Chem. Phar. Bull.

Note

# Two New Cucurbitane Glycosides from the Fruits of Siraitia grosvenori Swingle

Donghai Chu,<sup>#,a</sup> Aftab Yaseen,<sup>#,b</sup> Lun Wang,<sup>b</sup> Bin Chen,<sup>b</sup> Mingkui Wang,<sup>b</sup> Weicheng Hu,<sup>\*,c</sup> and Fu Li,<sup>\*,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Biomedical & Chemical Engineering, Liaoning Institute of Science and Technology; Benxi 117004, China; <sup>b</sup>Natural Products Research Center, Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences; Chengdu 610041, China; and <sup>c</sup>Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center of Regional Modern Agriculture & Environmental protection/Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Eco-Agricultural Biotechnology around Hongze Lake, Huaiyin Normal University; Huaian 223300, China.

<sup>#</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work. To whom correspondance should be addressed. e-mail: hu\_weicheng@163.com; andy197971@163.com

Two novel cucurbitane glycosides, named as 11-oxomogroside III  $A_1$  and 7 $\beta$ -methoxy-mogroside V, along with sixteen known ones were isolated from the fruits of *Siraitia grosvenori* Swingle. The structures of the new compounds were characterized by chemical and extensive spectral methods.

Key words cucurbitane glucoside; Siraitia grosvenori Swingle; structural elucidation

### Introduction

*Siraitia grosvenori* Swingle, belonging to the famiy Cucurbitaceae, is chiefly distributed in the south of China and the north of Thailand. The fruits of *Siraitia grosvenori* are rich in cucurbitane glycosides,<sup>1-5)</sup> which showed broad biological activities including anticarcinogenic, anti-virus, antioxidative and anti-diabetic properties.<sup>6-9)</sup> We had previously obtained a series of cucurbitane glycosides with anti-diabetic activities from 20 kg of the fruits of *Siraitia grosvenori*.<sup>10)</sup> The present paper is a continuation of our previous work and describes the isolation and structural elucidation of two new cucurbitane glycosides (1 and 2) (Fig. 1), together with sixteen known ones (3-18) from 100 kg of the fruits of this plant.

#### **Results and discussion**

Compound 1 was obtained as a white amorphous powder. The molecular formula  $C_{48}H_{80}O_{19}$  for compound 1 was determined by HR-ESI-MS at m/z 983.5220 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> in positive ion mode. Acid hydrolysis result suggested that the sugar residues in compound 1 were composed of only D-glucose according to the method previously described.<sup>10)</sup> The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum (Table 1) displayed three anomeric proton signals at  $\delta$  4.86 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, glcIII H-1), 4.91 (1H, d, J = 7.4 Hz, glcI H-1) and 5.49 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, glcII H-1), while the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum (Table 2) showed three anomeric carbon signals at  $\delta$  103.7, 104.9 and 105.6, demonstrating the presence of three glucose residues in 1. The coupling constants of the anomeric protons suggested a  $\beta$  configuration for all the glucose moieties. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data of **1** suggested the presence of eight methyl hydrogen signals at  $\delta$  0.77 (3H, s, H-18), 1.02 (3H, d, J = 6.2 Hz, H-21), 1.08 (3H, s, H-30), 1.13 (3H, s, H-28), 1.28 (3H, s, H-19), 1.36 (3H, s, H-27), 1.43 (3H, s, H-29) and 1.47 (3H, s, H-26), two isolated oxymethine hydrogen signals at  $\delta$  3.71 (1H, br s, H-3) and 3.76 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-24), and an olefinic methine hydrogen signal at  $\delta$  5.68 (1H, br s, H-6), while the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data indicated the existance of an obvious oxymethine carbon signal at  $\delta$  92.1 (C-24), two double bond carbon signals at  $\delta$  141.5 (C-5) and 119.1 (C-6), and a carbonyl carbon signal at  $\delta$  214.2. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of the aglycone of **1** resembled those of 11-oxomogrol besides the glycosylation shifts of the C-24, suggesting the aglycone of 1 was 11-oxomogrol and the sugar residues might be connected to C-24 of the aglycone.<sup>5)</sup> A trisaccharide unit

composed of  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)-[ $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 6)]- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl was attached to C-24 of the aglycone based on the following important HMBC correlations between the H-1 (d, J = 7.4 Hz,  $\delta$  4.91) of GlcI and C-24 ( $\delta$  92.1) of the aglycone, between the H-1 (d, J = 7.7 Hz,  $\delta$  5.49) of GlcII and C-2 ( $\delta$  82.2) of GlcI, and between H-1 (d, J = 7.6 Hz,  $\delta$  4.86) of GlcIII and C-6 ( $\delta$  70.2) of GlcI (Fig. 2). Accordingly, **1** was characterized and named as 11-oxomogroside III A<sub>1</sub>.

Compound 2 was isolated as a white amorphous powder. The molecular formula  $C_{61}H_{104}O_{30}$  for compound 2 was determined by HR-ESI-MS at m/z 1339.6501  $[M+Na]^+$  in positive ion mode. The monosaccharides obtained by acid hydrolysis also revealed the presence of only glucose in 2.<sup>10</sup> The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum (Table 1) displayed five anomeric proton signals at  $\delta$  4.82 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, glcIV H-1), 4.86 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, glcIII H-1), 4.94 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, glcI H-1), 5.14 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, glcV H-1) and 5.45 (1H, d, J =7.7 Hz, glcII H-1), while the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum (Table 2) showed five anomeric carbon signals at  $\delta$  103.7, 104.9, 105.5, 105.7 and 107.1, demonstrating the presence of five glucose residues in **2**. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data of **2** suggested the presence of eight methyl hydrogen signals at δ 0.84 (3H, s, H-18), 1.01 (3H, s, H-30), 1.11 (3H, d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, H-21), 1.14 (3H, s, H-28), 1.35 (3H, s, H-27), 1.47 (3H, s, H-26), 1.59 (3H, s, H-29) and 1.60 (3H, s, H-19), three isolated oxymethine hydrogen signals at  $\delta$  3.45 (1H, d, J = 5.4 Hz, H-7), 3.76 (1H, br s, H-3) and 3.78 (1H, d, J = 9.8 Hz, H-24), and an olefinic methine hydrogen signal at  $\delta$  5.93 (1H, d, J = 5.4 Hz, H-6), while the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data indicated the existance of two obvious oxymethine carbon signal at  $\delta$  87.4 (C-3) and 92.1 (C-24), two double bond carbon signals at  $\delta$  149.9 (C-5) and 118.7 (C-6). The proton signal at  $\delta$  3.29 (3H, s, -OCH<sub>3</sub>) in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum and the carbon signal at  $\delta$  56.2 in <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum indicated the presence of a methoxy group in 2. The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data of **2** were similar to those of mogroside V except those of C-5, C-7 and C-8 downfielding from  $\delta$  144.7, 25.0 and 44.0 in mogroside V to 149.9, 77.9 and 47.8 in 2, indicating the methoxy group was located at C-7.<sup>11)</sup> This was further verified by the HMBC correlations between -OCH<sub>3</sub> (s,  $\delta$  3.29) and C-7 ( $\delta$  77.9), and between H-6 (d, J = 5.4 Hz,  $\delta$ 5.93) and C-7 ( $\delta$  77.9). The other part of **2** was the same as that of mogroside V according the HMBC correlations (Fig. 2). NOE correlations between -OCH<sub>3</sub> (s,  $\delta$  3.29) and H-8 (br s,  $\delta$ 

2.14), H-19 (s,  $\delta$  1.60) clearly confirmed the -OCH<sub>3</sub> group was in  $\beta$  orientation (Fig. 2). Thus, **2** was elucidated and named as 7 $\beta$ -methoxy-mogroside V.

The sixteen known cucurbitane glycosides were elucidated as mogroside II  $A_1$  (3),<sup>12)</sup> mogroside II  $A_2$  (4),<sup>13)</sup> mogroside III (5),<sup>14)</sup> mogroside III E (6),<sup>14)</sup> mogroside III  $A_1$  (7),<sup>15)</sup> siamenoside I (8),<sup>15)</sup> mogroside IVa (9),<sup>15)</sup> mogroside IVe (10),<sup>15)</sup> mogroside V (11),<sup>15)</sup> isomogroside V (12),<sup>4)</sup> 11-*O*-mogroside V (13),<sup>15)</sup> 11-*epi*-mogroside V (14),<sup>10)</sup> mogroside VI (15),<sup>11)</sup> 11-*O*-mogroside VI (16),<sup>10)</sup> mogroside VI A (17)<sup>1)</sup> and mogroside VI B (18)<sup>1)</sup> according to their spectroscopic data compared with those reported in the literatures.

### **Experimental**

General Procedures Optical rotations were measured with a Perkin-Elmer 341 polarimeter. The HRESIMS spectra were acquired on a Vion IMS QT of (Waters Corp., Milford, Massachusetts, USA) in positive ion mode. 1D and 2D NMR data were obtained on a Bruker Avance-600 spectrometer in  $C_5D_5N$ . Macroporous resin (HPD-100A, 26-60 mesh) was used to enrich total saponins (Cangzhou Bon Adsorber Technology Co. Ltd., Cangzhou, China). Normal phase column chromatography was carried out with silica gel (100-200 mesh, Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Factory, Qingdao, China). Preparative-scale HPLC was implemented on a CXTH system, equipped with a  $C_{18}$  column (50 × 250 mm i.d., 10 µm, Daiso SP-100-10-ODS-P) from Daiso Co., Ltd. (Osaka, Japan) at a flowrate of 90 mL/min.

**Plant Material** The fruits of *Siraitia grosvenori* Swingle were purchased in February 2017 from Lotus Pond Chinese Herbal Medicine Market, Sichuan province, China.

**Extraction and Isolation** The fruits of *Siraitia grosvenori* Swingle (100 kg) were extracted with distilled water (3 × 300 L, each 4 h) at 80 °C. The extracted water solution was passed through an HPD-100A macroporous resin column eluted with H<sub>2</sub>O, 20% EtOH, 70% EtOH and 95% EtOH (100 L for each gradient elution), respectively. The 70% EtOH eluant solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude saponin (1220 g), which was further separated by silica gel column chromatography with a gradient solvent system of H<sub>2</sub>O saturated MeOH/CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1:5 $\rightarrow$ 1:4 $\rightarrow$ 1:3 $\rightarrow$ 1:2 $\rightarrow$ 1:1), affording nine fractions. Fraction 2 was isolated by preparative HPLC (26% CH<sub>3</sub>CN) to afford compounds **3** (0.12 g) and **4** (1.21 g). Fraction 3 was further separated by preparative HPLC (25% CH<sub>3</sub>CN) to yield

compounds 1 (620.6 mg), 5 (0.30 g), 6 (1.27 g) and 7 (2.24 g). Part of fraction 5 was isolated by preparative HPLC (24% CH<sub>3</sub>CN) to afford compounds 8 (3.38 g), 9 (1.56 g) and 10 (3.61 g). Part of fraction 7 was further purified by preparative HPLC (23% CH<sub>3</sub>CN) to give compounds 2 (133.2 mg), 11 (20.2 g), 12 (0.46 g), 13 (4.88 g) and 14 (0.23 g). Fraction 9 was separated by preparative HPLC (22% CH<sub>3</sub>CN) to yield compounds 15 (0.15 g), 16 (0.32 g), 17 (1.24 g) and 18 (0.55 g).

**Compound 1** A white amorphous powder.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 20.3^\circ$  (*c* 0.20, MeOH). HR-ESI-MS *m/z* 983.5220 (Calcd. for C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>80</sub>O<sub>19</sub>Na<sup>+</sup>: 983.5186).

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (pyridine- $d_5$ )  $\delta$ : Table 1.

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR: Table 2.

**Compound 2** A white amorphous powder.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 7.9^\circ$  (*c* 0.15, MeOH). HR-ESI-MS *m/z* 1339.6501 (Calcd. for C<sub>61</sub>H<sub>104</sub>O<sub>30</sub>Na<sup>+</sup>: 1339.6505).

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (pyridine- $d_5$ )  $\delta$ : Table 1.

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR: Table 2.

Identification of sugars for 1 and 2 Compounds 1 and 2 (each 5.0 mg) were mixed and heated with 5% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (5 mL) under reflux for 8 h. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The H<sub>2</sub>O layer was neutralized with Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub>, filtered and subjected to TLC analysis with authentic glucose sample ( $R_f = 0.35$ , mobile phase: ethyl acetate:pyridine:ethanol:water = 8:1:1:2). The optical rotation of the acid hydrolysis solution was measured as [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub>+48.7° (c 0.05, H<sub>2</sub>O). Therefore, the configuration of the glucose in the new compounds should be in *D*-form.

Confilct of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Niu B., Ke C.-Q., Li B.-H., Li, Y.-Y., Yi Y.-J., Luo Y.-W., Shuai L., Yao S., Lin L.-G., Li J., Ye Y., *J. Nat. Prod.*, **80**, 1428-1435 (2017).
- Li D.-P., Ikeda T., Matsuoka N., Nohara T., Zhang H.-R., Sakamoto T., Nonaka G.-I., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 54, 1425-1428 (2006).
- Li D.-P., Ikeda T., Nohara T., Liu J.-L., Wen Y.-X., Sakamoto T., Nonaka G.-I., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 55, 1082-1086 (2007).
- 4) Jia Z.-H., Yang X.-G., Nat. Prod. Commun., 4, 769-772 (2009).
- Kasai R., Nie R.-L., Nashi K.-J., Ohtani K., Zhou J., Tao G.-D., Tanaka O., *Agric. Biol. Chem.*, 53, 3347-3349 (1989).
- Takasaki M., Konoshima T., Murata Y., Sugiura M., Nishino H., Tokuda H., Matsumoto K., Kasai R., Yamasaki, K., *Cancer Lett.*, **198**, 37-42 (2003).
- Akihisa T., Hayakawa Y., Tokuda H., Banno N., Shimizu N., Suzuki T., Kimura Y., *J. Nat. Prod.*, **70**, 783–788 (2007).
- Xiang-Yang Q.-I., Chen W.-J., Zhang L.-Q., Shan X.-F., Song Y.-F., Sci. Agric. Sin., 39, 382–388 (2006).
- Suzuki Y.-A., Murata Y., Inui H., Sugiura M., Nakano, Y., J. Agric. Food Chem., 53, 2941-2946 (2005).
- 10) Li F., Yang F.-M., Liu X., Wang L., Chen B., Li L.-H., Wang M.-K., *Food Chem.*, **228**, 567-573 (2017).
- 11) Prakash Chaturvedula V.-S., Prakash I., J. Carbohydr. Chem., 30, 16-26 (2011).
- 12) Yang X.-W., Zhang J.-Y., Qian Z.-M., Chin. Tradit. Herb. Drugs, 39, 810-814 (2008).
- 13) Takemoto T., Arihara S., Nakajima T., Okuhira M., *Yakugaku Zasshi*, **103**, 1167-1173 (1983).
- 14) Matsumoto K., Kasai R., Ohtani K., Tanaka O., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **38**, 2030-2032 (1990).
- 15) Li C., Lin L.-M., Luo M., Ma C.-F., Wang Z.-M., China J. Chin. Mater. Med., 36, 721-724 (2011).



Fig. 1 Chemical Structures of Compounds 1 and 2



Fig. 2 Key HMBC and NOE correlations of Compounds 1 and 2

	1	2		1	2
1	1.62 (o), 2.07 (o)	2.07 (m), 3.04 (m)	GlcI 1	4.91 (d, 7.4)	4.94 (d, 7.7)
2	1.84 (o), 1.92 (o)	1.91 (m), 2.46 (m)	2	4.19 (o)	4.18 (o)
3	3.71 (br s)	3.76 (br s)	3	4.23 (o)	4.24 (o)
4			4	3.94 (o)	3.94 (o)
5			5	4.08 (o)	4.08 (o)
6	5.68 (br s)	5.93 (d, 5.4)	6	3.94(o), 4.90 (o)	3.96 (o), 4.90 (o)
7	1.83 (o), 2.30 (o)	3.45 (d, 5.4)	GlcII 1	5.49 (d, 7.7)	5.45 (d, 7.7)
8	1.84 (o)	2.14 (br s)	2	4.05 (o)	4.04 (o)
9			3	4.22 (o)	4.22 (o)
10	2.55 (o)	2.87 (br d, 11.6)	4	4.23 (o)	4.23 (o)
11		4.21 (o)	5	3.92 (o)	3.91 (o)
12	2.58 (d, 14.2),	2.17 (o)	6	4.34 (o), 4.51(o)	4.34 (o), 4.51(o)
	3.07 (d, 14.2)	2.18 (o)			
13			GlcIII 1	4.86 (d, 7.6)	4.86 (d, 7.7)
14			2	4.06 (o)	4.06 (o)
15	1.19 (m), 1.31 (m)	1.20 (m), 1.25 (m)	3	4.22 (o)	4.22 (o)
16	1.50 (m), 2.25 (m)	1.50 (m), 2.16 (o)	4	4.23 (o)	4.23 (o)
17	1.89 (m)	1.81 (m)	5	3.96 (o)	3.96 (o)
18	0.77 (s)	0.84 (s)	6	4.34 (o), 4.51(o)	4.37 (o), 4.52 (o)
19	1.28 (s)	1.60 (s)	GlcIV 1		4.82 (d, 7.7)
20	1.46 (o)	1.57 (o)	2		3.85 (t, 8.3)
21	1.02 (d, 6.2)	1.11 (d, 6.3)	3		4.14 (o)
22	1.78 (o), 1.93 (o)	1.70 (o), 1.90 (o)	4		4.03 (o)
23	1.60 (m), 1.90 (m)	1.64 (o), 1.90 (o)	5		4.09 (o)
24	3.76 (d, 8.5)	3.78 (d, 9.8)	6		4.31 (o), 4.75 (o)
25			Glc V 1		5.14 (d, 7.7)
26	1.47 (s)	1.47 (s)	2		4.00 (o)
27	1.36 (s)	1.35 (s)	3		4.22 (o)
28	1.13 (s)	1.14 (s)	4		4.25 (o)
29	1.43 (s)	1.59 (s)	5		3.95 (o)
30	1.08 (s)	1.01 (s)	6		4.30 (o), 4.49 (o)
-OCH <sub>3</sub>		3.29 (s)			

Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data for compounds 1 and 2

	1	2		1	2
1	21.3	26.9	GlcI 1	103.7	103.7
2	29.8	29.5	2	82.2	82.6
3	75.7	87.4	3	78.8	78.7
4	41.9	42.7	4	71.6	71.6
5	141.5	149.9	5	76.5	76.5
6	119.1	118.7	6	70.2	70.2
7	24.3	77.9	GlcII 1	105.6	105.7
8	44.2	47.8	2	76.0	76.0
9	49.2	40.0	3	78.1	78.1
10	36.3	37.9	4	72.5	72.6
11	214.2	78.2	5	78.5	78.5
12	48.9	41.0	6	62.7	62.7
13	49.2	47.2	GlcIII 1	104.9	104.9
14	49.8	48.5	2	75.5	75.5
15	34.7	34.6	3	78.4	78.4
16	28.4	28.6	4	71.6	71.6
17	50.0	51.1	5	78.1	78.1
18	17.1	17.1	6	63.6	63.6
19	20.3	26.3	GlcIV 1		107.1
20	36.0	36.7	2		75.1
21	18.8	19.1	3		78.6
22	33.1	33.3	4		71.7
23	29.3	29.6	5		77.4
24	92.1	92.1	6		70.3
25	72.8	72.9	Gle V 1		105.5
26	24.7	24.7	2		75.3
27	27.1	27.1	3		78.5
28	28.0	28.2	4		71.8
29	26.4	27.3	5		78.4
30	18.5	19.4	6		62.8
-OCH <sub>3</sub>		56.2			

Table 2. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data for compounds 1 and 2