁶, C¹², C⁵, Br) is 381.7°, which is larger than the value reported for acenaphthene ($\Sigma\beta = 368^{\circ}$).^[14] This indicates repulsion between the silvl and the bromo substituent in silane 1c.[15]



Figure 3. Molecular structure of silanes **1a,c** in the crystal (Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50 % probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; only the hydrogen atom attached to silicon is shown). Pertinent bond lengths [pm] and bond angles [°]: **1a**: F-C⁶ 136.22(16), Si-C⁵ 186.83(16), F/Si 300.87(10), Si-H 138.(3), F-C⁶-C¹² 117.89(12), Si-C⁵-C¹² 126.81(11), C⁵-C¹²-C⁶ 128.40(13),), $\Sigma\beta$ 373.1, $\Sigma\alpha(SiG_3)$ 336.7, F-Si-H 177.9. **1c**: Br-C⁵ 190.28(6), Si-C⁶ 188.79(6), Si-H 140.0(12), Br-C⁵-C¹² 122.89(4), Si-C⁶-C¹² 128.69(4), C⁵-C¹²-C⁶ 130.14(5), $\Sigma\beta$ 381.7, $\Sigma\alpha(SiG_3)$ 327.3 H/Br 292.1.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of bromonium borate $3c[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ and of siliconium borate $4c[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ from acenaphthyl silane 1c applying the Corey protocol.

Next, we attempted the synthesis of cyclic halonium borates 3[B(C₆F₅)₄] using the standard Corey protocol (Scheme 1).^[16] Surprisingly, the reaction of silane 1c with $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ at room temperature gave two silicon containing products that are identified by ²⁹Si NMR chemical shifts of $\delta^{29}Si = 107.9$ and $\delta^{29}Si =$ 82.6 in a ratio 65 : 35 (Scheme 1, path a), Figure 4a). The trityl cation was completely consumed during the reaction. These low field shifted ²⁹Si NMR signals indicated the formation of two silicon cationic species, which suggested that one product is formed in a consecutive reaction. To test this hypothesis, we run the reaction at T = -10°C in toluene and observed indeed the selective formation of the compound with $\delta^{29}Si = 107.5$ (Scheme 1, path b), Figure 4b). This ²⁹Si NMR chemical shift is indicative of an intramolecular bromo stabilized silyl cation. The recorded ²⁹Si NMR chemical shift is close to that reported for the silylated chloronium ion $[Et_3Si(CI-C_6H_5)]^+$ ($\delta^{29}Si = 99.9$)^[5] and in the range of related tetra-coordinated halo stabilized silyl cations 5 - 7 (Figure 5).^[17] The detailed analysis of the spectroscopic NMR data confirmed the expected formation of the silvlated bromonium ion 3c (see Supporting Information). This finding was supported by the results of NMR chemical shift calculations at the GIAO/M06L/def2tzvp//M06-2X/def2tzvp level of theory, which predict for an optimized molecular structure of cation 3c a ²⁹Si NMR chemical shift of δ^{29} Si(calc) = 109.^[18] The second species with a ^{29}Si NMR chemical shift of $\delta^{29}\text{Si}$ = 82.6 could be selectively synthesized by adding additional silane 1c at room temperature to a toluene solution of $3c[B(C_6F_5)_4]$. Although for a stoichiometric conversion two equivalents of 1c are needed in theory, the reaction was more selective when a threefold excess of the starting silane 1c at room temperature was reacted with the trityl borate (Scheme 1, path c), Figure 4c). The NMR spectroscopic data indicate the substitution of the cationic silicon atom with only one methyl group but with two acenaphthyl residues. Supportive for the structure elucidation of this cation was the detection of relative large ¹J(SiC) couplings of ¹J(SiC(aryl)) = 82 Hz and ¹J(SiC(alkyl)) = 62 Hz compared to those found for cation 3c $({}^{1}J(SiC(aryl)) = 76$ Hz and ${}^{1}J(SiC(alkyl)) = 54$ Hz). These large ¹J(SiC) coupling constants indicate an higher contribution of atomic s-orbitals to the Si-C bonds and suggest pentacoordination for the cationic silicon atom with the carbon substituents at the trigonal basis of the bipyramid. On the basis of the NMR data, we assign siliconium ion structure 4c to the

RESEARCH ARTICLE

observed species. No significant change was observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy at T = -40°C, which makes a dynamic equilibrium between two tetra-coordinated species less likely. In favor of this claim are ²⁹Si NMR chemical shift calculations for an optimized molecular structure of siliconium ion 4c, which predict a ²⁹Si NMR chemical shift of δ^{29} Si(calc) = 85. In addition, the ²⁹Si NMR data is in agreement with that reported for related terphenylbased siliconium ions 8 and 9 (Figure 5).^[19] Finally, the structure of siliconium ion 4c was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis from suitable single crystals of [4c]₂[B₁₂Br₁₂]. The electrophilicity of halonium ion 3c is high and it reacts with excess of the starting silane 1c to undergo a substituent redistribution reaction. These reactions between silyl cations and silanes are well documented for aryl and alkyl substituted silylium ions.[8, 20] We were not able to detect the volatile trimethylsilane but tetramethylsilane, a common follow-up product of further ligand exchange, was detected by NMR spectroscopy.^[21]



Figure 4. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR spectra of a) the reaction of silane 1c with 0.8 equiv. [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄] at r.t., in benzene-d₆; b) 0.9 equiv. [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄] at -10°C, in toluene-d₈; c) 1/3 equiv. [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄] at r.t., in benzene-d₆ (broad signal around δ^{29} Si = -110 is due to borosilicate glass of the NMR tube and the probe head inlet).



Figure 5. Examples of intermolecular ($[5(BrC_6H_5)]^+$) and intramolecular halo stabilized silyl cations 6-9 with different coordination environment and their ²⁹Si NMR chemical shifts.

The results of the Corey reaction of the other halo acenaphthyl silanes **1a**, **b**, **d** depend on the halo substituent (Scheme 2). The chloro acenaphthyl silane **1b** gave at ambient conditions in benzene a 40:60 mixture of the chloronium borate **3b**[B(C₆F₅)₄] (δ^{29} Si = 116.2) and the siliconium borate **4b**[B(C₆F₅)₄] (δ^{29} Si = 79.8). The assignments are supported by the results of ²⁹Si NMR chemical shift calculations for **3b** (δ^{29} Si(calc) = 117) and **4b** (δ^{29} Si(calc) = 79). The ratio **3b/4b** changed to 81:19 at T = -40°C in chlorobenzene as solvent. The selective formation of

chloronium borate **3b** was however not possible. Both silyl cations were identified in the mixture by their characteristic NMR parameter (see Supporting Information). In contrast, iodonium borate **3d**[B(C₆F₅)₄] is formed selectively and was characterized by NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 2 and Supporting Information). Most significant is the ²⁹Si NMR chemical shift of δ^{29} Si = 89.5, which agrees with the theoretically predicted value of (δ^{29} Si(calc) = 101). Finally, the reaction of the fluoro substituted acenaphthyl silane **1a** with [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄] in aromatic hydrocarbons gave according to ²⁹Si NMR an intractable mixture of compounds, even at T = -40°C in chlorobenzene and at T = -80°C in methylene dichloride. This observation suggests uncontrolled C-F activation through the incipient silyl cation (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Reaction of silane 1a,b,d with trityl borate according to the Corey protocol (1a: X = F, 1b: X = CI, 1d: X = I).

The influence of the carbon-skeleton on the formation of halonium versus siliconium ion was tested with the bromonaphthyl silane 2. In this case, we expected a more efficient stabilization of the silyl cation by the neighboring halo substituents.^[22] The standard Corey conditions (Scheme 3, path a)) allowed the synthesis of the bromonium borate $10[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ ($\delta^{29}Si = 94.6$, $\delta^{29}Si(calc) = 98$). The use of three equivalents of silane 2 (Scheme 3, path b)) did not result in the expected selective formation of siliconium borate 11[B(C_6F_5)₄] but gave even at higher reaction temperatures a mixture (25:75) of the cations 10 and 11. A noticeable decomposition of both cations took place at temperatures above $T = 40^{\circ}C$ and prevented further attempts to drive the reaction to completeness. The experimental NMR data suggest for the silicon atom in cation **11** (δ^{29} Si = 62.9) a trigonal bipyramidal coordination environment with one methyl group and two naphthyl substituents. NMR chemical shift computations for an optimized molecular structure of cation 11 support this assignment $(\delta^{29}Si(calc) = 61)$. All prepared halonium ions **3** and **10** are very reactive in solution and decompose upon standing into nonidentified products during days. The siliconium ions 4 and 11 are more stable in solution and in the solid state but are still highly reactive against nucleophiles.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Scheme 3. Synthesis of bromonium borate $10[\mathsf{B}(C_6F_5)_4]$ and of siliconium borate $11[\mathsf{B}(C_6F_5)_4]$ from naphthyl silane 2 applying the Corey protocol.

An XRD analysis of the bromonium borate $10[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ was possible from single crystals obtained from its mixture with Li[B(C₆F₅)₄] in benzene at room temperature. Both borates cocrystallized on standing at ambient temperature. In the crystal, cation and anion are well separated. The closest Si/F- or Br/Fcontacts are larger than the corresponding sum of the van der Waals radii (Si/F: 384 pm vs ∑vdWR: 357 pm; Br/F: 362 pm vs $\Sigma vdWR:$ 330 pm).^[12] The molecular structure of the cation is shown in Figure 6. It closely resembles that of related acenaphthyl-based, selanyl-stabilized silyl cations.[10a, 10b] The silicon atom in 10 is tetracoordinated with a significant trigonal flattening of the tetrahedral coordination at the silicon atom $(\Sigma\alpha(SiC_3) = 349.5^\circ)$. This is close for the values reported for silylium carboranes, e.g. [ipr₃Si][HCB₁₁H₅Br₆] atom ($\Sigma\alpha$ (SiC₃) = 351°).[23] The bromine atom is dicoordinated with an almost orthogonal arrangement of both substituents (C^1 -Br-Si = 91.1°). The silicon-bromine bond (242.5 pm) is significantly larger than standard value (230 pm)^[24] and also longer than measured in bissilyl-bromonium borate [(Me₃Si)₂Br][B(C₆F₅)₄] (238 pm).^[9a] The attractive interaction between the silicon and bromine atoms in cation 10 does not result in significant steric strain as both substituents are almost coplanar to the naphthyl backbone and the sum of the bay angles ($\Sigma\beta$ 358.5°) is almost identical to that of naphthalene ($\Sigma\beta = 359^{\circ}$).^[15a, 25]



Figure 6. Left: Molecular structure of bromonium ion **10** in the crystal of [**10**][B(C₆F₅)₄] * Li[B(C₆F₅)₄] * 0.5 C₆H₆ (Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50 % probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Pertinent bond lengths [pm] and bond angles [°]: Br-C¹ 193.1(3), Si-C² 183.8(3), Si-Br 242.52(8), C¹-Br-Si 91.1(9), Br-C¹-C⁹ 115.4(2), Si-C⁸-C⁹ 120.0(2), C¹-C⁹-C⁸ 123.0(3), $\Sigma\alpha$ (SiC₃) 349.5, sum of bay angles $\Sigma\beta$ 358.5. **Right**: 2D contour plots of the calculated Laplacian of the electron density, $\nabla^2\rho$ (r), in the Si – C¹ – Br plane of cation **10**. The molecular graph of the cation is projected onto the respective contour plot. Solid black lines show the bond paths, which follow the line of maximum electron density between bonded atoms. The corresponding bond critical points (bcp's) are shown as green circles. Red contours indicate regions of local charge depletion ($\nabla^2\rho$ (r) < 0); blue contours indicate regions of local charge depletion ($\nabla^2\rho$ (r) > 0).



Figure 7. Left: Molecular structure of siliconium ion 4c in the crystal of $[4c]_2[B_{12}B_{12}] \times 3 C_6H_4Cl_2$ (Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50 % probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Pertinent bond lengths [pm] and bond angles [°]: Br(1)-C⁵ 191.2(2), Br(2)-C⁵ 190.69(18), Si-C⁶ 188.8(2), Si-C⁶ 187.73(19), Si-Br 265.08(6), Si-Br' 270.00(6), Br-C⁵-C¹² 114.98(13), Br'-C⁵-C¹² 115.16(14), Si-C⁶-C¹² 117.35(14), Si-C⁶-C¹² 118.07(15), C⁵-C¹²-C⁶ 127.51(19), Br-Si-Br' 176.23(2), $\sum \alpha(SiC_3)$ 360.0, sum of bay angles $\Sigma \beta$ 360.3 and $\Sigma \beta'$ 360.8. right: 2D contour plot of the calculated Laplacian of the electron density, $\nabla^2 \rho(t)$, in the Si – C⁶ – Br plane of cation 4c. Relevant parts of the molecular graphs of the cation is projected onto the contour plot. Solid black lines show the bond paths, which follow the line of maximum electron density between bonded atoms. The corresponding bond critical points (bcp's) are shown as green circles. Red contours indicate regions of local charge depletion ($\nabla^2 \rho(t) > 0$).

Single crystals of siliconium dodecaborate [4c]2[B12B12] suitable for XRD analysis were obtained from 1,2-dichlorobenzene solution at room temperature. The molecular structure of siliconium ion 4c is almost of C₂-symmetry (Figure 7). The silicon atom is penta-coordinated and adopts a trigonal bipyramidal coordination environment. The trigonal basis is defined by the three carbon substituents. The deviation of the silicon atom from this plane is insignificant (0.7 pm). The bromine atoms take up the axial positions and the Br-Si-Br axis deviate slightly from linearity (Br-Si-Br = 176.2°). The Br-Si bonds are very long (265.1 and 275.0 pm) as expected for 3c-4e-Br-Si-Br bond. These bonds are even longer than Si-Br linkages in penta- or hexa-coordinated silicon complexes (227 – 252 pm).^[26] The molecular structure of siliconium ion 4c shows no structural indication of strain imposed by the two acenaphthyl substituents. The two C-Br-Si angles are almost perpendicular (88.8°, 87.3) and the sum of the bay angles of both acenaphthyl ligands are close to 360°, only slightly smaller than that of acenaphthene ($\Sigma\beta = 368^\circ$).^[14] This indicates attractive interaction between silicon and both bromo substituents.^[15]

The results of our computational investigation using the M06-2X density functional and the def2tzvp basis set reveal the significant stabilization of the cations **3b**, **10** and **4c** by the bromo substituents and classify cations **3b** and **10** as silylated bromonium ions and cation **4c** as penta-coordinated siliconium ion. This applied model chemistry provides calculated molecular structures for cations **3c**, **10** and **4c** that are close to those determined in the solid state by XRD. The deviation of the computed Si–Br bond lengths from the experimentally determined is less than 3 % (see Table 1 for a comparison of pertinent structural parameters). The Si/Br distances in halonium ions **3c** and **10** are significantly smaller than the sum of the van der Waals radii (393 pm)^[12] but they are larger by 8 % (**3c**) and 10% (**10**) than calculated for the acenaphthylsilyl bromide **12**. They are almost identical to the predicted Si–Br bond lengths in

RESEARCH ARTICLE

trimethylsilylated bromobenzene 13 (see Table 1, Figure 9). As expected from previous studies, the change from the acenaphthyl based cation 3c to the naphthyl cation 10 is connected with a slight decrease of the Si-Br bond lengths by 2.1 pm. Common to all investigated bromonium ions 3c, 10 and 13 is the pronounced trigonal flattening of the coordination environment of the silicon atom as indicated by the sum of the bonding angles around the silicon atom $\Sigma\alpha(SiC_3)$ of approximatively 350°. The calculated bond dissociation energy of the Si-Br bond in bromonium ion 10 is by 23 kJ mol⁻¹ smaller than predicted for the complex 13 between bromobenzene and trimethylsilylium and it is additionally decreased by 27 kJ mol-1 for the acenaphthyl-based cation 3c (Table 1 and Supporting Information). This suggests significant destabilization of the Si-Br linkage in the cyclic bromonium ions 3c and 10 which is not expected from structural indicators for strain as for example by the sum of the bay angles $\Sigma\beta$. This parameter is for both bromonium ions very close to the values calculated for the neutral hydrocarbons (naphthalene: $\Sigma\beta$ = 359.4°, acenaphthene: $\Sigma\beta$ = 366.7°). The analysis of the computed electron density of the cations 3c, 10 and 13 in the framework of Bader's quantum theory of atoms in molecules (QTAIM)^[27] reveals for all cations topological molecular graphs that enclose bond paths between the bromine and the silicon atoms. 2D Laplacian contour plots of these cations are very similar and Figure 6 provides the Laplacian contour plot of bromonium cation 10 as a representative example. The bonding interaction between the bromine and the silicon atom in cation 10 is typified as being strongly polar covalent by the properties at the bond critical point (bcp).^[28] The bcp is shifted to the electropositive silicon atom and it shows a relative large electron density ρ (bcp), a positive Laplacian $\nabla^2 \rho$ (bcp) and a negative total energy density H (bcp) (see Table 1). The NBO analysis,^[29] which is complementary to the QTAIM method, reveals a similar charge distribution in all three bromonium ions and a substantial increase of the positive charge at the bromine atom when compared to neutral silyl bromide 12. Finally, natural resonance theory (NRT)^[30] gives the halonium resonance structure 3c(A) overwhelming importance (Figure 8).



Figure 8. Leading reference structures of cation 3c and 4c according to the NBO/NRT method.



Figure 9. Compounds that are pertinent to the discussion.

A similar detailed quantum mechanical analysis for siliconium ion **4c** reveals the characteristic pattern of three-center-four-electron bonding involving a main group atom in a trigonal bipyramidal coordination environment. The two apical oriented Si-Br linkages in cation **4c** are significantly longer than standard Si-Br bonds, but smaller than the sum of the van der Waals radii. The interaction with the two bromine atoms stabilizes cation **4c** by 76 kJ mol⁻¹ and the QTAIM as well as the NBO analysis indicates rather ionic Si-Br bonds consistent with dominating halonium ion resonance structures **4c**(**A**) and **4c**(**B**) according to NRT calculations (Figures 7, 8, Table 1).

Table 1. Calculated characteristic parameters for the Si-Br linkage in cations **3c**, **10**, **4c** and related compounds (at M06-2X/def2tzvp, BDE: bond dissociation energy; WBI: Wiberg bond index, Ch: Natural charge according to the NBO analysis, ρ electron density at the bond critical point (bcp), $\nabla^2 \rho$: Laplacian of the electron density at the bcp; *H*: total energy at the bcp).

	cpd. 12	cpd. 13	cpd. 3c	cpd. 10	cpd. 4c
Si-Br [pm]	225.6	246.5	247.0	243.9 (242.5)	274.7; 272.1 (270.0; 265.1)
Σα(SiC₃) [°]	336.7	349.7	351.6	350.7 (349.5)	359.9 (360.0)
Σβ [°]	368.0	-	358.3	358.7 (358.5)	364.6;364.2 (360.8; 360.3)
BDE ^[a] [kJ mol ⁻¹]	-	136	86	113	76
WBI (SiBr)	0.88	0.54	0.56	0.57	0.33; 0.36
Ch(Si) [a.u.]	1.60	1.71	1.72	1.71	1.76
Ch(Br) [a.u.]	-0.35	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.20; 0.20
ρ [a.u.]	0.082	0.054	0.054	0.057	0.035; 0.035
∇²ρ [a.u.]	0.092	0.031	0.032	0.042	0.009; 0.012
H [a.u.]	-0.044	-0.025	-0.026	-0.025	-0.001; -0.001

^[a] Calculated using appropriate isodesmic equations, see Supporting Information, Schemes S3-S5 and Tables S8-S9 for details.

There are two slightly different mechanistic proposals for the substituent redistribution reactions between silyl cations and silanes.^[8, 20b, 20d] Central to both mechanisms is the formation of the 1,1-*bis*silylated arenium ion **14** by electrophilic attack of the bromonium **3c** on silane **1c**. There is the possibility of a direct 1,3-methyl group migration between both silicon atoms generating

RESEARCH ARTICLE

one equivalent of trimethylsilane and cation 4c.^[8, 20b] Alternatively, a preceding 1,2-silyl shift to give the 1,2-disilyl substituted arenium ion **15** and a subsequent 1,4-Me-shift is possible.^[20d] Calculations at the M06-2X/def2tzvp level of theory indicate that the overall reaction to give siliconium ion 4c and Me₃SiH is slightly exothermic (Figure 10). Inclusion of entropy and solvent effects in our computational model render the reaction thermo-neutral, which suggests that the formation of the volatile Me₃SiH and its further disproportionation is an important driving force. The formation of key intermediates **14** and **15** is slightly exothermic but entropically not favored. The computed relative energies for both intermediates in question are plausible for a reaction proceeding at or slightly below room temperature.



Figure 10. Proposed mechanism of the substituent redistribution reaction to give siliconium ion **4c**. Relative absolute energies E^{rel} and Gibbs enthalpies $G^{rel}(C_6H_6, 298, 28.1)$ benzene, at T= 298 K and p = 28.1 MPa of the intermediates were calculated at PCM/M06-2x/def2tzvp and are given in brackets in kJ mol⁻¹.

Next, we tested the Lewis acidity of the series of silylhalonium ions 3 using the recently developed p-fluorobenzonitrile (FBN). probe, which uses the ¹⁹F NMR chemical shift of p-fluorobenzonitrile complexes of Lewis acids to gauge their acidity.[10b] In order to include the fluoronium 3a in this comparison, we synthesized cation 3a in the presence of one equivalent of FBN in benzene-d₆ (Scheme 4). The ionization of 1a in the presence of one equivalent of FBN yields quantitatively the nitrilium borate **16a**[B(C₆F₅)₄] (δ^{29} Si = 22.1, ¹J(SiC) = 63 and 83 Hz, δ^{19} F(CF) = -122.13, see also the Supporting Information). The increase of the ¹J(SiC) coupling constants upon ionization indicates an increase of the coordination number (CN) for the silicon atom from CN = 4 (1a) to CN = 5 (16a) (1a: ¹J(SiC) = 53 and 66 Hz) This suggests simultaneous coordination of the nitrile and the fluoro substituent to the silicon. The decisive ¹⁹F NMR chemical shift of the *para*-fluorine nuclei is δ^{19} F = -87.8. This places fluoronium ion 3a at the very Lewis acidic end of the FBN-scale close to that of non-stabilized triarylsilylium ions (Me₅C₆)₃Si⁺ (δ^{19} F = -87.3). The FBN value of the chloronium ion 3b was determined from the obtained mixture with the siliconium ion 4b and those of the halonium ions 3c, d from freshly prepared samples in benzene. The results shown in Figure 11 indicate the expected decrease of the silyl-Lewis acidity from fluorine to iodine as remote substituent and it mirrors the increase of the strength of the silicon-halogen bond from fluorine to iodine (BDE(Si-F) = 25, BDE(Si-Cl) = 72, BDE(Si-Br) = 86, BDE(Si-I) = 98 kJ mol⁻¹, see Table S9). Interestingly, the different Lewis acidity of cations **3** reflects also their reactivity in the formation of the corresponding siliconium ion **4**. The iodonium ion **3d** is not reactive enough to undergo the initial electrophilic attack on silane **1d** (Figure 10) even when excess silane is applied. The chloronium ion **3b** is more Lewis acidic and undergoes the addition to silane **1b** even at low temperatures. Finally, for the bromonium ion **3c** the formation of siliconium ion **4c** can be controlled by temperature and stoichiometry of the reaction.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of nitrilium borate $16a[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ from acenaphthyl silane 1a



Figure 11. The FBN acidity scale for silylhalonium ions 3 in benzene.

Conclusion

The intramolecular interaction between remote halo substituents and silyl cations was studied for peri-substituted acenaphthenes and for bromo substituted naphthalenes. While the acenaphthylbased silvlated fluoronium ion 3a could not be synthesized, the corresponding iodonium ion 3d was formed selectively using the Corey-hydride transfer reaction. Similarly, the chloronium and bromonium borates $3b[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ and $3c[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ were synthesized. Both silvlated halonium ions are however reactive against the starting silane 1b and 1c and undergo substituent redistribution reactions to form penta-coordinated siliconium ions 4b and 4c. Control over the reaction conditions allows the selective synthesis of the silvlated bromonium ion 3c and the corresponding siliconium ion 4c. Analysis of the XRD data supported by the results of DFT calculations identifies cation 3c and likewise also the chloro and iodo species as silylated halonium ions. Siliconium ions 4b and 4c represent rare examples of organo substituted silicon cations with two stabilizing halogen substituents and bromo stabilized species 4c is the first structurally characterized example of a dibromo organosiliconium ion.^[26, 31] The Lewis acidity of the silylated halonium ions was evaluated using the recently developed FBN method.^[10b] This method shows the expected trend of decreasing silyl-Lewis acidity from fluoro to iodo substituent which is paralleled by an increase of the silicon-halogen bond energy. The silyl fluoronium ion **3a** is a strong Lewis acid reaching the acidity of non-stabilized silylium ions, and the Lewis acidity of the iodo species is slightly higher than that of related sulfur-stabilized cations.^[10b]

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG-Mu1440/12-1, INST 184/108-1 FUGG).

Keywords: silicon • Lewis acidity • neighboring effect • silicon cations • hypercoordination

- aJ. S. Siegel, Nature Reviews Chemistry 2020, 4, 4-5; bJ. C. L. Walker, H. F. T. Klare, M. Oestreich, Nature Reviews Chemistry 2020, 4, 54-62.
- aT. Müller, Advances in Organometallic Chemistry 2005, 53, 155-215;
 bT. Müller, in Functional Molecular Silicon Compounds I, Vol. 155 (Ed.: D. Scheschkewitz), Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2014, pp. 107-162; cH.
 F. T. Klare, M. Oestreich, Dalton Transactions 2010, 39, 9176-9184; dV.
 Y. Lee, Russian Chemical Reviews 2019, 88, 351-369.
- [3] aC. A. Reed, Accounts of Chemical Research 1998, 31, 133-139; bl. Krossing, I. Raabe, Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2004, 43, 2066-2090; cT. A. Engesser, M. R. Lichtenthaler, M. Schleep, I. Krossing, Chemical Society Reviews 2016, 45, 789-899; dl. M. Riddlestone, A. Kraft, J. Schaefer, I. Krossing, Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2018, 57, 13982-14024; eS. P. Fisher, A. W. Tomich, S. O. Lovera, J. F. Kleinsasser, J. Guo, M. J. Asay, H. M. Nelson, V. Lavallo, Chemical Reviews 2019, 119, 8262-8290; fM. Kessler, C. Knapp, V. Sagawe, H. Scherer, R. Uzun, Inorganic Chemistry 2010, 49, 5223-5230.
- [4] aM. F. Ibad, P. Langer, A. Schulz, A. Villinger, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2011, 133, 21016-21027; bS. P. Hoffmann, T. Kato, F. S. Tham, C. A. Reed, *Chemical Communications* 2006, 767-769.
- [5] A. Schäfer, W. Saak, D. Haase, T. Müller, Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2012, 51, 2981-2984.
- [6] Q. Wu, E. Irran, R. Müller, M. Kaupp, H. F. T. Klare, M. Oestreich, *Science* 2019, 365, 168.
- [7] aV. J. Scott, R. Çelenligil-Çetin, O. V. Ozerov, Journal of the American Chemical Society 2005, 127, 2852-2853; bR. Panisch, M. Bolte, T. Müller, Journal of the American Chemical Society 2006, 128, 9676-9682; cC. Douvris, O. V. Ozerov, Science 2008, 321, 1188; dS. Duttwyler, C. Douvris, N. L. P. Fackler, F. S. Tham, C. A. Reed, K. K. Baldridge, J. S. Siegel, Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2010, 49, 7519-7522; eC. Douvris, C. M. Nagaraja, C.-H. Chen, B. M. Foxman, O. V. Ozerov, Journal of the American Chemical Society 2010, 132, 4946-4953; fN. Lühmann, R. Panisch, T. Müller, Applied Organometallic Chemistry 2010, 24, 533-537; gD. G. Gusev, O. V. Ozerov, Chemistry – A European Journal 2011, 17, 634-640; hO. Allemann, S. Duttwyler, P. Romanato, K. K. Baldridge, J. S. Siegel, Science 2011, 332, 574.
- [8] N. Lühmann, H. Hirao, S. Shaik, T. Müller, Organometallics 2011, 30, 4087-4096.
- aM. Lehmann, A. Schulz, A. Villinger, Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2009, 48, 7444-7447; bA. Budanow, T. Sinke, J. Tillmann, M. Bolte, M. Wagner, H.-W. Lerner, Organometallics 2012, 31, 7298-7301; cA. Budanow, M. Bolte, M. Wagner, H.-W. Lerner, European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry 2015, 2015, 2524-2527.
- [10] aN. Kordts, S. Künzler, S. Rathjen, T. Sieling, H. Großekappenberg, M. Schmidtmann, T. Müller, *Chemistry A European Journal* **2017**, *23*, 10068-10079; bS. Künzler, S. Rathjen, A. Merk, M. Schmidtmann, T. Müller, *Chemistry A European Journal* **2019**, *25*, 15123-15130; cT.

Müller, S. Künzler, S. Rathjen, K. Rüger, M. S. Würdemann, M. Wernke, P. Tholen, C. Girschik, M. Schmidtmann, Y. Landais, *Chemistry – A European Journal* **2020**, doi: /10.1002/chem.202002977.

- [11] E. Hupf, M. Olaru, C. I. Raţ, M. Fugel, C. B. Hübschle, E. Lork, S. Grabowsky, S. Mebs, J. Beckmann, *Chemistry A European Journal* 2017, 23, 10568-10579.
- [12] M. Mantina, A. C. Chamberlin, R. Valero, C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 2009, *113*, 5806-5812.
- [13] J.-C. Hierso, Chemical Reviews 2014, 114, 4838-4867.
- [14] A. C. Hazell, R. G. Hazell, L. Norskov-Lauritsen, C. E. Briant, D. W. Jones, *Acta Cryst. C* 1986, 42, 690-693.
- [15] aF. R. Knight, A. L. Fuller, M. Bühl, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. D. Woollins, *Chemistry – A European Journal* **2010**, *16*, 7503-7516; bL. K. Aschenbach, F. R. Knight, R. A. M. Randall, D. B. Cordes, A. Baggott, M. Bühl, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. D. Woollins, *Dalton Transactions* **2012**, *41*, 3141-3153.
- [16] aJ. Y. Corey, Journal of the American Chemical Society 1975, 97, 3237-3238; b.
- [17] aR. J. Wehmschulte, K. K. Laali, G. L. Borosky, D. R. Powell, Organometallics 2014, 33, 2146-2149; bP. Romanato, S. Duttwyler, A. Linden, K. K. Baldridge, J. S. Siegel, Journal of the American Chemical Society 2011, 133, 11844-11846.
- [18] The Gaussian G09 program was used for the computations. For details and for the full reference to the Gaussian program, see Supporting Information.
- [19] P. Romanato, S. Duttwyler, A. Linden, K. K. Baldridge, J. S. Siegel, Journal of the American Chemical Society 2010, 132, 7828-7829.
- [20] AA. Schäfer, M. Reißmann, A. Schäfer, W. Saak, D. Haase, T. Müller, Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2011, 50, 12636-12638; bA. Schäfer, M. Reißmann, S. Jung, A. Schäfer, W. Saak, E. Brendler, T. Müller, Organometallics 2013, 32, 4713-4722; cK. Müther, P. Hrobárik, V. Hrobáriková, M. Kaupp, M. Oestreich, Chemistry – A European Journal 2013, 19, 16579-16594; dL. Omann, B. Pudasaini, E. Irran, H. F. T. Klare, M.-H. Baik, M. Oestreich, Chemical Science 2018, 9, 5600-5607.
- [21] aR. Labbow, F. Reiß, A. Schulz, A. Villinger, Organometallics 2014, 33, 3223-3226; bA. Feigl, I. Chiorescu, K. Deller, S. U. H. Heidsieck, M. R. Buchner, V. Karttunen, A. Bockholt, A. Genest, N. Rösch, B. Rieger, Chemistry A European Journal 2013, 19, 12526-12536; cS. J. Connelly, W. Kaminsky, D. M. Heinekey, Organometallics 2013, 32, 7478-7481; dJ. Chen, E. Y. X. Chen, Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2015, 54, 6842-6846; eK. Bläsing, R. Labbow, D. Michalik, F. Reiß, A. Schulz, A. Villinger, S. Walker, Chemistry A European Journal 2020, 26, 1640-1652.
- [22] J. Beckmann, E. Hupf, E. Lork, S. Mebs, *Inorganic Chemistry* 2013, 52, 11881-11888.
- [23] Z. Xie, J. Manning, R. W. Reed, R. Mathur, P. D. W. Boyd, A. Benesi, C. A. Reed, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1996**, *118*, 2922-2928.
- [24] P. Pyykkö, M. Atsumi, Chemistry A European Journal 2009, 15, 12770-12779.
- [25] C. P. Brock, J. D. Dunitz, Acta Crystallographica Section B 1982, 38, 2218-2228.
- [26] J. Wagler, U. Böhme, E. Kroke, in *Functional Molecular Silicon Compounds I: Regular Oxidation States* (Ed.: D. Scheschkewitz), Springer International Publishing, Cham, **2014**, pp. 29-105.
- [27] R. F. W. Bader, Accounts of Chemical Research 1985, 18, 9-15.
- [28] P. Macchi, A. Sironi, Coordination Chemistry Reviews 2003, 238-239, 383-412.
- [29] A. E. Reed, L. A. Curtiss, F. Weinhold, *Chemical Reviews* 1988, 88, 899-926.
- [30] E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, F. Weinhold, Journal of Computational Chemistry 1998, 19, 628-646.
- [31] D. Kost, I. Kalikhman, Accounts of Chemical Research 2009, 42, 303-314.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Entry for the Table of Contents

X = CI B X = CI Br

Silyl cation or halonium ion? That's the question. The Corey reaction of acenaphthyl- and naphthyl-based silanes allows the synthesis of silyl halonium ions, which nature was confirmed by NMR spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction analysis and DFT calculations. Moreover, the chloro- and bromonium ion can react with the starting silane to form penta-coordinated siliconium ions, which are intramolecularly stabilized by electron donation from both halogen atoms.