Base-Catalyzed Direct Aldolization of α-Alkyl-α-Hydroxy Trialkyl Phosphonoacetates**

Michael T. Corbett, Daisuke Uraguchi, Takashi Ooi,* and Jeffrey S. Johnson*

Catalytic direct aldol reactions offer a convenient method for the rapid construction of β -hydroxy carbonyl compounds through the coupling of carbonyl donors and aldehyde acceptors.^[1] The generation of the reactive enolate by a basic or nucleophilic catalyst obviates the need to preform an enolate equivalent. Reaction subtypes can be broadly categorized by the oxidation state of the pronucleophile. Ketones and aldehydes can be used in direct aldolization because of their relatively high acidity (by Brønsted base catalysis) or their ability to form an enamine (by Lewis base catalysis).^[2] Catalytic direct aldol and Mannich reactions involving donors in the carboxylic acid oxidation state are considerably more elusive.^[3] Mechanistic nuances of these reactions are more diverse and reactions that give products that are fully substituted at the α carbon atom are difficult to achieve. We report herein a new base-catalyzed direct glycolate aldol addition that relies upon the strategic use of a [1,2] phosphonate-phosphate rearrangement (Scheme 1). This strategy was deployed in the development of highly enantio- and diastereoselective variants through the application of chiral iminophosphorane catalysts. A consequence of the reaction design is that products containing a leaving group are directly produced by the aldolization, a circumstance that is favorable for subsequent nucleophilic displacement reactions.

Although analogous to the well-studied isoelectronic [1,2] Brook rearrangement,^[4] the [1,2] phosphonate–phosphate rearrangement of α -hydroxy phosphonates is comparatively underutilized.^[5,6] Incorporation of an adjacent electron-with-drawing group facilitates C \rightarrow O dialkoxyphosphinyl migra-

[*]	M. T. Corbett, Prof. Dr. J. S. Johnson
	Department of Chemistry
	The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
	Chapel Hill, NC 27599 (USA)
	E-mail: jsj@unc.edu
	Dr. D. Uraguchi, Prof. Dr. T. Ooi
	Department of Applied Chemistry
	Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University
	Furo-cho B2-3(611), Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8603 (Japan)
	E-mail: tooi@apchem.nagoya-u.ac.jp
[**]	The project described was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (Award R01 GM084927), the NEXT
	program, and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative

program, and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas "Advanced Molecular Transformations by Organocatalysts" from MEXT. M.T.C. acknowledges a JSPS/NSF EAPSI Fellowship and the Global COE program in Chemistry of Nagoya University. Additional support from Novartis is gratefully acknowledged. X-ray crystallographic analysis was performed by Yusuke Ueki of Nagoya University.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201200559.



Scheme 1. Base-catalyzed direct-aldolization employing phosphonate-phosphate rearrangement.

tion $(2 \rightarrow 2' \rightarrow 2'';$ Scheme 1) through stabilization of the incipient negative charge. The [1,2] phosphonate–phosphate rearrangement of α -hydroxy phosphonates has been employed in the formal racemic and enantioselective reduction of α -keto esters;^[7] however, it has seldom been used for C–C bond construction through umpolung reactivity.^[6,8] The fully substituted glycolic acid derivatives that would result from productive trapping of 2'' feature a β -phosphonyloxy moiety that can serve as an electrophile in secondary transformations,^[9] and can be used as intermediates in the synthesis of biologically active compounds such as tagetitoxin, leustroducsin B, and phoslactomycin A.^[10] The generation and electrophilic trapping of glycolate enolates through a base-catalyzed C \rightarrow O dialkoxyphosphinyl migration was accordingly undertaken.

Initially, we examined the reaction of α -hydroxy phosphonate $\mathbf{1a}^{[11]}$ with an aryl aldehyde in the presence of a variety of bases (Table 1). The temperature of the reaction determined the product identity. When the reaction was conducted at room temperature, epoxide 5 was isolated in 23 % yield with 2:1 d.r. (Table 1, entry 1); however, at -78 °C, under otherwise identical reaction conditions, α -hydroxy- β phosphonyloxy ester 3a was isolated in 97% yield and 2:1 d.r. (Table 1, entry 2). We propose that both products arise from the same reaction pathway (see below): at elevated temperature, the aldolate of 3a can react to give epoxide 5 through an intramolecular Darzens-type S_N2 displacement of the vicinal phosphate.^[12] At cryogenic temperatures, epoxide formation is completely suppressed. The identity of the counterion of the base affected diastereoselectivity, with LiOtBu (Table 1, entry 5) giving a slightly lower diastereoselectivity than KOtBu (Table 1, entry 2) and NaOtBu giving the lowest diastereoselectivity (Table 1, entry 3). A higher reaction temperature was required for Cs₂CO₃ to initiate the

Table 1: Direct aldol addition: reaction optimization.[a]

EtO₂C H	O P(OEt) ₂ O Bn	ArCHO base THF	Et ₂ O ₃ PO O ₂ C HO Bn	EtO ₂ C Ar +	→ ^{Bn} OPO ₃ Et ₂ ⁺	to ₂ C. O Bn
	1a /	$Ar = 4 - CIC_6H_4$	3a		4	5
Entry	Base	e [mol%]	١	ield [%]	[b]	d.r. ^[b]
			3 a	4	5	(anti/syn)
1 ^[c]	KOt	Bu (120)	-	-	23	2.0:1.0
2	KOt	Bu (120)	97	-	-	2.0:1.0
3	NaC	0 <i>t</i> Bu (120)	88	-	-	1.2:1.0
4 ^[d]	Cs ₂ C	CO ₃ (120)	41	58	-	1.9:1.0
5	LiOt	Bu (120)	81	-	-	1.8:1.0
6	KHN	/IDS (20)	74	19	-	2.2:1.0
7	tBu-	P4 ^[e] (20)	97	-	-	1.0:3.3
8 ^[f]	KOt	Bu (20)	98	-	-	1.9:1.0

[a] Reactions were performed on 0.10 mmol scale, using 1.5 equiv of aldehyde in THF (1.0 mL) at -78 °C for 1 h. [b] Yields and diastereose-lectivities were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using mesitylene as an internal standard. [c] Ar = Ph, T=25 °C; [d] The reaction was warmed to room temperature. [e] tBu-P4 \equiv ((Me₂N)₃P=N)₃P=NtBu.

[f] [1] $_0$ = 0.20 m, 2.0 equiv aldehyde. Bn = benzyl, KHMDS = potassium hexamethyldisilazide.

[1,2] phosphonate-phosphate rearrangement and competitive enolate quenching was observed, thus resulting in the formation of 4 (Table 1, entry 4). Attempts to render the reaction catalytic were successful because the use of 20 mol% of either KHMDS or KOtBu promoted the reaction in good yield (Table 1, entries 6 and 8, respectively). The phosphazene base tBu-P4 was also an effective catalyst for this reaction and gave preferentially the opposite (syn) diastereoisomer (Table 1, entry 7). The effect of independently increasing either the number of equivalents of aldehyde or the reaction concentration was marginal in minimizing the formation of 4, but simultaneously increasing both provided the best ratio of desired product 3a to quenched enolate 4; (Table 1, entry 8). The identity of the carboxy and phosphonate ester had little impact on the diastereoselectivity of the reaction (not shown).^[13]

With high-yielding reaction conditions established (Table 1, entry 8), we examined the scope of the reaction by varying the aldehydes used (Table 2). The use of electron-poor and electron-neutral aromatic aldehydes afforded products in excellent yield, albeit with marginal diastereoselectivity (Table 2, entries 1–10). The use of electron-rich aromatic, alkenyl, and alkyl aldehydes afforded product with a notable enhancement in *anti* diastereoselectivity and the use of an excess amount of aldehyde (5.0 equivalents) was optimal in these cases (Table 2, entries 11, 13, and 14). The isolation of products typically only consisted of their separation from excess aldehyde. The major byproduct formed during the reaction was the quenched glycolate enolate **4**. Bulkier aldehydes such as isobutyraldehyde and pivaldehyde were not suitable electrophiles under these reaction conditions.

The scope of the α -hydroxy phosphonate coupling partner was investigated, with benzaldehyde as the other partner, by varying the α substituent (Table 3). The presence of an α substituent was found to be critical: reactions of phosphonates in which R = H suffered from poor reactivity (<5%

Table 2: Aldehyde substrate scope.^[a]

EtC	$\begin{array}{c} O \\ D_2 C \\ HO Bn \\ 1a \end{array} \begin{array}{c} O \\ O \\ HO \\ HO \\ HO \\ HO \\ HO \\ HO \\ $	KO <i>t</i> Bu (20 r R THF (0.2 –78 °C, 2	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{mol } \%) \\ (M) \\ 2 \text{ h} \\ HO \\ (M) \\ $	OPO ₃ Et ₂ R Bn
Entry	R	Product	Yield [%] ^[b]	anti/syn ^[c]
1	4-CIC ₆ H ₄	3 a	95	2.0:1.0
2	$2-FC_6H_4$	3 b	91	2.3:1.0
3	$2-NO_2C_6H_4$	3 c	87	1.5:1.0
4	3-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	3 d	92	2.4:1.0
5	$4-NO_2C_6H_4$	3 e	95	2.8:1.0
6	$4-CF_3C_6H_4$	3 f	91	2.1:1.0
7	4-CNC ₆ H ₄	3 g	93	2.4:1.0
8	C ₆ H ₅	3 h	97	2.1:1.0
9	$4-FC_6H_4$	3 i	98	2.1:1.0
10	$4-MeC_6H_4$	3 j	97	2.0:1.0
11 ^[d]	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	3 k	89	4.9:1.0
12	2-thienyl	31	81 ^[e]	6.7:1.0
13 ^[d]	(E)-CH=CHPh	3 m	89	4.4:1.0
14 ^[d]	CH_2CH_2Ph	3 n	78	5.8:1.0

[a] Unless noted, reactions were performed on 0.20 mmol scale, using 2.0 equiv of aldehyde in THF (1.0 mL) at -78 °C for 2 h. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. [d] With 5.0 equiv of aldehyde. [e] Product isolated as the *anti* diol following column chromatography.

Table 3: α EtO ₂ C、 HC	Substituent scope. ^[a] O O O $H(OEt)_2 + H H Ph -$ R 1	КО <i>t</i> Bu (20 mol THF (0.2 м) –78 °С, 2 h	$\stackrel{(\%)}{\longrightarrow} EtO_2C \bigvee_{HO}^{C} R$	PPO₃Et₂ [`] Ph
Entry	R	Product	Yield [%] ^[b]	anti/syn ^[c]
1	H (1 f)	30	trace	_
2	Me (1 b)	3р	81	1.9:1.0
3	$CH_2CH = CH_2$ (1c)	3 q	89	1.2:1.0
4	CH₂C≡CH (1 d)	3r	93	2.9:1.0
5	CH ₂ Ph (1 a)	3 h	97	2.1:1.0

[a] Reactions were performed on 0.20 mmol scale, using 2.0 equiv of aldehyde in THF (1.0 mL) at -78 °C for 2 h. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

conversion; Table 3, entry 1). In addition to the benzyl group, other alkyl substituents were well-tolerated under the reaction conditions, thus allowing for the incorporation of functional handles such as terminal alkenes and alkynes (Table 3, entries 3 and 4).

Having established a baseline protocol for achieving a new direct ester aldol addition reaction, we directed our efforts toward improving the modest reaction diastereoselectivity and developing an enantioselective variant of this reaction. Catalytic aldolization using *t*Bu-P4 provided the opposite major diastereomer to that obtained using alkali bases (Table 1), thus hinting at the possibility that both relative and absolute stereocontrol issues could conceivably be addressed through the use of a chiral iminophosphorane base possessing appropriate structural features and sufficient basicity.^[14] Exploratory experiments to test this hypothesis are summarized in Table 4. The aldolization of **1e** did indeed take place in the presence of catalytic amounts of chiral imino-

Table 4: Development of an asymmetric aldolization.^[16] Me Me

1

EtO ₂ C HO PhC	0 - P(ON Bn 1e + CHO	$\frac{A(r)_2}{Ar} = \frac{A(r)_1}{Ar} + \frac{A(r)_1}{Ar$	N Ar 6 Ar 10 °C, 20 h	OPO EtO ₂ C HO Bn 7	₃Me₂ EtO₂ ı +	2CBn OPO₃Me₂ 4b
Entry ^[a]	6	Ar	Solvent	Conv. [%] ^[b]	$7^{[c]}/4b$	e.r. ^[d]
1	6a	$4-CF_3C_6H_4$	THF	< 5	-	_
2	6b	$4-FC_6H_4$	THF	53	1:0.6	95:5
3	6c	C₀H₅	THF	76	1:0.8	92:8
4	6d	4-MeC ₆ H₄	THF	80	1:0.7	88:12
5	6e	$4-MeOC_6H_4$	THF	>95	1:1.1	89.5:10.5
6	6c	C₀H₅	Et ₂ O	>95	1:0.6	91:9
7	6c	C₀H₅	2-MeTHF	>95	1:0.8	92.5:7.5
8 ^[e]	6c	C ₆ H₅	2-MeTHF	$> 90^{[f]}$	1:0.4	95:5

[a] Reactions were performed on 0.10 mmol scale, using 5.0 equiv of PhCHO in solvent (0.5 mL) for 20 h. [b] Conversions and diastereomeric ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. [c] The syn/anti ratio was > 30:1 in all cases. [d] Determined by HPLC using a CHIRALPAK AD3 column. [e] The reaction was conducted at -50 °C. [f] The product was isolated in 71% yield. Conv = conversion.

phosphoranes such as L-valine-derived 6 and product 7 was isolated with good enantioselectivity. Enantiocontrol could be tuned through changes of the electronics at the 4-position of the four aryl groups, but the use of electron-poor variants led to reduced reaction efficiency, presumably as a result of the reduced basicity (Table 4, entries 1-5). The identity of the solvent appeared important in terms of product distribution (ratio of aldol 7 to the quenched enolate 4b) and stereoselectivity (Table 4, entries 6 and 7). Optimal results were obtained when using 10 mol% of 6c in 2-MeTHF, as the reaction solvent, at -50 °C: the hydroxy phosphate adduct 7a was isolated in 71% yield and 95:5 enantioselectivity (Table 4, entry 8). Perhaps most remarkably, the chiral iminophosphorane-catalyzed aldolization proceeded with essentially complete diastereocontrol (>30:1 syn/anti),^[15] thus revealing this strategy as a powerful method for stereoselective glycolate aldolization that give products with a fully substituted α center.

Preliminary experiments suggest that application of the optimized reaction conditions to other aldehyde electrophiles provides access to enantiomerically enriched aldol adducts. As illustrated in Table 5,^[17] the use of 2-substituted aldehydes gives products in slightly reduced yields in comparison to the reaction with benzaldehyde, although complete diastereoselectivity is observed and good enantiocontrol is maintained. The structure and absolute stereochemistry of aldol adduct 7e was determined by X-ray crystallography,^[18] and other products were assigned by analogy. For electron-donating aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes, the relative rate of irreversible stereoselective C-C bond formation to afford 7 was slower than the irreversible protonation of the chiral enolate 8 to 4b (see Scheme 2), thus resulting in diminished yields (R = 4-MeC₆H₄: 48% yield, syn/anti > 30:1, e.r. 89:11). Under proton transfer conditions, minimization of undesired protonation of the chiral enolate $\mathbf{8}^{[7d]}$ remains a challenge despite the enhanced basicity of the chiral catalyst 6c.^[19]

Table 5: Diastereo- and enantioselective direct aldol additions.^[a]

O EtO ₂ C HO Bn 1e * RCHO (5 equiv)		Me Me Ph N Ph Ph H N Ph 6c (10 mol %) 2-MeTHF, -50 °C, 20 h		OPO ₃ Me ₂ EtO ₂ C HO Bn 7	
Entry	R	Product	Yield [%] ^[b]	syn/anti ^[c]	e.r. ^[d]
1	C₅H₅	7 a	71	> 30:1	95:5
2	2-naphthyl	7 b	68	> 30:1	94.5:5.5
3	$2-FC_6H_4$	7 c	56	> 30:1	94:6
4	2-CIC ₆ H ₄	7 d	64	> 30:1	95:5
5	3-NCC ₆ H ₄	7e	89	> 30:1	94:6
6	$3-BrC_6H_4$	7 f	87	> 30:1	95:5
7	4-CIC ₆ H ₄	7 g	82	> 30:1	95:5
8	$4-BrC_6H_4$	7 h	91	> 30:1	95.5:4.5
9	4-MeO ₂ CC ₆ H ₄	7i	89	> 30:1	97:3

[a] Reactions were performed on 0.10 mmol scale, using 5.0 equiv of aldehyde in 2-MeTHF (0.5 mL) at -50 °C for 20 h. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. [d] Determined by HPLC using a CHIRALPAK AD3 column.



Scheme 2. Mechanistic proposal.

A catalytic cycle that accounts for the observed reactivity of the α -hydroxy phosphonate **1e** in the addol addition is proposed in Scheme 2. After deprotonation of the alcohol 1e by the basic catalyst, a subsequent [1,2] phosphonatephosphate rearrangement generates the reactive glycolate enolate 8. Consideration of frontier molecular orbital (FMO) theory^[20] led us to favor the illustrated Z enolate as the kinetically preferred product of $C \rightarrow O$ phosphinyl migration. Enolate addition to the aldehyde would lead to the aldolate 9. The precise structures of the enolate 8 and the aldol transition state remain open for discussion. Approximately thermoneutral $O \rightarrow O$ phosphinyl migration occurs from the tertiary alcohol to the vicinal secondary alkoxide, presumably to reduce steric strain at the fully substituted center, thus affording aldolate 10. The reaction is rendered catalytic through proton transfer between the aldolate 10 and the α hydroxy phosphonate starting material 1e, either directly or via the free base **6**.

The reaction is especially attractive because the aldolization directly installs a leaving group that can be immediately



deployed in nucleophilic displacement chemistry. The OPO₃R₂ groups in benzylic phosphates are viable leaving groups in acid-promoted Friedel–Crafts alkylations.^[21] Upon treatment of (±)-**3a** (d.r. 2:1) and anisole with TfOH, the α -hydroxy ester **11** was isolated in 91% yield as a single diastereomer. Heteroatom and heteroaromatic nucleophiles were also employed in this Friedel–Crafts alkylation in good to excellent yields (Scheme 3),^[22] thus providing stereogenic β -diaryl glycolates in a single step with pronounced stereo-convergency. Formation of a discrete carbenium ion explains the stereoconvergence and finds precedent in the diastereo-selective Friedel–Crafts alkylations pioneered by Bach and co-workers.^[23]



 $\label{eq:scheme} \textit{Scheme 3.} Stereoconvergent Friedel-Crafts alkylations. Tf = trifluoromethanesulfonyl.$

In conclusion, a catalytic direct aldol addition of α hydroxy trialkyl phosphonoacetates to aldehydes to afford α hydroxy β -phosphonyloxy esters has been developed. A [1,2] phosphonate–phosphate rearrangement was utilized to generate the reactive glycolate enolate in situ. The reaction works well for a variety of alkyl, alkenyl, aryl, and heteroaryl aldehydes to afford the desired products in good to excellent yields in low to moderate diastereoselectivities. Iminophosphorane catalysts enabled positive outcomes in asymmetric versions of this reaction, providing excellent levels of stereocontrol. Stereoconvergent second stage transformations have also been developed to enhance the synthetic utility of the method. The applicability of this mechanistic framework in the context of other new reactions is the topic of ongoing investigations.

Experimental Section

A dried test tube was charged with the α -hydroxy phosphonoacetate **1e** (30.2 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and benzaldehyde (51 µL, 0.50 mmol, 5.0 equiv), and the mixture was dissolved in 2-MeTHF (500 µL, 0.2 м) under an atmosphere of argon. The solution was cooled to -50 °C. Iminophosphorane **6c** (5.8 mg, 0.01 mmol, 0.1 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at -50 °C for 20 h. The reaction was quenched at -50 °C with 0.5 M TFA in toluene (40 µL, 0.2 equiv). The resulting solution was diluted with 1N HCl at 0°C. The aqueous layer was extracted with CHCl₃ (3×). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (1×), dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The diastereomeric ratio was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude residue. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with 30% acetone/hexane to afford the aldol adduct **7a** (29.0 mg, 0.07 mmol, 71% yield, >30:1 *syn/anti*) as a white solid (mp 119–127 °C).

Received: January 19, 2012 Published online: April 3, 2012

Keywords: aldol reaction · asymmetric catalysis · enantioselectivity · phosphates · rearrangement

- [1] B. M. Trost, C. S. Brindle, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 1600-1632.
- [2] a) N. Yoshikawa, Y. M. A. Yamada, J. Das, H. Sasai, M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4168-4178; b) N. Yoshikawa, N. Kumagai, S. Matsunaga, G. Moll, T. Ohshima, T. Suzuki, M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2466-2467; c) B. M. Trost, H. Ito, E. R. Silcoff, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3367-3368; d) B. List, R. A. Lerner, C. F. Barbas III, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 2395-2396; e) W. Notz, B. List, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7386-7387; f) A. B. Northrup, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6798-6799.
- [3] Selected examples: a) D. A. Evans, C. W. Downey, J. L. Hubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8706-8707; b) D. Magdziak, G. Lalic, H. M. Lee, K. C. Fortner, A. D. Aloise, M. D. Shair, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7284-7285; c) M. C. Willis, G. A. Cutting, V. J.-D. Piccio, M. J. Durbin, M. P. John, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 1567-1569; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1543-1545; d) S. Saito, S. Kobayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8704-8705; e) R. Matsubara, F. Berthiol, S. Kobayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1804-1805; f) S. Saito, T. Tsubogo, S. Kobayashi, Chem. Commun. 2007, 1236-1237; g) D. Uraguchi, Y. Ueki, T. Ooi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14088-14089; h) D. A. Alonso, S. Kitagaki, N. Utsumi, C. F. Barbas III, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 4664-4667; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4588-4591; i) N. Utsumi, S. Kitagaki, C. F. Barbas III, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3405-3408; j) H. Van Nguyen, R. Matsubara, S. Kobayashi, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 6041-6043; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5927-5929; k) B. M. Trost, S. Malhotra, B. A. Fried, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 1674-1675; l) X. Liu, L. Deng, X. Jiang, W. Yan, C. Liu, R. Wang, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 876-879; m) T. Misaki, G. Takimoto, T. Sugimura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6286-6287; n) A. D. Melhado, G. W. Amarante, Z. J. Wang, M. Luparia, F. D. Toste, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 3517-3527; o) S. Kobayashi, H. Kiyohara, M. Yamaguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 708-711; p) M. Iwata, R. Yazaki, I. H. Chen, D. Sureshkumar, N. Kumagai, M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 5554-5560.
- [4] a) A. G. Brook, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 1886–1889; b) A. G. Brook, Acc. Chem. Res. 1974, 7, 77–84.
- [5] a) S. J. Fitch, K. Moedritzer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 1876– 1879; b) F. Hammerschmidt, E. Schneyder, E. Zbiral, Chem. Ber. 1980, 113, 3891–3897.
- [6] a) C. C. Bausch, J. S. Johnson, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 1207–1211; b) A. S. Demir, Ö. Reis, A. Ç. İğdir, İ. Esiringü, S. Eymur, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 10584–10587; c) A. S. Demir, B. R. Reis, Ö. Reis, S. Eymür, M. Göllü, S. Tural, G. Saglam, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 7439–7442; d) A. S. Demir, İ. Esiringü, M. Göllü, Ö. Reis, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2197–2199.
- [7] a) G. V. Romanov, M. S. Yagfavor, A. I. Konovalo, A. N. Pudovik, I. V. Konovalo, T. N. Yusupova, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.* **1973**, *43*, 2378–2386; b) A. N. Pudovik, M. G. Zimin, A. A. Sobanov, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.* **1975**, *45*, 1438–1444; c) J. Binder, E. Zbiral, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 4213–4216; d) M. Hayashi, S.

Nakamura, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 2297–2300; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2249–2252.

- [8] R. Ruel, J.-P. Bouvier, R. N. Young, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 5209-5213.
- [9] a) M. Ito, K.-i. Koyakumaru, T. Ohta, H. Takaya, *Synthesis* 1995, 376–378; b) M. Jiménez-Hopkins, P. R. Hanson, *Org. Lett.* 2008, 10, 2223–2226; c) H. Krawczyk, K. Wąsek, J. Kędzia, *Synthesis* 2009, 1473–1476.
- [10] a) R. E. Mitchell, J. M. Coddington, H. Young, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1989, 30, 501-504; b) T. Kohama, R. Enokita, T. Okazaki, H. Miyaoka, A. Torikata, M. Inukai, I. Kaneko, T. Kagasaki, Y. Sakaida, A. Satoh, A. Shirashi, *J. Antibiot.* 1993, 46, 1503-1511; c) T. Kohama, T. Nakamura, T. Kinoshita, I. Kaneko, A. Shiraishi, *J. Antibiot.* 1993, 46, 1512-1519; d) S. Fushimi, S. Nishikawa, A. Shimazu, H. Seto, *J. Antibiot.* 1989, 42, 1019-1025; e) S. Fushimi, K. Furihata, H. Seto, *J. Antibiot.* 1989, 42, 1026-1036.
- [11] E. Nakamura, Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 663-666.
- [12] M. Ballester, Chem. Rev. 1955, 55, 283-300.
- [13] See the Supporting Information for more details.
- [14] a) D. Uraguchi, S. Sakaki, T. Ooi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12392-12393; b) D. Uraguchi, T. Ito, T. Ooi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3836-3837; c) D. Uraguchi, T. Ito, S. Nakamura, T. Ooi, Chem. Lett. 2009, 38, 1052-1053; d) D. Uraguchi, T. Ito, S. Nakamura, T. Ooi, Chem. Sci. 2010, 1, 488-490; e) D. Uraguchi, S. Nakamura, T. Ooi, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 7724-7727; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7562-7565.

- [15] This is a conservative estimate. In most cases, the minor diastereomer is not detectable by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; however, the lowest observed d.r. is 34:1.
- [16] See the Supporting Information for detailed optimization experiments.
- [17] Other pronucleophiles were employed in the reaction with 4bromobenzaldehyde; however, at our current level of reaction and catalyst optimization both 1c (34% yield, > 30:1 syn/anti, 87% ee) and 1d (95% yield, > 30:1 syn/anti, 70% ee) provided unsatisfactory levels of either reactivity or enantioselectivity despite an observed retention in absolute diastereocontrol.
- [18] CCDC 854995 (7e) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www. ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [19] Competitive enolate quenching was also observed in the KOtBucatalyzed aldolization (Table 2). Entries 11, 13, and 14 necessitated 5 equivalents of aldehyde to compensate for their reduced electrophilicity.
- [20] D. C. Schmitt, J. S. Johnson, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 944-947.
- [21] A. G. Smith, J. S. Johnson, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1784–1787.
- [22] See the Supporting Information for the determination of the relative stereochemistry of **11**.
- [23] a) F. Mühlthau, O. Schuster, T. Bach, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9348–9349; b) F. Mühlthau, D. Stadler, A. Goeppert, G. A. Olah, G. K. S. Prakash, T. Bach, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9668–9675; c) D. Stadler, T. Bach, Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 272–284; d) B.-F. Sun, R. Hong, Y.-B. Kang, L. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10384–10385.