# **ORGANOMETALLICS**

# Preparation of Zirconium Guanidinate Complexes from the Direct Insertion of a Carbodiimine and Aminolysis Using a Guanidine. Comparison of the Reactions

Shu-Jian Chen,<sup>†</sup> Brenda A. Dougan,<sup>†</sup> Xue-Tai Chen,<sup>‡</sup> and Zi-Ling Xue\*,<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, United States

<sup>‡</sup>State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

**Supporting Information** 

**ABSTRACT:** Direct insertion of 1 equiv of CyN=C=NCy(1; Cy = cyclohexyl) into the  $Zr-NMe_2$  bonds in  $(Me_2N)_3Zr$ .  $[N(SiMe_3)_2]$  (2) and  $(Me_2N)_3Zr$ . $[Si(SiMe_3)_3]$  (3) gave exclusively  $[CyNC(NMe_2)NCy]Zr(NMe_2)_2[N(SiMe_3)_2]$  (5) and  $[CyNC(NMe_2)NCy]Zr(NMe_2)_2[Si(SiMe_3)_3]$  (6), respec-



tively. The reaction between 2 and guandine CyNHC(NMe<sub>2</sub>)=NCy (9) gave 5 and HNMe<sub>2</sub> through the preferred cleavage of a Zr–NMe<sub>2</sub> bond in 2. The reaction between 3 and 9 led to the preferred cleavage of the Zr–Si(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> bond in 3, yielding [CyNC(NMe<sub>2</sub>)NCy]Zr(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (7) and HSi(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and, upon cleavage of another Zr–NMe<sub>2</sub> bond, forming [CyNC(NMe<sub>2</sub>)NCy]<sub>2</sub>Zr(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (8). The aminolysis of Zr(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (4) by 9 first afforded 7 and then 8. The structures of 5, 6, and 9 have been determined by X-ray diffraction.

T ransition-metal guanidinate complexes have been actively studied in recent years for their unique chemistry and applications.<sup>1–3</sup> Complexes containing ancillary guanidinate ligands are catalysts or precatalysts for a variety of reactions.<sup>3</sup> In chemical vapor deposition (CVD) and atomic layer deposition (ALD) processes, early-transition-metal amides such as 4 have been used as precursors to give metal-based materials.<sup>4</sup> The amide complexes are usually oxygen and moisture sensitive.<sup>5,6</sup> The introduction of ancillary ligands such as guanidinates ligands often makes the complexes more stable.<sup>2</sup> As shown in Scheme 1, bidentate anionic guanidinate ligands provide four

Scheme 1

$$\begin{array}{cccc} R' & R' & R' & R' \\ R_{N} & & & R_{N} & R' & R' \\ \hline \end{array} \\ R_{N} & & & R_{N} & R' & R' \\ \hline \end{array}$$

electrons to the metal centers. Their zwitterionic resonance  $structures^7$  also contribute to the stability of the complexes.

We reported recently that in the reaction of  $Ta(NMe_2)_4[N-(SiMe_3)_2]$  with 1, the complex undergoes elimination of  $Me_3SiNMe_2$  to give the imide " $Ta(NMe_2)_3(=NSiMe_3)$ ," observed as its dimer  $[Ta(NMe_2)_3(\mu-NSiMe_3)]_2$ .<sup>1t</sup> 1 captures " $Ta(NMe_2)_3(=NSiMe_3)$ " to give guanidinates  $Ta-(NMe_2)_{3-n}(=NSiMe_3)[CyNC(NMe_2)NCy]_n$  (n = 1, 2). We report here the preparation of guanidinates by insertion reactions between 1 and 2,<sup>8a</sup> 3,<sup>8b</sup> or 4<sup>8c,d</sup> and compare the insertions with aminolysis of 2, 3, or 4 by 9 in order to understand the nature of the formation of the complexes containing silyl and amide ligands.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Manipulations were performed under dry  $N_2$ . Solvents were purified by distillation from K/benzophenone ketyl.<sup>9</sup>

Formation of 5 by Insertion. Hexanes were added to a mixture of 2 (1.191 g, 3.102 mmol) and 1 (0.640 g, 3.102 mmol). After it was stirred for 15 h, the solution was filtered, and cooling at -32 °C overnight gave colorless crystals of 5 (1.556 g, 2.636 mmol, 85% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 399.79 MHz, 23 °C): δ 3.20-3.10 (br, 2H, CH), 3.09 (s, 12H, NMe<sub>2</sub>), 2.40 (s, 6H, CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.85-1.15 (m, 20H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.43 (s, 18H, SiMe<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 100.53 MHz, 23 °C): δ 172.89 (CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 57.04 (CH), 45.10 (NMe<sub>2</sub>), 40.06 (CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 35.48, 26.79, 26.28 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.49 (SiMe<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>58</sub>N<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>Zr: C, 50.88; H, 9.91; N, 14.24. Found: C, 50.77; H, 9.91; N, 14.16.

Formation of 6 by Insertion. To 3 (1.130 g, 2.400 mmol) in hexanes was added 1 (0.495 g, 2.400 mmol) in hexanes. After it was stirred for 18 h, the yellow solution was filtered, and crystallization at -20 °C gave pale yellow crystals of 6 (1.021 g, 1.507 mmol, 63% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 399.79 MHz, 23 °C): δ 3.20–3.10 (br, 2H, CH), 2.97 (s, 12H, NMe<sub>2</sub>), 2.34 (s, 6H, CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.80–1.10 (m, 20H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.51 (s, 27H, SiMe<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (benzene-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 100.54 MHz, 23 °C): δ 172.18 (CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 57.80 (CH), 40.69 (CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 40.47 (NMe<sub>2</sub>), 35.26, 26.44, 26.01 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.07 (SiMe<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>67</sub>N<sub>5</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>Zr: C, 49.64; H, 9.97; N, 10.34. Found: C, 49.76; H, 10.10; N, 10.17.

**Formation of a Mixture of 7 and 8 by Insertion.** In an NMR tube, 4 (0.0269 g, 0.100 mmol) and 1 (0.0206 g, 0.100 mmol) were dissolved in benzene- $d_6$ . After 10 min, 7 and 8 in a molar ratio of ca. 0.6:1 were found along with a white precipitate of 7.<sup>10</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR of 7 (toluene- $d_8$ , 400.25 MHz, 23 °C):  $\delta$  3.13 (s, 18H, NMe<sub>2</sub>), 3.16–3.08 (br, 2H, CH), 2.48 (s, 6H, CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.79–1.21 (m, 20H, CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C

Received: February 6, 2012 Published: April 4, 2012 NMR (toluene- $d_{s_1}$  100.65 MHz, 23 °C): δ 172.05 (CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 56.64 (CH), 43.43 (NMe<sub>2</sub>), 39.83 (CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 35.34, 26.82, 26.60 (CH<sub>2</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>46</sub>N<sub>6</sub>Zr: C, 53.23; H, 9.78; N, 17.74. Found: C, 53.17; H, 9.66; N, 17.42. NMR data of 8 at 35 and 23 °C are given in ref 1e and the Supporting Information, respectively.

Preparation of 9. 1 (8.212 g, 0.0398 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O was added to freshly prepared LiNMe<sub>2</sub> (2.030 g, 0.0398 mmol) suspended in Et<sub>2</sub>O with stirring. After 4 h, degassed H<sub>2</sub>O (0.72 mL, 0.040 mmol) was slowly added by a syringe to the solution at 0 °C. Stirring at 23 °C for 16 h gave a colorless solution. Et<sub>2</sub>O was removed in vacuo to give an oily residue that was extracted by hexanes. The extract was dried by anhydrous Na2SO4, and hexanes were removed by distillation in a Kugelrohr apparatus at 85 °C and 6 Torr. Cooling the resulting liquid to 23  $^{\circ}$ C gave a few colorless crystals. A white solid formed at  $-20 \,^{\circ}$ C overnight. The solid-liquid mixture was pumped at 23 °C for 6 h to give more crystals. The total weight of the solid and crystals was 8.110 g (0.0391 mmol, 98% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400.25 MHz, 23 °C): δ 2.94–2.89 (m, 1H, CH), 2.82–2.77 (m, 1H, CH), 2.63 (s, 6H, CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.84–0.93 (m, 21H, N-H, CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100.65 MHz, 23 °C): δ 155.60 (CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 56.58, 53.18 (CH), 39.08 (CNMe<sub>2</sub>), 35.39, 34.48, 25.76, 25.60, 25.43, 25.34 (CH<sub>2</sub>). NMR data of 9 in benzene- $d_6$  are given in the Supporting Information.

**Formation of 5 by Aminolysis.** In an NMR tube, **2** (15.3 mg, 0.0398 mmol), **9** (10.0 mg, 0.0398 mmol), and SiMePh<sub>3</sub> (NMR standard) were dissolved in toluene- $d_8$ . In 10 min, **5** was obtained in ca. 100% yield.

**Formation of 7 from 3 by Aminolysis.** In an NMR tube, **3** (18.8 mg, 0.0398 mmol), **9** (10.0 mg, 0.0398 mmol), and SiMePh<sub>3</sub> (NMR standard) were dissolved in toluene- $d_8$ . In 20 min, 7 was obtained in ca. 100% yield.

Formation of 7 from 4 by Aminolysis. *NMR Tube.* 9 (10.0 mg, 0.0398 mmol) was added to a solution of 4 (12.9 mg, 0.0482 mmol) and SiMePh<sub>3</sub> (NMR standard) in toluene- $d_8$ . The formation of 7 was complete in 5 min (yield of ca. 100% based on 9).<sup>9</sup>

Schlenk Flask. 9 (0.999 g, 3.973 mmol) in  $Et_2O$  was added dropwise to 4 (1.063 g, 3.973 mmol) in  $Et_2O$ . After the mixture was stirred for 5 h, volatiles were removed in vacuo to give an off-white solid of 7 (1.603 g, 3.383 mmol, 85.1% yield).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Formation of 5–7 from Insertion Reactions. 5-7 were prepared by the insertion of 1 into the  $Zr-NMe_2$  bonds in 2–4, respectively (Scheme 2). In fact, the reaction of 1 equiv of 1





with 4 gave a mixture of 7 and 8 with unreacted 4. Another 1 equiv of 1 inserts into another  $Zr-NMe_2$  bond in 7 to give 8. 8 was reported by Lappert and co-workers through a di-insertion reaction between 1 and 4.<sup>10</sup> It is interesting to note that only 1 equiv of 1 inserts into a  $Zr-NMe_2$  bond in 2 and 3 to form 5 and 6, respectively. There is *no* insertion into the  $Zr-N(SiMe_3)_2$  bond in 2 or the  $Zr-Si(SiMe_3)_3$  bond in 3, although the {CyNC[N(SiMe\_3)\_2]NCy}<sup>-</sup> ligand is known<sup>1p,q,2a,11</sup> and insertion into  $M-N(SiMe_3)_2$  bonds has been reported.<sup>11f,h</sup> In

other words, insertion into the Zr–NMe<sub>2</sub> bonds in 2 and 3 is exclusive. The bulkiness of the N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Si(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> ligands perhaps makes the insertion into their bonds with the Zr atom difficult. 5-7 are thermally stable at 23 °C.

The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of **5** are consistent with its structure.<sup>12</sup> Both NMe<sub>2</sub> (3.09 ppm) and N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.43 ppm) resonances are shifted downfield from those of **2** (2.90 and 0.30 ppm).<sup>8a</sup> In the <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum, a peak at 172.89 ppm is assigned to the central C atom in the CyNC(NMe<sub>2</sub>)NCy ligand. The <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}-APT NMR spectrum shows the -CH- atom in the Cy ring at 57.04 ppm.

In the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of **6**, the resonances of both NMe<sub>2</sub> and Si(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> are shifted downfield from those of **3** (to 2.97 from 2.40 ppm for NMe<sub>2</sub>; to 0.51 from 0.39 ppm for Si(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>).<sup>8b</sup> The central C atom in the CyNC(NMe<sub>2</sub>)NCy ligand was observed at 172.18 ppm. The 57.80 ppm peak is assigned to the -CH- atom in the Cy rings, as confirmed by a <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}-APT NMR spectrum.

NMR spectra of 7 are typical of amide guanidinates. In comparison to  $Zr(NMe_2)_2$  (4), its  $NMe_2$  peaks are less shifted than those of the guanidinate 8.<sup>9</sup>

Synthesis of Guanidine CyNH-C(NMe<sub>2</sub>)=NCy (9) and Its Aminolysis Reactions Forming 5, 7, and 8. Guanidines have been usually prepared by the reaction of an amine with an electrophilic guanylating reagent<sup>13</sup> and by catalytic hydro-amination of carbodiimides<sup>3j,k,14</sup> and transamination.<sup>3k,15</sup> In the current work, 9 was prepared through the reaction of  $H_2O$  with Li[CyNC(NMe<sub>2</sub>)=NCy] which had been made by the reaction of 1 with LiNMe<sub>2</sub>, using a modified procedure.<sup>16</sup> In Et<sub>2</sub>O, crystals of 9 were obtained in 98% yield. Another major difference is that 9 was reported to be a liquid,<sup>16</sup> and we obtained it in the pure crystalline state, allowing it to be characterized by single-crystal diffraction discussed below. We did not observe the reported N-H peak at 7.40 ppm in our <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum.<sup>9</sup> The N-H peak may overlap with multiplets of the Cy groups. The crystals of 9 are sensitive to moisture, probably because of the hydrophilicity of its N-H bond. When the crystals were exposed to the air, they became a liquid.

In the aminolysis between 2 and 9, a NMe<sub>2</sub> ligand in 2 captures a proton in 9 to give  $HNMe_2$  and 5 in nearly 100% yield (Scheme 3). The aminolysis gives the same product (5) as

# Scheme 3. Preparation of 5, 7, and 8 through Aminolysis



that from the insertion of 1 into 2. However, the  $N(SiMe_3)_2$  ligand in 2 did not undergo aminolysis, indicating that it is more inert (and perhaps less basic) than the NMe<sub>2</sub> ligands in 2. Unexpectedly, the aminolysis of 3 by 9 gives 7, wherein the Si(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> ligand in 3 is removed by a proton in 9. In comparison, the insertion reaction of 3 with 1 gives 6, in which the Si(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> ligand is retained. The current work suggests that the ligands here may have the following relative strength as bases:  $[N(SiMe_3)_2]^- < [CyNC(NMe_2)=NCy]^- < NMe_2^- < Si(SiMe_3)_3^-$ .

It is interesting that the insertion reaction between 4 and 1 equiv of 1 unavoidably gives a mixture of 7 and 8. However, the aminolysis of 4 by 1 equiv of 9 yields only 7 (and  $HNMe_2$ ). The reaction of another 1 equiv of 9 with 7 gives 8. Thus, the aminolysis here demonstrates an advantage over the insertion: it gives a pure product rather than a mixture.

**X-ray Structures of 5, 6, and 9.** The Zr center in **5** (Figure 1) is a distorted square pyramid (SP) with an axial



Figure 1. Structures of 5 (left) and 6 (right). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) are as follows. 5: Zr(1)-N(1) = 2.2530(19), Zr(1)-N(2) = 2.3176(19), Zr(1)-N(4) = 2.143(2), Zr(1)-N(5) =2.0664(19), Zr(1)-N(6) = 2.0456(19), C(7)-N(1) = 1.346(3),C(7)-N(2) = 1.338(3), C(7)-N(3) = 1.384(3); N(1)-Zr(1)-N(2)= 58.63(6), N(4)-Zr(1)-N(1) = 97.45(7), N(4)-Zr(1)-N(2) = 141.36(7), N(5)-Zr(1)-N(1) = 145.19(7), N(5)-Zr(1)-N(2) =91.69(7), N(5)-Zr(1)-N(4) = 96.16(7), N(6)-Zr(1)-N(1) =100.11(8), N(6)-Zr(1)-N(2) = 102.40(7), N(6)-Zr(1)-N(4) =112.09(7), N(6)-Zr(1)-N(5) = 104.14(8). 6: Zr(1)-N(1) =2.025(2), Zr(1)-N(2) = 2.0147(19), Zr(1)-N(3) = 2.2610(19),Zr(1)-N(5) = 2.269(2), Zr(1)-Si(1) = 2.9240(19), N(3)-C(20) =1.337(3), N(4)-C(20) = 1.388(2), N(5)-C(20) = 1.348(3); N(1)-Zr(1)-Si(1) = 96.12(7), N(2)-Zr(1)-N(1) = 115.24(7), N(1)-Zr(1)-N(3) = 93.80(8), N(1)-Zr(1)-N(5) = 124.16(7), N(2)-Zr(1)-N(3) = 98.02(7), N(2)-Zr(1)-N(5) = 116.26(8), N(2)-Zr(1)-Si(1) = 97.10(6), N(3)-Zr(1)-N(5) = 59.23(6), N(3)-Zr(1)-Si(1) = 156.30(5), N(5)-Zr(1)-Si(1) = 97.61(5).

N(6) atom, as indicated by the Addison  $\tau$  value of 0.06. The  $\tau$ parameter<sup>17</sup> has been used to describe the degree of distortion of pentacoordinated structures ( $\tau = 0$  for a perfect SP;  $\tau = 1$  for a perfect trigonal bipyramid (TBP)). The N(2)-C(7)-N(1)angle of 113.05(18)° for the guanidinate ligand is significantly smaller than  $127.09(10)^{\circ}$  in the free guanidine 9 discussed below. This angle is, however, similar to those in other guanidinate ligands, such as  $113.00(16)^{\circ}$  in 6. The small angle in the guanidinate ligand is a result of a rigid four-membered ring, making room for other large angles around the metal atom. The bond lengths of Zr(1)-N(1) (2.2530(19) Å) and Zr(1)-N(2) (2.3176(19) Å) are larger than the other three Zr-N bond lengths (2.0456(19)-2.143(2) Å), reflecting the fact that there is a significant contribution of a dative bond between the guanidinate ligand and the metal atom. The bulky ligand N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and less d-p  $\pi$  bonding between this ligand and the Zr atom may lead to the Zr(1)-N(4) bond (2.143(2)) Å) being longer than the two  $Zr-NMe_2$  bonds (2.0664(19), 2.0456(19) Å).

In **6** (Figure 1), the Zr center is better described as a distorted TBP, as indicated by the Addison  $\tau$  value of 0.54.<sup>17</sup> N(1)-Zr(1)-Si(1) (96.12(7)°), N(2)-Zr(1)-Si(1) (97.10(6)°), and N(5)-Zr(1)-Si(1) (97.61(5)°) as well as N(1)-Zr(1)-N(3) (93.80(8)°) and N(2)-Zr(1)-N(3) (98.02(7)°) angles are close to 90° expected between an axial and equatorial ligands. As in **5**, the two Zr-NMe<sub>2</sub> bonds (2.0147(19)-2.025(2) Å) are shorter than the Zr-N bonds in

the guanidinate ligand (2.2610(19)-2.269(2) Å) as a result of a dative bond in the latter.

The crystal structure of **9** (Figure 2) reveals that the NMe<sub>2</sub> and one Cy group [C(1)] are *trans* to each other with respect



Figure 2. *trans* structure in 9 (left) and its crystal structure (right). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): C(1)-N(1) = 1.4615(14), C(7)-N(1) = 1.2850(15), C(7)-N(2) = 1.3799(14), C(7)-N(3) = 1.3997(15); N(1)-C(7)-N(2) = 120.17(10), N(1)-C(7)-N(3) = 127.09(10), N(2)-C(7)-N(3) = 112.73(10).

to the N=C imine bond. In comparison, they are *cis* to each other in the guanidinate ligands in **5** and **6**. The lone pair electrons on N(1) is *trans* to the N(3)(Cy)–H group (and point away from the N(3)–H bond). The average distance between N(1) and the H atom (on the N(3) atom) of 2.53(4) Å and the N(1)–H---N(3) angle of 71(3)° suggest there is little hydrogen-bonding interaction between N(1) and the H atom.<sup>18</sup>

The current work shows that, in complexes with NMe<sub>2</sub>,  $N(SiMe_3)_{2}$ , and  $Si(SiMe_3)_3$  ligands, carbodiimide 1 inserts exclusively into the Zr–NMe<sub>2</sub> bonds. In the reactions of guanidine CyNHC(NMe<sub>2</sub>)=NCy (9) with the complexes, the silyl ligand is preferentially removed as HSi(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> by the proton in 9, followed by the removal of the NMe<sub>2</sub> ligand as HNMe<sub>2</sub>. The N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand seems to be most inert of the three studied in the current work. The results suggest the aforementioned relative strength of the ligands as bases.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

# **S** Supporting Information

Text, tables, and CIF files giving additional experimental procedures and crystallographic data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

### AUTHOR INFORMATION

# **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: xue@utk.edu.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the U.S. National Science Foundation (No. CHE-1012173 to Z.-L.X.) and the Natural Science Grant of China (No. 21071078 to X.-T.C.) for financial support.

#### REFERENCES

For example, see: (a) Edelmann, F. T. Adv. Organomet. Chem.
2008, 57, 183. (b) Yonke, B. L.; Keane, A. J.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Sita, L. R. Organometallics 2012, 31, 345. (c) Maddox, A. F.; Erickson, K. A.; Tanski, J. M.; Waterman, R. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11769. (d) Zhang, J.; Han, F.; Han, Y.; Chen, Z.; Zhou, X. Dalton Trans.
2009, 1806. (e) Panda, T. K.; Tsurugi, H.; Pal, K.; Kaneko, H.; Mashima, K. Organometallics 2010, 29, 34. (f) Lyubov, D. M.; Fukin,

G. K.; Trifonov, A. A. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 11450. (g) Cotton, F. A.; Murillo, C. A.; Wang, X.; Wilkinson, C. C. Dalton Trans. 2006, 4623. (h) Zhang, Y.; Wang, M.; Yao, Y.; Shen, Q. Chin. Sci. Bull. 2005, 50, 2817. (i) Guiducci, A. E.; Boyd, C. L.; Mountford, P. Organometallics 2006, 25, 1167. (j) Wilder, C. B.; Reitfort, L. L.; Abboud, K. A.; McElwee-White, L. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 263. (k) Carmalt, C. J.; Newport, A. C.; O'Neill, S. A.; Parkin, I. P.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 615. (1) Ong, T.-G.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. Organometallics 2003, 22, 387. (m) Mullins, S. M.; Duncan, A. P.; Bergman, R. G.; Arnold, J. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 6952. (n) Bailey, P. J.; Pace, S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 214, 91. (o) Thirupathi, N.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. Organometallics 2000, 19, 2573. (p) Wood, D.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 5788. (q) Decams, J. M.; Hubert-Pfalzgraf, L. G.; Vaissermann, J. Polyhedron 1999, 18, 2885. (r) Tin, M. K. T.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 998. (s) Tin, M. K. T.; Thirupathi, N.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. Dalton Trans 1999, 2947. (t) Sharma, B.; Chen, S.-J.; Abbott, J. K. C.; Chen, X.-T.; Xue, Z.-L. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 25.

(2) For example, see: (a) Hubert-Pfalzgraf, L. G.; Decams, J.-M.; Daniele, S. J. Phys. IV: Proc. **1999**, 9, 953. (b) Xu, K.; Milanov, A. P.; Winter, M.; Barreca, D.; Gasparotto, A.; Becker, H.-W.; Devi, A. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. **2010**, 1679. (c) Whitehorne, T. J. J.; Coyle, J. P.; Mahmood, A.; Monillas, W. H.; Yap, G. P. A.; Barry, S. T. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. **2011**, 3240. (d) Bekermann, D.; Barreca, D.; Devi, A.; Gasparotto, A.; Fischer, R. A. ECS Trans. **2008**, 16, 235. (e) Eleter, M.; Hubert-Pfalzgraf, L. G.; Daniele, S.; Pilet, G.; Tinant, B. Polyhedron **2010**, 29, 2522. (f) Chen, T.; Xu, C.; Baum, T. H.; Stauf, G. T.; Roeder, J. F.; DiPasquale, A. G.; Rheingold, A. L. Chem. Mater. **2010**, 22, 27. (g) Potts, S. E.; Carmalt, C. J.; Blackman, C. S.; Abou-Chahine, F.; Pugh, D.; Davies, H. O. Organometallics **2009**, 28, 1838. (h) Coyle, J. P.; Monillas, W. H.; Yap, G. P. A.; Barry, S. T. Inorg. Chem. **2008**, 47, 683.

(3) For example, see: (a) Collins, S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255, 118. (b) Wang, M.; Shen, Q.; Yao, Y. Huaxue Yanjiu Yu Yingyong (Chem. Res. Appl.) 2005, 17, 105. (c) Coles, M. P.; Hitchcock, P. B. Organometallics 2003, 22, 5201. (d) Coles, M. P.; Hitchcock, P. B. Dalton Trans. 2001, 1169. (e) Duncan, A. P.; Mullins, S. M.; Arnold, J.; Bergman, R. G. Organometallics 2001, 20, 1808. (f) Ajellal, N.; Lyubov, D. M.; Sinenkov, M. A.; Fukin, G. K.; Cherkasov, A. V.; Thomas, C. M.; Carpentier, J.-F.; Trifonov, A. A. Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 5440. (g) Tsurugi, H.; Yamada, K.; Majumdar, M.; Sugino, Y.; Hayakawa, A.; Mashima, K. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 9358. (h) Ong, T.-G.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2612. (i) Cao, Y.; Du, Z.; Li, W.; Li, J.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, F.; Shen, Q. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 3729. (j) Zhang, X.; Wang, C.; Qian, C.; Han, F.; Xu, F.; Shen, Q. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 8790. (k) Ong, T.-G.; Yap, G. P. A; Richeson, D. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8100. (1) Ge, S.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B. O. Organometallics 2008, 27, 3131. (m) Ong, T.-G.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. Organometallics 2002, 21, 2839.

(4) For example, see: (a) Hausmann, D. M.; Kim, E.; Becker, J.; Gordon, R. G. *Chem. Mater.* **2002**, *14*, 4350. (b) Becker, J. S.; Kim, E.; Gordon, R. G. *Chem. Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 3497. (c) Blackman, C. S.; Carmalt, C. J.; O'Neill, S. A.; Parkin, I. P.; Apostolico, L.; Molloy, K. C. *Chem. Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 1120. (d) Woods, J. B.; Beach, D. B.; Nygren, C. L.; Xue, Z.-L. *Chem. Vap. Deposition* **2005**, *11*, 289.

(5) We recently studied the reactions of O<sub>2</sub> with early-transitionmetal complexes: (a) Chen, S.-J.; Zhang, X.-H.; Lin, Z.; Wu, Y.-D.; Xue, Z.-L. Sci. China Chem. 2009, 52, 1723. (b) Wang, R.; Zhang, X.-H.; Chen, S.-J.; Yu, X.; Wang, C.-S.; Beach, D. B.; Wu, Y.-D.; Xue, Z.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5204. (c) Chen, S.-J.; Zhang, X.; Yu, X.; Qiu, H.; Yap, G. P. A.; Guzei, I. A.; Lin, Z.; Wu, Y.; Xue, Z.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14408. (d) Qiu, H.; Chen, S.-J.; Wang, C.-S.; Wu, Y.-D.; Guzei, I. A.; Chen, X. -T.; Xue, Z.-L. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 3073. (e) Yu, X.; Chen, X.-T.; Xue, Z.-L. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 28, 6642. (f) Chen, S.-J.; Yap, G. P. A; Xue, Z.-L. Sci. China Chem. 2009, 52, 1583. (g) Chen, S.-J.; Xue, Z.-L. Organometallics 2010, 29, 5579. (h) Chen, S.-J.; Zhang, J.; Yu, X.; Bu, X.; Chen, X.-T.; Xue, Z.-L. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 4017. (i) Chen, S.-J.; Li, J.; Dougan, B. A.; Steren, C. A.; Wang, X.; Chen, X.-T.; Lin, Z.; Xue, Z.-L. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 8685. (j) Chen, T.-N.; Zhang, X.-H.; Wang, C.-S.; Chen, S.-J.; Wu, Z.-Z.; Li, L.-T.; Sorasaenee, K. R.; Diminnie, J. B.; Pan, H.-J.; Guzei, I. A.; Rheingold, A. L.; Wu, Y.-D.; Xue, Z.-L. Organometallics 2005, 24, 1214. (k) Wu, Z.; Cai, H.; Yu, X.-H.; Blanton, J. R.; Diminnie, J. B.; Pan, H.-J.; Xue, Z.-L. Organometallics 2002, 21, 3973. (l) Chen, T.-N.; Wu, Z.-Z.; Li, L.-T.; Sorasaenee, K. R.; Diminnie, J. B.; Pan, H.-J.; Guzei, I. A.; Rheingold, A. L.; Xue, Z.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 13519.

(6) For example, see: (a) Chen, S.-J.; Cai, H.; Xue, Z.-L. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 167. (b) Chen, S.-J.; Abbott, J. K. C.; Steren, C. A.; Xue, Z.-L. J. Cluster Sci. **2010**, *21*, 325. (c) Dougan, B. A.; Xue, Z.-L. *Sci. China Chem.* **2011**, *54*, 1903.

(7) Aeilts, S. L.; Coles, M. P.; Swenson, D. C.; Jordan, R. F.; Young, V. G. Organometallics **1998**, *17*, 3265.

(8) (a) Cai, H.; Yu, X.; Chen, S.-J.; Qiu, H.; Guzei, I. A.; Xue, Z.-L. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 8071. (b) Wu, Z.; Diminnie, J. B.; Xue, Z.-L. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 6366. (c) Bradley, D. C.; Thomas, I. M. J. Chem. Soc. 1960, 3857. (d) Chisholm, M. H.; Hammond, C. E.; Huffman, J. C. Polyhedron 1988, 7, 2515.

(9) Supporting Information.

(10) Chandra, G.; Jenkins, A. D.; Lappert, M. F.; Srivastava, R. C. *J. Chem. Soc. A* **1970**, 2550. Mashima and co-workers recently reported the crystal structure of **8**.<sup>1e</sup>

(11) (a) Barrett, A. G. M.; Crimmin, M. R.; Hill, M. S.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lomas, S. L.; Mahon, M. F.; Procopiou, P. A. *Dalton Trans.* 2010, 39, 7393. (b) Qian, C.; Zhang, X.; Li, J.; Xu, F.; Zhang, Y.; Shen, Q. *Organometallics* 2009, 28, 3856. (c) Trifonov, A. A.; Lyubov, D. M.; Fedorova, E. A.; Fukin, G. K.; Schumann, H.; Muhle, S.; Hummert, M.; Bochkarev, M. N. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2006, 747. (d) Feil, F.; Harder, S. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 4438. (e) Coles, M. P.; Hitchcock, P. B. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 2662. (f) Giesbrecht, G. R.; Whitener, G. D.; Arnold, J. *Dalton Trans.* 2001, 923. (g) Giesbrecht, G. R.; Whitener, G. D.; Arnold, J. *Organometallics* 2000, 19, 2809. (h) Zhou, Y.; Yap, G. P. A; Richeson, D. S. *Organometallics* 1998, 17, 4387. (i) Trifonov, A. A.; Lyubov, D. M.; Fedorova, E. A.; Skvortsov, G. G.; Fukin, G. K.; Kurskii, Yu. A.; Bochkarev, M. N. *Russ. Chem. Bull.* 2006, 55, 435.

(12) (a) Sun, J.; Chen, S.-J.; Duan, Y.; Li, Y.; Chen, X.-T.; Xue, Z.-L. Organometallics **2009**, 28, 3088. (b) Zhang, X.; Chen, S.-J.; Cai, H.; Im, H.; Chen, T.; Yu, X.; Chen, X.; Lin, Z.; Wu, Y.; Xue, Z.-L. Organometallics **2008**, 27, 1338. (c) Qiu, H.; Chen, S.-J.; Xue, Z.-L. Inorg. Chem. **2007**, 46, 6178. (d) Cai, H.; Chen, T.; Wang, X.; Schultz, A. J.; Koetzle, T. F.; Xue, Z.-L. Chem. Commun. **2002**, 230. (e) Wu, Z.; Xue, Z.-L. Organometallics **2000**, 19, 4191.

(13) For example, see: Schow, S. In *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis*; Paquette, L. A., Ed.; Wiley: Sussex, U.K., 1995; pp 1408–1410.

(14) For examples, see: (a) Shen, H.; Chan, H.-S.; Xie, Z. Organometallics 2006, 25, 5515. (b) Zhou, S.; Wang, S.; Yang, G.; Li, Q.; Zhang, L.; Yao, Z.; Zhou, Z.; Song, H. Organometallics 2007, 26, 3755. (c) Ong, T.-G.; O'Brien, J. S.; Korobkov, I.; Richeson, D. S. Organometallics 2006, 25, 4728. (d) Zhang, W.-X.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 4037. (e) Montilla, F.; del Río, D.; Pastor, A.; Galindo, A. Organometallics 2006, 25, 4996.

(15) For example, see: Shen, H.; Xie, Z. Organometallics 2008, 27, 2685.

(16) Thomas, E. W.; Nishizawa, E. E.; Zimmermann, D. C.; Williams, D. J. J. Med. Chem. **1989**, 32, 228.

(17) (a) Addison, A. W.; Rao, T. N.; Reedijk, J.; van Rijn, J.; Verschoor, G. C. *Dalton Trans.* **1984**, 1349. (b) O'Sullivan, C.; Murphy, G.; Murphy, B.; Hathaway, B. *Dalton Trans.* **1999**, 1835. (c) Zhou, J.-H.; Cheng, R.-M.; Song, Y.; Li, Y.-Z.; Yu, Z.; Chen, X.-T.; Xue, Z.-L.; You, X.-Z. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 8011.

(18) Arunan, E.; Desiraju, G. R.; Klein, R. A.; Sadlej, J.; Scheiner, S.; Alkorta, I.; Clary, D. C.; Crabtree, R. H.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Hobza, P.; Kjaergaard, H. G.; Legon, A. C.; Mennucci, B.; Nesbitt, D. J. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2011**, *83*, 1637.