

Steric Effects in Metathesis and Reduction Reactions of Phosphinimines with Catechol- and Pinacolboranes

Sarah Hawkeswood, Pingrong Wei, James W. Gauld, and Douglas W. Stephan*

Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, University of Windsor, Windsor, Ontario, Canada N9B 3P4

Received February 14, 2005

A series of catecholboryl-phosphinimide complexes with the general formula $(\mu$ -(R₃PN)Bcat)_x (cat = O₂C₆H₄) have been synthesized via associative metathetical reactions. For R = Et, *n*-Bu, Ph, and *i*-Pr and $R_3 = n$ -Bu *t*-Bu₂ X-ray crystallography as well as solution NMR spectroscopy and reactivity studies reveal that these species are dimeric. In the case of R = t-Bu, the steric congestion results in the monomeric species, t-Bu₃PNBcat. Similarly, reactions of R(t-Bu)₂PNH (R = n-Bu, t-Bu) and i-Pr₃PNH with pinacolborane (HBO₂C₂Me₄ = HBpin) led to the formation of n-Bu(t-Bu₂)PNBpin, t-Bu₃PNBpin, and i-Pr₃PNBpin. Analogous reactions of smaller phosphinimines R_3PNH (R = Et or *n*-Bu) with pinacolborane (HBpin) generated free phosphine and the boron-containing product HN(Bpin)₂. In the related reactions of R₃PNPh or R₃PNAd (R = Et and n-Bu) and HBpin, the white crystalline solids PhHN(Bpin) or AdHN(Bpin) were isolated. HN(Bpin)₂ was also derived from the reaction of Et₃PNSiMe₃ and HBpin. Kinetic studies showed this reaction is first order in both reagents with a rate constant of $1.3(7) \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹. A mechanism involving a 1:1 donor-acceptor interaction of the phosphinimine and borane affording reduction of the phosphinimine to phosphine with concurrent formation of borylamine is proposed. Computational studies were performed to probe the steric effects on these reactions of phosphinimine and borane. Model reactions involving t-Bu₃PNH showed a lower activation barrier for protonolysis in comparison to phosphinimine reduction. In contrast, for the smaller phosphinimine H₃PNH, the activation barriers for phosphinimine reduction are lower. The causes of these steric effects are considered.

Introduction

Phosphinimine and phosphinimide complexes of main group elements and transition metals have been shown to exhibit wide structural diversity.^{1,2} Recently, reactivity studies of such compounds have drawn considerable attention. We have shown that early transition metal derivatives can yield highly effective catalysts for olefin polymerization.^{3–7} Meyer

- (2) Dehnicke, K.; Weller, F. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1997, 158, 103-169.
- (3) Hollink, E.; Wei, P.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 2004, 23, 1562-1569
- (4) Stephan, D. W.; Guerin, F.; Spence, R. E. v. H.; Koch, L.; Gao, X.; Brown, S. J.; Swabey, J. W.; Wang, Q.; Xu, W.; Zoricak, P.; Harrison, D. G. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2046-2048.
- (5) Stephan, D. W.; Stewart, J. C.; Guerin, F.; Courtenay, S.; Kickham, J.; Hollink, E.; Beddie, C.; Hoskin, A.; Graham, T.; Wei, P.; Spence, R. E. v. H.; Xu, W.; Koch, L.; Gao, X.; Harrison, D. G. Organometallics 2003, 22, 1937-1947.
- (6) Stephan, D. W.; Stewart, J. C.; Guerin, F.; Spence, R. E. v. H.; Xu, W.; Harrison, D. G. Organometallics 1999, 18, 1116-1118.

10.1021/ic050230k CCC: \$30.25 © 2005 American Chemical Society Published on Web 05/17/2005

and co-workers have demonstrated that phosphinimines themselves undergo metathesis with imines and catalyze imine/imine and imine/carbodiimine cross-metathesis.^{8,9} Moreover, phosphinimine-based ligands have been employed to support novel transition metal complexes,¹⁰⁻¹³ while theoretical studies have examined the electronic nature of phosphinimines and phosphinimide complexes.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Understand-

- Yue, N.; Hollink, E.; Guerin, F.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 2001, (7)20. 4424-4433.
- (8) Bell, S. A.; Meyer, T. Y.; Geib, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10698-10705.
- (9) Burland, M. C.; Meyer, T. Y. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 3438-3444. (10) Aparna, K.; Babu, R. P. K.; McDonald, R.; Cavell, R. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4400-4402.
- (11) Babu, R. P. K.; McDonald, R.; Cavell, R. G. Chem. Commun. 2000, 006, 481-482.
- (12) Kasani, A.; Babu, R. P. K.; McDonald, R.; Cavell, R. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1483-1484.
- (13) Kasani, A.; McDonald, R.; Cavell, R. G. Chem. Commun. 1999, 1993-1994
- (14) Sundermann, A.; Uzan, O.; Milstein, D.; Martin, J. M. L. J. Am. Chem. *Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 7095–7104. (15) Lu, W. C.; Sun, C. C. *THEOCHEM* **2002**, *593*, 1–7.
- (16) Xue, Y.; Kim, C. K. J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 7945-7951.

Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 44, No. 12, 2005 4301

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be sent. E-mail: stephan@ uwindsor.ca.

⁽¹⁾ Dehnicke, K.; Krieger, M.; Massa, W. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 182, 19-65.

ing the structure-reactivity relationship continues to stimulate research endeavors. In our work to uncover strategies to improved olefin polymerization catalysts, one recurring theme has emerged: the resulting reactivity of phosphinimide complexes is determined by the admixture of the polarity of the P-N bond and the steric demands of the substituents on phosphorus.^{17–19} In an effort to probe the generality of these observations, we have begun to examine related main groupphosphinimide chemistry. Initially we probed the role of the steric demands and showed that while phosphinimines with small substituents were known to afford species of formula $B(NPR_3)_3$ ²⁰ sterically demanding phosphinimines gave the linear borinium salt [(t-Bu₃PN)₂B]Cl.²¹ Similar steric effects were observed in methyl-abstraction reactions of silvl and tin phosphinimines.²² Herein we describe steric effects on the reactions of phosphinimines with catechol and pinacolboranes.

Experimental Section

General Data. All preparations were performed under an atmosphere of dry O2-free N2 by employing either Schlenk-line techniques or a Vacuum Atmospheres glovebox. Solvents were purified employing Grubbs-type column systems manufactured by Innovative Technologies or were distilled from the appropriate drying agents under N₂. ClBcat, HBpin, n-Bu₃P, Et₃P, and N₃SiMe₃ were used as received from Sigma-Aldrich. t-Bu₃P and i-Pr₃P were purchased from the Strem Chemical Co. Modified literature procedures were used to synthesize the phosphinimines.⁵ ¹H, ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$, ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$, ${}^{11}B{}^{1}H$, and ${}^{19}F{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance spectrometers operating at 300 and 500 MHz, respectively. Deuterated benzene and toluene were purchased from Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories, vacuum distilled from the appropriate drying agents, and freeze-pump-thaw-degassed $(3 \times)$. C₆D₆ was used to record the NMR spectra unless otherwise indicated. Trace amounts of protonated solvents were used as references, and ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR chemical shifts (δ) are reported relative to SiMe₄. ³¹P{¹H}, ¹¹B{¹H}, and ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR spectra are referenced to 85% H₃PO₄, BF₃(OEt₂) and CFCl₃, respectively. Line widths at half-height ($\Delta v_{1/2}$) are reported in hertz. Combustion analyses were performed at the University of Windsor Chemical Laboratories. Infrared spectra were collected on a Bruker Vector 22 FT-IR spectrometer using Nujol mulls. Modified literature procedures were used to synthesize t-Bu₃PNSiMe₃, i-Pr₃PNSiMe₃, n-Bu₃PNPh, Et₃PNAd, Et₃PNH, n-Bu₃PNH, t-Bu₃PNH, PhN₃, Et₃PNH, and n-Bu₃PNH.²³⁻²⁹

- (17) Kickham, J. E.; Guerin, F.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11486–11494.
- (18) Kickham, J. E.; Guerin, F.; Stewart, J. C.; Stephan, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3263–3266.
- (19) Kickham, J. E.; Guerin, F.; Stewart, J. C.; Urbanska, E.; Ong, C. M.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 2001, 20, 1175–1182.
- (20) Moehlen, M.; Neumueller, B.; Dehnicke, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1998, 624, 177–178.
- (21) Courtenay, S.; Mutus, J. Y.; Schurko, R. W.; Stephan, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 498–501.
- (22) Courtenay, S.; Ong, C. M.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 2003, 22, 818–825.
- (23) Aksnes, G.; Froeyen, P. Acta Chem. Scand. 1969, 23, 2697-703.
- (24) Birkofer, L.; Kim, S. M. Chem. Ber. 1964, 97, 2100-1.
- (25) Froeyen, P. Acta Chem. Scand. 1972, 26, 1777-82
- (26) Lindsay, R. O.; Allen, C. F. H. Org. Synth. 1942, 22, 96-8.
- (27) Staudinger, H.; Meyer, J. Helv. Chim. Acta 1919, 2, 635-46.
- (28) Wiegraebe, W.; Bock, H. Chem. Ber. 1968, 101, 1414-27.
- (29) Wolfsberger, W. Z. Naturforsch., B 1978, 33B, 1452-6.

Synthesis of $((\mu - R_3 PN)Bcat)_2$ ($R_3 = Et_3 (1), n - Bu_3 (2), Ph_3 (3),$ *i*-Pr₃ (4), *n*-But-Bu₂ (5)), and *t*-Bu₃PNBcat (6). These compounds were prepared in a similar fashion, and thus, only one preparation is detailed. A solution of ClBcat (0.270 g, 1.75 mmol) in 20 mL of toluene was added to a solution of Et₃PNSiMe₃ (0.360 g, 1.75 mmol) in 2 mL of toluene and was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Toluene and SiMe₃Cl were removed in vacuo. The product was washed with pentanes $(3 \times 2 \text{ mL})$ and dried in vacuo. A white solid was isolated. Data for 1: yield 82%; ¹H NMR 7.10 (m, 4H, C₆H₄ (*o*-H)), 6.89 (m, 4H, C₆H₄ (*m*-H)), 1.22 (dg, 12H, CH₂, ${}^{2}J_{P-H} = 12$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 8$ Hz), 0.73 (dt, 18H, Me, ${}^{3}J_{P-H} =$ 17 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 8$ Hz); ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H}$ NMR 40.7; ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR 153.4 (s, C₆H₄ (*ipso*-C)), 119.0 (s, C₆H₄ (*o*-C)), 109.2 (s, C₆H₄ (*m*-C)), 16.9, 5.7 (s, Me); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR 8.1. Anal. Calcd: H, 7.63; C, 57.41; N, 5.58. Found: H, 7.67; C, 57.59; N, 5.55. Data for 2: vield 97%; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) 7.02 (m, 4H, C₆H₄ (*o*-H)), 6.84 (m, 4H, C₆H₄ (*m*-H)), 1.36 (m, 24H, CH₂CH₂), 1.07 (m, 12H, PCH₂), 0.76 (t, 18H, Me, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7.2 \text{ Hz}$); ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H}$ NMR 35.9; ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR 153.4 (s, C₆H₄ (*ipso*-C)), 118.9 (s, C₆H₄ (*o*-C)), 109.2 (s, C₆H₄ (*m*-C)), 25.7 (s, PCH₂), 24.8 (s, PCH₂CH₂), 24.0 (s, PCH_2CH_2 , 14.0 (s, Me); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR 6.0. Anal. Calcd: H, 9.32; C, 64.49; N, 4.18. Found: H, 9.77; C, 64.25; N, 4.09. Data for 3: yield 69%; ¹H NMR (C₆D₅CD₃) 7.70 (dd, 12H, PPh, ${}^{3}J_{P-H} = 13$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 8$ Hz), 6.99 (m, 22H, PPh, C₆H₄), 6.75 (m, 4H, C₆H₄); ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (C₆D₅CD₃) 34.1; ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (C₆D₅CD₃, partial) 132.8 (PPh), 131.8 (PPh), 128.8 (PPh), 121.3 (C₆H₄), 111.5 (C₆H₄); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR ($C_6D_5CD_3$) 8.4. Anal. Calcd: H, 4.85; C, 72.94; N, 3.54. Found: H, 5.07; C, 72.61; N, 3.58. Data for 4: yield 83%; ¹H NMR (C₆D₅CD₃) 6.95 (m, 4H, C₆H₄ (*o*-H)), 6.76 (m, 4H, C₆H₄ (*m*-H)), 1.82 (dseptet, 6H, *i*-Pr, ${}^{2}J_{P-H} = 12$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7$ Hz), 0.96 (dd, 36H, *i*-Pr, ${}^{3}J_{P-H} = 15$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7$ Hz); ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR $(C_6D_5CD_3)$ 49.2; ¹³C{¹H} NMR $(C_6D_5CD_3)$ 153.0 $(C_6H_4 (o-C))$, 121.6 (C₆H₄ (*o*-C)), 109.1 (C₆H₄ (*m*-C)), 25.2 (d, *i*-Pr), 17.2 (*i*-Pr); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (C₆D₅CD₃) 7.8. Anal. Calcd: H, 8.60; C, 61.46; N, 4.78. Found: H, 8.48; C, 61.23; N, 4.65. Data for 5: yield 60%; ¹H NMR 7.09 (m, 4H, C₆H₄ (*o*-H)), 6.80 (m, 4H, C₆H₄ (*m*-H)), 1.67 (m, 4H, PCH₂), 1.49 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂), 1.26 (m, 4H, CH₂Me), 1.03 (d, 36H, *t*-Bu, ${}^{3}J_{P-H} = 14$ Hz), 0.83 (t, 6H, Me, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7$ Hz); ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR 38.8; ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR 151.1 (s, C₆H₄ (ipso-C)), 121.4 (s, C₆H₄ (o-C)), 111.5 (s, C₆H₄ (m-C)), 36.6 (d, *t*-Bu, ${}^{1}J_{P-C} = 62$ Hz), 27.4 (s, *t*-Bu, 26.5 (d, CH₂, ${}^{3}J_{P-C} = 13$ Hz), 25.4 (d, CH₂CH₂, ${}^{3}J_{P-C} = 13$ Hz), 22.18 (d, CH₂Me, ${}^{3}J_{P-C} = 57$ Hz), 14.7 (s, Me); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR 24.4. Anal. Calcd: H, 9.32; C, 64.49; N, 4.18. Found: H, 9.29; C, 64.71; N, 4.18. Data for 6: yield 94%; ¹H NMR (C₆D₅CD₃) 7.09 (m, 2H, C₆H₄ (o-H)), 6.81 (m, 2H, C₆H₄ (*m*-H)), 1.19 (d, 27H, *t*-Bu, ${}^{3}J_{P-H} = 13$ Hz); ${}^{31}P{^{1}H}$ NMR (C₆D₅CD₃) 42.7; ${}^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR 151.2 (s, C₆H₄ (*ipso*-C)), 121.2 (d, C_6H_4 , J = 25 Hz), 111.4 (d, C_6H_4 , J = 28Hz), 40.4 (d, *t*-Bu, ${}^{1}J_{P-C} = 53$ Hz), 29.7 (s, *t*-Bu); ${}^{11}B{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (C₆D₅CD₃) 24.2. Anal. Calcd: H, 9.32; C, 64.49; N, 4.18. Found: H, 9.44; C, 64.10; N, 4.29.

Synthesis of (μ -**Et₃PN**)**Bcat**(**B**(**C**₆**F**₅)₃) (7). X (0.034 g, 0.135 mmol) was dissolved in 3 mL of toluene. To this solution was added solid B(C₆F₅)₃ (0.069 g, 0.135 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at ambient temperature for 10 min. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resulting white solid was isolated in 82% yield: ¹H NMR 6.78 (m, 2H, C₆H₄ (*o*-H)), 6.64 (m, 2H, C₆H₄ (*m*-H)), 1.65 (dq, 6H, PCH₂, ²*J*_{P-H} = 13 Hz, ³*J*_{H-H} = 8 Hz), 0.38 (dt, 9H, Me, ³*J*_{P-H} = 19 Hz, ³*J*_{H-H} = 8 Hz); ³¹P{¹H} NMR 68.0; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₅CD₃) 147.0 (s, BC₆F₅), 140.0 (s, C₆H₄ (*ipso*-C)), 139.1 (s, BC₆F₅), 135.3 (s, BC₆F₅), 122.7 (s, C₆H₄ (*o*-C)), 111.7 (s, C₆H₄ (*m*-C)), 17.6 (d, PCH₂, ¹*J*_{P-C} = 60 Hz), 5.9 (s, Me); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR 28.0 (BO), -6.4; ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR -162.4, -156.4, -131.8. Anal.

Calcd: H, 2.58; C, 48.60; N, 1.89. Found: H, 2.37; C, 48.55; N, 1.79.

Synthesis of n-Bu(t-Bu₂)PNBpin (8), t-Bu₃PNBpin (9), and *i*-Pr₃PNBpin (10). These compounds were prepared in a similar fashion, and thus only one preparation is detailed. To a solution of n-Bu(t-Bu)₂PNH (0.050 g, 0.23 mmol) in 3 mL of pentane was added HBpin (0.067 mL, 0.46 mmol). Gas evolution was observed upon addition of HBpin. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 8 h. The solvent and excess HBpin were decanted, and the solid was dried in vacuo and collected. Data for 8: yield 61%; ¹H NMR 1.72 (m, 2H, PCH₂), 1.50 (m, 2H, PCH₂CH₂), 1.30 (sextet, 2H, CH₂CH₂Me, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7$ Hz), 1.19 (s, 12H, OCMe), 1.11 (d, 18H, *t*-Bu, ${}^{3}J_{P-H} = 13$ Hz), 0.89 (t, 3H, CH₂Me, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} =$ 7 Hz); ³¹P{¹H} NMR 36.2; ¹³C{¹H} NMR 80.1 (s, OCMe), 36.7 (d, *t*-Bu, ${}^{1}J_{P-C} = 61$ Hz), 27.7 (s, *t*-Bu), 26.6 (d, CH₂CH₂CH₂, ${}^{3}J_{P-C}$ = 26 Hz), 25.6 (d, PCH₂CH₂), 25.1 (s, OCMe), 22.5 (d, PCH₂, ${}^{1}J_{P-C} = 56$ Hz), 14.4 (s, CH₂Me); ${}^{11}B{}^{1}H{}$ NMR 22.6. Anal. Calcd: H, 11.45; C, 62.98; N, 4.08. Found: H, 9.80; C, 61.97; N, 3.64. Data for **9**: yield 94%; ¹H NMR 1.27 (d, 27H, *t*-Bu, ${}^{3}J_{P-H} =$ 13 Hz), 1.24 (s, 12H, Me); ³¹P{¹H} NMR 40.2; ¹³C{¹H} NMR 80.1 (s, BOC), 40.5 (d, *t*-Bu, ${}^{1}J_{P-C} = 26$ Hz), 30.0 (s, *t*-Bu), 25.6 (s, Me); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR 23.4 ($\Delta v_{1/2} = 486$ Hz). Anal. Calcd: C, 62.98; H, 11.45; N, 4.08. Found: C, 62.85; H, 11.43; N, 4.07. Data for 10: yield 87%; ¹H NMR 1.86 (d septet, 3H, CHMe₂, ${}^{3}J_{P-H} =$ 11 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7$ Hz), 1.22 (s, 12H, CMe), 1.03 (dd, 18H, CHMe₂, ${}^{3}J_{P-H} = 15 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7 \text{ Hz}); {}^{31}P{}^{1}H} \text{ NMR 36.0}; {}^{13}C{}^{1}H} \text{ NMR}$ 80.1 (CMe), 25.7 (d, *i*-Pr, ${}^{1}J_{P-C} = 61$ Hz), 25.5 (CMe), 17.5 (*i*-Pr); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR 23.9. Anal. Calcd: H, 11.04; C, 59.81; N, 4.65. Found: H, 11.52; C, 57.61; N, 5.50.

Synthesis of HN(Bpin)₂ (11). Method i. HBpin (0.10 mL, 0.68 mmol) was slowly added to a pentane solution of n-Bu₃PNH (0.074 g, 0.34 mmol). Immediate gas evolution was observed. The solution was stirred at 25 °C for 2 h and concentrated to 1 mL from which a white precipitate was collected. The solid was dried in vacuo. This solid was redissolved in pentane from which X-ray-quality crystals of HN(Bpin)₂ were grown in 25% yield.

Method ii. HBpin (0.81 mL, 5.60 mmol) was slowly added to a pentane solution of Et₃PNH (0.355 g, 2.67 mmol). Immediate gas evolution was observed. The solution was stirred at 25 °C for 2 h. The solvent, Et₃P, and excess HBpin were removed in vacuo. The resulting white solid was washed (3 × 1 mL) with cold pentane, dried in vacuo, and isolated: yield 92%; ¹H NMR (partial) 1.04 (s, 24H, Me); ¹³C{¹H} NMR 82.8 (s, 4C, BOC), 25.1 (s, 8C, Me); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR 25.2; IR ν (N–H) 3334 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd: C, 53.59; H, 9.37; N, 5.21. Found: C, 54.09; H, 9.58; N, 5.22.

Synthesis of RHN(Bpin) (R = Ph (12), Ad (13)). These compounds were prepared in a similar fashion using either the Et₃PNR or *n*-Bu₃PNR derivatives, and thus, only a representative preparation is detailed. HBpin (0.3 mL, 2.06 mmol) was added slowly to a 5 mL pentane solution of PhNPn-Bu₃ (0.576 g, 1.96 mmol) resulting in a bright yellow solution. After 6 h of stirring, a colorless solution remained. At -33 °C the product precipitated as a white crystalline solid in 48% yield. Preparation from Et₃PNPh gave **12**. Data for **12**: yield 81%; ¹H NMR 7.09 (m, 4H, *m*-Ph, *o*-Ph), 6.77 (t, 1H, *p*-Ph, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 7$ Hz), 1.07 (s, 12H, Me); ¹³C{¹H} NMR 144.3 (s, *ipso*-Ph), 129.6 (s, *m*-Ph), 120.8 (s, *o*-Ph), 118.4 (s, *p*-Ph), 83.0 (s, BOC), 25.0 (s, Me); ¹¹B{¹H} NMR 24.1; IR v(N-H) 3357 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd: C, 65.79; H, 8.28; N, 6.39. Found: C, 65.56; H, 8.21; N, 6.62. Data for 13: yield 83%; ¹H NMR 2.37 (s, 1H, NH), 1.93 (s, 3H, Ad-CH), 1.81 (s, 6H, Ad-CH₂), 1.52 (m, 6H, Ad-CH₂), 1.14 (s, 12H, Me); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (partial) 46.0 (Ad-CH₂), 37.0 (Ad-CH₂), 30.7 (Ad-CH), 25.1 (CMe);

¹¹B{¹H} NMR 24.1. Anal. Calcd: H, 10.18; C, 69.33; N, 5.05. Found: H, 9.59; C, 69.77; N, 4.66.

Kinetic Experiments. Using a 1.5 M stock solution of Et₃PNSiMe₃ in toluene, aliquots (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, or 0.6 mL) were added to each of five 2 mL volumetric flasks containing HBpin (1 mL) and the integration reference PPh₃ (0.25 mL, 0.3 M in toluene). Similarly, aliquots of a 0.69 M stock solution of HBpin in toluene (0.57, 0.45, 0.34, or 0.227 mL) were added to Et₃PNSiMe₃ (0.5 g) and the Ph₃P reference solution. Aliquots of each solution were placed in a resealable NMR tube. The NMR tubes were heated in an oil bath maintained at 55 °C for the duration of the experiment. All of the above reactions were monitored using ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy. Each data set was collected at varying time intervals over a period of 7 days. Each spectrum was recorded with 32 consecutive 30° pulses and 1 s delay time. This delay time was shown to be adequate on the basis of the experiments conducted with varying delay times between pulses (1, 5, 10, 25, and 60 s) and known concentrations of reactants and products.

Computational Studies. Density functional theory calculations were carried out using the Gaussian 98³⁰ suite of programs. The B3 exchange functional,^{31,32} as implemented in GAUSSIAN 98,³³ was used in combination with the correlation functional of Lee, Yang, and Parr (LYP).³⁴ Optimized geometries³⁵ were obtained using the B3-LYP functional in conjunction with the 6-31G(d) basis set. Harmonic vibrational frequencies and zero-point vibrational energy (ZPVE) corrections were also calculated at this level of theory. Relative energies were calculated by performing single point energy calculations at the B3-LYP/6-311+G(2df)/B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p)+ZPVE. All bond lengths are in angstroms (Å) unless otherwise specified.

X-ray Data Collection and Reduction. Crystals were manipulated and mounted in capillaries in a glovebox, thus maintaining a dry, O₂-free environment for each crystal. Diffraction experiments were performed on a Siemens SMART System CCD diffractometer. The data were collected in a hemisphere of data in 1329 frames with 10 s exposure times. The observed extinctions were consistent with the space groups in each case. The data sets were collected ($4.5^{\circ} < 2\theta < 45-50.0^{\circ}$). A measure of decay was obtained by recollecting the first 50 frames of each data set. The intensities of reflections within these frames showed no statistically significant change over the duration of the data collections. The data were processed using the SAINT and XPREP processing packages. An empirical absorption correction based on redundant data was applied to each data set. Subsequent solution and refinement was performed

- (31) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648-52.
- (32) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 1372.
- (33) Stephens, P. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Chabalowski, C. F.; Frisch, M. J. J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 11623.
- (34) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785.
- (35) Optimized geometries and relative energies were also obtained at the MP2/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. The structures and relative energetics obtained are similar to those obtained at the B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.

⁽³⁰⁾ Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W. S., H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Stratmann, R. E.; Burant, J. C.; Dapprich, S.; Millam, J. M.; Daniels, A. D.; Kudin, K. N.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Cammi, R.; Mennucci, B.; Pomelli, C.; Adamo, C.; Clifford, S.; Ochterski, J.; Petersson, G. A.; Ayala, P. Y.; Cui, Q.; Morokuma, K.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Ortiz, J. V.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y. N., A.; Gonzalez, C.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B. G.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Head-Gordon, M.; Replogle, E. S.; Pople, J. A., *Gaussian 98 and 03*; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1998 and 2003.

	1	2	$3 \cdot CH_2Cl_2$	4	5	6	11	12
formula	H ₁₉ C ₁₂ BNO ₂ P	H ₃₁ C ₁₈ BNO ₂ P	H ₁₉ C ₂₄ BNO ₂ P•CH ₂ C ₁₂	H ₂₅ C ₁₅ BNO ₂ P	H ₃₁ C ₁₈ BNO ₂ P	H ₃₁ C ₁₈ BNO ₂ P	H ₂₅ B ₂ C ₁₂ NO ₄	H ₁₈ BC ₁₂ NO ₂
fw	251.07	335.23	494.16	293.14	335.23	335.23	268.95	219.09
a (Å)	10.189(2)	10.007(5)	13.7714(6)	12.298(2)	8.593(2)	14.167(7)	12.400(6)	9.928(6)
b (Å)	11.550(3)	10.283(5)	9.5732(5)	9.093(1)	16.421(3)	10.073(5)	10.446(5)	11.970(7)
<i>c</i> (Å)	11.881(3)	10.035(5)	17.6408(9)	15.410(2)	13.797(3)	15.201(8)	12.336(6)	12.500(7)
α (deg)	90	65.115(8)	90	90	90	90	90	111.68(1)
β (deg)	102.538(5)	84.46(1)	96.568(1)	101.719(3)	103.341(5)	113.254(9)	90.24(1)	92.85(1)
γ (deg)	90	61.646(7)	90	90	90	90	90	112.435(9)
cryst syst	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
space group	$P2_{1}/c$	P1	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_{1}/c$	<i>P</i> 1
$V(Å^3)$	1364.9(5)	980.3(8)	2310.4(2)	1687.1(3)	1894.3(6)	1993(2)	1597(1)	1244(1)
$d(\text{calc}) (\text{g cm}^{-1})$	1.217	1.136	1.380	1.154	1.175	1.117	1.118	1.170
Z	4	2	6	4	4	4	2	2
abs coeff, μ (cm ⁻¹)	0.191	0.148	0.374	0.163	0.154	0.146	0.079	0.077
data collcd	6502	4227	11201	8148	9150	8264	6628	5307
data $F_0^2 > 3\sigma(F_0^2)$	1962	2810	3335	2423	2722	2830	2287	3508
variables	154	208	289	181	208	208	175	290
R^b	0.0727	0.0477	0.0618	0.0559	0.0832	0.0366	0.0552	0.0687
$R_{ m w}{}^c$	0.012 02	0.0662	0.0943	0.1123	0.1601	0.0534	0.0863	0.1695
GOF	1.052	1.000	1.033	1.012	1.024	0.884	1.026	1.003

^{*a*} These data were collected at 20 °C with Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.710$ 69 Å). ${}^{b}R = \Sigma(F_{o} - F_{c})/\sigma(F_{o})$. ${}^{c}R_{w} = \{\Sigma[w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}]/\Sigma[\sigma(F_{o})^{2}]\}^{1/2}$.

using the SHELXTL solution package. Crystallographic data are listed in Table 1.

Structure Solution and Refinement. Non-hydrogen atomic scattering factors were taken from literature tabulations.36 The heavy atom positions were determined using direct methods employing the SHELXTL direct methods routine. The remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located from successive difference Fourier map calculations. The refinements were carried out by using full-matrix least- squares techniques on F. In the final cycles of each refinement, all non-hydrogen atoms were assigned anisotropic temperature factors in the absence of disorder or insufficient data. In the latter cases atoms were treated isotropically. C-H atom positions were calculated and allowed to ride on the carbon to which they are bonded. H-atom temperature factors were fixed at 1.10 times the isotropic temperature factor of the C-atom to which they are bonded. The H-atom contributions were calculated but not refined. The locations of the largest peaks in the final difference Fourier map calculation as well as the magnitude of the residual electron densities in each case were of no chemical significance. Additional details are provided in the Supporting Information.

Results and Discussion

The catecholboryl-phosphinimide complexes of the general formula (μ -(R₃PN)Bcat))_x (R = Et (1), *n*-Bu (2), Ph (3), *i*-Pr 4, *t*-Bu (6), R₃ = *n*-Bu *t*-Bu₂ (5)) were synthesized via the straightforward metathetical reaction of the corresponding R₃PNSiMe₃ and ClBcat in toluene at room temperature (Scheme 1). The ³¹P{¹H} chemical shifts of compounds 1-6 are downfield shifted relative to the starting material R₃PNSiMe₃, consistent with the deshielding of the phosphorus center by the electron-withdrawing boron atom. All of the resulting derivatives were characterized by ¹H, ³¹P{¹H}, ¹¹B{¹H}, and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, and X-ray crystallography. These data were consistent with the empirical formulation although the nature of the oligomerization could not be unambiguously determined. Scheme 1



Single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies revealed that complexes 1–5 are dimeric in the solid state and thus formulated as (μ -(R₃PN)Bcat)₂. These molecules have similar structures, and representative ORTEP drawings of 1 and 3 are presented in Figures 1 and 2. In these compounds, the phosphinimide nitrogen atoms bridge the two B centers giving a planar four-membered B₂N₂ core with B–N–B' and N–B–N' angles that are all very close to 90°. The resulting coordination sphere about B is thus composed of two N-atoms and the two O-atoms of the catecholate ligand yielding a pseudotetrahedral geometry. The N–B bond distances in 1–5 range from 1.529(5) to 1.573(6) Å, which are slightly longer than those observed for ((Br₂B(μ -



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of 1 with 30% thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted.

⁽³⁶⁾ Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. Int. Tables X-ray Crystallogr. 1974, 4, 71–147.



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of 3 with 30% thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted.



Figure 3. ORTEP drawing of 6 with 30% thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted.

NPMe₃))₂ (1.504(4) Å), (Cl₂B(μ -NPPh₃))₂ (1.527(2) Å), and $(Cl_2B(\mu-NPEt_3))_2 (1.510(2) \text{ Å}).^{37,38}$ The longer bond lengths in 1-5 are attributed to the presence of the electron-donating catecholate fragment. The P-N distances in 1-5 vary from 1.563(4) to 1.607(2) Å. The P–N–B angles range from 127.9(2) to $135.4(3)^{\circ}$ and are generally correlated to the steric bulk of substituents on P.

The X-ray crystallographic data for 6 revealed a monomeric structure (Figure 3). The P–N distance of 1.563(2) Å is longer than those seen in 2-5 and the average P-N bond lengths of the monomeric borylphosphinimide complexes: B(NPPh₃)₃ (1.549(5) Å) and HB(NPt-Bu₃)₂ (1.531(5) Å).^{20,21} The B–N distance of 1.369(3) Å is much shorter than those observed for 1-5 and those seen for B(NPPh₃)₃ (1.45(1) Å) and HB(NPt-Bu₃)₂ (1.41(5) Å). The geometry about B is approximately trigonal-planar with N-B-O angles of 123.6(2) and 128.8(2)° and a P-N-B angle of 145.6(2)°. This latter, relatively large angle is consistent with N to B π -donation. The monomeric nature of **6** is attributed to the steric bulk of the tert-butyl groups. The steric demands of the Pt-Bu₃ fragment have been recognized since Tolman developed the notion of cone angles.³⁹

The dimeric nature of 1-5 in solution was probed. The ¹¹B{¹H} NMR shift for compounds 1-4 ranges between 6.0



Figure 4. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra of 1, 4, and mixture of 1, 4, and (μ -Et₃-PN)(Bcat)₂(µ-i-Pr₃PN).

and 8.4 ppm, typical of four-coordinate B and thus consistent with a dimeric structure in solution. In the case of 6 the ¹¹B{¹H} NMR shift is 24.2 ppm typical of three-coordinate B, that is, a monomeric compound⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴ consistent with the solid-state structure. Compound 5 which is dimeric in the solid state exhibits a ¹¹B{¹H} NMR shift at 24.4 ppm. This implies a monomer-dimer equilibrium in solution that favor a monomer in solution. Such an equilibrium is also indicated by ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectroscopy on a mixture of 1 and 4. While both compounds gives rise to a single resonance in the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum, the mixture shows these signals as well as two additional sets of doublets. The latter resonances were was attributed to the dissymmetric dimer $(\mu$ -Et₃PN)(Bcat)₂(μ -*i*-Pr₃PN) (Figure 4). The P–P coupling between the P centers was observed to be 21 Hz. This exchange suggests that some small degree of dissociation of the dimers 1 and 4 occurs in solution allowing recombination to give the observed statistical mixture of all possible dimers. Similar exchange experiments were also conducted using 2 and 6. This resulted only in the observation of the resonances attributable to 2 and 6. This supports the view that compound 6 retains its monomeric nature both in solution and in the solid state. This is likely due to the steric crowding about the N atom which precludes further association.

In a similar vein, 1 reacts with with 2 equiv of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ to give the quantitative formation of $(\mu$ -Et₃PN)Bcat(B(C₆F₅)₃) 7 (Scheme 1). Spectroscopic data support this formulation. In particular, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR resonance shifts downfield to 68.0 ppm, consistent with coordination of the electrondeficient borane to the phosphinimide-N atom. In contrast and consistent with the above exchange studies, addition of

⁽³⁷⁾ Moehlen, M.; Harms, K.; Dehnicke, K.; Magull, J.; Goesmann, H.; Fenske, D. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1996, 622, 1692-1700.

⁽³⁸⁾ Moehlen, M.; Neumuller, B.; Faza, N.; Muller, C.; Massa, W.; Dehnicke, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1997, 623, 1567-1576.

⁽³⁹⁾ Tolman, C. A. Chem. Rev. 1977, 77, 313-348.

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Clegg, W.; Dai, C.; Lawlor, F. J.; Marder, T. B.; Nguyen, P.; Norman, N. C.; Pickett, N. L.; Power, W. P.; Scott, A. J. Dalton Trans. 1997, 839-846

⁽⁴¹⁾ Coapes, R. B.; Souza, F. E. S.; Fox, M. A.; Batsanov, A. S.; Goeta, A. E.; Yufit, D. S.; Leech, M. A.; Howard, J. A. K.; Scott, A. J.; Clegg, W.; Marder, T. B. Dalton Trans. 2001, 1201-1209.

⁽⁴²⁾ Marder, T. B.; Smith, P. S.; Howard, J. A. K.; Fox, M. A.; Mason, S. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 680, 165-172.

⁽⁴³⁾ Nguyen, P.; Dai, C.; Taylor, N. J.; Power, W. P.; Marder, T. B.; Pickett, N. L.; Norman, N. C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *34*, 4290–4291. Wescott, S. A.; Blom, H. P.; Marder, T. B.; Baker, R. T.; Calabrese,

⁽⁴⁴⁾ J. C. Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 2175-2182.



the Lewis acidic $B(C_6F_5)_3$ to the monomeric complex **6** results in no change in the NMR spectra, again reflecting the steric congestion about the nitrogen atom in **6**.

Reactions of $R(t-Bu)_2$ PNH (R = n-Bu, t-Bu) with HBpin led to vigorous evolution of H₂ and the formation of the compounds of empirical formulas $R(t-Bu)_2PNBpin$ (R = *n*-Bu (8), *t*-Bu (9)) (Scheme 2). These species were isolated as colorless oils after a few minutes reaction time in toluene or pentane solution, in yields of 61 and 94%, respectively. Similarly, reaction of 1 equiv of *i*-Pr₃PNH with 1 equiv of HBpin in toluene at box temperature produced the borylphosphinimide of empirical formula *i*-Pr₃PNBpin (10) as the major product in 87% yield. The ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$, ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$, and ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectra were consistent with the above formulations. The ¹¹B NMR shifts for 8-10 all range from 22.6 to 23.9 ppm typical of three-coordinate B centers implying that all of these species are monomeric in solution.⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴ This is not surprising given the lower Lewis acidity of Bpin derivatives in comparison to Bcat analogues. Interestingly, solutions of both 8 and 10 gave rise to downfield ³¹P resonances upon addition of B(C₆F₅)₃ presumably as a result of the generation of $(R_3PNBpin)B(C_6F_5)_3$, whereas the analogous addition to a solution of 9 results in no apparent reaction. The absence of reaction in the latter case is attributable to steric demands of the phosphinimide ligand.

Reaction of smaller phosphinimines R_3PNH (R = Et or *n*-Bu) with HBpin also resulted in the liberation of H_2 . However, monitoring these reactions by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy revealed the generation of the corresponding free phosphines. Subsequently, the boron-containing product $HN(Bpin)_2$ (**11**) was isolated as a white solid. ¹H NMR data revealed only the presence of the pinacolate protons, and IR spectral data revealed an absorption at 3334 cm⁻¹ consistent with the presence of an N–H fragment. X-ray-quality crystals of **11** were grown from pentane, and the X-ray data confirmed the formulation as the diborylamine (Figure 5). The B–N bond distances in **11** average 1.419(6) Å, which is typical for B–N bond distances in diborylamines.^{45–48}



⁽⁴⁶⁾ Bartlett, R. A.; Chen, H.; Dias, H. V. R.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 446–9.



Figure 5. ORTEP drawing of **11** with 30% thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted. Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): B(1)-O(1) 1.370(4), B(1)-O(2) 1.390(4), B(1)-N(1) 1.418(4), B(2)-O(3) 1.348(4), B(2)-O(4) 1.384(4), B(2)-N(1) 1.421(4); O(1)-B(1)-O(2) 112.5(3), O(1)-B(1)-N(1) 126.7(3), O(2)-B(1)-N(1) 120.8(3), O(3)-B(2)-O(4) 112.7(2), O(3)-B(2)-N(1) 126.1(3), O(4)-B(2)-N(1) 121.3(3), B(1)-N(1)-B(2) 132.9(3).



Figure 6. ORTEP drawing of **12** with 30% thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted. Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): N(1)–B(1) 1.406(4), N(1)–C(7) 1.411(3), O(1)–B(1) 1.378(3), O(2)–B(1) 1.376(3); B(1)–N(1)–C(7) 126.8(2), O(2)–B(1)–O(1) 113.5(2), O(2)–B(1)–N(1) 124.3(2), O(1)–B(1)–N(1) 122.1(2).

Location and refinement of the proton on nitrogen revealed that the geometry at N is planar, with a B-N-B angle of 132.9(3)°. This angle is similar to the corresponding angle of 135.4(2)° observed for HN(BPh₂)₂.⁴⁷

In the related reactions of R₃PNPh or R₃PNAd (R = Et and *n*-Bu) with HBpin, ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy revealed the liberation of free phosphine, consistent with reduction of the phosphinimine precursor. Subsequent workup afforded the white crystalline solid PhHN(Bpin) (**12**) and AdHN(Bpin) (**13**) in yields of 81% and 83%, respectively. A crystallographic study of **12** revealed a similar geometry with a B–N bond length of 1.406(4) Å and an C–N–B angle of 126.8(2)° (Figure 6).

The formation of **11** from the reaction of phosphinimine and HBpin was rapid; however, the species Et₃PNSiMe₃ was shown to react with HBpin at a much slower rate, such that the kinetics could be monitored. Reactions of excess HBpin with varying concentrations of Et₃PNSiMe₃ were performed and monitored as a function of time at 55 °C. Ph₃P was used as an internal integration standard. The formation of Et₃P and the consumption of Et₃PNSiMe₃ revealed that the ratedetermining step exhibits a first-order dependence on the concentration of Et₃PNSiMe₃ (Figure 7a). Analogous experiments using varying concentrations of HBpin and excess Et₃PNSiMe₃ revealed that the reaction is first order in HBpin (Figure 7b). The overall rate constant at 55 °C, k, was determined to be $1.3(7) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$. On the basis of these data, a mechanism involving a 1:1 donor-acceptor interaction of the phosphinimine and borane is proposed to prompt

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Maennig, D.; Noeth, H.; Prigge, H.; Rotsch, A. R.; Gopinathan, S.; Wilson, J. W. J. Organomet. Chem. **1986**, 310, 1–20.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Paciorek, K. J. L.; Kratzer, R. H.; Kimble, P. F.; Nakahara, J. H.; Wynne, K. J.; Day, C. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 2432–6.



Figure 7. (a) Plot of log $(rate)_o$ versus $log[Et_3PNSiMe_3]_o$. (b) Plot of $log(rate)_o$ versus $log[HBpin]_o$.





the rate-determining reduction of the phosphinimine to phosphine with the formation of the borylamine. This view is supported by the isolation of **12** and **13**. A rapid reaction generating **11** follows (Scheme 3). Attempts to obtain thermodynamic data for this reaction were thwarted by the thermal decomposition of the HBpin above 55 °C and the extremely slow reaction of $Et_3PNSiMe_3$ and HBpin at temperatures below 55 °C.

The formation of **8–11** clearly demonstrates two reaction pathways are accessible in the parent reaction of R_3PNH and HBpin. Computations at the B3LYP/6-311G(2df,p) level on the two reaction pathways for *t*-Bu₃PNH or H₃PNH with HB(OCH₂)₂ indicate that both H₂ elimination and phosphinimine reduction are thermodynamically favorable. However, for the model reactions involving *t*-Bu₃PNH, the activation barrier to H₂ elimination is 11.8 kcal/mol (Figure 8) lower than that of the reaction pathway involving phosphinimine reduction. In contrast, for the reactions modeled with H₃-PNH the differences in the activation barriers are much smaller with phosphinimine reduction being favored by 2.3 kcal/mol (Figure 9). While this small difference suggests that



Figure 8. Schematic gas-phase potential energy surface for the reactions of H_3PNH with $HB(OCH_2)_2$.



Figure 9. Schematic gas-phase potential energy surface for the reaction of *t*-Bu₃PNH with HB(OCH₂)₂.

both pathways should be accessible for sterically less demanding phosphinimines at room temperature, this is not observed experimentally. This observation suggests that the differences in the activation energies are underestimated. This could reflect a limitation of these gas-phase computations and the need to consider solvation and temperature effects. Nonetheless, the computational model data predict a crossover in preference from one pathway to the other with changing the steric demands, an observation that is confirmed experimentally.

The optimized geometries of the phosphinimine-borane adducts (H₃PNH)HBO₂C₂H₄, (H₃PNH)HBpin, (t-Bu₃PNH)-HBO₂C₂H₄, and (t-Bu₃PNH)HBpin shed some light on this issue on the differing pathways. These computations predict that the adducts are pseudotetrahedral at B and trigonal planar at N with B-N distances of 1.56, 1.60, 1.60, and 1.61 Å, respectively (Figure 10). Interestingly, the differences among these models are the orientations of substituents about the B-N bonds. In the case of (H₃PNH)HBO₂C₂H₄ and (H₃PNH)HBpin, the P-atom is oriented in close proximity to one of the O-atoms of the diolate on boron (P-O: 2.13 and 2.25 Å) (Figure 10a). This proximity is evident in the Newman projection (Figure 10b) down the B-N bond which shows the almost eclipsed nature of the P on N and O on B. This geometry, which provides a pseudo-OBNP four-membered ring, is reminiscent of the proposed phosphetidine intermediate for phosphinimine metathesis.⁹ In the optimized structures of (t-Bu₃PNH)HBO₂C₂H₄ and (t-Bu₃PNH)HBpin, these P····O distances are increased to 3.47 and 3.53 Å, re-



Figure 10. Optimized structures for (a) $(H_3PNH)HBO_2C_2H_4$ and (b) $(t-Bu_3PNH)HBO_2C_2H_4$. The corresponding Newman projections down the B-N vector are shown in (c) and (d), respectively. Color scheme: O, red; B, pink; N, blue; P, orange.

spectively (Figure 10c,d). Previous computational studies^{14–16} have noted the polarized nature of the P–N bond in phosphinimines. Thus, the closer approach of P and O with decreasing steric demands of the substituents on P in the present systems suggests that the lowest energy orientation about the B–N bond is determined by electrostatic attraction between P(δ +) and the boron-bound oxygen atoms (δ –).

In the optimized geometry of (H₃PNH)HBO₂C₂H₄ and (H₃PNH)HBpin, the dihedral angles between the BH and NH groups are 63.5 and 38.3°, respectively. This geometry results in a BH····HN separations of 2.90 and 2.70 Å. As the steric bulk of the substituents is increased in the models, (*t*-Bu₃PNH)HBO₂C₂H₄ and (*t*-Bu₃PNH)HBpin, the corresponding H–N–B–H dihedral angles decrease to 4.43 and 10.86°, respectively, while the H····H separations decrease to 2.20 and 2.13 Å, respectively. These data suggest that sterically demanding substituents prompt closer proximity of B- and N-bound H-atoms and thus favor H₂ elimination and formation of *N*-borylphosphinimines. In contrast, for phosphinimines with small substituents, the preferred orientation in the intermediate adducts is dictated by the

electrostatic P···O attraction. The result is a staggered position of the B- and N-bound H-atoms and an increased separation. In this orientation, hydride transfer from B to N is favored, resulting in the reduction of the phosphinimine. Such hydride transfer for reactions of HNPR₃ affords the transient H₂NBpin which reacts rapidly with a second 1 equiv of HBpin to give **11** while the analogous reaction for RNPEt₃ (R = Ph, Ad) provides **12** and **13** directly.

Summary

Catecholborane-phosphinimide derivatives are easily formed via reactions of chlorocatecholborane and silylphosphinimines. Steric factors determine whether the products are monomers or dimers. Reactions of phosphinimines and pinacolborane proceed via two pathways. In the case of sterically demanding phosphinimines, pinacolborane-phosphinimide derivatives are obtained. In the case of phosphinimines with less demanding substituents on P, reduction to free phosphine and the formation of borylamines occurs. These differing pathways can be attributed to the role of steric effects determining the orientation about the B-N bond in the intermediate phosphinimine-borane adducts. The study of the effects of steric factors on the reactivity of phosphinimines and their derivatives continues to be the subject of investigation in our group.

Acknowledgment. Financial support from the NSERC of Canada (D.W.S., J.W.G.) and NOVA Chemicals Corp. (D.W.S.) is gratefully acknowledged. S.H. is grateful for the award of an Ontario Graduate Scholarship. We also thank Professor Todd Marder of the University of Durham for his insightful comments.

Supporting Information Available: Crystallographic data in CIF format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org. CIF files are available on-line from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC Nos. 235138–235140 and 263395–263399).

IC050230K