## ChemComm



**View Article Online** 

## COMMUNICATION



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c5cc01579k

Received 21st February 2015, Accepted 10th April 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5cc01579k

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

## Polyhomologation based on *in situ* generated boron-thexyl-silaboracyclic initiating sites: a novel strategy towards the synthesis of polyethylenebased complex architectures<sup>†</sup>

Zhen Zhang,<sup>ab</sup> Hefeng Zhang,<sup>ab</sup> Yves Gnanou<sup>a</sup> and Nikos Hadjichristidis\*<sup>ab</sup>

A novel strategy, based on the *in situ* generated boron-thexylsilaboracyclic initiating sites for the polyhomologation of dimethylsulfoxonium methylide, has been developed for the synthesis of complex polyethylene-based architectures. As examples, the synthesis of a 4-arm polyethylene star, three (polystyrene)(polyethylene)<sub>2</sub> 3-miktoarm stars and a PE-branched double graft copolymer is given.

Polyethylene (PE), the largest volume commodity polymer in the world, is indispensable in many applications ranging from packaging to precision-processed materials.<sup>1</sup> The low cost, excellent physical properties, easy processability and recyclability of PE have led to its commercial success.<sup>2</sup> However, the poor compatibility of PE with other polymers limits its further applications. To overcome this deficiency, the development of PE-based (co)polymeric materials with novel architectures is needed.<sup>3</sup>

Recently, Shea developed a living polymerization procedure leading to linear well-defined hydroxyl-terminated polymethylene (polyethylene).<sup>4</sup> The general mechanism involves the formation of a complex between an ylide (monomer) and an organoborane (initiator) followed by migration/insertion of  $-CH_2$ - into the initiator. As a consequence, the methylene groups are randomly inserted one by one (C1 polymerization) into the three arms of the initiator leading to a 3-arm polymethylene star. By oxidizing/hydrolysing the resulted star, an OH-terminated polymethylene (polyethylene) is obtained.

There are four methods for the synthesis of PE-based polymers with different architectures *via* polyhomologation: (1) direct use of the terminal –OH of PE-OH for ring-opening polymerization (ROP),<sup>5</sup> or post-polymerization modification of the –OH appropriate for atom transfer radical (ATRP),<sup>6</sup> or reversible addition-fragmentation chain-transfer polymerization (RAFT)<sup>7</sup> initiating sites; (2) reaction of PE-OH with functionalized monomers to give macromonomers

Thuwal 23955, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: nikolaos.hadjichristidis@kaust.edu.sa <sup>b</sup> King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Physical Sciences

and Engineering Division, Thuwal 23955, Saudi Arabia † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details as well as

supporting data including NMR and GPC profiles. See DOI: 10.1039/c5cc01579k

followed by (co)polymerization to afford graft copolymers or molecular brushes;<sup>8</sup> (3) stitching reactions to freeze the resultant polyhomologation star structure by transforming the sensitive to oxidation/hydrolysis boron-junction to stable carbon;<sup>9</sup> and (4) design of novel borane initiators.<sup>10</sup>

For example, ROP of ε-caprolactone initiated directly from the PE-OH macroinitiator with stannous octanoate  $(Sn(Oct)_2)$  as a catalyst affords polyethylene-*b*-poly( $\varepsilon$ -caprolactone). By transforming the terminal -OH of the diblock copolymer to the ATRP initiating site polyethylene-b-poly(e-caprolactone)-b-poly(acrylic acid) triblock copolymers have been synthesized.<sup>5a</sup> Well-defined PE brushes have been synthesized by ring opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) of norbornyl PE-macromonomers prepared by reaction of PE-OH with 5-norbornene-2-carboxylic acid.8 Stitching reactions have been used to synthesize PE-based linear, macrocarbocyclic and 3-arm star structures.9 Utilizing novel borane initiators is another efficient way to obtain 3-arm star and multiblock copolymers. For example, polyhomologation of ylides with 1-boraadamantane led to a 3-arm PE<sup>10</sup> and hydroboration of an allylterminated polystyrene oligomer with BH<sub>3</sub> gave an organoborane macroinitiator which by polyhomologation led to poly(ethylene-bstyrene) (PE-b-PS) block copolymers.<sup>11</sup> Our group synthesized 3-armpolybutadiene, polystyrene and (polystyrene-b-polydiene)borane stars by reaction of the corresponding living macroanions with BF3:EtO2, which served as macroinitiators for the synthesis of OH-terminated di- and triblock co- and terpolymers.<sup>12</sup>

In this communication, we propose a novel general strategy based on the *in situ* formation of B-thexyl-silaboracyclic structure, having two silicon-connected initiating sites and one blocked, for the synthesis of PE-based complex macromolecular architectures.

To check the principle of this strategy, B-thexyl-methylphenylsilaborocycle 2 (mixture of 2a and 2b isomers), a small equivalent molecule, was prepared by hydroboration of methylphenyldivinylsilane 1 with thexylborane<sup>13</sup> and used *in situ* to promote the polyhomologation of dimethylsulfoxonium methylide (Scheme 1). Details of the synthesis and characterization are given in the ESI.† After hydrolysis of the polyhomologation product, only  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega$ -dihydroxy polymethylene was produced as evidenced by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Physical Sciences and Engineering Division, KAUST Catalysis Center, Polymer Synthesis Laboratory,



Scheme 1 Synthesis of  $\alpha$ ,  $\omega$ -dihydroxyl PE and its GPC chromatogram.

appearance of only one peak in the GPC chromatogram and the absence of the thexyl group in the NMR spectrum, meaning that the B-thexyl site is inactive to polyhomologation and all other B-initiating sites (in **2a** and **2b** isomers) are equivalent, in agreement with Shea's work using B-*p*-methoxyl-phenylethyl-9-BBN as an initiator.<sup>9</sup> This is easy to explain since after the first insertion of a  $-CH_2$ - on the boron-tertiary carbon (B-CH) all sites (B-CH<sub>2</sub>) become equivalent.

Subsequently, this strategy was employed for the macromolecular design and synthesis of a 4-arm polyethylene star, three (polystyrene)(polyethylene)<sub>2</sub> 3-miktoarm stars and a PE-branched double graft copolymer.

The general reaction scheme for the synthesis of a 4-arm star is given in Scheme 2. The hydroboration of 3,8-dimethyl-3,8-divinyl-4,7-dioxa-3,8-disiladeca-1,9-diene 4 with thexylborane gives bis-B-thexyl-silaboracycles 5. After treating 5 with excess ylide and subsequent oxidation–hydrolysis reaction using TAO·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 4-arm PE star 6 ( $M_{n,NMR} = 3.0 \times 10^3$ , PDI<sub>GPC</sub> = 1.63) was obtained (Fig. S2, ESI†). Details are given in the ESI.†

The synthesis of PE-based 3-miktoarm stars was achieved according to Scheme 3 by combining polyhomologation with ATRP through an heterofunctional initiator, 3-(methyldivinylsilyl)propyl-2-bromo-2-methylpropanoate 7 (the synthesis procedure is



Scheme 2 Synthesis of 4-arm PE star and its GPC chromatogram.



**Scheme 3** Synthesis of PE-based 3-miktoarm star polymers by combination of ATRP and polyhomologation.

given in the ESI,† Scheme S4). The 2-bromo-2-methylpropanoate group was used to initiate the ATRP of styrene (CuBr/PMDETA (N,N,N',N',N'',N''-pentamethyldiethylene-triamine)) and methyldivinylsilyl after transformation to B-thexyl-silaboracycles to initiate polyhomologation. Three samples of divinyl-terminated polystyrene with different molecular weights and low polydispersities have been designed and synthesized (8a:  $M_{n,GPC} = 16.0 \times 10^3$ ,  $PDI_{GPC} = 1.22$ ; **8b**:  $M_{n,GPC} = 11.0 \times 10^3$ ,  $PDI_{GPC} = 1.18$ ,  $T_g = 85$  °C; **8c**:  $M_{n,GPC} = 5.2 \times 10^3$ , PDI<sub>GPC</sub> = 1.18) (Fig. 1 and Fig. S3 and S4, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The terminal methyldivinylsilyl group was readily transformed to B-thexyl-silaboracycles by hydroboration with thexylborane resulting in the corresponding macroinitiators for polyhomologation (9a-c). High hydroboration efficiencies were indicated by quantitative consumption of the terminal vinyl groups ( $\delta$  = 5.6 to 6.2 ppm) revealed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR results. As an example, the NMR spectra of 8a (before hydroboration) and 9a (after hydroboration) are shown in Fig. 2 (spectra a and b). The resultant boronmacroinitiators were used in situ for the polyhomologation of dimethylsulfoxonium methylide leading to the corresponding PE-based 3-miktoarm stars.

The success of this strategy was confirmed by both GPC and NMR results (Fig. 1 and 2). After polyhomologation, the peak shifted to a higher molecular weight range keeping the narrow distribution profiles (**10a**:  $M_{w,GPC-LS} = 506 \times 10^3$ , PDI<sub>GPC-LS</sub> = 1.32; **10b**:  $M_{w,GPC-LS} = 367 \times 10^3$ , PDI<sub>GPC-LS</sub> = 1.56,  $T_m = 116$  °C;



Fig. 1 GPC chromatograms of PS-MDVSi (8a) and the corresponding 3-miktoarm star (10a).



**Fig. 2** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of (a) PS-MDVSi (**8a**) (chloroform-*d*, 25 °C); (b) PS-Silaboracycle (**9a**) (chloroform-*d*, 25 °C); (c) PS-PE<sub>2</sub> (**10a**) (toluene-*d*<sub>8</sub>, 80 °C).



**Scheme 4** Synthesis of PE-branched double graft copolymer by combination of ATRP and polyhomologation.

**10c**:  $M_{w,GPC-LS} = 423 \times 10^3$ , PDI<sub>GPC-LS</sub> = 1.35) (Fig. 1 and Fig. S3 and S4, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Also, the fingerprints of the PS and PE blocks were found in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (Fig. 2c).

A "grafting from" strategy shown in Scheme 4 was developed to synthesize a double graft copolymer. First, copolymerization of styrene with 2-((methyldivinylsilyl)oxy)ethyl methacrylate (DVSiOMA, **11**) (for preparation see ESI,† Scheme S6) by ATRP initiated by ethyl 2-bromoisobutyrate (EBiB) with the CuBr/ PMDETA catalytic system led to PS-*co*-PDVSiOMA **12**. Then, the pendant divinylsilyl groups were transformed to B-thexylsilaboracycles by hydroboration with thexylborane, followed by polyhomologation. As an example, PS<sub>38</sub>-*co*-PDVSiOMA<sub>3</sub> (PDI<sub>GPC</sub> = 1.31) was synthesized (feed ratio 50/4.5).

Hydroboration of  $PS_{38}$ -*co*-PDVSiOMA<sub>3</sub>/polyhomologation by the resultant macroinitiator leads to PE-branched double graft copolymer PS-*co*-PDVSiOMA-*g*-(PE-OH)<sub>2</sub> **13** ( $M_{w,GPC-LS} = 218 \times 10^3$ , PDI<sub>GPC-LS</sub> = 1.35) (see ESI,† Fig. S5). High hydroboration efficiency was indicated by the quantitative disappearance of the signal at 5.7–6.2 ppm in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra for vinyl protons. The PS backbone is identifiable in NMR spectra (toluene-*d*<sub>8</sub>, 25 °C) and the PE fingerprint is much more evident by increasing the temperature up to 80 °C (see ESI,† Fig. S6).

In conclusion, a novel strategy using the *in situ* synthesized B-thexyl-silaboracyclic moieties with two silicon-connected initiating and one blocked sites for polyhomologation was successfully developed. This general strategy opens a new horizon for the synthesis of PE-based complex macromolecular architectures. Only a few examples are given in this communication, *i.e.* a 4-arm polyethylene star, three PS-PE<sub>2</sub> 3-miktoarm stars and a PE-branched double graft copolymer. Combination of this general strategy with other living and living/controlled polymerization techniques will lead to novel architectures such as multi-arm stars (8, 12, 16-arm), H-shaped, molecular brush copolymers, *etc.* 

## Notes and references

- (a) P. Galli and G. Vecellio, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2004, 42, 396–415; (b) D. B. Malpass, Introduction to Industrial Polyethylene: Properties, Catalysts, and Processes, John Wiley and Sons Ltd, 2010.
- 2 P. S. Chum and K. W. Swogger, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2008, 33, 797-819.
- 3 (a) L. Leibler, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2005, 30, 898–914; (b) C. W. Macosko,
  H. K. Jeon and T. R. Hoye, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2005, 30, 939–947;
  (c) P. J. Phillips, Polym. Cryst. Rep. Prog. Phys., 1990, 53, 549–604.
- 4 (a) J. Luo and K. J. Shea, Acc. Chem. Res., 2010, 43, 1420–1433; (b) K. J. Shea, J. W. Walker, H. Zhu, M. M. Paz and J. Greaves, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 9049–9050; (c) K. J. Shea, Chem. Eur. J., 2000, 6, 1113–1119.
- 5 (a) C. Yuan, H. Lu, Q. Li, S. Yang, Q. Zhao, J. Huang, L. Wei and Z. Ma, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2012, 50, 2398–2405; (b) Q.-Z. Li, G.-Y. Zhang, J.-Z. Chen, Q.-L. Zhao, H.-C. Lu, J. Huang, L.-H. Wei, F. D'Agosto, C. Boission and Z. Ma, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2011, 49, 511–517.
- 6 (a) H. Lu, Y. Xue, Q. Zhao, J. Huang, S. Xu, S. Cao and Z. Ma, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2012, 50, 3641–3647; (b) Y. Xue, H. Lu, Q. Zhao, J. Huang, S. Xu, S. Cao and Z. Ma, Polym. Chem., 2013, 4, 307–312; (c) J. Chen, K. Cui, S. Zhang, P. Xie, Q. Zhao, J. Huang, L. Shi, G. Li and Z. Ma, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2009, 30, 532–538; (d) J. Li, Q.-L. Zhao, J.-Z. Chen, L. Li, J. Huang, Z. Ma and Y.-W. Zhong, Polym. Chem., 2010, 1, 164–167.
- 7 X. Wang, J. Gao, Q. Zhao, J. Huang, G. Mao, W. Wu, Y. Ning and Z. Ma, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2013, 51, 2892–2899.
- 8 H. Zhang, Y. Gnanou and N. Hadjichristidis, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, 5, 6431–6434.
- 9 (a) K. J. Shea, S. Y. Lee and B. B. Busch, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 5746–5747; (b) K. J. Shea, B. B. Busch and M. M. Paz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 1391–1393.
- (a) C. E. Wagner and K. J. Shea, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 3063–3066;
   (b) C. E. Wagner, J.-S. Kim and K. J. Shea, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 12179–12195.
- (a) X.-Z. Zhou and K. J. Shea, *Macromolecules*, 2001, 34, 3111–3114;
  (b) K. J. Shea, C. L. Staiger and S. Y. Lee, *Macromolecules*, 1999, 32, 3157–3158.
- 12 (a) H. Zhang, N. Alkayal, Y. Gnanou and N. Hadjichristidis, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 8952–8954; (b) H. Zhang, N. Alkayal, Y. Gnanou and N. Hadjichristidis, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2014, **35**, 378–390.
- 13 (a) J. A. Soderquist and A. Hassner, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 1801–1810;
  (b) J. A. Soderquist, F.-Y. Shiau and R. A. Lemesh, J. Org. Chem., 1984, 49, 2565–2569;
  (c) M. F. Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 2541–2544;
  (d) H. C. Brown and E. Negishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 3567–3572;
  (e) E. Negishi and H. C. Brown, Synthesis, 1974, 77–89;
  (f) H. C. Brown and A. K. Mandal, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 4970–4976.