

Published on Web 08/21/2004

Alkyne and Alkene Complexes of a d⁰ Zirconocene Aryl Cation

Edward J. Stoebenau, III, and Richard F. Jordan*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Chicago, 5735 South Ellis Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637

Received July 13, 2004; E-mail: rfjordan@uchicago.edu

 $(C_5R_5)_2Zr(R)(alkyne)^+$ and $(C_5R_5)_2Zr(R)(alkene)^+$ complexes are probable key intermediates in zirconocene-catalyzed alkyne oligomerization¹ and alkene polymerization.² These species are challenging to study because the absence of $d-\pi^*$ back-bonding results in weak Zr-substrate binding, and because insertion of the substrate into the Zr-R bond is fast. X-ray and NMR studies of chelated $(C_5R_5)_2$ Zr(OCMe₂CH₂CH₂CH=CH₂)⁺ complexes,³ and NMR studies of nonchelated Zr-alkoxide-alkene species,⁴ show that alkenes bind to Zr(IV) unsymmetrically $(d(Zr-C_{term}) < d(Zr-C_{int}))$ and that the C=C bond is polarized with positive charge on C_{int}. Several d⁰ metal-alkyl-alkene complexes are known,⁵ but except for an vttrium system in which alkene coordination can be detected by NMR line broadening,⁶ in all cases the coordinated alkene is tethered to another ligand. Here we describe nonchelated Zr-arylalkyne and Zr-aryl-alkene complexes that are stabilized by the presence of β -Si substituents in the alkyne and alkene ligands and fluorination of the aryl ligand.

The use of β -Si-substituted alkynes and alkenes should favor the formation of stable d⁰ metal—substrate complexes, due to β -Si stabilization of the positive charge on C_{int}.^{7,8} To test this idea, we compared the coordination of β -Si-substituted and non-Sisubstituted substrates to [Cp'₂Zr(O'Bu)(ClCD₂Cl)][B(C₆F₅)₄] (1; Cp' = C₅H₄Me).⁴ Propargyltrimethylsilane (PTMS) and allyltrimethylsilane (ATMS) react with 1 to give robust [Cp'₂Zr(O'Bu)(L)]-[B(C₆F₅)₄] adducts (L = HC=CCH₂SiMe₃ (2); H₂C=CHCH₂SiMe₃ (3); eq 1). The NMR resonances for the alkyne and alkene units of

$$\begin{array}{c} \underset{cp'_{2}Zr}{\oplus} & \overset{O^{t}Bu}{\bigcirc} \\ cp'_{2}Zr & \overset{O^{t}Bu}{\bigcirc} \\ 1 & \overset{\bigcirc}{\bigcirc} \\ counterion = B(C_{6}F_{5})_{4} \end{array} + L \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} CD_{2}Cl_{2} \\ -89 \ ^{\circ}C \\ -89 \ ^{\circ}C \\ -89 \ ^{\circ}C \\ -89 \ ^{\circ}C \\ Cp'_{2}Zr & \overset{O^{t}Bu}{\bigsqcup} \\ cp'_{2}Zr & \overset{O^{t}Bu}{\bigsqcup} \\ cp'_{2}Zr & \overset{O^{t}Bu}{\bigsqcup} \\ cp'_{2}Zr & \overset{O^{t}Bu}{\bigsqcup} \\ L = HC \equiv CCH_{2}SiMe_{3} \ (PTMS) \\ 3: L = H_{2}C = CHCH_{2}SiMe_{3} \ (ATMS) \\ 4: L = HC \equiv CMe \\ 5: L = H_{2}C = CHCH_{2}CMe_{3} \end{array}$$

2 and 3 are more strongly shifted from free substrate values than those for the non-Si-containing analogues [Cp'2Zr- $(O^{t}Bu)(HC \equiv CMe)][B(C_{6}F_{5})_{4}]$ (4, eq 1) and $[Cp'_{2}Zr(O^{t}Bu) (H_2C=CHCH_2CMe_3)$ [B(C₆F₅)₄] (5, eq 1), suggesting a greater degree of substrate polarization.5a For example, the Cint ¹³C NMR resonance of **3** shifts far downfield ($\Delta \delta = \delta_{\text{coord}} - \delta_{\text{free}} = +31.6$), the C_{term} resonance shifts upfield ($\Delta \delta$ = -19.7), and the H_{int} resonance shifts far downfield ($\Delta \delta = +1.80$), compared to the free ligand values. In contrast, much smaller coordination shifts are observed for 5 ($\Delta\delta$: C_{int} +18.8, C_{term} -11.7, H_{int} +1.46). The equilibrium constant for the formation of 2, $K_{eq} = [2][1]^{-1}$ - $[\text{HC} \equiv \text{CCH}_2\text{SiMe}_3]^{-1} = 1.0(2) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} (\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2, -89 \text{ °C}, \text{eq})$ 1), is 280 times larger than that for coordination of propyne to 1 to give 4,⁴ even though propyne is smaller than PTMS. Similarly, the equilibrium constant for ATMS binding to 1 ($K_{eq} = 1.7(4) \times 10^3$ M^{-1} , CD_2Cl_2 , -89 °C) is 900 times larger than that for coordination of 4,4-dimethyl-1-pentene to 1 to give 5. These results show that the β -Si substituents in 2 and 3 greatly enhance substrate binding. Incorporation of fluorine substituents in the Zr-R group should stabilize a $(C_5R_5)_2Zr(R)(substrate)^+$ species against insertion, due to the resulting decreased nucleophilicity of the Zr-R group.⁹ To test this idea, we investigated alkyne and alkene binding to the $Cp_2Zr(C_6F_5)^+$ cation.

The reaction of $Cp_2Zr(C_6F_5)Me$ (6, $Cp = C_5H_5)^{10}$ with 1 equiv of $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ for 2 days (C_6D_5Cl , 22 °C) yields a 1:1 mixture of Ph₃CMe and $[Cp_2Zr(C_6F_5)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (7, 97%, eq 2). At earlier



reaction times, mixtures of **7**, Ph₃CMe, Ph₃C⁺, and [{Cp₂Zr(C₆F₅)}₂-(μ -Me)][B(C₆F₅)₄]¹⁰ were observed. Compound **7** is stable for 3 weeks in C₆D₅Cl at 22 °C, but decomposes in seconds in CD₂Cl₂ at -78 °C. The -38 °C ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of **7** contains two *o*-F resonances, one at δ -118.2 that is typical for Zr(C₆F₅) compounds, and a second at δ -140.0, ca. 20 ppm upfield of the normal range (δ -116 ± 10).^{10,11} These results show that the sides of the C₆F₅ ligand are inequivalent, and suggest that one *o*-F is coordinated to Zr.^{11a} Additionally, complex **7** may be further stabilized by solvent coordination. VT ¹⁹F NMR spectra reveal that the sides of the C₆F₅ ligand exchange as the temperature is raised, due to a lateral pivot of the C₆F₅ ligand and/or Zr-C₆F₅ rotation.

Addition of PTMS to 7 (C_6D_5Cl , -38 °C) yields the alkyne adduct $[Cp_2Zr(C_6F_5)(HC \equiv CCH_2SiMe_3)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (8, eq 3).¹² The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of 8 contains two o-F resonances in the normal range (δ -114.6, -121.0), which are broadened due to Zr-C₆F₅ rotation. The alkyne Cint ¹³C NMR resonance is more strongly shifted in 8 ($\Delta \delta = +62.5$) than in 2 ($\Delta \delta = +21.7$), which may indicate a greater degree of polarization of the alkyne in 8. The J_{CH} values for the alkyne unit in 8 (${}^{1}J_{\text{CH}} = 232$; ${}^{2}J_{\text{CH}} = 34$ Hz) are 10-15 Hz smaller than the values for free PTMS, 2, and other terminal alkyne complexes of 1,⁴ but are within the range for sphybridized carbons and inconsistent with insertion products in which these carbons would be sp²-hybridized.¹³ PTMS binds strongly to 7 with $K_{eq} = [8][7]^{-1}[HC \equiv CCH_2SiMe_3]^{-1} = 9.1(6) \times 10^2 M^{-1}$ $(C_6D_5Cl, -38 \text{ °C})$. THF displaces PTMS from 8 to give $[Cp_2Zr (C_6F_5)(THF)][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ (9, 100%). Compound 8 is stable for 8 h at -38 °C (C₆D₅Cl).

Addition of 4 equiv of ATMS to 7 (C₆D₅Cl, -38 °C) results in partial formation of the alkene adduct [Cp₂Zr(C₆F₅)-(H₂C=CHCH₂SiMe₃)][B(C₆F₅)₄] (**10**, eq 3). The H_{int} ¹H NMR



10.1021/ja045794m CCC: \$27.50 © 2004 American Chemical Society

resonance of the ATMS ligand is shifted far downfield, and the ¹³C NMR resonances for C_{int} and C_{term} are divergently shifted ($\Delta\delta$: H_{int} +1.89, C_{int} +51.2, C_{term} -15.4), as expected for unsymmetrical alkene coordination.³⁻⁵ The $^{1}J_{CH}$ values for the alkene carbons (C_{int} 161, C_{term} 150 Hz) are typical for an alkene coordinated to a d⁰ metal,³⁻⁵ and are inconsistent with insertion products in which those carbons would be sp3-hybridized.14 Addition of THF to 10 (C_6D_5Cl , -38 °C) gives 9 (100%) and free ATMS. The equilibrium constant for ATMS binding to 7 at -38 °C in $C_6D_5Cl, K_{eq} = [10][7]^{-1}[H_2C=CHCH_2SiMe_3]^{-1} = 8.2(1.4) M^{-1},$ is 2.8 times larger than the K_{eq} for ATMS binding to 1, which under these conditions has a value of 2.9(7) M⁻¹. VT NMR gives ΔH° = -5.3(2) kcal/mol and $\Delta S^{\circ} = -18(1)$ eu for binding of ATMS to 7.

When a solution of 7, 10, and free ATMS is warmed from -38to +2 °C over 4 h, 10 and ATMS are gradually consumed and the ATMS dimer 6,6-dimethyl-4-((trimethylsilyl)methyl)-6-silahept-1ene $(11)^{15}$ is formed. 11 results from a Lewis acid-mediated dimerization of ATMS¹⁵ due to 7 or trace Ph₃C⁺ in solution.¹⁶ NMR and GC/MS analysis of the organic products from a 7/ATMS mixture maintained at 22 °C for 3 days shows the presence of dimers and trimers of ATMS; while the exact structures of these products have not been determined, none contain C₆F₅ groups. The trimers likely form by a Lewis acid-mediated allylsilylation of 11.15 There is no evidence for ATMS insertion in 10.

VT NMR and ¹H EXSY studies show that 10 undergoes two dynamic processes. First, 10 undergoes reversible alkene decomplexation (eq 3). This process broadens all of the NMR signals of 10. The rate constant for ATMS decomplexation from 10 found by ¹H EXSY ($k_{\text{dissoc}} = 5.0(8) \text{ s}^{-1}$; C₆D₅Cl, -38 °C) is in close agreement with that determined from the line broadening of the H_{trans} and *p*-F signals of 10 ($k_{dissoc} = 5.5(2.5) \text{ s}^{-1}$). This value is not affected by the concentration of free ATMS, which indicates that free ATMS does not directly displace bound ATMS from 10. The activation parameters for ATMS decomplexation from 10 are $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 8.9(6)$ kcal/mol and $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = -17(3)$ eu. The negative ΔS^{\ddagger} value suggests that solvent or an o-F displaces the coordinated alkene in an associative mechanism.⁴ This process is much slower than ATMS decomplexation from 3 under the same conditions $(k_{\text{dissoc}} \approx 125 \text{ s}^{-1}; \text{ C}_6\text{D}_5\text{Cl}, -38 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}).$

Complex 10 also undergoes nondissociative alkene face exchange ("alkene flipping"), i.e., exchange of the $Cp_2Zr(C_6F_5)^+$ unit between the two alkene enantiofaces without alkene dissociation (eq 4).¹⁷



This process broadens the Cp and Hallylic resonances of 10 to a greater (and equal) extent compared to the other resonances of 10. No exchange between H_{trans} and H_{cis} is observed by NMR line broadening or ¹H EXSY, thus ruling out mechanisms involving rotation around the C=C bond (via a ZrCH2-C+HCH2SiMe3 carbocation intermediate).¹⁸ The rate constant for alkene flipping determined by ¹H EXSY ($k_{\text{flip}} = 23(1) \text{ s}^{-1}$; C₆D₅Cl, -38 °C, eq 4) agrees reasonably well with that determined from the NMR line broadening of the H_{allylic} signals of **10** ($k_{\text{flip}} = 18(1) \text{ s}^{-1}$), and shows that alkene face exchange is ca. 4 times faster than alkene decomplexation. Alkene flipping was not observed in 3 or other Cp'2Zr(OtBu)(alkene)+ complexes.4 Similar nondissociative alkene face exchanges have been deduced to occur during chain end epimerization in propylene polymerization with Zr catalysts through studies with isotopically labeled propylenes.^{17a,b} Alkene flipping likely occurs via an alkene C-H σ -complex intermediate or transition state.17d

These results show that nonchelated d⁰ Zr-aryl-alkyne and Zraryl-alkene complexes can be generated using β -Si-substituted alkynes and alkenes to strengthen substrate coordination and the poorly nucleophilic $-C_6F_5$ group to inhibit insertion. Both tactics are required: non- β -Si-substituted substrates such as propyne and 2-butyne do not coordinate to 7, and Cp₂ZrMe⁺, Cp₂ZrCH₂Ph⁺, and Cp₂HfMe⁺ rapidly insert and oligomerize or polymerize ATMS even at -78 °C.¹⁹ Neither 8 (at -38 °C) nor 10 (up to 22 °C) undergoes insertion. The availability of stabilized d⁰ metal-carbylalkene species should enable direct study of their structures and dynamics to probe important issues in catalytic alkene polymerization.^{2,17} With further adjustment of the nucleophilicity of the Zr-Rgroup, it should be possible to access $(C_5R_5)_2Zr(R)(alkene)^+$ systems in which both alkene coordination and insertion can be directly observed and quantified.

Acknowledgment. We thank the NSF (CHE-0212210) for support and the University of Chicago for a William Rainey Harper Fellowship (E.J.S.).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, data for new compounds, and selected NMR spectra (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Horton, A. D. Chem. Commun. 1992, 185.
- (2) Resconi, L.; Cavallo, L.; Fait, A.; Piemontesi, F. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1253
- (a) Carpentier, J.-F.; Wu, Z.; Lee, C. W.; Strömberg, S.; Christopher, J. (3)(a) Carpender, J.-T., Wu, Z., Lee, C. W., Stohnberg, S., Christopher, J. N.; Jordan, R. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7750. (b) Carpentier, J.-F.; Maryin, V. P.; Luci, J.; Jordan, R. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 898.
- (4) Stoebenau, E. J., III; Jordan, R. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3222. (a) Casey, C. P.; Carpenetti, D. W., II; Sakurai, H. Organometallics 2001, 20, 4262, (b) Casey, C. P.; Klein, J. F.; Fagan, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4320. (c) Cano, J.; Gómez-Sal, P.; Heinz, G.; Martínez, G.; Royo, P. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2003, 345, 15. (d) Brandow, C. G.; Mendiratta, A.; Bercaw, J. E. Organometallics 2001, 20, 4253
- (6)Casey, C. P.; Tunge, J. A.; Lee, T.-Y.; Fagan, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, *125*, 2641. Lambert, J. B. *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 2677.
- (7)
- (8) Chelated β -Si d⁰ metal-alkene complexes are known.^{5a,c,d}
- (a) Foley, S. R.; Shen, H.; Qadeer, U. A.; Jordan, R. F. Organometallics (9)2004, 23, 600 and references therein. (b) Axe, F. U.; Marynick, D. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3728.
- (10) Bochmann, M.; Sarsfield, M. J. Organometallics 1998, 17, 5908.
- (11) (a) Pindado, G. J.; Lancaster, S. J.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Bochmann, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 6816. (b) Kraft, B. M.; Jones, W. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 658, 132.

- (12) For a d⁰ Ta-hydride-alkyne complex, see: Curtis, M. A.; Finn, M. G.; Grimes, R. N. J. Organomet. Chem. **1998**, 550, 469. (13) For H₂C=CHC₆Fs, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 161$ (Cterm), 163 Hz (Cin). All ${}^{2}J_{CH} < 2$ Hz. (14) For C₆F₅Me, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 132$ Hz (Me). (15) Yeon, S. H.; Lee, B. W.; Yoo, B. R.; Suk, M.-Y.; Jung, I. N. Organometallics **1995**, *14*, 2361. (16) Schede, C.; Marr, H. Machrannel, Chem. Banid Commun. **1988**, 0, 477.
- (16) Schade, C.; Mayr, H. Makromol. Chem., Rapid Commun. 1988, 9, 477 (a) Sillars, D. R.; Landis, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, *125*, 9894. (b) Yoder, J. C.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, *124*, 2548. (c) Peng, T.-S.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, *114*, 4174. (d) Prosenc, M.-H.; Brintzinger, H.-H. Organometallics **1997**, *16*, 3889.
- (18) (a) Matchett, S. A.; Schmiege-Boyle, B. R.; Cooper, J.; Fratterelli, D.; Olson, K.; Roberts, J.; Thommen, J.; Tigelaar, D.; Winkler, F. Organo-metallics 2003, 22, 5047. (b) Chang, T. C. T.; Foxman, B. M.; Rosenblum,
- M.; Stockman, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 7361.
 (19) (a) Resconi, L.; Piemontesi, F.; Franciscono, G.; Abis, L.; Fiorani, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 1025. (b) Habaue, S.; Baraki, H.; Okamoto, Y. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 1998, 199, 2211.

JA045794M