Articles

Reactions of Doubly Bridged Biscyclopentadienes with Molybdenum (Tungsten) Carbonyl

Baiquan Wang,* Bolin Zhu, Shansheng Xu, and Xiuzhong Zhou

Department of Chemistry, State Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China

Received July 15, 2003

Dimolybdenum complexes (X)(Y)[$(\eta^5$ -C₅H₃)Mo(CO)₃]₂ [Y = Me₂Si, X = Me₂C, (CH₂)₅C, CH₂; $Y = Ph_2Si, X = Me_2C]$ and desilylation products $(X)[(\eta^5-C_5H_4)Mo(CO)_3]_2$ were obtained from the reactions of carbon and silicon doubly bridged biscyclopentadiene ligands $(X)(Y)(C_5H_4)_2$ with $Mo(CO)_6$ in refluxing xylene. When these ligands reacted with $W(CO)_6$, under similar conditions, in addition to ditungsten complexes $(X)(Y)[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)W(CO)_3]_2$ [X = Me₂C; Y = Me₂Si, Ph₂Si] and desilylation products (X)[(η^5 -C₅H₄)W(CO)₃]₂, a class of structurally novel complexes $(X)(\eta^5-C_5H_3)(\eta^5,\eta^1-C_5H_3)[(Y)W(CO)_3][W(CO)_3]$ [for $X = Me_2C$, $(CH_2)_5C$; $Y = Me_2-C_5C$, $Y = Me_2-C$ Si] with a W–Si bond were also isolated. The reactions of carbon and germanium doubly bridged biscyclopentadiene ligands $(X)(Me_2Ge)(C_5H_4)_2$ [X = Me₂C, (CH₂)₅C] with Mo(CO)₆ or W(CO)₆ gave similar novel complexes $(X)(\eta^5-C_5H_3)(\eta^5,\eta^1-C_5H_3)[(Me_2Ge)M(CO)_3][M(CO)_3]$ $[X = Me_2C, (CH_2)_5C; M = Mo, W]$ with a M-Ge bond. The reactions also produced degermylation products. However, the corresponding dimolybdenum or ditungsten complexes were not isolated in these cases. The molecular structures of 2, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 19, 21, 22, 25t, and 26t have been determined by X-ray diffraction. The M-M distances in complexes 2 [3.4328(12) Å], 8 [3.453(2) Å], and 11 [3.403(2) Å] are conspicuously elongated and are in fact the longest ever reported among the biscyclopentadienyl dimolybdenum or ditungsten complexes. The factors affecting the structures of dimolybdenum or ditungsten complexes are discussed.

Introduction

Considerable attention has been focused on the synthesis and chemical behavior of a variety of doubly bridged biscyclopentadienyl carbonyl dimetallic complexes (M = Ti, V, Cr, Fe, Ru, Co, Ni).¹ Compared to their nonbridged and single-bridged analogues, these complexes exhibit unique characteristics in both their structures and reactivity. Reactions of doubly bridged biscyclopentadiene (C_5H_4)₂(SiMe₂)₂ with metal carbonyl compounds have been extensively studied in the past decade.^{2.3} We have recently investigated the reaction of a certain type of doubly bridged biscyclopentadiene ligands with Fe(CO)₅ and obtained a series of diiron

complexes with superlong Fe–Fe bond distances and novel complexes with Fe–Si or Fe–Ge bonds.⁴ This paper will further report the reaction of the doubly bridged biscyclopentadiene ligands with molybdenum and tungsten carbonyl.

Experimental Section

General Considerations. Schlenk and vacuum line techniques were employed for all manipulations. All solvents were distilled from appropriate drying agents under argon prior to use. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-P200, while IR spectra were recorded as KBr disks on a Nicolet 560 E.S.P. FTIR spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained from a VG ZAB-HS. EPR spectra were recorded on a Bruker EMX instrument. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: 86-22-23502458. E-mail: bqwang@nankai.edu.cn.

⁽¹⁾ Čorey, J. Y.; Huhmann, J. L.; Rath, N. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 3203, and references therein.

^{(2) (}a) Amor, F.; Gomez-Sal, P.; Jesus, E.; Royo, P.; Vazquez de Miguel, A. Organometallics 1994, 13, 4322. (b) Amor, F.; Jesus, E.; Perez, A. I.; Royo, P.; Vazquez de Miguel, A. Organometallics 1996, 15, 365. (c) Amor, F.; Gomez-Sal, P.; Jesus, E.; Martin, A.; Perez, A. I.; Royo, P.; Vazquez de Miguel, A. Organometallics 1996, 15, 2103. (d) Galakhov, M. V.; Gil, A.; Jesus, E.; Royo, P. Organometallics 1995, 14, 3746. (e) Calvo, M.; Galakhov, M. V.; Gomez-Garcia, R.; Gomez-Sal, P.; Martin, A.; Royo, P.; Vazquez de Miguel, A. J. Organometallics 1995, 14, 3746. (e) Calvo, M.; Galakhov, M. V.; Gomez-Garcia, R.; Gomez-Sal, P.; Martin, A.; Royo, P.; Vazquez de Miguel, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 548, 157. (f) Amor, F.; de Justes, F.; Royo, P.; de Miguel, A. V. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 3440. (g) Calvo, M.; Gomez-Sal, P.; Manzanero, A.; Royo, P. Polyhedron 1998, 17, 1081. (h) Gomez-Gacia, R.; Royo, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 583, 86.

^{(3) (}a) McKinley S. G.; Angelici, R. J. Organometallics 2002, 21, 1235. (b) Ovchinnikov, M. V.; Angelici, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 6130. (c) Ovchinnikov, M. V.; Guzei, I. A.; Angelici, R. J. Organometallics 2001, 20, 691. (d) Ovchinnikov, M. V.; Wang, X.; Schultz, A. J.; Guzei, I. A.; Angelici, R. J. Organometallics 2002, 21, 3292. (e) Ovchinnikov, M. V.; Ellern, A. M.; Guzei, I. A.; Angelici, R. J. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 7014. (f) Ovchinnikov, M. V.; LeBlanc, E.; Guzei, I. A.; Angelici, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 11494. (g) Ovchinnikov, M. V.; Klein, D. P.; Guzei, I. A.; Choi, M. G.; Angelici, R. J. Organometallics 2002, 21, 617.

J. Organometallics 2002, 21, 617.
 (4) (a) Xu, S.; Zhang, J.; Zhu, B.; Wang, B.; Zhou, X.; Weng, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 626, 186. (b) Wang, B.; Zhu, B.; Zhang, J.; Xu, S.; Zhou, X.; Weng, L. Organometallics 2003, submitted.

Doubly Bridged Biscyclopentadienes

Elmer 240C analyzer. $(Me_2C)(Me_2Si)(C_5H_4)_2$ (1),⁵ $[CH_2)_5C](Me_2Si)(C_5H_4)_2$ (4),⁴ $(Me_2C)(Ph_2Si)(C_5H_4)_2$ (7),⁴ $(CH_2)(Me_2Si)(C_5H_4)_2$ (9),⁴ $(Me_2C)(Me_2Ge)(C_5H_4)_2$ (18),⁵ $[CH_2)_5C](Me_2Ge)(C_5H_4)_2$ (20),⁴ and $(Me_2C)(Me_2Si)(t-BuC_5H_3)_2$ (24)⁴ were prepared according to literature methods.

Reaction of (Me₂C)(Me₂Si)(C₅H₄)₂ (1) with Mo(CO)₆. A solution of 0.40 g (1.75 mmol) of (Me₂C)(Me₂Si)(C₅H₄)₂ (1) and 0.80 g (3.03 mmol) of Mo(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 6.5 h. After removal of solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH2-Cl₂ as eluent. The first band (red) afforded 0.03 g (3%) of 3 as deep red crystals. The second band (green) gave 0.19 g (18%) of 2 as black crystals. 2: mp 220 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₁₈Mo₂O₆Si: C, 43.02; H, 3.09. Found: C, 42.67; H, 3.18. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 5.36 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 5.21 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 5.07 (m, 2H, C5H3), 1.50 (s, 3H, C-Me), 1.35 (s, 3H, C-Me), 0.55 (s, 3H, Si-Me), 0.46 (s, 3H, Si-Me). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2026(s), 1938(s), 1920(s), 1896(s), 1870(s). MS (EI): m/Z586 (M⁺, 8.5), 558 (M $^+$ – CO, 22.5), 530 (M $^+$ – 2CO, 8.9), 502 (M $^+$ – 3CO, 38.7), 474 (M⁺ – 4CO, 84.6), 472 (M⁺ – 2CO – SiMe₂, 90.6), 446 (M⁺ - 5CO, 63.4), 444 (M⁺ - 3CO - SiMe₂, 70.5), 418 $(M^+ - 6CO, 52.3), 412$ (100), 317 $(M^+ - 6CO-Mo, 6.4), 224$ (M⁺ - 6CO - 2Mo, 16.2). 3:6 mp 200 °C (dec). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 5.34 (m, 4H, C₅H₄), 5.22 (m, 4H, C₅H₄), 1.51 (s, 6H, C-Me). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2002(s), 1960(s), 1946(s), 1914(s), 1894(s), 1878-(s), 1864(s).

Reaction of [(CH₂)₅C](Me₂Si)(C₅H₄)₂ (4) with Mo(CO)₆. A solution of 0.30 g (1.12 mmol) of 4 and 0.70 g (2.65 mmol) of Mo(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 6.5 h. After removal of solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The first band (red) afforded 0.05 g (9%) of 6 as deep red crystals. The second band (green) gave 0.10 g (15%) of 5 as black crystals. 5: mp 190 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₂Mo₂O₆Si: C, 46.02; H, 3.54. Found: C, 45.92; H, 4.18. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 5.36 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 5.20 (m, 4H, C₅H₃), 1.90-1.20 (m, 10H, CH₂), 0.53 (s, 3H, Si-Me), 0.45 (s, 3H, Si-Me). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2010(s), 1954(s), 1906(s), 1863(m). 6: mp 230 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₁₈Mo₂O₆: C, 46.34; H, 3.18. Found: C, 46.28; H, 3.17. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 5.25 (m, 8H, C₅H₄), 1.90-1.35 (m, 10H, CH₂). IR (v_{CO}, cm⁻¹): 2010(s), 1950(s), 1918(s), 1894-(s), 1878(s), 1863(s).

Reaction of (Me₂C)(Ph₂Si)(C₅H₄)₂ (7) with Mo(CO)₆. A solution of 0.50 g (1.42 mmol) of 7 and 0.70 g (2.65 mmol) of Mo(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 6.5 h. After removal of solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The first band (red) gave 0.05 g (7%) of **3**. The second band (green) afforded 0.08 g (8%) of **8** as black crystals. **8**: mp 200 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₂₂Mo₂O₆Si: C, 52.41; H, 3.12. Found: C, 52.36; H, 3.10. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 7.60–7.30 (m, 10H, C₆H₅), 5.60 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 5.50 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 5.15 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 1.35 (s, 3H, C-Me), 1.13 (s, 3H, C-Me). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2015-(s), 1956(s), 1945(s), 1890(m), 1874(m).

Reaction of (H₂C)(Me₂Si)(C₅H₄)₂ (9) with Mo(CO)₆. A solution of 0.40 g (2.00 mmol) of **9** and 0.70 g (2.65 mmol) of Mo(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 6.5 h. After removal of solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The red band afforded 0.10 g (9%) of **10** as dark red crystals. **10**: mp 210 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₄Mo₂O₆Si: C, 40.88; H, 2.63. Found: C, 40.40; H, 2.39. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 5.32 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 5.27 (m, 4H, C₅H₃), 3.92 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂), 3.24 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂), 0.57 (s, 3H, Si-Me), 0.33 (s, 3H, Si-Me). IR (ν_{C0} , cm⁻¹): 2010(s), 1958(s), 1934(m), 1918(m), 1890(s), 1866(s).

Reaction of $(Me_2C)(Me_2Si)(C_5H_4)_2$ (1) with W(CO)₆. A solution of 0.40 g (1.75 mmol) of $(Me_2C)(Me_2Si)(C_5H_4)_2$ (1) and 1.00 g (2.84 mmol) of W(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 24 h. After removal of solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH2-Cl₂ as eluent. The first band (yellow) afforded 0.08 g (6%) of 13 as orange crystals. The second band (red) gave 0.05 g (4%) of 12 as deep red crystals. The third band (gray) gave 0.03 g (2%) of 11 as black crystals. 11: mp 210 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₁₈O₆SiW₂: C, 33.09; H, 2.38. Found: C, 33.05; H, 2.46. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 5.31 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 5.27 (m, 4H, C₅H₃), 1.54 (s, 3H, C-Me), 1.36 (s, 3H, C-Me), 0.56 (s, 3H, Si-Me), 0.49 (s, 3H, Si-Me). IR (v_{CO}, cm⁻¹): 2015(s), 1937(s), 1910(s), 1887-(s). 12:6 mp 281-282 °C. 1H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 5.26 (m, 8H, C_5H_4), 1.55 (s, 6H, C-Me). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 1997(s), 1958(s), 1910(s), 1885(s), 1870(s), 1858(s). 13: mp 260 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₁₈O₆SiW₂: C, 33.09; H, 2.38. Found: C, 33.24; H, 2.42. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 6.20 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.84 (m, 1H, C_5H_3), 5.30 (m, 1H, C_5H_3), 5.19 (m, 1H, C_5H_3), 5.10 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 4.96 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 1.60 (s, 3H, C-Me), 1.58 (s, 3H, C-Me), 0.74 (s, 3H, Si-Me), 0.73 (s, 3H, Si-Me). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2026(s), 1989(s), 1940(s), 1914(s), 1890(s).

Reaction of $[(CH_2)_5C](Me_2Si)(C_5H_4)_2$ (4) with $W(CO)_6$. A solution of 1.00 g (3.72 mmol) of 4 and 1.50 g (4.26 mmol) of W(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 24 h. After removal of the solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The first band (orange) afforded 0.08 g (3%) of 15 as orange crystals. The second band (red) gave 0.03 g (1%) of 14 as dark red crystals. 14: mp 222 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₁₈O₆W₂: C, 35.42; H, 2.43. Found: C, 35.41; H, 2.44. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 5.65 (m, 2H, C₅H₄), 5.43 (m, 2H, C₅H₄), 5.16 (m, 2H, C₅H₄), 5.09 (m, 2H, C_5H_4), 1.90–1.20 (m, 10H, CH₂). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2002-(s), 1946(s), 1914(s), 1886(s), 1868(s), 1850(s). 15: mp 260 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C24H22O6SiW2: C, 35.93; H, 2.76. Found: C, 35.91; H, 2.67. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) *b*: 6.31 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.85 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.27 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 5.10 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 4.95 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 2.10-1.30 (m, 10H, CH₂), 0.71 (s, 6H, Si-Me). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2026(s), 1989(s), 1954(m), 1910-(s), 1880(s).

Reaction of (Me₂C)(Ph₂Si)(C₅H₄)₂ (7) with W(CO)₆. A solution of 1.00 g (2.84 mmol) of 7 and 1.50 g (4.26 mmol) of W(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 24 h. After removal of the solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The first band (red) gave 0.11 g (6%) of 12. The second band (gray) afforded 0.03 g (1%) of **16** as black crystals. **16**: mp 230 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₂₂W₂O₆SiW₂: C, 42.01; H, 2.50. Found: C, 41.68; H, 2.44. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 7.60–7.45 (m, 4H, C₆H₅), 7.40–7.25 (m, 6H, C₆H₅), 5.72 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 5.40 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 5.36 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 1.37 (s, 3H, C-Me), 1.16 (s, 3H, C-Me). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2010(s), 1962(s), 1946(s), 1922(s), 1898(s), 1859-(m).

Reaction of (H₂C)(Me₂Si)(C₅H₄)₂ (9) with W(CO)₆. A solution of 0.40 g (2.00 mmol) of **9** and 1.00 g (2.84 mmol) of W(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 24 h. After removal of the solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The red band afforded 0.18 g (18%) of **17** as dark red crystals. **17**^{:7} mp 220 °C (dec) (lit. 226–228 °C dec⁷). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 5.28 (m, 8H, C₅H₄), 3.88 (s, 2H, CH₂). IR (ν _{CO}, cm⁻¹): 1997(s), 1946(s), 1878(s), 1859(s).

Reaction of (Me₂C)(Me₂Ge)(C₅H₄)₂ (18) with Mo(CO)₆. A solution of 0.60 g (2.20 mmol) of **18** and 0.80 g (3.03 mmol) of Mo(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 7 h. After removal of solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The first band (yellow) afforded 0.10 g (7%) of **19** as orange-red

⁽⁵⁾ Nifant'ev, I. E.; Yarnykh, V. L.; Borzov, M. V.; Mazurchik, B. A.; Mstyslasky, V. I.; Roznyatovsky, V. A.; Ustynyuk, Y. A. Organometallics **1991**, *10*, 3739.

⁽⁶⁾ Fierro, R.; Bitterwolf, T. E.; Rheingold, A. L.; Yap, G. P. A.; Liable-Sands, L. M. J. Organomet. Chem. **1996**, 524, 19.

⁽⁷⁾ Bitterwolf, T. E.; Rheingold, A. L. Organometallics **1991**, *10*, 3856.

crystals. The second band (red) afforded 0.25 g (22%) of **3. 19**: mp 250 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6$: C, 39.98; H, 2.88. Found: C, 39.51; H, 2.74. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 5.98 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.69 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.37 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.18 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.00 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 4.90 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 1.55 (s, 3H, C-Me), 1.53 (s, 3H, C-Me), 0.82 (s, 6H, Ge-Me). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2034(s), 1993(s), 1954(s), 1934(s), 1918(s), 1902(s).

Reaction of [(CH₂)₅C](Me₂Ge)(C₅H₄)₂ (20) with Mo-(CO)₆. A solution of 0.50 g (1.60 mmol) of **20** and 1.00 g (3.79 mmol) of Mo(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 6.5 h. After removal of solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The first band (red) afforded 0.15 g (16%) of **6**. The second band (green) gave 0.10 g (10%) of **21** as black crystals. **21**: mp 210 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₂GeMo₂O₆: C, 42.97; H, 3.31. Found: C, 42.89; H, 3.32. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 6.08 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.69 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.36 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.27 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 4.97 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 4.90 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 2.10–1.30 (m, 10H, CH₂), 0.81 (s, 3H, Ge-Me), 0.79 (s, 3H, Ge-Me). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2026(s), 1989(s), 1962(s), 1922(s), 1886(s).

Reaction of (Me₂C)(Me₂Ge)(C₅H₄)₂ (18) with W(CO)₆. A solution of 0.80 g (3.03 mmol) of **13** and 1.50 g (4.26 mmol) of W(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 24 h. After removal of the solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The first band (yellow) afforded 0.08 g (3%) of **22** as orange-red crystals. The second band (red) afforded 0.12 g (6%) of **12**. **22**: mp 285 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₁₈GeO₆W₂: C, 31.27; H, 2.38. Found: C, 31.20; H, 2.24. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 6.20 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.86 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.25 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.21 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.13 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 4.94 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 1.58 (s, 6H, C-Me), 0.82 (s, 6H, Ge-Me). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2034(s), 1988-(s), 1941(s), 1924(s), 1910(s), 1894(s).

Reaction of [(CH₂)₅C](Me₂Ge)(C₅H₄)₂ (20) with W(CO)₆. A solution of 1.00 g (3.20 mmol) of **20** and 1.50 g (4.26 mmol) of W(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 24 h. After removal of the solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The first band (orange) afforded 0.10 g (4%) of **23** as orange crystals. The second band (red) gave 0.03 g (1%) of **14**. **23**: mp 230 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for $C_{24}H_{22}$ GeMo₂O₆: C, 34.04; H, 2.62. Found: C, 34.08; H, 2.66. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 6.29 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.85 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.28 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 5.13 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 4.94 (m, 1H, C₅H₃), 2.10–1.20 (m, 10H, CH₂), 0.81 (s, 3H, Ge-Me), 0.78 (s, 3H, Ge-Me). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2026(s), 1989(s), 1954(m), 1910(s), 1880(s).

Reaction of (Me₂C)(Me₂Si)(*t***-BuC₅H₃)₂ (24) with Mo-(CO)₆. A solution of 0.30 g (0.88 mmol) of 24** and 0.60 g (2.27 mmol) of Mo(CO)₆ in 30 mL of xylene was refluxed for 6.5 h. After removal of solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The red band gave 0.07 g (12%) of **25t** as deep red crystals. **25t**: mp 190 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₃₀Mo₂O₆: C, 50.48; H, 4.71. Found: C, 50.50; H, 4.79. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 5.45 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 5.10 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 4.86 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 1.46 (s, 6H, C-Me), 1.20 (s, 18H, CMe₃). IR (ν_{C0} , cm⁻¹): 2002(s), 1950-(s), 1918(w), 1890(s), 1870(s).

Reaction of (Me₂C)(Me₂Si)(*t***-BuC₅H₃)₂ (24) with W(CO)₆. A solution of 1.00 g (2.94 mmol) of 24 and 1.50 g (4.26 mmol) of W(CO)₆ in 40 mL of xylene was refluxed for 24 h. After removal of the solvent the residue was chromatographed on an alumina column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. The red band gave 0.20 g (8%) of the mixture of 26t** and **26c** as black-red crystals. According to the different crystal shape, a small amount of pure *cis* isomer **26c** and *trans* isomer **26t** were separated mechanically. **26t**: mp 190 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₃₀W₂O₆: C, 39.63; H, 3.70. Found: C, 39.51; H, 3.63. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 5.52 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 5.03 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 4.97 (m, 2H, C₅H₃), 1.50 (s, 3H, C-Me), 1.47 (s, 3H, C-Me), 1.22 (s, 18H, CMe₃). IR (ν_{C0} , cm⁻¹): 2002(s), 1954(s), 1944(s), 1910(s), 1878(s), 1862(s). **26c**: mp 210 °C (dec). Anal. Calcd for $C_{27}H_{30}Mo_2O_6$: C, 39.63; H, 3.70. Found: C, 39.56; H, 3.65. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 5.28 (m, 6H, C_5H_3), 1.54 (s, 3H, C-Me), 1.49 (s, 3H, C-Me), 1.24 (s, 18H, CMe₃). IR (ν_{CO} , cm⁻¹): 2002(s), 1942(s), 1890(s), 1876(s).

Crystallographic Studies. Single crystals of complexes **2**, **6**, **8**, **10**, **11**, **13**, **14**, **15**, **19**, **21**, **22**, **25t**, and **26t** suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained from hexane/CH₂Cl₂ solution. Data collection was performed on a Bruker SMART 1000, using graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation (ω -2 θ scans, λ = 0.71073 Å). Semiempirical absorption corrections were applied for all complexes. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares. All calculations were using the SHELXL-97 program system. The crystal data and summary of X-ray data collection are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

Results and Discussion

Reaction of the Carbon and Silicon Doubly Bridged Biscyclopentadiene Ligands with Mo-(CO)₆. When the carbon and silicon doubly bridged biscyclopentadiene ligands $(X)(Y)(C_5H_4)_2$ [Y = Me₂Si, X $= Me_2C$ (1), $(CH_2)_5C$ (4), CH_2 (9); $Y = Ph_2Si$, $X = Me_2C$ (7)] reacted with $Mo(CO)_6$ in refluxing xylene, the corresponding intramolecular dimolybdenum complexes $(X)(Y)[(\eta^5-C_5H_3)M_0(CO)_3]_2$ [Y = Me₂Si, X = Me₂C (2), $(CH_2)_5C$ (5), CH_2 (10); $Y = Ph_2Si$, $X = Me_2C$ (8) and the desilylation products $(X)[(\eta^5-C_5H_4)Mo(CO)_3]_2$ [X = Me_2C (3), $(CH_2)_5C$ (6)] were obtained (Scheme 1). The expected complex of type C was not isolated in all cases, although the steric effect at the bridging carbon atom or silicon atom was changed with different substituents. This is different from the reactions of the carbon and silicon doubly bridged biscyclopentadiene ligands with Fe(CO)₅, which afforded novel complexes with Fe-Si bonds.^{4b} When the reaction was performed in toluene, similar products were obtained but in lower yields. In DME or diglyme, only the desilylation products were obtained. All these complexes are air stable in the solid state. The doubly bridged biscyclopentadienyl dimolybdenum complexes 2, 5, and 8 are slightly air sensitive in solution, which is in agreement with the superlong Mo-Mo bond distances within their molecular structures. All these complexes show two or three Cp-H proton peaks at 5.60–5.07 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectra and four to six strong terminal carbonyl bands at 2026-1860 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectra. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of **10** the bridging methylene protons were split as two double peaks at 3.92, 3.24 ($\Delta \delta = 0.68$ ppm) with J =16.0 Hz, which is much greater than that of ligand 9 ($\Delta\delta$: 0.10 ppm),^{4b} and the Si-Me protons were split as two single peaks at 0.57, 0.33 ppm ($\Delta \delta = 24$ ppm), which is much smaller than that of ligand **9** ($\Delta \delta$: 1.64 ppm).

Reaction of the Carbon and Silicon Doubly Bridged Biscyclopentadiene Ligands with W(CO)₆. When the carbon and silicon doubly bridged biscyclopentadiene ligands (X)(Y)(C₅H₄)₂ [Y = Me₂Si, X = Me₂C (1), (CH₂)₅C (4), CH₂ (9); Y = Ph₂Si, X = Me₂C (7)] reacted with W(CO)₆ in refluxing xylene, novel complexes (X)(η^{5} -C₅H₃)(η^{5} , η^{1} -C₅H₃)[(Y)W(CO)₃][W(CO)₃] [Y = Me₂Si; X = Me₂C (13), (CH₂)₅C (15)] (type C) were isolated in addition to the ditungsten complexes (X)(Y)-[(η^{5} -C₅H₃)W(CO)₃]₂ [X = Me₂C; Y = Me₂Si (11), Ph₂Si (16)] and the desilylation products (X)[(η^{5} -C₅H₄)W-(CO)₃]₂ [X = Me₂C (13), (CH₂)₅C (15)] (Scheme 1). All these complexes are air stable except the ditungsten

Table 1. Crystal Data and Summary of X-ray Data Collection of 2, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13–15, 19, and 21

	2	6	8	10	11
formula	C21H18M02O6 Si	C22H18M02O6	C31H22M02O6Si	C19H14M02O6Si	$C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2$
fw	586.32	570.24	710.46	558.27	762.14
cryst syst	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	$P2_1/m$	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$
a (Å)	9.098(3)	13.982(10)	11.352(5)	10.000(3)	9.030(5)
b (Å)	14.337(5)	10.557(8)	15.051(6)	13.870(5)	14.267(9)
c (Å)	9.710(3)	15.492(11)	16.731(7)	14.489(5)	9.649(6)
α (deg)	90	90	90	90	90
β (deg)	117.384(5)	114.687(11)	101.09(3)	96.700(6)	117.500(9)
γ (deg)	90	90	90	90	90
$V(Å^3)$	1124.5(6)	2078(3)	2805(2)	1996.0(12)	1102.7(11)
Z	2	4	4	4	2
D_{calc} (g·cm ⁻³)	1.732	1.823	1.682	1.858	2.295
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1}\text{)}$	1.202	1.244	0.980	1.349	10.512
F(000)	580	1128	1416	1096	708
cryst size (mm)	0.25 imes 0.20 imes 0.20	$0.26 \times 0.24 \times 0.20$	$0.20\times0.16\times0.12$	$0.20\times0.18\times0.10$	$0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.20$
max. 2θ (deg)	50.04	52.96	50.10	52.82	52.08
no. of reflns collected	4696	11545	8847	9165	5980
no. of indep reflns/R _{int}	2077/0.0231	4260/0.0334	4888/0.0447	4058/0.0373	2268/0.0501
no. of params	166	271	361	253	146
goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.010	1.009	1.002	0.999	1.040
$R_1, WR_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0226, 0.0541	0.0276, 0.0565	0.0411, 0.0974	0.0349, 0.0624	0.0332, 0.0610
R_1 , wR_2 (all data)	0.0309, 0.0577	0.0463, 0.0616	0.0830, 0.1137	0.0659, 0.0695	0.0581, 0.0679
	13	14	15	19	21
formula	13 C ₂₁ H ₁₈ O ₆ SiW ₂	14 C ₂₂ H ₁₈ O ₆ W ₂	15 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ O ₆ SiW ₂	19 C ₂₁ H ₁₈ GeMo ₂ O ₆	21 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ GeMo ₂ O ₆
formula fw	13 C ₂₁ H ₁₈ O ₆ SiW ₂ 762.14	14 C ₂₂ H ₁₈ O ₆ W ₂ 746.06	15 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ O ₆ SiW ₂ 802.21	19 C ₂₁ H ₁₈ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 630.82	21 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 670.89
formula fw cryst syst	13 C ₂₁ H ₁₈ O ₆ SiW ₂ 762.14 triclinic	14 C ₂₂ H ₁₈ O ₆ W ₂ 746.06 monoclinic	15 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ O ₆ SiW ₂ 802.21 triclinic	19 C ₂₁ H ₁₈ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 630.82 triclinic	21 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 670.89 triclinic
formula fw cryst syst space group	13 C ₂₁ H ₁₈ O ₆ SiW ₂ 762.14 triclinic <i>P</i> 1	14 C ₂₂ H ₁₈ O ₆ W ₂ 746.06 monoclinic P2(1)/c	15 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ O ₆ SiW ₂ 802.21 triclinic <i>P</i> 1	19 C ₂₁ H ₁₈ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 630.82 triclinic <i>P</i> 1	21 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 670.89 triclinic <i>P</i> 1
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å)	13 C ₂₁ H ₁₈ O ₆ SiW ₂ 762.14 triclinic <i>P</i> Ī 9.540(4)	14 C ₂₂ H ₁₈ O ₆ W ₂ 746.06 monoclinic P2(1)/c 13.915(9)	15 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ O ₆ SiW ₂ 802.21 triclinic <i>P</i> Ī 9.776(3)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{I} \\ 9.614(3) \end{array}$	21 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 670.89 triclinic <i>P</i> Ī 9.770(3)
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \end{array}$	14 C ₂₂ H ₁₈ O ₆ W ₂ 746.06 monoclinic P2(1)/c 13.915(9) 10.500(6)	15 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ O ₆ SiW ₂ 802.21 triclinic <i>P</i> 1 9.776(3) 9.764(3)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \end{array}$	21 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 670.89 triclinic <i>P</i> 1 9.770(3) 9.847(3)
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \end{array}$	14 C ₂₂ H ₁₈ O ₆ W ₂ 746.06 monoclinic P2(1)/c 13.915(9) 10.500(6) 15.413(9)	15 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ O ₆ SiW ₂ 802.21 triclinic <i>P</i> 1 9.776(3) 9.764(3) 13.718(4)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \end{array}$	21 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 670.89 triclinic <i>P</i> Ī 9.770(3) 9.847(3) 13.793(5)
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (deg)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{15} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2 \\ \textbf{802.21} \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.776(3) \\ 9.764(3) \\ \textbf{13.718(4)} \\ 76.427(5) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{21} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 670.89 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.770(3) \\ 9.847(3) \\ 13.793(5) \\ 76.617(6) \end{array}$
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{15} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2 \\ \textbf{802.21} \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ 9.776(3) \\ 9.764(3) \\ \textbf{13.718}(4) \\ 76.427(5) \\ 74.818(5) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \end{array}$	21 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 670.89 triclinic <i>P</i> Ī 9.770(3) 9.847(3) 13.793(5) 76.617(6) 75.152(6)
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) a (deg) β (deg) γ (deg)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{15} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2 \\ \textbf{802.21} \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ 9.776(3) \\ 9.764(3) \\ 13.718(4) \\ 76.427(5) \\ 74.818(5) \\ 69.489(5) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ \textbf{630.82} \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ \textbf{9.614(3)} \\ \textbf{10.472(4)} \\ \textbf{11.189(4)} \\ \textbf{101.361(6)} \\ \textbf{94.495(6)} \\ \textbf{92.575(6)} \end{array}$	21 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 670.89 triclinic <i>P</i> 1 9.770(3) 9.847(3) 13.793(5) 76.617(6) 75.152(6) 69.777(5)
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \\ 1077.0(8) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ 2053(2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{15} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2 \\ \textbf{802.21} \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ 9.776(3) \\ 9.764(3) \\ 13.718(4) \\ 76.427(5) \\ 74.818(5) \\ 69.489(5) \\ 1168.7(6) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \\ 92.575(6) \\ 1099.0(7) \end{array}$	21 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 670.89 triclinic <i>P</i> 1 9.770(3) 9.847(3) 13.793(5) 76.617(6) 75.152(6) 69.777(5) 1188.6(7)
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) γ (Å) Z	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \\ 1077.0(8) \\ 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ 2053(2) \\ 4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{15} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2 \\ \textbf{802.21} \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{\textbf{1}} \\ 9.776(3) \\ 9.764(3) \\ 13.718(4) \\ 76.427(5) \\ 74.818(5) \\ 69.489(5) \\ 1168.7(6) \\ 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \\ 92.575(6) \\ 1099.0(7) \\ 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{21} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 670.89 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.770(3) \\ 9.847(3) \\ 13.793(5) \\ 76.617(6) \\ 75.152(6) \\ 69.777(5) \\ 1188.6(7) \\ 2 \end{array}$
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³) Z D_{calc} (g·cm ⁻³)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \\ 1077.0(8) \\ 2 \\ 2.350 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ 2053(2) \\ 4 \\ 2.414 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{15} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2 \\ \textbf{802.21} \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{\textbf{1}} \\ \textbf{9.776(3)} \\ \textbf{9.776(3)} \\ \textbf{9.764(3)} \\ \textbf{13.718(4)} \\ \textbf{76.427(5)} \\ \textbf{74.818(5)} \\ \textbf{69.489(5)} \\ \textbf{1168.7(6)} \\ \textbf{2} \\ \textbf{2.280} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \\ 92.575(6) \\ 1099.0(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.906 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{21} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 670.89 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.770(3) \\ 9.847(3) \\ 13.793(5) \\ 76.617(6) \\ 75.152(6) \\ 69.777(5) \\ 1188.6(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.875 \end{array}$
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³) Z D_{calc} (g·cm ⁻³) μ (mm ⁻¹)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \\ 1077.0(8) \\ 2 \\ 2.350 \\ 10.763 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ 2053(2) \\ 4 \\ 2.414 \\ 11.235 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2\\ 802.21\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}\\ 9.776(3)\\ 9.764(3)\\ 13.718(4)\\ 76.427(5)\\ 74.818(5)\\ 69.489(5)\\ 1168.7(6)\\ 2\\ 2.280\\ 9.925\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \\ 92.575(6) \\ 1099.0(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.906 \\ 2.517 \end{array}$	21 C ₂₄ H ₂₂ GeMo ₂ O ₆ 670.89 triclinic <i>P</i> 1 9.770(3) 9.847(3) 13.793(5) 76.617(6) 75.152(6) 69.777(5) 1188.6(7) 2 1.875 2.333
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) U (Å ³) Z D_{calc} (g·cm ⁻³) μ (mm ⁻¹) F(000)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \\ 1077.0(8) \\ 2 \\ 2.350 \\ 10.763 \\ 708 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ 2053(2) \\ 4 \\ 2.414 \\ 11.235 \\ 1384 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2\\ 802.21\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}\\ 9.776(3)\\ 9.764(3)\\ 13.718(4)\\ 76.427(5)\\ 74.818(5)\\ 69.489(5)\\ 1168.7(6)\\ 2\\ 2.280\\ 9.925\\ 752\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \\ 92.575(6) \\ 1099.0(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.906 \\ 2.517 \\ 616 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{21} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 670.89 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.770(3) \\ 9.847(3) \\ 13.793(5) \\ 76.617(6) \\ 75.152(6) \\ 69.777(5) \\ 1188.6(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.875 \\ 2.333 \\ 660 \\ \end{array}$
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³) Z D_{calc} (g·cm ⁻³) μ (mm ⁻¹) F(000) cryst size (mm)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \\ 1077.0(8) \\ 2 \\ 2.350 \\ 10.763 \\ 708 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.12 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ 2053(2) \\ 4 \\ 2.414 \\ 11.235 \\ 1384 \\ 0.22 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{15} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2 \\ \textbf{802.21} \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ \textbf{9.776(3)} \\ \textbf{9.764(3)} \\ \textbf{13.718(4)} \\ \textbf{76.427(5)} \\ \textbf{74.818(5)} \\ \textbf{69.489(5)} \\ \textbf{1168.7(6)} \\ \textbf{2} \\ \textbf{2.280} \\ \textbf{9.925} \\ \textbf{752} \\ \textbf{0.24} \times \textbf{0.22} \times \textbf{0.16} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \\ 92.575(6) \\ 1099.0(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.906 \\ 2.517 \\ 616 \\ 0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.18 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{21} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 670.89 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.770(3) \\ 9.847(3) \\ 13.793(5) \\ 76.617(6) \\ 75.152(6) \\ 69.777(5) \\ 1188.6(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.875 \\ 2.333 \\ 660 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.08 \end{array}$
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³) Z D_{calc} (g·cm ⁻³) μ (mm ⁻¹) F(000) cryst size (mm) max. 2θ (deg)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \\ 1077.0(8) \\ 2 \\ 2.350 \\ 10.763 \\ 708 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.12 \\ 50.00 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ 2053(2) \\ 4 \\ 2.414 \\ 11.235 \\ 1384 \\ 0.22 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \\ 53.00 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{15} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2 \\ \textbf{802.21} \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{\textbf{1}} \\ 9.776(3) \\ 9.764(3) \\ 13.718(4) \\ 76.427(5) \\ 74.818(5) \\ 69.489(5) \\ 1168.7(6) \\ 2 \\ 2.280 \\ 9.925 \\ 752 \\ 0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.16 \\ 52.84 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \\ 92.575(6) \\ 1099.0(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.906 \\ 2.517 \\ 616 \\ 0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.18 \\ 52.84 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{21} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 670.89 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.770(3) \\ 9.847(3) \\ 13.793(5) \\ 76.617(6) \\ 75.152(6) \\ 69.777(5) \\ 1188.6(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.875 \\ 2.333 \\ 660 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.08 \\ 52.84 \end{array}$
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³) Z D_{calc} (g·cm ⁻³) μ (mm ⁻¹) F(000) cryst size (mm) max. 2θ (deg) no. of reflns collected	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \\ 1077.0(8) \\ 2 \\ 2.350 \\ 10.763 \\ 708 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.12 \\ 50.00 \\ 5641 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ 2053(2) \\ 4 \\ 2.414 \\ 11.235 \\ 1384 \\ 0.22 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \\ 53.00 \\ 11554 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{15} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2 \\ \textbf{802.21} \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.776(3) \\ 9.764(3) \\ 13.718(4) \\ 76.427(5) \\ 74.818(5) \\ 69.489(5) \\ 1168.7(6) \\ 2 \\ 2.280 \\ 9.925 \\ 752 \\ 0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.16 \\ 52.84 \\ 6762 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \\ 92.575(6) \\ 1099.0(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.906 \\ 2.517 \\ 616 \\ 0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.18 \\ 52.84 \\ 6275 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{21} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 670.89 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.770(3) \\ 9.847(3) \\ 13.793(5) \\ 76.617(6) \\ 75.152(6) \\ 69.777(5) \\ 1188.6(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.875 \\ 2.333 \\ 660 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.08 \\ 52.84 \\ 6917 \end{array}$
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³) Z D_{calc} (g·cm ⁻³) μ (mm ⁻¹) F(000) cryst size (mm) max. 2θ (deg) no. of reflns collected no. of indep reflns/ R_{int}	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \\ 1077.0(8) \\ 2 \\ 2.350 \\ 10.763 \\ 708 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.12 \\ 50.00 \\ 5641 \\ 3787/0.0405 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ 2053(2) \\ 4 \\ 2.414 \\ 11.235 \\ 1384 \\ 0.22 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \\ 53.00 \\ 11554 \\ 4164/0.0800 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{15} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2 \\ \textbf{802.21} \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ 9.776(3) \\ 9.764(3) \\ 13.718(4) \\ 76.427(5) \\ 74.818(5) \\ 69.489(5) \\ 1168.7(6) \\ 2 \\ 2.280 \\ 9.925 \\ 752 \\ 0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.16 \\ 52.84 \\ 6762 \\ 4745/0.0605 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{19} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \\ 92.575(6) \\ 1099.0(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.906 \\ 2.517 \\ 616 \\ 0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.18 \\ 52.84 \\ 6275 \\ 4423/0.0295 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{21} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 670.89 \\ triclinic \\ P\overline{1} \\ 9.770(3) \\ 9.847(3) \\ 13.793(5) \\ 76.617(6) \\ 75.152(6) \\ 69.777(5) \\ 1188.6(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.875 \\ 2.333 \\ 660 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.08 \\ 52.84 \\ 6917 \\ 4803/0.0285 \end{array}$
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³) Z D_{calc} (g·cm ⁻³) μ (mm ⁻¹) F(000) cryst size (mm) max. 2θ (deg) no. of reflns collected no. of indep reflns/ R_{int} no. of params	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \\ 1077.0(8) \\ 2 \\ 2.350 \\ 10.763 \\ 708 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.12 \\ 50.00 \\ 5641 \\ 3787/0.0405 \\ 276 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ 2053(2) \\ 4 \\ 2.414 \\ 11.235 \\ 1384 \\ 0.22 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \\ 53.00 \\ 11554 \\ 4164/0.0800 \\ 271 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2\\ 802.21\\ triclinic\\ P\bar{1}\\ 9.776(3)\\ 9.764(3)\\ 13.718(4)\\ 76.427(5)\\ 74.818(5)\\ 69.489(5)\\ 1168.7(6)\\ 2\\ 2.280\\ 9.925\\ 752\\ 0.24\times0.22\times0.16\\ 52.84\\ 6762\\ 4745/0.0605\\ 301\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19 \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{I} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \\ 92.575(6) \\ 1099.0(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.906 \\ 2.517 \\ 616 \\ 0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.18 \\ 52.84 \\ 6275 \\ 4423/0.0295 \\ 272 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{21} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 670.89 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.770(3) \\ 9.847(3) \\ 13.793(5) \\ 76.617(6) \\ 75.152(6) \\ 69.777(5) \\ 1188.6(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.875 \\ 2.333 \\ 660 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.08 \\ 52.84 \\ 6917 \\ 4803/0.0285 \\ 301 \\ \end{array}$
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³) Z D_{calc} (g·cm ⁻³) μ (mm ⁻¹) F(000) cryst size (mm) max. 2θ (deg) no. of reflns collected no. of indep reflns/ R_{int} no. of params goodness-of-fit on F^2	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \\ 1077.0(8) \\ 2 \\ 2.350 \\ 10.763 \\ 708 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.12 \\ 50.00 \\ 5641 \\ 3787/0.0405 \\ 276 \\ 0.972 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ 2053(2) \\ 4 \\ 2.414 \\ 11.235 \\ 1384 \\ 0.22 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \\ 53.00 \\ 11554 \\ 4164/0.0800 \\ 271 \\ 0.940 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15 \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2 \\ 802.21 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.776(3) \\ 9.764(3) \\ 13.718(4) \\ 76.427(5) \\ 74.818(5) \\ 69.489(5) \\ 1168.7(6) \\ 2 \\ 2.280 \\ 9.925 \\ 752 \\ 0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.16 \\ 52.84 \\ 6762 \\ 4745/0.0605 \\ 301 \\ 0.975 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19 \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \\ 92.575(6) \\ 1099.0(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.906 \\ 2.517 \\ 616 \\ 0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.18 \\ 52.84 \\ 6275 \\ 4423/0.0295 \\ 272 \\ 0.998 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{21} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 670.89 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.770(3) \\ 9.847(3) \\ 13.793(5) \\ 76.617(6) \\ 75.152(6) \\ 69.777(5) \\ 1188.6(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.875 \\ 2.333 \\ 660 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.08 \\ 52.84 \\ 6917 \\ 4803/0.0285 \\ 301 \\ 1.002 \\ \end{array}$
formula fw cryst syst space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) c (Å) α (deg) β (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) γ (deg) V (Å ³) Z D_{calc} (g·cm ⁻³) μ (mm ⁻¹) F(000) cryst size (mm) max. 2θ (deg) no. of reflns collected no. of reflns collected no. of params goodness-of-fit on F^2 R_1 , wR_2 [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{13} \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}O_6SiW_2 \\ 762.14 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.540(4) \\ 10.366(5) \\ 11.167(5) \\ 101.693(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 93.921(7) \\ 92.578(8) \\ 1077.0(8) \\ 2 \\ 2.350 \\ 10.763 \\ 708 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.12 \\ 50.00 \\ 5641 \\ 3787/0.0405 \\ 276 \\ 0.972 \\ 0.0391, 0.0686 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{14} \\ \hline C_{22}H_{18}O_6W_2 \\ 746.06 \\ monoclinic \\ P2(1)/c \\ 13.915(9) \\ 10.500(6) \\ 15.413(9) \\ 90 \\ 114.273(9) \\ 90 \\ 2053(2) \\ 4 \\ 2.414 \\ 11.235 \\ 1384 \\ 0.22 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \\ 53.00 \\ 11554 \\ 4164/0.0800 \\ 271 \\ 0.940 \\ 0.0404, 0.0658 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15\\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}O_6SiW_2\\ 802.21\\ triclinic\\ \hline P\bar{1}\\ 9.776(3)\\ 9.764(3)\\ 13.718(4)\\ 76.427(5)\\ 74.818(5)\\ 69.489(5)\\ 1168.7(6)\\ 2\\ 2.280\\ 9.925\\ 752\\ 0.24\times0.22\times0.16\\ 52.84\\ 6762\\ 4745/0.0605\\ 301\\ 0.975\\ 0.0568, 0.1243\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19 \\ \hline C_{21}H_{18}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 630.82 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.614(3) \\ 10.472(4) \\ 11.189(4) \\ 101.361(6) \\ 94.495(6) \\ 92.575(6) \\ 1099.0(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.906 \\ 2.517 \\ 616 \\ 0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.18 \\ 52.84 \\ 6275 \\ 4423/0.0295 \\ 272 \\ 0.998 \\ 0.0376, 0.0762 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{21} \\ \hline C_{24}H_{22}GeMo_2O_6 \\ 670.89 \\ triclinic \\ P\bar{1} \\ 9.770(3) \\ 9.847(3) \\ 13.793(5) \\ 76.617(6) \\ 75.152(6) \\ 69.777(5) \\ 1188.6(7) \\ 2 \\ 1.875 \\ 2.333 \\ 660 \\ 0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.08 \\ 52.84 \\ 6917 \\ 4803/0.0285 \\ 301 \\ 1.002 \\ 0.0325, 0.0627 \\ \end{array}$

complexes 11 and 16, which are slightly air sensitive especially in solution. The IR spectra of 13 and 15 exhibited five terminal carbonyl bands at 2026, 1989, 1940, 1914, 1890 cm⁻¹ and 2026, 1989, 1940, 1914, 1890 cm⁻¹, respectively. Their ¹H NMR spectra displayed six or five Cp-H proton peaks at 6.20, 5.84, 5.30, 5.19, 5.10, 4.96 and 6.31, 5.85, 5.27 (2H), 5.10, 4.95 ppm, respectively, indicating the unsymmetrical structures. X-ray diffraction analysis shows that complexes 13 and 15 contain a W-Si bond, suggesting that the formation of 13 and 15 should be accompanied by the cleavage of a C-Si bond in the ligands. The structures of 13 and 15 are very similar to the complexes $(X)(\eta^5-C_5H_3)(\eta^5,\eta^1 C_5H_3$ [(Me₂Si)Fe(CO)₂] [Fe(CO)₂] [X = Me₂C, (CH₂)₅C],^{4b} which were obtained from the reactions of the corresponding ligands with $Fe(CO)_5$ in refluxing xylene.

The reaction of ligand **4** with $W(CO)_6$ only gave type C complex **15** and the desilylation product **14**. The corresponding ditungsten complex was not isolated. On the other hand, the reaction of ligand **7** gave only ditungsten complex **16** and the desilylation product **12**. No type C complex was obtained. This indicates, similar

to the reaction with $Fe(CO)_5$, that the bulky substituents at the bridging carbon atom may promote the formation of the complex of type C, whereas the two phenyl groups at the bridging silicon atom may prevent the formation of the complex of type C. It was noteworthy that the reaction of ligand **9** with $W(CO)_6$ gave the desilylation product **17** as the only isolable product.

Reaction of the Carbon and Germanium Doubly Bridged Biscyclopentadiene Ligands with M(CO)₆ (**M** = **Mo**, **W**). When the carbon and germanium doubly bridged biscyclopentadiene ligands (X)(Me₂Ge)(C₅H₄)₂ [X = Me₂C (**18**), (CH₂)₅C (**20**)] reacted with Mo(CO)₆ or Mo(CO)₆, similar novel complexes of type C, (X)(η^5 -C₅H₃)(η^5 , η^1 -C₅H₃)[(Me₂Ge)M(CO)₃][M(CO)₃] [M = Mo, X = Me₂C (**19**), (CH₂)₅C (**21**); M = W, X = Me₂C (**22**), (CH₂)₅C (**23**)], were obtained along with the degermylation products (Scheme 1). However in this case, the corresponding dimolybdenum or ditungsten complexes were not isolated, probably due to the weakness of the C–Ge bond. All the isolated complexes are air stable. The ¹H NMR spectra of **19**, **21**, **22**, and **23** each displayed six Cp-H proton peaks at 6.30–4.90 ppm,

Table 2. Crystal Data and Summary of X-ray DataCollection for 22, 25t, and 26t

	22	25t	26t
formula	C ₂₁ H ₁₈ GeO ₆ W ₂	C27H30M02O6	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ O ₆ W ₂
fw	806.64	642.39	818.21
cryst syst	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_{1}/c$	$P2_{1}/c$
a (Å)	9.546(3)	16.530(7)	16.498(19)
b (Å)	10.398(4)	9.453(4)	9.435(11)
<i>c</i> (Å)	11.104(4)	19.501(8)	19.45(2)
α (deg)	101.448(5)	90	90
β (deg)	94.525(6)	114.838(6)	114.672(16)
γ (deg)	92.555(5)	90	90
$V(Å^3)$	1074.8(6)	2765(2)	2751(5)
Ζ	2	4	4
D_{calc} (g·cm ⁻³)	2.493	1.543	1.976
μ (mm ⁻¹)	12.101	0.944	8.393
F(000)	744	1296	1552
cryst size (mm)	$0.32 \times 0.24 \times$	$0.34\times0.26\times$	$0.26 \times 0.22 \times$
	0.20	0.22	0.20
max. 2θ (deg)	50.00	52.80	52.86
no. of reflns	5383	12633	15114
collected			
no. of indep	3686/0.0325	5584/0.0447	5590/0.0501
reflns/ $\hat{R_{ m int}}$			
no. of params	276	317	324
goodness-of-fit	0.997	1.026	1.040
on F^2			
$R_1, WR_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0414,	0.0402,	0.0389,
	0.1012	0.0693	0.0847
R_1 , wR_2 (all data)	0.0565,	0.0822,	0.0685,
	0.1097	0.0788	0.0947

Scheme 1







suggesting again the unsymmetrical structures. Moreover, we already noted that the reactions of 1 and 4, silyl analogues of 18 and 20, failed to give any type C complexes. The formation of type C molybdenum complexes 19 and 21 here indicates that the weaker C–Ge bond favors the formation of type C structure through the cleavage of the C–Ge bond.

Reaction of (Me₂C)(Me₂Si)(*t***-BuC₅H₃)₂ (24) with M(CO)_6 (M = Mo, W). To study the steric effect of this reaction, a** *tert***-butyl group was introduced to the cyclopentadienyl rings and the ligand (Me₂C)(Me₂Si)(***t***-BuC₅H₃)₂ (24) was used in the reaction. However, when 24 reacted with Mo(CO)₆ or W(CO)₆ under refluxing**



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of **2**. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. The top drawing shows the view perpendicular to the Mo–Mo bond and the labeling scheme. The bottom drawing depicts the view down the Mo–Mo axis. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: Mo(1)–Mo(1a) 3.4328(12), Mo(1)–C(4) 2.341(3), Mo(1)–C(8) 2.347-(3), Si(1)–C(4) 1.858(3), C(8)–C(9) 1.515(3), C(4a)–Si(1)–C(4) 96.33(17), O(1)–C(1)–Mo(1) 169.8(3), O(2)–C(2)–Mo(1) 179.2(3), O(3)–C(3)–Mo(1) 170.0(3), C(8)–C(9)–C(8a) 109.4(3).

xylene, only the desilylation products **25** and **26** were obtained (Scheme 2).

Neither the normal intramolecular dinuclear complex (type A) nor the type C complex was obtained. The bulky *tert*-butyl groups might either prevent the formation of the type C complex and/or promote the desilylation reaction. Both the *cis* (two *tert*-butyl groups on the same side) and *trans* (two *tert*-butyl groups on opposite sides) isomers of **26** were obtained, but only one isomer of **25** was isolated and assigned to the *trans* isomer **25t** based on the X-ray diffraction analysis.

Molecular Structures. The molecular structures of **2**, **6**, **8**, **10**, **11**, **13**, **14**, **15**, **19**, **21**, **22**, **25t**, and **26t** are shown in Figures 1–13, respectively.

Complexes 2, 8, 10, and 11 are intramolecular doubly bridged biscyclopentadienyl dimolybdenum or ditungsten complexes. The M–M distances in complexes 2



Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of **6**. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: Mo(1)-Mo(2) 3.1708(18), Mo(1)-C(11) 2.415-(3), Mo(2)-C(18) 2.367(3), C(11)-C(12) 1.531(4), C(12)-C(18) 1.525(4), C(11)-Mo(1)-Mo(2) 80.20(7), C(18)-Mo-(2)-Mo(1) 81.68(7), C(12)-C(11)-Mo(1) 129.89(18), C(18)-C(12)-C(11) 111.8(2), C(12)-C(18)-Mo(2) 126.93(19).

[3.4328(12) Å], 8 [3.453(2) Å], and 11 [3.403(2) Å] are conspicuously elongated and are in fact the longest ever reported among the biscyclopentadienyl dimolybdenum or ditungsten complexes (Table 3). The covalent radius of the molybdenum atom has been estimated from structural data of Mo(dien)(CO)₃ to be 1.62 Å.^{8,9} So the distances of the Mo-Mo bond in complexes 2 [3.4328-(12) Å] and 8 [3.453(2) Å] are on average 0.2 Å greater than the sum of the covalent radius of two molybdenum (3.24 Å). This is very different from the singly bridged biscyclopentadienyl dimolybdenum or ditungsten complexes, in which cases the bridging caused a small decrease in the M-M distances. This can be attributed to three factors. First, the inflexibility of the doubly bridged biscyclopentadienyl dinuclear metal complexes forces the CO groups on the different metal atoms to repel each other and thereby increases the M-M distance. In singly bridged biscyclopentadienyl dimolybdenum or ditungsten complexes, the flexibility of the singly bridged biscyclopentadienyl ligands could reduce the above-mentioned repulsion by increasing the CNT-M-M-CNT' (CNT = centroid of cyclopentadienyl ring) torsion angles. The structures of complexes 2, 8, and 11 are conformationally much more rigid and constrained as a result of the double bridges. The CNT-M-M-CNT' torsion angles for the doubly bridged complexes (0° for 2, 1.7° for 8, 0° for 11) are much smaller than those in the singly bridged complexes (44-48°). As a result of such constrained structures, the repulsion between the CO groups on the different metal atoms will be much greater than that in the singly bridged complexes if the M-M bond remains the same length. In these bridged complexes, a relationship appears to exist between the degree of twist in a molecule and its M-M distance (Table 3). In general,



Figure 3. ORTEP diagram of **8**. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. The top drawing shows the view perpendicular to the Mo–Mo bond and the labeling scheme. The bottom drawing depicts the view down the Mo–Mo axis. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: Mo(1)–Mo(2) 3.453(2), Mo(1)–C(7) 2.335(6), Mo(1)–C(11) 2.346-(6), Mo(2)–C(15) 2.365(6), Mo(2)–C(19) 2.323(5), Si(1)–C(7) 1.855(6), Si(1)–C(19) 1.853(6), C(11)–C(12) 1.515(8), C(12)–C(15) 1.533(8), C(19)–Si(1)–C(7) 98.8(3), C(20)–Si(1)–C(26) 107.4(3), C(11)–C(12)–C(15) 109.7(5), O(1)–C(1)–Mo(1) 177.8(6), O(2)–C(2)–Mo(1) 168.3(6), O(3)–C(3)–Mo(1) 170.0(6), O(4)–C(4)–Mo(2) 177.0(6), O(5)–C(5)–Mo(2) 177.9(6).

the M–M distances increase with decreasing CNT–M– M–CNT' torsion angles (except **10**). Second, all these complexes contain single carbon and single silicon atom double bridges, which are the shortest bridges for the doubly bridged biscyclopentadienyl metal complexes up to now. Such short bridges increase the steric repulsions between the substituents at two bridge atoms and the substituents with Mo(CO)₃ groups, which make the \angle Cp–Cp fold angle (149.3° for **2**, 149.5° for **8**, 149.2° for **11**) much larger than those with two longer bridges (for example, (Me₂Si)₂[C₅H₃W(CO)₃]₂¹² 135.6°) or singly

⁽⁸⁾ Cotton, F. A. And Wing, R. M. Inorg. Chem. 1965, 4, 314.
(9) Adams, R. D.; Collins, D. M.; Cotton, F. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 749.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Adams, R. D.; Collins, D. M.; Cotton, F. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1974**, *13*, 1086.

⁽¹¹⁾ Drage, J. S.; Vollhardt, K. P. Organometallics 1986, 5, 280.

⁽¹²⁾ McKinley, S. G.; Angelici, R. J.; Choi, M.-G. Organometallics 2002, 21, 1235.



Figure 4. ORTEP diagram of **10**. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. The top drawing shows the view perpendicular to the Mo–Mo bond and the labeling scheme. The bottom drawing depicts the view down the Mo–Mo axis. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: Mo(1)–Mo(2) 3.1669(9), Mo(1)–C(1) 2.426(4), Mo(1)–C(5) 2.353-(4), Mo(2)–C(7) 2.303(4), Mo(2)–C(11) 2.409(4), Si(1)–C(5) 1.876(4), Si(1)–C(11) 1.889(4), C(1)–C(6) 1.513(5), C(6)–C(7) 1.513(5), C(5)–Si(1)–C(11) 97.32(18), C(7)–C(6)–C(1) 111.6(3).

bridged biscyclopentadienyl dimolybdenum (or ditungsten) complexes ($\sim 120^{\circ}$) (Table 3), and thus lead to increased M-M distances. Third, the bridging carbon and silicon atom each is substituted with two methyl or phenyl groups. The steric repulsions between the substituents at the two bridge atoms and the M(CO)₃ moiety again make the \angle Cp–Cp fold angle (149.3° in 2, 149.5° in 8, 149.2° in 11) much larger than the unsubstituted analogues (for example, $(CH_2)(Me_2Si)[(\eta^5 -$ C₅H₃)Mo(CO)₃]₂ (10), 133.7°) and therefore favor forming structures with longer M-M distances. It is interesting that the \angle Cp–Cp fold angles in **2**, **8**, and **11** are comparable with that in $(Me_2Si)_2[C_5H_3W(CO)_3]_2H^+$ [149.7(2)°],¹² which has long a W····W distance [3.657-(6) Å] without bonding. Further studies on the effects of the long M-M distances on the reactivity of these



Figure 5. ORTEP diagram of **11**. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. The top drawing shows the view perpendicular to the Mo–Mo bond and the labeling scheme. The bottom drawing depicts the view down the Mo–Mo axis. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: W(1)–W(1a) 3.403(2), W(1)–C(4) 2.328(6), W(1)–C(8) 2.331(7), Si(1)–C(4) 1.838(8), C(8)–C(9) 1.515(10), C(4a)1–Si(1)–C(4) 95.9(5), O(1)–C(1)–W(1) 171.0(7), O(2)–C(2)–W(1) 179.3(8), O(3)–C(3)–W(1) 169.3(7), C(8)–C(9)–C(8a) 110.1-(8).

complexes are being continued in our laboratories. The molecule of complex 10 has no substituent at the bridging carbon atom. In this case the intramolecular nonbonding interaction was remarkably reduced, as reflected by a decreased $\angle Cp-Cp$ fold angle (133.7°) and shortened Mo-Mo bond distance. It is interesting that complex 10 has a slightly shorter Mo-Mo distance [3.1669(9) Å] even than some singly bridged biscyclopentadienyl dinuclear metal complexes [3.1708(18) Å for **6**, 3.1723(11) Å for **25t**], although its \angle Cp-Cp fold angle and the CNT-Mo-Mo-CNT' torsion angle (34.0°) are between those of the doubly bridged and singly bridged biscyclopentadienyl dimolybdenum complexes. This may be attributed to the considerably reduced steric effect of the CH₂ bridge as noted previously in the singly CH₂ bridged complex CH₂[C₅H₄Mo(CO)₃]₂ (Mo-Mo 3.1406 Å).6



Figure 6. ORTEP diagram of **13**. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: W(1)-Si(1) 2.620(3), W(1)-C(7) 2.315(10), W(1)-C(8) 2.368(10), W(2)-C(8) 2.225(9), W(2)-C(13) 2.306(9), W(2)-C(14) 2.286(11), Si(1)-C(14) 1.922(11), C(7)-C(12) 1.544(13), C(12)-C(13) 1.527(13), C(7)-W(1)-Si(1) 85.9(2), C(8)-W(1)-Si(1) 83.4(2), C(8)-W(2)-C(13) 73.3(3), C(8)-W(2)-C(14) 80.7(3), C(14)-Si(1)-W(1) 104.1-(3), W(2)-C(8)-W(1) 128.7(4), C(13)-C(12)-C(7) 104.9(7).



Figure 7. ORTEP diagram of **14**. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: W(1)–W(2) 3.1582(16), W(1)–C(7) 2.350(8), W(2)–C(13) 2.404(9), C(7)–C(12) 1.506(11), C(12)–C(13) 1.528(12), C(7)–W(1)–W(2) 81.6(2), C(13)–W(2)–W(1) 80.2-(2), C(12)–C(7)–W(1) 127.9(6), C(7)–C(12)–C(13) 111.9-(7), C(12)–C(13)–W(2) 129.6(5).

Complexes **6** and **25t** are singly bridged biscyclopentadienyl dimolybdenum complexes. As shown in Figure 12, **25t** is a *trans* isomer. The Mo–Mo distances are 3.1708(18) Å in **6** and 3.1723(11) Å in **25t**, both shorter than that in *trans*-[CpMo(CO)₃]₂ [3.235(1) Å],¹⁰ showing a moderate compression on the Mo–Mo bond imposed by the single carbon bridged ligand, similar to that in (CH₂)[(η^5 -C₅H₄)Mo(CO)₃]₂ [3.1406 Å].⁶ This may be attributed to the flexibility of the singly bridged biscyclopentadienyl ligands which allows the CO groups to



Figure 8. ORTEP diagram of **15**. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: W(1)–Si(1) 2.617(3), W(1)–C(9) 2.378(11), W(1)–C(10) 2.326(13), W(2)–C(9) 2.221(12), W(2)–C(14) 2.306(11), W(2)–C(15) 2.336(12), Si(1)–C(14) 1.911(14), C(10)–C(19) 1.522(17), C(15)–C(19) 1.540(17), C(9)–W(1)–Si(1) 84.1(3), C(10)–W(1)–Si(1) 86.3(3), C(9)–W(2)–C(14) 81.2(4), C(9)–W(2)–C(15) 74.2(5), C(14)–Si(1)–W(1) 103.8-(4), W(2)–C(9)–W(1) 127.5(5), C(10)–C(19)–C(15) 105.4-(10).



Figure 9. ORTEP diagram of **19**. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: Mo(1)–Ge(1) 2.6671(10), Mo(1)–C(7) 2.391-(5), Mo(1)–C(8) 2.336(5), Mo(2)–C(7) 2.229(5), Mo(2)–C(13) 2.316(5), Mo(2)–C(14) 2.312(5), Ge(1)–C(14) 1.970(5), C(8)–C(12) 1.522(7), C(12)–C(13) 1.530(7), C(7)–Mo(1)–Ge(1) 83.47(11), C(8)–Mo(1)–Ge(1) 85.35(11), C(7)–Mo(2)–C(13) 73.67(16), C(7)–Mo(2)–C(14) 81.04(17), C(14)–Ge(1)–Mo-(1) 103.18(13), Mo(2)–C(7)–Mo(1) 129.4(2), C(8)–C(12)–C(13) 105.1(4).

reduce steric repulsions that force the metal atoms away from each other (CNT–Mo–Mo–CNT torsion angle: 46.4° for **6**, 44.8 for **25t**). For the singly carbon bridged complexes **6** and **25t**, the crowdedness around the Mo– Mo bond is partially relaxed by the assumption of larger CNT–Mo–Mo–CNT torsion angles, which is not possible for the fulvalene complex $FvMo_2(CO)_6$ and the doubly bridged biscyclopentadienyl complexes **2** and **8** (whose CNT–Mo–Mo–CNT torsion angles were 0° and 1.7°, respectively). Similarly, complexes **14** and **26t** are



Figure 10. ORTEP diagram of 21. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: Mo(1)-Ge(1) 2.6569(9), Mo(1)-C(9) 2.395-(4), Mo(1)-C(10) 2.342(4), Mo(2)-C(9) 2.237(4), Mo(2)-C(9)C(14) 2.321(4), Mo(2)-C(15) 2.322(4), Ge(1)-C(14) 1.967(4), C(15)-C(19) 1.518(6), C(10)-C(19) 1.521(5), C(9)-Mo(1)-Ge(1) 84.15(10), C(10)-Mo(1)-Ge(1) 86.13(10), C(9)-Mo-(2)-C(14) 81.41(14), C(9)-Mo(2)-C(15) 73.27(14), C(14)-Ge(1)-Mo(1) 103.15(11), Mo(2)-C(9)-Mo(1) 127.72(17), C(15)-C(19)-C(10) 105.6(3).



Figure 11. ORTEP diagram of 22. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: W(1)-C(7) 2.370(11), W(1)-C(8) 2.315(9), W(1)-Ge(1) 2.6522(13), W(2)-C(7) 2.213(10), W(2)-C(15) 2.308(10), W(2)-C(16) 2.289(10), Ge(1)-C(16) 1.982(11), C(8)-C(12) 1.502(13), C(12)-C(15) 1.493(14), C(7)-W(1)-Ge(1) 83.5(2), C(8)-W(1)-Ge(1) 85.3(2), C(7)-W(2)-C(15) 73.1(3), C(7)-W(2)-C(16) 81.4(4), C(16)-Ge(1)-W(1) 103.3-(3), W(2)-C(7)-W(1) 129.5(4), C(15)-C(12)-C(8) 106.1(8).

singly bridged biscyclopentadienyl ditungsten complexes. **26t** is a *trans* isomer, as shown in Figure 13. Similar to $(CH_2)[(\eta^5-C_5H_4)W(CO)_3]_2$ [3.166(1) Å],⁷ the W–W distances in 14 [3.1582(16) Å] and 26t [3.165(3) Å], as compared to those in nonbridged complex trans- $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})_{2}W_{2}(CO)_{6}$ [3.222(1) Å]¹⁰ and singly silvl bridged complex $(Me_2Si)[(\eta^5-C_5H_4)W(CO)_3]_2 [3.196(1) Å]^{13}$ were compressed by the short single carbon bridged ligands. The CNT-W-W-CNT torsion angles are 45.3° for 14



Figure 12. ORTEP diagram of 25t. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: Mo(1)-Mo(2) 3.1723(11), Mo(1)-C(7) 2.401-(4), Mo(2)-C(19) 2.328(4), C(7)-C(16) 1.517(5), C(16)-C(16)C(19) 1.516(5), C(7)-Mo(1)-Mo(2) 79.43(10), C(19)-Mo-(2)-Mo(1) 82.03(10), C(16)-C(7)-Mo(1) 129.6(3), C(19)-C(16)-C(7) 112.8(3), C(16)-C(19)-Mo(2) 125.7(2).



Figure 13. ORTEP diagram of 26t. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30% level. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: W(1)-W(2) 3.165(3), W(1)-C(15) 2.392(8), W(2)-C(10) 2.321(8), C(10)-C(12) 1.532(10), C(12)-C(15)1.513(12), C(15)-W(1)-W(2) 79.5(2), C(10)-W(2)-W(1) 82.2(2), C(12)-C(10)-W(2) 125.2(5), C(15)-C(12)-C(10) 112.9(6), C(12)-C(15)-W(1) 129.8(5).

and 47.0° for **26t**, reflecting the flexibility of the singly bridged biscyclopentadienyl ligands.

Complex 13, 15, 19, 21, and 22 have similar novel structures. These complexes all contain a M-Si or M-Ge bond, and one metal atom is coordinated with a cyclopentadienyl ligand in η^5 manner, while the other metal atom coordinated with both cyclopentadienyl ligands in a η^1 and η^5 manner, respectively. Similar ruthenium complexes $[Ru(CO)_2]_2(\mu - \eta^5: \eta^1 - C_5H_4)[\mu - Me_2Si (\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4})]^{15}$ and $[Ru(CO)_{2}]_{2}(\mu-\eta^{5}:\eta^{1}-C_{5}Me_{4})[\mu-Me_{2}Si-(\eta^{5}-\eta^{5}+\eta^{2})]^{15}$ C₅H₄)]¹⁶ have been reported from the photolysis of silylbridged complexes Me₂Si[η^5 -C₅H₄Ru(CO)₂]₂ and Me₂Si[η^5 - $C_5Me_4Ru(CO)_2]_2$, respectively. In our recent work, iron complexes $(R_2C)(\eta^5 - C_5H_3)(\eta^5, \eta^1 - C_5H_3)[(Me_2E)Fe(CO)_2]$ - $[Fe(CO)_2]$ (R₂C = Me₂C, (CH₂)₅C, E = Si, Ge) with

⁽¹⁴⁾ Abrahamson, H. B.; Heeg, M. J. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 2281. (15) (a) Bitterwolf, T. E.; Shade, J. E.; Hansen, J. A.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 514, 13. (b) Bitterwolf, T. E.; Leonard,
 M. B.; Horine, P. A.; Shade, J. E.; Hansen, J. A.; Rheingold, A. L.;
 Staley, D. J.; Yap, G. P. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 512, 11.
 (16) Fox, T.; Burger, P. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 795.



Figure 14. EPR spectrum of 2 in crystal powder.

Table 3. Structural Parameter Comparison for Biscyclopentadienyl Dimolybdenum (Ditungsten)Complexes^a

complex	M-M (Å)	$\angle Cp-Cp$ fold angle (deg)	CEN-M-M-CEN torsion angles	ref
trans-[CpMo(CO) ₃] ₂	3.235(1)			10
FvMo ₂ (CO) ₆	3.371(1)	164.7		11
$CH_2[C_5H_4M_0(CO)_3]_2$	3.1406			6
$(CH_2)_5C[C_5H_4Mo(CO)_3]_2$ (6)	3.1708(18)	120.5	46.4	this work
$Me_2C[t-BuC_5H_3M_0(CO)_3]_2$ (25t)	3.1723(11)	121.9	44.8	this work
$(Me_2C)(Me_2Si)[C_5H_3Mo(CO)_3]_2$ (2)	3.4328(12)	149.3	0	this work
(Me ₂ C)(Ph ₂ Si)[C ₅ H ₃ Mo(CO) ₃] ₂ (8)	3.453(2)	149.5	1.7	this work
(CH ₂)(Me ₂ Si)[C ₅ H ₃ Mo(CO) ₃] ₂ (10)	3.1669(9)	133.7	34.0	this work
trans-[CpW(CO) ₃] ₂	3.222(1)			10
$FvW_2(CO)_6$	3.347(1)	163.9	5.0(2)	14
$CH_2[C_5H_4W(CO)_3]_2$	3.166(1)		47.8(6)	7
$Me_2Si[C_5H_4W(CO)_3]_2$	3.196(1)		~ 47.8	13
$(CH_2)_5C[C_5H_4W(CO)_3]_2$ (14)	3.1582(16)	120.4	45.3	this work
Me ₂ C[t-BuC ₅ H ₃ W(CO) ₃] ₂ (26t)	3.165(3)	121.9	47.0	this work
(Me ₂ C)(Me ₂ Si)[C ₅ H ₃ W(CO) ₃] ₂ (11)	3.403(2)	149.2	0	this work
$(Me_2Si)_2[C_5H_3W(CO)_3]_2$	3.260(5)	135.6(7)	28.7(4)	12
$(Me_2Si)_2[C_5H_3W(CO)_3]_2H^+$	3.657(6)	149.7(2)		12

 $a \ge Cp-Cp$ fold angle = the dihedral angle between the planes of the cyclopentadienyl ring; CEN = the centroid of the cyclopentadienyl ring.

similar structures were obtained from thermal reactions of the corresponding doubly bridged ligands with Fe-(CO)₅.^{4b} The results presented here further indicated that the formation of type C complexes presents a common feature for the reactions of the carbon and silicon (or germanium) doubly bridged biscyclopentadiene ligands with metal carbonyl (M = Fe, Mo, W) compounds. Compared to the corresponding dinulear metal complexes, the \angle Cp–Cp fold angles of the type C complexes were much smaller, suggesting that the intramolecular nonbonding interactions were highly relaxed, which is consistent with the thermal stability of these complexes.

EPR Spectra. Although the distances of the Mo– Mo bond in complexes **2** [3.4328(12) Å] and **8** [3.453(2) Å] are the longest of all the analogues known, there is no evidence for metal–metal bond homolysis in their ¹H NMR spectra. This is similar to the fulvalene complexes $FvM_2(CO)_6$ (M = Cr, Mo, W),^{11,14,17} another class of biscyclopentadienyl dinuclear metal complexes

Scheme 3 Me_2 $Me_$



with long metal-metal bonds, but very different from the chromium-chromium complex $[CpCr(CO)_3]_2$, which shows a very broad resonance.⁹ To further study the superlong metal-metal bond, EPR experiments were performed. There was no signal in benzene solution, indicating that the long metal-metal bond is still stable in solution. Surprisingly, a distinct EPR signal (Figure 14, g = 2.160) was recorded for the crystal state of complex **2**, suggesting the presence of radicals in the solid state (Scheme 3). Similar observations were reported in the crystalline $[CpCr(CO)_3]_2$ obtained by sublimation, and the authors claimed the presence of approximately 50% of the substance as radicals according to the intense signal.¹⁸

⁽¹⁷⁾ McGovern, P. A.; Vollhardt, K. P. C. Chem. Commun. 1996, 1593.

Mechanism Discussion. Our experiments on the reactions of carbon and silicon or germanium doubly bridged biscyclopentadiene ligands with different metal carbonyl compounds, namely, Fe(CO)5,4b Mo(CO)6, or $W(CO)_6$, gave similar and consistent results. In most cases the reactions gave a series of dinuclear metal complexes with superlong M-M bonds and the corresponding desilylation or degermylation products, whereas the formation of the novel type C complexes depends on the ligand and metal. There is no relationship between the three types of products, meaning they cannot be converted to one another under the conditions used in the reactions. This suggests that the three types of products were formed at the same time. However, it was experimentally difficult to follow the reaction under such conditions for more detailed mechanistic studies. The exact course of the reactions still remains unclear after all the efforts. There are some reports about the cleavage of the C-Si or C-Ge bond and the migration of the silvl or germyl group.^{19–21} When $C_5H_5EMe_3$ (E = Si, Ge, Sn) reacted with $(MeCN)_3M(CO)_3$ (M = Cr, Mo, W), it was found that GeMe₃ and SnMe₃ could easily migrate to the metal atom to give $C_5H_5(CO)_3M-EMe_3$ (M = Cr, Mo, W; E = Ge, Sn), while SiMe₃ was more difficult to transfer.^{19–21} This made us propose that the type C complexes with a W–Si or M–Ge (M = Mo, W) bond in this work could be the silvl or germyl singlemigration products. For the reaction with $Fe(CO)_5$ and W(CO)₆, both ligands with carbon/silicon double bridges and carbon/germanium double bridges gave the novel type C complexes. However, for the reaction with Mo-(CO)₆, only the carbon and germanium doubly bridged ligands gave the type C complexes. Since the type C complexes contain a M-Si or M-Ge bond, their formation was apparently accompanied by the cleavage of a C-Si or C-Ge bond in the ligands. Due to the weakness of the C–Ge bond, one can predict that type C complexes with M-Ge bonds will form more readily than those with M-Si bonds. The results from our experiments

were in agreement with this prediction. The bulky substituents at the bridging carbon atom (ligands 1, 4, **18**, and **20**) may increase the intramolecular nonbonding interactions and thus promote the silvl or germyl transfer and promote the formation of the complexes of type C (13, 15, 19, 21, 22, and 23). Also supporting this is the fact that the reaction of the doubly bridged ligands with a methylene bridge (no sbstitutent at the bridging carbon) with metal carbonyl failed to give any type C complexes. The reaction of the doubly bridged ligands with a methylene bridge with metal carbonyl cannot give the complex of type C, indicating that the repulsion between the substituents at the two bridging atoms might be the driving force of silvl or germyl transfer. On the other hand, the bulky substituents at the bridging silicon atom or on the cyclopentadienyl rings turn to prevent silvl or germyl transfer and the formation of the type C complexes.

Conclusions

Reactions of carbon and silicon or germanium doubly bridged biscyclopentadiene ligands $(X)(Y)(C_5H_4)_2$ with molybdenum (tungsten) carbonyl were studied. A series of doubly bridged biscyclopentadienyl dinuclear metal complexes with unusually long M–M bonds and a novel type C complexes with a M–Si or M–Ge bond were obtained. The factors affecting the structures of dimolybdenum or ditungsten complexes were discussed.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20202004), the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (2000005504), and the Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, State Education Ministry (2001-345), for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: Tables of crystallographic data collection information, final positional and thermal parameters of the non-hydrogen atoms, general temperature factors, and bond distances and angles for **2**, **6**, **8**, **10**, **11**, **13**, **14**, **15**, **19**, **21**, **22**, **25t**, and **26t**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM034039Z

^{(19) (}a) Heck, J.; Kriebisch, K.-A.; Mellinghoff, H. *Chem. Ber.* 1988, *121*, 1753. (b) Xu, S.; Xie, W.; Zhou, X.; Wang, J.; Chen, H.; Guo, H.; Miao, F. *Chem. J. Chin. Univ.* 1996, *17*, 1065.
(20) Keppie, S. A.; Lappert, M. F. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1969, *19*, 19, 19, 1005.

⁽²⁰⁾ Keppie, S. A.; Lappert, M. F. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1969**, *19*, P5.

⁽²¹⁾ Abel, E. W.; Moorhouse S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 28, 211.