Completion of a catalytic cycle of zirconium-catalyzed alkylation of silanes by addition of organic halides

Yasuyuki Ura, Ryuichiro Hara and Tamotsu Takahashi*

Catalysis Research Center and Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hokkaido University; and CREST, Science and Technology Corporation (JST) Sapporo 060-0811, Japan. E-mail: tamotsu@cat.hokudai.ac.jp

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 28th March 2000, Accepted 11th April 2000

A catalytic cycle in zirconium-catalyzed alkylation of silanes with secondary Grignard reagents was completed by addition of organic halides which were not incorporated in the products.

We have found and reported catalytic cycles containing a Zr(II) species and zirconacycles in carbon–carbon bond formation by the reaction of olefins and/or acetylenes with Grignard reagents.[1–5] One principle of the Zr(II)-mediated or -catalyzed reactions is the use of facile interchange of its oxidation states, II and IV. The zirconium(II) species is known to be of good π-electron accepting ability and oxidatively couples two unsaturated organic molecules. During the coupling reaction, the Zr(II) species is converted into Zr(IV). On these bases, zirconium(II)-catalyzed reactions have been developed involving olefins[1–4] and, in some cases, acetylenes.[5] We also reported not only unsaturated substrates but also dihydrogen[2] or silanes[3] as partners of unsaturated compounds such as olefins. During our investigations of zirconium-mediated alkylation reactions of silanes, we found that addition of organic halides led to a catalytic cycle in which the organic halides were not incorporated in the products. Herein, we report a novel type of catalytic cycle in alkylation of silanes with secondary Grignard reagents using zirconium.

It is well known that when H_2SiPh_2 is treated with Pr^nMgBr in THF at room temperature, a nearly quantitative yield of $Pr^nSi(H)Ph_2$ **1** is obtained [eqn. (1)].[6] It is interesting that



1a

90%

(1)

H_2SiPh_2 does not react at all with the more sterically hindered Pr^iMgBr under the same conditions [eqn. (2)].



2

(2)

However, we found that when a stoichiometric amount of $(C_5H_5)_2ZrCl_2$ was added in the presence of a two-fold amount of Pr^iMgBr, the reaction of H_2SiPh_2 with Pr^iMgBr proceeded to give **1a**, instead of the expected product $Pr^iSi(H)Ph_2$ **2** [eqn. (3)]. A stoichiometric amount of $(C_5H_5)_2ZrCl_2$ is required



(3)

since the outcome of the reaction is explained by the total conversion to **1a**, '$(C_5H_5)_2Zr$,' n-C_3H_8 and 2 equiv. of MgX_2. Since the Pr^i group was changed to an Pr^n group in the product, it is reasonable to consider that the Pr^i group is converted into propene on zirconium and then reacts with silane to afford $Pr^nSi(H)Ph_2$ and the Zr(II) species.

In order to extend this stoichiometric reaction to a catalytic reaction, oxidation of the built-up Zr(II) species was investigated. We found that certain organic halides were suitable for oxidation of the '$(C_5H_5)_2Zr$' species *via* oxidative addition[7–9] and tolerate the reaction conditions.

Under catalytic conditions, typically 10 mol% of $(C_5H_5)_2ZrCl_2$ and 1 equiv. of an organic halide were employed [eqn. (4)]. The results of a comparison study using various



1

(4)

organic halides as additives are shown in Table 1 As a control experiment, when no organic halide was added, the reaction gave only 22% yield of Pr^nSiHPh_2 **1a**. When 1 equiv. of bromo- or iodo-propane was added, the desired product was obtained in 67 and 91% yield, respectively. This remarkable improvement clearly showed that a catalytic cycle was achieved. The best result of 99% yield was obtained when 1,3-dibromopropane was used as the additive. Though bromobenzene, bromopropane and iodopropane showed fairly good performances, iodobenzene showed a very poor effect on this reaction, which may be due to the readily occurring deiodination.[9] 1,3-Dibromopropane was the best choice by far as additive and the reaction proceeded with as little as 2 mol% of the catalyst. In the absence of $(C_5H_5)_2ZrCl_2$ **1a** was not formed.

Similarly, when H_2SiPh_2 was treated under the same conditions with 2 equiv. of Bu^sMgCl, Bu^nSiHPh_2 **1b** was obtained in 89% yield. In spite of our attempts, tertiary Grignard reagents such as Bu^tMgCl or secondary Grignard reagents such as cyclohexylmagnesium bromide did not successfully promote this reaction owing, probably, to the instability of the corresponding disubstituted-olefin zirconium complexes.

To understand the role and the superiority of 1,3-dibromopropane, 2-benzyl-1,3-dibromopropane **3** was used as an

Table 1 Reactions of Pr^iMgBr with H_2SiPh_2 in the presence of a catalytic amount of $(C_5H_5)_2ZrCl_2$ and various organic halides[a,b]

Entry	R′X	Cp_2ZrCl_2/ eq	Time/h	Yield (%)[c]
1	—	0.1	24	22
2	1-Bromopropane	0.1	6	67
3	1-Iodopropane	0.1	6	91
4	1,3-Dibromopropane	0.1	3	99
5	1,3-Dibromopropane	0.02	24	93
6	1,3-Dibromopropane	0.01	18	41
7	1,3-Diiodopropane	0.1	3	3
8	Bromobenzene	0.1	6	78
9	Iodobenzene	0.1	1	2[d]
10	2-Bromopropene	0.1	24	73

[a] R = Me, corresponding to eqn. (4). [b] Typical reaction conditions: H_2SiPh_2 (0.5 mmol), $(C_5H_5)_2ZrCl_2$ (as indicated), Pr^iMgBr (1.5 mmol), R′X (0.5 mmol); room temp. [c] Yields were determined by GC. [d] PhI was consumed in 1 h and further reaction did not proceed.

DOI: 10.1039/b002516j

oxidation reagent and the catalytic reaction was carried out [eqn. (5)]. A mixture of three products, benzylcyclopropane **4** and reduction products **5** and **6** were obtained with **5** observed at an early stage. After completion of the reaction, two products, **4** and **6** were obtained in 44 and 24% yields, respectively. The formation of the major product **4** is explained by an oxidative addition of one carbon–bromine bond of **3** to the zirconium(II) species, followed by nucleophilic ring closure forming a cyclopropane ring and $(C_5H_5)_2ZrBr_2$.



(5)

On the basis of these observations, the mechanism of Scheme 1 is proposed. Zirconium–olefin complex **7** is formed and reacts with H_2SiPh_2 (**7** → **8**). Reductive elimination of the silylated compound gives Pr^nSiHPh_2 **1**. The so-formed '$(C_5H_5)_2Zr$' reacts with an alkyl bromide (RX) to give **9**,[7] followed by ligand exchange with Pr^iMgBr and β-hydride elimination to regenerate $(C_5H_5)_2Zr(C_3H_6)$ **7**. Alternatively, oxidation of '$(C_5H_5)_2Zr$' with alkyl bromides might afford $(C_5H_5)_2ZrX_2$ which is also converted into **7** by the reaction with Pr^iMgBr. An alkyl bromide plays an important role in reoxidizing the zirconium(II) species to zirconium(IV). In its absence, regeneration of the catalyst does not occur and '$(C_5H_5)_2Zr$' reacts with H_2SiPh_2 leading to the μ-hydride bridged dimer **10**.[3a]



Scheme 1

One-pot reaction of H_3SiPh with 3 Pr^iMgBr without a catalyst for 1 h followed by the addition of 10 mol% of $(C_5H_5)_2ZrCl_2$ and 1,3-dibromopropane selectively afforded $HSi(Pr^i)(Pr^n)Ph$ **11** in 66% yield [eqn. (6)]. An Pr^i group was incorporated directly from the Grignard reagent in the absence of the catalyst, and an Pr^n group was incorporated after the addition of the zirconium catalyst with 1,3-dibromopropane.



(6)

We conclude that a zirconium(II) species is efficiently oxidized by organic halides, which assists regeneration of the active catalyst. We believe this is the first example of a zirconium(II)-catalyzed reaction in which an oxidant is explicitly added to complete the catalytic cycle. Further studies to clarify the mechanism are in progress.

Notes and references

1 T. Takahashi, T. Seki, Y. Nitto, M. Saburi, C. J. Rousset and E. Negishi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 6266.
2 T. Takahashi, N. Suzuki, M. Kageyama, Y. Nitto, M. Saburi and E. Negishi, *Chem. Lett.*, 1991, 1579.
3 (*a*) T. Takahashi, M. Hasegawa, N. Suzuki, M. Saburi, C. J. Rousset and E. Negishi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 8564; (*b*) J. Y. Corey and X.-H. Zhu, *Organometallics*, 1992, **11**, 672; (*c*) M. R. Kesti and R. M. Waymouth, *Organometallics*, 1992, **11**, 1095.
4 N. Suzuki, D. Y. Kondakov and T. Takahashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 8485.
5 K. Kasai, Y. Liu, R. Hara and T. Takahashi, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1989.
6 I. Fleming, *Organic Silicon Chemistry* in *Comprehensive Organic Chemistry*, ed. D. Barton and W. D. Ollis, Pergamon, Oxford, 1979, vol. 3, p. 541; R. N. Meals, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1946, **68**, 1880; W. H. Nebergall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1950, **72**, 4702.
7 G. M. Williams, K. I. Gell and J. Schwartz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 3660.
8 T. Takahashi, M. Kotora, R. Fischer, Y. Nishihara and K. Nakajima, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 11039.
9 R. Hara, W.-H. Sun, Y. Nishihara and T. Takahashi, *Chem. Lett.*, 1997, 1251.