ORGANOMETALLICS

Frustrated Lewis Pair Route to Hydrodesilylation of Silylphosphines

Katsuhiko Takeuchi, Lindsay J. Hounjet, and Douglas W. Stephan*

Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, 80 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario MSS 3H6, Canada

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A 1:1 mixture of $P(SiMe_3)_3$ and $B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$ reacts with 3 equiv of 4-heptanone to afford a 1:2 mixture of [(Me₃SiO)(n- $Pr_{2}C$ $H_{2}P-B(p-C_{6}F_{4}H)_{3}$ and the silvl enol ether, 4-trimethylsiloxy-3heptene. Subsequent thermolysis of the adduct produces $H_3P-B(p C_6F_4H)_3$ and an additional equiv of silvl enol ether. In the presence of a catalytic quantity of $B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$, however, $P(SiMe_3)_3$ reacts with 4heptanone to produce a 1:1 mixture of $[(Me_3SiO)(n-Pr)_2C]_2PH$ and silvl enol ether. Heating this mixture further produces $[(Me_3SiO)(n Pr_{2}C$ PH₂, which is eventually converted to elemental phosphorus.



hosphine ligands are ubiquitous in transition metal chemistry and indeed an enormous variety of mono- and multidentate ligands have been prepared and utilized.¹ While a variety of strategies have been developed to achieve the synthesis of phosphine ligands, principal among the synthons used are secondary and primary phosphines. These precursors are often challenging to handle as a result of their volatility, airsensitivity, and disagreeable odor. Typically, primary and secondary phosphines are made by treatment of phosphorushalide or alkoxide species with hydride reagents. Alternatively, alkylation of phosphide such as NaPH₂ and reduction of hydroxyl-methylphosphines have been exploited to prepare either primary² or secondary³ phosphines. Nonetheless, the number of synthetic routes to these useful synthons is limited.

The advent of frustrated Lewis pair (FLP) chemistry⁴ has seen the unquenched reactivity of sterically encumbered phosphines and boranes applied to activations of various small molecules. FLP chemistry has also been exploited for hydrogenation catalysis.⁵ Among the various reductions that have been demonstrated, we have also shown that P-P bonds can be hydrogenated to provide an FLP-mediated approach to secondary or primary phosphines.⁶ In more recent work, we reported that the FLP derived from $P(SiMe_3)_3$ and B(p- $C_6F_4H)_3$ participates in the sequential activation of H₂ and CO_2 , with migrations of one or more Me₃Si groups to oxygen.⁷ Herein, we report that FLPs derived from silvlphosphines and $B(p-C_6F_4H)_3^8$ react with aliphatic ketone in stoichiometric and catalytic reactions with the elimination of silyl enol ethers. This hydrodesilylation reaction is shown to provide a new synthetic route to secondary and primary phosphines, PH₃ and elemental phosphorus, respectively.

While the combination of $P(SiMe_3)_3$ and 4-heptanone shows no evidence of reaction, the stoichiometric combination of a 1:1 ratio of P(SiMe₃)₃ and B(p-C₆F₄H)₃ with 3 equivalents of 4-heptanone in toluene at ambient temperature produced the phosphine-borane adduct [(CH₃CH₂CH₂)₂C(OSiMe₃)]PH₂-

 $B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$ 1 and silvl enol ether $CH_3CH_2CH_2C(OSiMe_3) =$ $C(H)CH_2CH_3 2^9 (E/Z = 1:4)$ in a 1:2 ratio (Scheme 1), as

Scheme 1. Stoichiometric Synthesis of 1 and 2



made evident by ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. Following evaporation of the solvent and volatile 2 from the reaction mixture, recrystallization of the residual solid with hexanes produced crystals of 1 in 78% yield. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 shows a doublet at δ 5.19 with a large ${}^{1}J_{\text{PH}}$ = 385 Hz, and the 31 P NMR spectrum shows a triplet with the same coupling constant at δ –37.1, consistent with that of the RPH₂ moiety. The ¹¹B 1 H NMR spectrum of 1 shows a broad signal at δ -15.4, indicating a 4-coordinate B center. These spectral data are consistent with those reported for the adduct $(Cy)H_2P-B(C_6F_5)_3$ (δ_H 4.65, δ_B -17.5, and δ_P -30.0; ${}^1J_{PH} =$ 393 Hz).¹⁰ The X-ray structure of 1 (Figure 1) shows the pseudo-tetrahedral geometries at P and B, with a P-B bond length of 2.042(2) Å and P-H bond lengths of 1.28(2) and 1.29(2) Å,¹¹ similar to those reported for $(t-Bu)H_2P-B(C_6F_5)_3$ $[P-B = 2.015(3) \text{ Å}, P-H = 1.28(2) \text{ and } 1.30(3) \text{ Å}]^{.12}$

The silylphosphine, $P(SiMe_3)_3$, also reacted with 3 equivalents of 4-heptanone in the presence of a catalytic amount of $B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$ (5 mol %) at ambient temperature. In this case, however, NMR data were consistent with a product that was not a primary phosphine but rather the secondary

```
Received: July 23, 2013
Published: August 12, 2013
```



Figure 1. POV-Ray depiction of 1. P: orange; Si: sky blue; O: red; F: pink; C: black; B: green.

phosphine $[(CH_3CH_2CH_2)_2C(OSiMe_3)]_2PH$ (3), accompanied by 2 (E/Z = 1:4) (see the Supporting Information). The ¹H NMR spectrum of 3 shows a doublet at δ 3.44 with ¹ $J_{\rm PH}$ = 207 Hz, while the ³¹P NMR spectrum exhibits a doublet with the same coupling constant at δ -18.8, corresponding to a secondary phosphine. Heating the toluene solution of 3 to 50 °C for 72 h in the presence of 5 mol % $B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$ results in gradual conversion of the resonances at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.44 and $\delta_{\rm P}$ –18.8, to a new doublet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.00 and a triplet signal at $\delta_{\rm P}$ –98.4 with ${}^{1}J_{\rm PH}$ = 192 Hz, again accompanied by the production of 2 (E/Z = 1:4). The change in chemical shift is indeed consistent with the production of the primary phosphine (CH₃CH₂CH₂)₂C-(OSiMe₃)PH₂ 4, which although not isolated, could be converted to adduct 1 upon the addition of $B(p-C_6F_4H)_{3}$ enabling its facile spectroscopic identification (Scheme 2). It is also interesting to note that addition of 1 equivalent of B(p- $C_6F_4H)_3$ to 3 prompted the immediate formation of adduct 1 and silvl enol ether 2 at room temperature.

Compound 3 was stable on heating to 50 °C in the absence of $B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$, consistent with a borane-catalyzed elimination of silyl enol ether 2 on formation of 1. Heating the mixture of 4

Scheme 2. Syntheses of 3-5



and **2** to 100 °C in the presence of 5 mol % $B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$ for an additional 24 h eventually gave rise to an insoluble orange precipitate. At this point, the ³¹P NMR spectrum of the mother liquor showed a new broad quartet at δ –238.9 with ${}^{1}J_{PH}$ = ca. 180 Hz, corresponding to PH₃. However, monitoring the thermal degradation of adduct 1 in solution upon heating to 100 °C for 24 h by NMR spectroscopy again showed the gradual production of a new adduct 5 accompanied by the loss of 2. Removal of the solvent and 2 in vacuo, followed by recrystallization of the residues in 10:1 n-pentane/CH₂Cl₂, afforded colorless crystals of 5 in 35% yield. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 5 shows the characteristic doublet of the PH₃ unit at δ 5.19 (¹J_{PH} = 407 Hz). This signal is similar but shifted downfield from that reported for the adduct $(H_3P)B(C_6F_5)_3$ (δ 3.34, ${}^{1}J_{PH} = 410 \text{ Hz}$).⁶ The ${}^{31}P$ NMR spectrum of 5 shows a quartet with the same coupling constant at δ –93.5, consistent with that of the PH₃ moiety, while the ${}^{11}B{}^{1}H{}$ NMR spectrum shows a broad signal at δ -16.1, indicating a 4-coordinate B atom. Collectively, these data are consistent with the formulation of 5, as $(H_3P)B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$. This assignment was confirmed by an X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 2),¹¹ which



Figure 2. POV-Ray depiction of 5. P: orange; F: pink; C: black; B: green.

showed the expected pseudo-tetrahedral geometries of the P and B centers, with a P–B bond length of 2.042(2) Å and P–H bond lengths of 1.29(2), 1.30(2), and 1.33(2) Å, similar to those of H_3P –B(C_6F_5)₃ [P–B = 2.044(8) Å, P–H = 1.24(3) to 1.36(3) Å].¹³

Further heating of **5** at 100 $^{\circ}$ C resulted in its gradual decomposition with increased production of the isolable orange precipitate. The solid was identified as elemental phosphorus (>90 wt %) by elemental analysis, ICP-AES, and DART-MS data. The air-stable nature of this red-orange solid is also consistent with its characterization as elemental (red) phosphorus.

In consideration of the mechanisms of the above reactions, it is important to note that the use of $B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$ is critical because this borane does not undergo *para*-attack by silvlphosphine, as is seen with $B(C_6F_5)_3$.¹⁴ This combination therefore represents a stable FLP. As shown in Scheme 3, a plausible mechanism for the catalytic reaction of ketone and $P(SiMe_3)_3$ in the presence of $B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$ is thought to be initiated by activation of the carbonyl group by coordination of O to B. This prompts nucleophilic attack of $P(SiMe_3)_3$ at C to give the proposed zwitterionic intermediate **Int1**. Migration of Scheme 3. Proposed Reaction Mechanism for Desilylation of $P(SiMe_3)_3$



a silyl group from phosphorus to oxygen is concurrent with liberation of the borane catalyst, affording the intermediate phosphine, (Me₃Si)₂PC(CH₂CH₂CH₃)₂(OSiMe₃) Int2. Steric crowding and coordination of the borane, $B(p-C_6F_4H)_{3}$, is thought to prompt 1,3-migration of proton from C to P, producing 3 with concurrent β -elimination of silvl enol ether 2. Conversely, one can envision a mechanism whereby each silaphosphination step is followed by an elimination step (rather than three silvlphosphination steps followed by three elimination steps). To the best of our knowledge, reactions between $P(SiMe_3)_3$ and ketones in the absence of a Lewis acid catalyst have not been reported. It is noteworthy that the combination of $P(SiMe_3)_3/B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$ did not react with the nonenolizable ketones such as benzophenone or di-tertbutylketone, even upon heating to 100 °C for 24 h, suggesting that the elimination of silyl enol ether drives these reactions to completion. Nonetheless, in a related sense, the silvlphosphine, Me₃SiPEt₂, has been previously reported to react with α diketones to give Me₃SiOC(Me)PR₂C(O)Me.¹⁴ Products 4 and PH₃ are thought to be produced by successive boranecatalyzed β -eliminations from 3 and 4. In these catalytic reactions, formation of the secondary phosphine 3 at ambient conditions presumably occurs because this species retains significant steric congestion about phosphorus and thus requires additional energy to prompt further borane-catalyzed β -elimination to give 4 and PH₃. Binding the toxic PH₃ gas to $B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$ affords the isolable adduct 5.

In order to probe the generality of this FLP-mediated hydrodesilylation reaction, the monosilylphosphine, Ph₂PSiMe₃, was used as a precursor. Heating a 0.06 M C₆D₅Br solution of 1:1 Ph₂PSiMe₃/4-heptanone with 5 mol % B(p-C₆F₄H)₃ to 130 °C resulted in the complete consumption of the starting material Ph₂PSiMe₃ and the generation of Ph₂PH (δ_p –40.3) as the major product (Scheme 4). The yield of the secondary phosphine was 80%, as evidenced by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy. Interestingly, a minor product that was formed in this reaction in 12% yield was identified as the biphosphine product of homodehydrocoupling Ph₂PPPh₂ (δ_p –15.2). Increasing the reaction concentration under otherwise identical reaction conditions resulted in >95% conversion to Ph₂PPPh₂.

In summary, the stoichiometric and catalytic reactions of silylphosphines in the presence of the borane, $B(p-C_6F_4H)_{3}$, and the ketone, 4-heptanone, provide a synthetic route to secondary and primary phosphines with liberation of the silyl enol ether **2** via SiMe₃ group migration from phosphorus to oxygen. In stoichiometric reactions the phosphine–borane

Scheme 4. Reactions of $Ph_2P(SiMe_3)$ with Ketone and Catalytic Borane



adducts are isolated, whereas in catalytic reactions, the free phosphines are generated. These reactions result from the generation of a phosphine/borane FLP, allowing activation of the ketone by borane, which then undergoes nucleophilic attack by phosphine. Subsequent silyl-migration to O and β -elimination generates the P–H bond. To the best of our knowledge, this report describes the first reactions of an unactivated dialkylketone with an FLP. Nonetheless, it is noteworthy that Erker et al. have recently reported FLP activations of conjugated ketones, such as ynones and enediones, affording addition products.¹⁵ The utility of the current FLP-catalyzed reactivity in the synthesis of unique phosphine products and the mechanism of Lewis acid-catalyzed P–P dehydrocoupling continues to be the subject of current investigations.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Preparations of compounds, reaction procedures, spectra, and experimental crystallographic details. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: dstephan@chem.utoronto.ca.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

D.W.S. gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the NSERC of Canada and the award of a Canada Research Chair, and K.T. is grateful for the award of a JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowship for Research Abroad.

REFERENCES

(1) Adrio, L. A.; Hii, K. K. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 35, 62-92.

(2) (a) Hiney, R. M.; Ficks, A.; Muller-Bunz, H.; Gilheany, D. G.; Higham, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 37, 27–45. (b) Higham, L. J. Catal. Met. Complexes 2011, 37, 1–19. (c) Marinetti, A.; Genet, J.-P. C.R. Chim. 2003, 6, 507–514. (d) Katti, K. V.; Pillarsetty, N.; Raghuraman, K. Top. Curr. Chem. 2003, 229, 121–141. (e) Malisch, W.; Klupfel, B.; Schumacher, D.; Nieger, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 661, 95–110. (f) Prabhu, K. R.; Kishore, P. N.; Gali, H.; Katti, K. V. Curr. Sci. 2000, 78, 431–439.

(3) (a) Zhao, D.; Wang, R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 2095-2108.
(b) Shaikh, T. M.; Weng, C.-M.; Hong, F.-E. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2012, 256, 771-803. (c) Glueck, D. S. Chem.-Eur. J. 2008, 14, 7108-7117.
(d) Ackermann, L. In Phosphorus Ligands in Asymmetric Catalysis; Börner, A., Ed.; 2008; Vol. 2, pp 831-847; (e) Honaker, M. T.; Hovland, J. M.; Salvatore, R. N. Curr. Org. Synth. 2007, 4, 31-45.
(4) Stephan, D. W.; Erker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 46-76.

Organometallics

(5) Stephan, D. W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 5740-5746.

(6) Geier, S. J.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 1026-1028.

(7) Takeuchi, K.; Stephan, D. W. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 11304-6.

(8) (a) Ullrich, M.; Lough, A. J.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 2010, 29, 3647–3654. (b) Ullrich, M.; Lough, A. J.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 52–53.

(9) The presence of silylenol ether **2** was verified by GC/MS, and the resulting data are consistent with those provided by Wiley Subscription Services, Inc. (US). ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR data are consistent with those provided within the previous report: Davis, F. A.; Yang, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, 127, 8398.

(10) Welch, G. C.; Holtrichter-Roessmann, T.; Stephan, D. W. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 1904–1906.

(11) X-ray crystallographic data for 1: triclinic, $P\overline{1}$, a = 9.9406(8) Å, b = 11.7049(8) Å, c = 13.254(1) Å, $\alpha = 95.840(4)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 97.626(4)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 102.456(4)^{\circ}$, V = 1478.89(19) Å³, Z = 2, data (> 2σ) = 26289, variables = 7239, $R_1(>2\sigma) = 0.0348$, $wR_2(\text{all}) = 0.1270$, GOF = 0.923. 5: Orthorhombic, *Pbca*, a = 10.1556(3) Å, b = 17.4865(5) Å, c = 20.2840(5) Å, V = 3602.2(2) Å³, Z = 8, data (> 2σ) = 16575, variables = 4140, $R_1(>2\sigma) = 0.0328$, $wR_2(\text{all}) = 0.0819$, GOF = 1.017.

(12) Bradley, D. C.; Harding, I. S.; Keefe, A. D.; Motevalli, M.; Zheng, D. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1996**, 3931.

(13) Bradley, D. C.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Motevalli, M.; Zheng, D. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 7–8.

(14) Schulz, F.; Sumerin, V.; Leskelä, M.; Repo, T.; Rieger, B. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 1920–2.

(15) Xu, B.; Yanez, R.; Nakatsuka, H.; Kitamura, M.; Fröhlich, R.; Kehr, G.; Erker, G. *Chem. Asian J.* **2012**, *7*, 1347–1356.