

View Article Online View Journal

# **RSC Advances**

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: V. Akula, A. Chatla, N. Gutta, V. K. Velisoju, P. H. Aytam, J. Tardio and S. K. Bhargava, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1039/C6RA03857C.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

# Ni/H-ZSM-5 as a stable and promising catalyst for $CO_x$ free H<sub>2</sub> production by $CH_4$ decomposition

Chatla Anjaneyulu<sup>a</sup>, Gutta Naresh<sup>a,c</sup>, Velisoju Vijay Kumar<sup>a,c</sup>, Aytam Hari Padmasri<sup>b</sup>, James Tardio<sup>c</sup>, Suresh Kumar Bhargava<sup>c</sup> and Akula Venugopal<sup>\*,a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Catalysis Laboratory, Inorganic & Physical Chemistry Division, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Tarnaka - 500007, Hyderabad, Telangana, India. \*Corresponding author; E-mail: <u>akula@iict.res.in</u> Tel: +91-40-27191720/3510; Fax: +91-40-27160921

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, University College for Women Osmania University, Koti, Hyderabad - 500 095, Telangana, India.

<sup>c</sup> Centre for Advanced Materials & Industrial Chemistry (CAMIC), School of Applied Sciences, RMIT University, GPO BOX-2476, Melbourne 3001, Australia.





#### Abstract

Catalytic decomposition of methane for CO<sub>x</sub> free hydrogen production is carried out over Ni supported on H-ZSM-5 catalysts with different Si/Al ratio (i.e. 40, 150, 300 and 485) at 550 °C and atmospheric pressure. Methane decomposition activity of Ni/H-ZSM-5 is decreased with time on stream and finally deactivated completely. The fresh and reduced catalysts are characterized by BET-SA, XRD, FT-IR, UV-DRS, TPR, pulse chemisorption of H<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O and some of the used catalysts are characterised by CHNS, SEM, TEM and Raman spectroscopy. Raman spectra of the used catalysts showed both ordered and disordered carbon at 1580 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1320 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The 20 wt% Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150) exhibited higher H<sub>2</sub> production rate over the other Ni loadings. The superior performance of 20wt% Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150) is rationalized by physico-chemical properties of the various Ni loaded H-ZSM-5 catalysts.

**Key words**: Ni/H-ZSM-5, H<sub>2</sub> production, H<sub>2</sub> pulse chemisorption, CNTs, Ni metal surface area, N<sub>2</sub>O decomposition

#### 1. Introduction

Hydrogen is a versatile and efficient fuel, which undergoes a clean combustion as water is the only product generated [1]. Hence it is regarded as a promising energy vector in both transport and power generation sectors. Nowadays, most of the hydrogen is produced by steam reforming of methane, which is accompanied by large emissions of  $CO_2$  [2]. Thus, all the carbon contained in the raw methane ends up as  $CO_2$  a green house gas. There are several processes for the production of hydrogen and two of them are steam and auto thermal reforming of natural gas. Although these processes are mature technologies, but they are somewhat complex and contain multistep. In addition, CO free H<sub>2</sub> is highly desirable for use

#### **RSC Advances**

in PEM fuel cells as CO poisons the Pt electrode. The direct cracking of methane over supported nickel catalysts is an alternative route for the production of hydrogen from natural gas [3, 4]. Methane decomposition reaction is a moderately endothermic process. The energy required per mole of hydrogen produced (45.0 kJ/mol H<sub>2</sub> at 600 °C) is less than that for the steam reforming of methane (48.0 kJ/mol H<sub>2</sub>). Unlike the steam reforming process, the catalytic decomposition of methane (CDM) process does not include water gas shift and preferential oxidation of CO, which considerably simplifies the process and cost of hydrogen production [5–7].

$$CH_4 \rightarrow C + 2H_2$$
;  $\Delta H^\circ = 75.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ 

This hydrogen can be used as a clean energy for fuel-cells and the produced carbon can be used as functional material, such as fibres, graphite, carbon black, composites and catalyst supports. Here it is noteworthy to mention that carbon alone can be used as a catalyst for CDM but only at high temperatures (> 750 °C) [8]. Nickel is a common transition metal used for the conversion of methane [9-16]. The most important factors that influence the carbon deposits during methane decomposition are the particle size, dispersion and stabilization of the metallic nickel particle by selecting appropriate support. Michalkiewicz and co-workers critically reviewed the effect of reaction temperature on the carbon growth due to the Ni loading and also the nature of support that would strongly influence the  $H_2$ yields as well as the quality of the CNTs formed during the CDM process [17-19]. Takenaka et al. [20] reported that a typical 40% Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst with the nickel particle size of 60–100 nm that could give the carbon yield of as high as 491  $g_{C} (g_{Ni})^{-1}$  during methane decomposition at 550°C. Furthermore, Ermakova et al. [21] reported the 90 wt% Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst with the nickel particle of 10-40 nm size provided carbon yields in the order of 385 g C (gNi)<sup>-1</sup> at 550°C. Other supports, such as TiO<sub>2</sub>, MgO, ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> gave relatively lower carbon yields [22]. Though the activated carbon and carbon blacks were explored for

#### **RSC Advances**

CDM, due to their lower activity, metal catalysts particularly Ni based catalysts were found to be better than the other transitional metal catalysts [23-26]. A recent review emphasized the importance of modelling studies and regeneration of the catalysts in the catalytic decomposition of CH<sub>4</sub> [27]. Choudary *et al* observed the formation of small amount of CO during CH<sub>4</sub> decomposition over Ni/H-ZSM-5 catalyst [28]. We believe that CO formation is occurring due to incomplete reduction of catalyst during the reductive pretreatment (Figure S1). Presence of ionic Ni species may be a reason for the formation of CO during the CDM process. In the present study Ni supported on H-ZSM-5 with varied Si/Al ratio samples were reduced at 550 C for 2 h prior to the reaction; surprisingly formation of CO<sub>x</sub> compounds was absent during the CDM reaction.

Hence the present work is undertaken to explore the catalytic performance of Ni supported on H-ZSM-5 with four different Si/Al ratios i.e. 40, 150, 300 and 485 for methane decomposition at reaction temperature of 550 °C. The activity and stability of catalysts is discussed based on the Ni metal surface area in conjunction with Raman spectra of deactivated samples.

#### 2. Experimental

Published on 01 April 2016. Downloaded by Gazi Universitesi on 03/04/2016 17:03:22.

# 2.1 Catalysts preparation

The Ni/H-ZSM-5 samples were prepared by a simple incipient wet impregnation method. Here we have chosen H-ZSM-5 as a support with different Si/Al ratio (i.e. Si/Al ratio = 40, 150, 300 and 485 mole ratio). The zeolite support is commercially available; H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 40, surface area =  $600 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ , Si/Al = 150 surface area =  $470 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ , Si/Al = 300 surface area =  $340 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  and (Si/Al = 485; surface area =  $450 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  prepared by us, see SI) supplied by M/s. Sud Chemie India Private Limited. In a typical impregnation method the required amount of nickel nitrate [Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O] was taken to give required Ni loading with known amount of water in a 100 ml beaker and mixed with the requisite amount of

#### **RSC Advances**

support material to yield the respective Ni wt% needed. These solutions were dried with constant stirring at 100 °C until the sample gets dried and then kept for drying at 100 °C for about 24 h, followed by calcination in air at 550 °C for 5 h. Thus, prepared catalysts were used for evaluating CDM activities.

# 2.2. Catalysts Characterisation

The XRD patterns of the samples were obtained on a Rigaku miniflex X-ray diffractometer using Ni filtered Cu K<sub>a</sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ A}^\circ$ ) from  $2\theta = 10$  to 80° at a scan rate of 2°/min with the beam voltage and a beam current of 30 kV and 15 mA respectively. The surface areas of the fresh catalysts were measured by N2 physical adsorption at -196 °C in an Autosorb-I (Quantachrome) instrument. The specific surface area was calculated applying the BET method. The SEM images of the fresh and used catalysts were recorded using a JEOL-JSM 5600 instrument. For TEM analysis the samples dispersed in methanol solution and suspended on a 400-mesh, 3.5mm diameter Cu grid and images were taken using JEOL JEM 2010 high-resolution transmission electron microscope. The Raman spectra for the structural characterization of deposited carbon in deactivated catalysts have been acquired with a Horiba Jobin-Yvon lab ram HR spectrometer using a laser beam excitation of  $\lambda =$ 632.81nm. The infrared spectra were recorded between 4000 and 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> at room temperature in a Agilent cary 600 FT-IR spectrometer. The carbon contents in deactivated catalysts are measured with VARIO EL analyser instrument. The UV-Vis spectra were recorded at room temperature using a UV-2000, Shimadzu Spectrophotometer equipped with a diffuse reflectance attachment with an integrating sphere containing BaSO<sub>4</sub> as a reference. The spectra were recorded in the range between 190 and 800 nm with sampling interval 0.5 nm and slit width 2 nm and spectra were converted to Kubelka-Munk function.

The TPR analysis was carried out in a quartz micro-reactor interfaced to GC with TCD unit. About 50 mg of sample was loaded in an isothermal zone of a quartz reactor (i.d =

# **RSC Advances**

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

0.6 cm, length = 30 cm) heated by an electric furnace at a rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 300 °C in flowing helium gas at a flow rate of 30 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. After degassing the sample was cooled to room temperature then the helium gas switched to 5% H<sub>2</sub> in argon (30 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) and the temperature was increased to 700 °C at a ramping rate of 5 °C/min. Hydrogen consumption measured by analyzing effluent gas by means of thermal conductivity detector and the  $H_2$ uptakes were estimated with a calibration TPR of Ag<sub>2</sub>O under similar protocol. Hydrogen chemisorption measurements were carried out on a Varian 3800 instrument. Prior to  $H_2$ adsorption  $\sim 100$  mg of the sample was reduced in a flow of hydrogen (30 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) at 550 °C for 2 h and flushed out in a pure argon (Ar) gas flow (purity 99.995%) for an hour at 550 °C. The sample was subsequently cooled to 60 °C temperature in Ar gas stream. Hydrogen uptake was determined by injecting pulses of 5% hydrogen balance argon from a calibrated loop connected to on-line sampling valve in Ar stream passing the over the reduced sample. The nickel metal surface area was calculated assuming a stoichiometry of one hydrogen molecule per two surface nickel atoms using an atomic cross-sectional area of 6.49 x 10<sup>-20</sup>  $m^2/Ni$  atom. The H<sub>2</sub> adsorption was deemed to be complete after at least three successive peaks showed the similar GC areas [29].  $N_2O$  pulse titration experiments were performed to analyze the Ni dispersion, size and Ni metal surface area [30, 31] using Varian 3800 series system equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The catalysts of 50–100 mg were placed in the quartz tube and reduced to 550 °C for 1 h in 5% H<sub>2</sub>/Ar prior to the test. He gas was used as carrier gas at 30 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, and the successive pulses of pure N<sub>2</sub>O gas were subsequently introduced into He stream by means of a calibrated sampling valve at a temperature of 90°C. The product gases (N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O) were analyzed by Porapak N column using GC with TCD.

#### **RSC Advances**

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C6RA03857C

The catalytic activities were performed at 550 °C at atmospheric pressure in a fixedbed vertical quartz reactor (i.d = 1 cm, length = 46 cm) operated in a down flow mode heated by an electric furnace. Methane supplied by Bhuruka gases limited (99.99%) was used directly without further purification. Many of the experimental conditions adopted were same as reported earlier [8] and some of the details were: a catalyst charge of ~20 mg, with methane flow rate = 30 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, i.e. GHSV of 90 L h<sup>-1</sup> ( $g_{cat}$ )<sup>-1</sup>. Prior to the reaction, the catalyst was reduced at 550 °C with 5% H<sub>2</sub> in Ar for 2 h. The reaction was continued until all the catalysts were deactivated. Then, obtained catalysts were weighed and after each experiment. The outflow gas was analyzed online by gas chromatography equipped with a carbosphere column and TCD detector using N<sub>2</sub> as a carrier. The conversion of methane was determined using calibrated data. The first analysis was done 10 min after methane was passed over the catalyst.

# 3. Results

# 3.1 FT-IR analysis

The 30wt% Ni supported on zeolite with different Si/Al mole ratios are analysed by FTIR spectroscopy (see SI). The absorption bands near 788, 1084 and 1218 cm<sup>-1</sup> are characteristic of SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedron units [32, 33]. The strong absorption band in the region 1000-1200 cm<sup>-1</sup> has been assigned to the internal vibration of SiO<sub>4</sub>, AlO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra for ZSM-5 [34]. The band around 1084 cm<sup>-1</sup> is attributed to the internal asymmetric stretching vibration of Si-O-Si linkage and is found to be shifted towards higher wave number with increasing Si/Al ratio. The band near 788 cm<sup>-1</sup> is assigned to the symmetric stretching of the external linkages and the strong band near 543 cm<sup>-1</sup> is ascribed to the double five-ring lattice vibration of the external linkages [35] (Fig. S2A). The vibrational band around 450 cm<sup>-1</sup> is due to the T-O bending vibrations of the SiO<sub>4</sub> and AlO<sub>4</sub> internal tetrahedra. The absorption bands around 543 and 450 cm<sup>-1</sup> are characteristic of the ZSM-5 crystalline structure [36]. The

sample with various Ni loadings on H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150) (Fig. S2B) exhibited bands at 1230 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1100 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 796 cm<sup>-1</sup> that are attributed to the Si-O-Si asymmetric and symmetric stretching frequencies as well as internal stretching frequencies of H-ZSM-5. The band near 3429 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicates that there are plenty of –OH groups on Ni/H-ZSM-5 surface. The band at 1625 cm<sup>-1</sup> is attributed to adsorbed water, and these results are similar to the earlier reports [37].

# 3.2 XRD analysis of fresh calcined and reduced catalysts

The powder XRD patterns of 30wt% Ni loaded on H-ZSM-5 (with Si/Al = 40, 150, 300 and 485) fresh samples showed the reflections at  $2\theta = 37.28$ , 43.3, 62.9° and 75.3° pertaining to crystalline NiO phase [ICDS # 01-1239], along with minor diffraction lines of H-ZSM-5 phase (Fig. S3A). The (Ni loaded) fresh calcined 10-50 wt% Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al ratio = 150) samples also confirmed both NiO and H-ZSM-5 phases. Increase in Ni loading, intensity of NiO diffraction lines are increased due to formation of larger crystallites (Fig. S3A). The XRD patterns of 30wt% Ni/H-ZSM-5 samples (reduced) displayed the metallic Ni phase [ICDS# 87-0712] (Fig. S4A & Fig. S4B).

#### 3.3 H<sub>2</sub>-TPR analysis

Published on 01 April 2016. Downloaded by Gazi Universitesi on 03/04/2016 17:03:22.

The TPR patterns of Ni loaded H-ZSM-5 samples presented in Fig. 1A, and the  $H_2$  uptakes are reported in Table 1. The 30 wt% Ni/H-ZSM-5 exhibited the  $T_{max}$  540 °C ascribed to reduction of bulk NiO species. The  $H_2$  uptakes are more or less same, over the 30wt% Ni/H-ZSM-5 (with varied Si/Al ratio), whereas a linear increase (Table 1) with increase in Ni loading is found. This suggests the bulk property of  $H_2$ -TPR. Fig. 1B, exhibit broad curves due to NiO in two stage reduction. The low temperature peak (380-450 °C) is assigned to dispersed and the high temperature (~575 °C) peak is mainly due to large sized NiO clusters.

It is also observed that at higher Ni loadings the reduction peak is sharpened [50wt% Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150)]. XRD analysis of these samples demonstrated the increase in

#### **RSC Advances**

NiO domain size (Table 1) at higher Ni loadings. Based on these results it is concluded that reduction peak at 450 °C and 575 °C are due to NiO species that are located at outer surface and sodalite cages of zeolite crystals respectively [38].

# **3.4 UV-DRS analysis**

As shown in Fig. 2A the UV-DR spectra were nearly the same, irrespective of the varied Si/Al mole ratio in zeolite. The absorption bands at 240, 310 nm are originating from  $O \rightarrow Ni$  charge transition are stronger (Fig. 2B) [39]. The bands at 715 nm and 377 nm represent the octahedrally coordinated Ni<sup>2+</sup> species in NiO lattice, while the absorption signal in the range of 600–645 nm assigned to the tetrahedrally coordinated Ni<sup>2+</sup> species [40]. It is clearly evident that the band intensity of 720nm peak is increased upon increasing the Ni loading (Fig. 2B).

# 3.5 Influence of Si/Al ratio on CDM activity of Ni/H-ZSM-5

The influence of Si/Al ratio is examined keeping 30wt% nickel (arbitrarily fixed) in the Ni/H-ZSM-5 catalysts, and the TOS analysis of these catalysts is reported in Fig. 3. It shows that all the catalysts were deactivated after certain time. In the comparative analysis 30wt% Ni/H-ZSM-5 with Si/Al ratio = 150 displayed better activity and longevity of 17 h with a H<sub>2</sub> yield of 105.2 Nm<sup>3</sup>/mol<sub>Ni</sub>. The yields were estimated from the kinetic curves of the methane conversion to carbon and hydrogen proceeded stoichiometrically. The high H<sub>2</sub> yield (105.2 Nm<sup>3</sup>/mol<sub>Ni</sub>) on 30wt%Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al ratio = 150) catalyst is substantiated the sustainability and longevity compared to 30wt% Ni supported on Si/Al with 40, 300 and 485 H-ZSM-5 samples (Fig. 4). It should be mentioned here that none of these samples showed the formation of carbon oxides (CO and CO<sub>2</sub>) unlike Choudhary *et al* who found certain amount of CO during the CH<sub>4</sub> decomposition over Ni/H-ZSM-5 catalysts [28]. Hence various Ni loadings on H-ZSM-5 (with Si/Al = 150) samples are evaluated for CDM process.

# 3.6 Influence of Ni loading on CDM activity

#### **RSC Advances**

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

Fig. 5 shows in methane conversion (%) as a function of time (h) during the catalytic decomposition of methane at 550°C over Ni/H-ZSM (Si/Al = 150) catalysts with different Ni loadings from 10 to 50 wt%. The activity measurements were carried out under similar reaction conditions in order to optimize Ni loading on H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150) zeolite. It is clear from Fig. 5 that methane conversion is found to be high in the initial stages and decreased rapidly or gradually with time on stream as a result of carbon deposition. The catalyst deactivation is attributed due to the deposition of carbon on the catalyst surface/active sites or accumulated at the entrance of the pores by pore blockage. The reaction run time until complete deactivation of the catalysts are in the order 50 < 10 < 30 < 20 wt% of Ni over H-ZSM-5 zeolite. Therefore the 20wt% Ni is optimum for H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150) that showed a better H<sub>2</sub> yield of 171 Nm<sup>3</sup>/mol<sub>Ni</sub> and longevity compared to other catalysts [12].

It was reported that CH<sub>4</sub> decomposition takes place on surface Ni sites followed by deposition of carbon by generating gaseous H<sub>2</sub>. According to earlier reports, carbon deposition on Ni surface and diffusion of deposited carbon through Ni particle to the interface where it gets precipitated in the form of graphitic carbon as CNTs and/or CNFs occurs simultaneously. The carbon accumulation would be high when the rate of carbon deposition on the Ni surface is lower than the rate of carbon diffusion through Ni particle. If the reverse is true then the catalyst deactivates rapidly consequently lower H<sub>2</sub> yields are observed [41]. The typical volcano shape relationship obtained (Fig. 6) with carbon formed per gNi (C/Ni) of the catalyst with increase Ni loading, indicates how critical is the role of metal loading on the catalyst performance during the CDM process. The H<sub>2</sub> yields are increased in the order of 50 < 10 < 30 < 20 wt% Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150). A similar observation of volcano type tendency on H<sub>2</sub> yields over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts during the catalytic decomposition of methane is reported [12].

#### 4. Discussion

The Ni metal surface areas of Ni/H-ZSM-5 samples measured by  $H_2$  pulse chemisorption and the results are reported in Table 2 [26]. Fig. 7 demonstrated a linear relationship between the Ni metal surface area and the  $H_2$  yields obtained from CDM results. Thus it is concluded that the  $H_2$  production rate is dependent up on the Ni metal surface area of Ni/ H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al ratio = 150) catalysts. It has also been observed that the  $H_2$  rates are in good correlation with the Ni metal surface areas of the catalysts measured by N<sub>2</sub>O decomposition studies (Table 2). High dispersion of Ni over 20wt%Ni/H-ZSM-5 could be a possible for the higher  $H_2$  yields than the other Ni loaded samples.

Fig. 8 reveals the XRD patterns of deactivated 30wt%Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 40, 150, 300 and 485) catalysts recovered after CDM reaction. The irreversible carbon that is deposited on the catalyst appeared as graphitic carbon in XRD analysis. The strong reflections at  $2\theta = 26.1^{\circ}$ , 42.9°, 53.8° and 77.7° are attributed to the graphitic carbon [ICSD #01-0640] and weak diffraction lines due to metallic Ni at  $2\theta = 44.2^{\circ}$ , 51.7° and 76.4°.

The nature of deposited carbon is confirmed by Raman spectra of the Ni/HZSM5 catalysts and results are presented in Fig. 9A and Fig. 9B. The Raman spectra displayed two distinct bands centered at around 1320 cm<sup>-1</sup> (D-band) attributed to structural imperfection of graphite or the presence of carbon nanoparticles and amorphous carbon. The band at 1580 cm<sup>-1</sup> (G-band) ascribed to the in-plane carbon–carbon stretching vibrations rather ordered structure carbon [18, 42, 43].

The degree of crystallinity of deposited carbon can be obtained from the frequency and intensity of Raman 'D' and 'G' bands. The ratio of peak area of the 'D' band to that of the 'G' band i.e.  $I_D/I_G$  is considered as an index for the crystalline order of graphite which is inversely proportional to the average plane size of the perfect graphenes; that is the graphitization degree of carbon is higher at lower  $I_D/I_G$  values (Fig. 10) [19,44].

#### **RSC Advances**

The morphology of deposited carbon can be determined using SEM analysis. The SEM images of 30wt%Ni/H-ZSM-5 with Si/Al = 40, 150 and 300 (Fig. S5) showed agglomerated clusters (Fig. S5A), spherical (Fig. S5B) and large size clusters of Ni particles (Fig. S5C). Formation of carbon nanotubes is evident in their respective deactivated samples. It appears that the deposited carbon is in nanosize and few micrometers in length of filamentous carbon. On the other hand, the size and length of deposited filaments varied with variation in Si/Al ratios. The nature of filamentous carbon is further evidenced from TEM image of deactivated Ni/H-ZSM-5 samples (Si/Al = 150) (Fig. 11). It is also found that the Ni metal is occupied at the tip of the carbon filament. The size of the produced filament is more or less similar to Ni particle size (~30 nm) occupied at the tip of the carbon nanofiber [17,45, 46]. These results are in good agreement with the mechanisms proposed earlier for filamentous carbon growth, according to which the diameter of carbon nanofibers must be approximately equal to the size of Ni particle at the tip of the carbon nanofiber [47].

# **5.0 Conclusions**

Published on 01 April 2016. Downloaded by Gazi Universitesi on 03/04/2016 17:03:22.

The 30wt%Ni loaded on H-ZSM-5 zeolite with varied Si/Al ratios were examined for the COx free hydrogen production. The optimized Si/Al = 150 (H-ZSM-5) has been further explored with different Ni loadings. The catalyst with 20wt% Ni supported on H-ZSM-5 with Si/Al = 150 demonstrated tremendously higher H<sub>2</sub> yields than the other compositions under identical experimental condition. The SEM and TEM images revealed the presence of carbon nanofibers in all the deactivated samples. The normalized intensities of Raman spectra with I<sub>D</sub>/I<sub>G</sub> vs Ni loadings defined the higher amount of ordered carbon over 20wt%Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150) thus produced higher H<sub>2</sub> yields (171 Nm<sup>3</sup>/mol<sub>Ni</sub>). The superior performance of this catalyst can also be explained by the higher Ni metal surface area of 20wt% Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150) catalyst. A direct correlation between Ni loading vs H<sub>2</sub> yields and Ni metal surface area is observed. Further studies are in progress on the differences in morphology of

12

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C6RA03857C

various Si/Al ratios of H-ZSM-5 for Ni in the  $CO_x$  free hydrogen production by catalytic decomposition of methane.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors CA thank CSIR New Delhi, VVK and GN thanks CSIR-IICT for providing necessary facilities.

# References

- 1. T.V. Choudhary, D.W. Goodman, Catal. Today, 2002, 77, 65.
- 2. Y. Matsumura, T. Nakamori, Appl. Catal. A: Gen, 2004, 258, 107.
- 3. N.Z. Muradov, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 1993, 18, 211.
- 4. N. Muradov, Energy & Fuels, 1998, 12, 41.
- 5. M. Steinberg, H. Cheng, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 1989, 14, 797.
- 6. M. Steinberg. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 1998, 23, 419.
- 7. M.G. Poirier, C. Sapundzhiev, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 1997, 22, 429.
- J. Ashok, S. Naveen Kumar, A. Venugopal, V. Durga Kumari, M. Subrahmanyam, J. Power Sources, 2007, 164, 809.
- 9. A.C. Lua, H. Y. Wang, Appl. Catal. B, 2013, 132, 469.
- 10. L.C. Chen, S. D. Lin, Appl. Catal. B, 2011,106, 639.
- 11. J. Li, K. J. Smith, Appl. Catal. A: Gen, 2008, 349, 116.
- A. Venugopal, S. Naveen Kumar, J. Ashok, D. Hari Prasad, V. Durga Kumari, K.B.S. Prasad, M. Subrahmanyam, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2007, **32**, 1782.
- 13. H.Y. Wang, A. C. Lua, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 26765.
- I. Gonzalez, J.C. De Jesus, E. Canizales, B. Delgado and C. Urbina, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 21577.
- 15. T.V. Reshetenko, L.B. Avdeeva, Z.R. Ismagilov, A.L. Chuvilin, V.A. Ushakov, Appl.

Catal. A, 2003, 247, 51.

Published on 01 April 2016. Downloaded by Gazi Universitesi on 03/04/2016 17:03:22.

- M.A. Ermakova, D.Y. Ermakova, G.G. Kuvshinov, L.M. Plyasova, J. Catal., 1999, 187
  77.
- 17. B. Michalkiewicz, J. Majewska, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2014, 39, 4691.
- 18. J. Majewska, B. Michalkiewciz, New Carbon Materials, 2014, 29, 102.
- J. Ziebro, I. Lukasiewciz, E. Borowwiak-Palen, B. Michalkiewciz, *Nanotechnology*, 2010, **21**, 145308.
- 20. S. Takenaka, H. Ogihara, K. Otsuka. J. Catal., 2002, 208, 54.
- 21. M.A. Ermakova, D.Y. Ermakov, G.G. Kuvshinov. Appl. Catal. A, 2000, 201, 61.
- 22. J. Li, G. Lu, K. Li, W. Wang, J. Mol. Catal. A, 2004, 221, 105.
- 23. H.F. Abbas, W.M.A.W. Daud, Fuel Process Technol., 2009, 90(9) 1167.
- 24. H.F. Abbas, W.M.A.W. Daud, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2009, 34(15), 6231.
- 25. H.F. Abbas, W.M.A.W. Daud, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2009, 34(19), 8034.
- 26. J. Ashok, S. Naveen Kumar, A. Venugopal, V. Durga Kumari, S. Tripathi, M. Subrahmanyam, *Catal. Comm.*, 2007, 9, 164.
- 27. H.F. Abbas, W.M.A.W. Daud, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2010, 35, 1160.
- T.V. Choudhary, C. Sivadinarayana, C.C. Chusuei, A. Klinghoffer, D.W. Goodman, J. Catal, 2001,199, 9.
- 29. K.V.R. Chary, P.V. Ramana Rao and V. Venkat Rao, Catal. Comm., 2008, 9,886.
- S. Tada, M. Yokoyama, R. Kikuchi, T. Haneda, and H. Kameyama, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 14652.
- 31. X.Y. Gao, J. Ashok, S. Widjaja, K. Hidajat and S. Kawi, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen*, 2015, **503**, 34.
- 32. L. Shirazi, E. Jamshidi, and M. R. Ghasemi, Cryst. Res. Technol, 2008, 43, 1300.
- 33. L. Jiangyin, Z. Zhao, C. Xu, A. Duan, P. Zhang, Catal. Lett., 2006,109, 65.

- 34. V.P. Shiralkar, A. Clearfield, Zeolites, 1989, 9, 363.
- 35. W. Fan, R. Li, J. Ma, B. Fan, J. Cao, Micropor. Mat., 1995, 4, 301.
- 36. P.A. Jacobs, H.K. Beyer, J. Valyon, Zeolites, 1981, 1, 161
- 37. G. Coudurier, C. Naccache, J.C. Vedrine, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1982, 1413.
- 38. N.W. Hurst, S.J. Gentry, A. Jones, B.D. McNicol, Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng., 1982, 24, 233.
- D. Srinivas, C.V.V. Satyanarayana, H.S. Potdar, P. Ratnasamy, Appl. Catal. A: Gen, 2003, 246, 323.
- 40. B. Vos, E. Poels, A. Bliek, J. Catal., 2001, 77, 198.
- 41. R.T.K. Baker, M.A. Barber, P.S. Harris, F.S. Feates, R.J. Waite, J. Catal., 1972, 26, 51.
- 42. Ch. Anjaneyulu, G. Naresh, V. Vijay Kumar, J. Tardio, T. Venkateshwar Rao and A. Venugopal, *ACS Sustainable Chem & Eng.* 2015, **3**, 1298.
- Ch. Anjaneyulu, S. Naveen Kumar, V. Vijay Kumar, G. Naresh, S.K. Bhargava, K.V.R. Chary, A. Venugopal, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2015, 40, 3633.
- 44. J. Ashok, P. Shiva Reddy, G. Raju, M. Subrahmanyam, and A.Venugopal, *Energy & Fuels* 2009, 23, 5.
- 45. J. Ashok, G. Raju, P. Shiva Reddy, M. Subrahmanyam, A. Venugopal, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2008, **33**, 4809.
- Ch. Anjaneyulu, V. Vijay Kumar, S. K. Bhargava, A. Venugopal, *J Energy Chemistry*, 2013, 22, 853.
- 47. V.B. Fenelonov, A.Y. Derevyankin, L.G. Okkel, L.B. Avdeeva, V.I. Zaikovskii, E. M. Moroz, *Carbon*, 1997, **35**, 1129.

Figure captions:

Published on 01 April 2016. Downloaded by Gazi Universitesi on 03/04/2016 17:03:22.

Figure 1: (A) TPR profile of (a) 30 wt% Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/A1 = 40), (b) 30wt%Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/A1 = 150) and (c) 30wt%Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/A1 = 300) and (d) 30wt%Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/A1 = 485) catalysts, (B) Ni loading on H-ZSM-5 (Si/A1=150) catalysts (a) 10, (b) 20, (c) 30 and (d) 50wt%.

Figure 2: (A) UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra of calcined 30wt% Ni supported on H-ZSM-5 with (a) Si/Al = 40, (b) Si/Al = 150, (c) Si/Al = 300 and Si/Al = 485 catalysts, (B) Ni loaded on H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150) catalysts, (a) 10, (b) 20, (c) 30 and (d) 50wt%.

Figure 3: Methane conversion (%) with time (h) over 30wt% Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 40, 150, 300 and 485) catalysts at 550 °C temperature.

Figure 4: Hydrogen yields during methane decomposition over 30 wt% Ni /H-ZSM-5 with varying Si/Al mole ratio.

Figure 5: Methane conversions (%) with time (min) over Ni loading on Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al ratio = 150) catalysts at 550 °C temperature.

Figure 6: Carbon yields during methane decomposition as a function of Ni loading over Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al ratio = 150) catalysts.

Figure 7: Relationship between Ni metal surface area and  $H_2$  yields *vs* Ni loading on H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150).

Figure 8: XRD patterns of deactivated 30wt%Ni/H-ZSM-5 with Si/Al ratio (a) 40, (b) 150, (c) 300 and (c) 485 catalysts.

Figure 9: Raman spectra of deactivated (A) different Si/Al ratio Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al: 40, 150, 300 and 485) and (B) Ni loadings of Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al ratio=150) catalysts.

Figure 10: The  $I_D/I_G$  ratio and  $H_2$  yields against various Ni loaded H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150) deactivated catalysts.

Figure 11: TEM images of spent (a) 30wt%Ni/H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150); and (b) 20wt%Ni/H-

ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 150) catalysts.

Tables:

Table1: Physicochemical properties of Ni supported on H-ZSM-5 catalysts.

Table2: CDM activity data and Ni metal surface areas of Ni/H-ZSM-5 with varied Si/Al ratio.

Table 1:

Ni/H-ZSM-5	Si/Al	BET-SA	<sup>a</sup> NiO	<sup>b</sup> Ni	<sup>c</sup> H <sub>2</sub> uptake
	ratio	$(m^{2}/g)$	crystallite	domain	$(\mu mol/g_{cat})$
			size (nm)	size (nm)	
30wt%Ni	40	220	29	25	2121
30wt%Ni	150	396	21	29	2058
30wt%Ni	300	255	25	27	2035
30wt%Ni	485	326	27	31	2023
10wt%Ni	150	432	12	22	525
20wt%Ni	150	406	16	25	985
50wt%Ni	150	265	23	32	2482

<sup>a</sup>From XRD patterns of calcined samples

<sup>b</sup>XRD patterns of reduced samples

<sup>c</sup>H<sub>2</sub> uptakes measured from TPR analysis with a calibrated Ag<sub>2</sub>O TPR.

# Table 2:

Ni/H-ZSM-5	Si/Al	H <sub>2</sub> uptake	S <sub>Ni</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O uptake	S <sub>Ni</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> yield
	ratio	$(\mu mol/g_{cat})^a$	$(m^2/g_{cat})^a$	$(\mu mol/g_{cat})^b$	$(m^2/g_{cat})^b$	$(Nm^3/mol_{Ni})^c$
30wt%Ni	40	45	3.4	39.8	1.6	18.4
30wt%Ni	150	85	6.4	101.9	4.0	105.2
30wt%Ni	300	63	4.7	56.0	2.2	31.8
30wt%Ni	485	59	4.1	47.4	1.9	28.2
10wt%Ni	150	75	5.6	83.4	3.3	75.6
20wt%Ni	150	124	9.3	120.2	4.8	171.0
50wt%Ni	150	70	5.2	94.9	3.7	40.6

<sup>a</sup>H<sub>2</sub> pulse chemisorption, <sup>b</sup>N<sub>2</sub>O titration, <sup>c</sup>CDM activity



Figure 1

487x322mm (96 x 96 DPI)



495x301mm (96 x 96 DPI)



79x98mm (300 x 300 DPI)



80x98mm (300 x 300 DPI)



87x97mm (300 x 300 DPI)



80x87mm (300 x 300 DPI)



81x80mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 8: XRD patterns of deactivated 30wt%Ni/H-ZSM-5 with Si/Al ratio (a) 40, (b) 150, (c) 300 and (c) 485 catalysts. 79x106mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 9

501x331mm (96 x 96 DPI)



Figure 10

79x83mm (300 x 300 DPI)





250x120mm (96 x 96 DPI)