Remote Asymmetric Induction in Reactions between 4- and 5-Benzyloxypent-2-enyl(tributyl)stannanes and Chiral Imines prepared from Butyl Glyoxylate

David J. Hallett and Eric J. Thomas*

Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK M13 9PL

Transmetallation of 4- and 5-benzyloxypent-2-enyl(tributyl)stannanes 13 and 18 with tin(iv) chloride generates intermediate allyltin trichlorides which react with imines 9 and ent-9, prepared from butyl glyoxylate and either (R)-or (S)-1-phenylethylamine, with effective 1,5-asymmetric induction.

Allylstannanes are being developed into useful reagents for stereoselective synthesis.¹ Recently, 4-, 5- and 6-alkoxyalk-2-enylstannanes and analogous amino- and alkylthio-stannanes have been shown to react with tin(IV) halides to generate allyltin trihalides which react with aldehydes with effective 1,5-, 1,6- and 1,7-asymmetric induction.² We now report the results of a study of their reactions with chiral imines.

Imines react with allyl(trialkyl)stannanes in the presence of strong Lewis acids, typically BF₃·OEt₂ or TiCl₄, to give homoallylic amines³ with useful stereoselectivity in favour of the *syn* products 3.⁴

Tin(IV) halides are more effective than other Lewis acids, including BF₃·OEt₂ and TiCl₄, in promoting stereoselective reactions between 5-alkoxyallylstannanes and aldehydes. In particular, the participation of an allyltin trihalide in which the electron-deficient tin is coordinated to the oxygen-containing substituent is believed to be important for remote asymmetric induction.^{2,5} It was therefore necessary to establish conditions for reactions between allyltin trihalides and imines before the effect of remote substituents in the stannane on the stereoselectivity of the process could be investigated.

Preliminary investigations into reactions between prop-2-enyltin trichloride **4**, generated from prop-2-enyl(tributyl)-stannane and SnCl₄,⁶ and simple imines were not encouraging. For example, no reaction was observed at -78 °C between the propenyltin trichloride **4** and the benzaldehyde-derived imines **5** and **6**. However, imines activated towards nucleophilic attack by electron-withdrawing substituents gave useful yields of products. The *N*-ethoxycarbonylimine **7**⁷ gave 1-(ethoxycarbonylamino)-1-phenylbut-3-ene **8**, and the imine **9**,⁸ prepared from butyl glyoxylate and (*R*)-1-phenylethylamine, reacted stereoselectively to give a mixture of the (2*S*)- and (2*R*)-diastereoisomers **10** and **11**, ratio 93:7, respectively. The

(syn: anti = 20:1)Мe NHCO₂Et 4, 2 h, -78 °C 5 R = CHMePh 8 $6 R = 4-MeO_2CC_6H_4$ **KOBu**^t Me Me Ме HN BuO₂C BuO₂C 10 Me O BuO₂C 12

stereoselectivity of this reaction is of interest because the analogous reaction with prop-2-enyl 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane is known to proceed with the opposite stereoselectivity, 10 and 11 being obtained in a ratio of 10:90.8

Structures were assigned to the products 10 and 11 on the basis of spectroscopic data and comparison with samples prepared using prop-2-enyl 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane.⁸ ¹H NMR spectra were useful for assigning the configuration at C(2) relative to the imine-derived chiral centre in products prepared from the imine 9, since 2-H was invariably more shielded for the *syn* epimer, compare δ 3.1 for 11 with δ 3.35 for the *anti* epimer 10. The stereochemistry of 10 was also confirmed by an X-ray crystal structure determination for its 1,1,1-trichloro-2-methylpropyloxycarbonyl derivative 12. Interestingly, the *anti* epimer 10 gave a mixture containing predominantly *syn* diastereoisomer 11, ratio 10:11 = 15:85, on treatment with KOBu^t and acid.

The stereochemistry of reactions between the allyltin trichloride generated from (4S)-4-benzyloxypent-2-enyl(tributyl)stannane **13** and the imine **9** and its enantiomer, **ent-9**, was found to be controlled by the stannane. Addition of the (R)-imine **9** to the allyltin trichloride formed by transmetallation of the (4S)-stannane **13** with SnCl₄ gave the (2R,6S,4E)-heptenoate **14** containing less than 10% of a minor product believed to be its (2S)-diastereoisomer **15**. The (S)-imine **ent-9** similarly

gave the (2R,6S,4E)-heptenoate **16** containing only ca. 4% of the (2S) epimer **17**. However, the analogous reactions of the (4R)-5-benzyloxypent-2-enylstannane **18** showed a matching and a mismatching with the two enantiomeric imines. The (4R)-stannane **18** is matched with the (R)-imine **9** since the (2S,6R,4E)-heptenoate **19** was obtained with excellent stereoselectivity together with only 5% of a minor product believed to be the (2R)-epimer **20**. In contrast, the (S)-imine **ent-9** gave rise to the formation of two products identified as the (2S,6R,4E)-heptenoate **21** together with its (2R)-diastereoisomer **22** in a ratio of 55:45.

The structures of these products were established on the basis of spectroscopic data and by conversion into the butyrolactones **26** and **27**, authentic samples of which were prepared from the 2-aminopent-4-enoates **10** and **11**, see Scheme 1. The butyl (2S)-2-[(R)-1-phenylethylamino]pent-4-enoate **10** was converted into its cbz-derivative **23** (cbz = carbobenzyloxy) which, on ozonolysis with a reductive work-up followed by further reduction with sodium borohydride, gave the alcohol **24**. Lactonisation and deprotection gave the (2S)-2-[(R)-1-phenylethylamino]butyrolactone **26**. The butyl (2R)-2-[(R)-1-phenylethylamino]pent-4-enoate **11** was similarly converted into the

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, cbz-Cl, K₂CO₃, 75–95% ii, ozone, then dimethyl sulfide; iii, sodium borohydride, 77–86% over the two steps; iii, conc. aq. HCl in MeOH; v, ammonium formate, 10% Pd/C, 72–85% over the two steps

Scheme 2

(2R)-2-[(R)-1-phenylethylamino]butyrolactone **27**. Similar protection, ozonolysis, cyclisation and deprotection of the heptenoates **14**, **16** and **19** gave the butyrolactone **26**, respectively, so establishing the configurations of these heptenoates at C(2). The configurations so obtained were also consistent with the relative chemical shifts of the C(2) protons in their ¹H NMR spectra as discussed above. The (E)-geometries of the double bonds in the heptenoates **14**–17 and **19**–22 were assigned on the basis of their 4,5-coupling constants which were typically 16 Hz. The configurations of the products **21** and **22**, from the mismatched reaction between stannane **18** and the imine **ent-9**, at C(2) were assigned on the basis of their 2-H chemical shifts.

The stereoselectivities of the SnCl₄ promoted reactions between the imines 9 and ent-9 and the chiral allylstannanes 13 and 18 are believed to be due to the superimposition of the intrinsic facial selectivity of the imine and the 1,5-stereoselectivity of the stannane. Scheme 2 depicts an open-chain transition state 29 for the matched reaction of the allyltin trichloride 28, derived from the (4S)-4-benzyloxystannane 13, and the (S)imine ent-9, which is consistent with the 1,5-anti stereoselectivity observed with this stannane, the formation of the (E)double bond and the facial preference of the imine. This transition state is reminiscent of those proposed for Lewis acid catalysed reactions between aldehydes and allylstannanes which also give rise to (E)-alkenols. Alternatively, the sixmembered, chair-like cyclic transition state 30 may be involved,10 in which the bulky group on the imine nitrogen may be responsible for destabilising the alternative transition state in which the group α to tin as axial, cf. reactions of the allyltin trichloride 28 with aldehydes. Analogous transition states involving the allyltin trichloride 31 are consistent with the 1,5-syn preference observed for the reactions of the (4R)-5-benzyloxypentenylstannane 18. Present work is concerned with reactions of the chiral stannanes with achiral imines.

We thank Glaxo Group Research, the EPSRC and the DTI for a studentship (to D. J. H.) under the LINK Asymmetric Synthesis Porgramme, and Dr R. A. E. Carr of Glaxo for help, support and guidance.

Received, 5th December 1994; Com. 4/07432G

References

- 1 W. R. Roush, in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, ed. C. H. Heathcock, Pergamon, Oxford, 1991, vol. 2, p. 1.
- A.H. McNeill and E. J. Thomas, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 6239;
 1992, 33, 1369; J. S. Carey and E. J. Thomas, Synlett., 1992, 585;
 Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 3935; J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.,
 1994, 283; S. J. Stanway and E. J. Thomas, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.,
 1994, 285; Synlett., in the press; A. H. McNeill and E. J. Thomas, Synthesis, 1994, 322.
- M. A. Ciufolini and G. O. Spencer, J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 4739; Y. Yamamoto and M. Schmid, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 1310; R. Yamaguchi, M. Moriyasu, M. Yoshioka and M. Kawanisi, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 287.
- 4 G. E Keck and E. J. Enholm, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 146.
- 5 J. S. Carey, T. S. Coulter and E. J. Thomas, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 3933.
- 6 S. E. Denmark, T. Wilson and T. M. Willson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 984.
- J.-B. Kim, A. B. Padias and H. K. Hall, Jr., *Macromolecules*, 1990, 23,
 21.
- 8 Y. Yamamoto, S. Nishii, K. Maruyama, T. Komatsu and W. Ito, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 7778.
- 9 Y. Yamamoto, H. Yatagai, Y. Ishihara, N. Maeda and K. Maruyama, Tetrahedron, 1984, 40, 2239.
- Y. Yamamoto, T. Komatsu and K. Maruyama, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 3115.