

Published on Web 10/05/2007

Reaction of $[\sigma:\eta^5-(C_9H_6)C_2B_9H_{10}]Zr(NMe_2)(DME)$ with Guanidines: Metallacarborane-Mediated C-N Bond Cleavage and 1,5-Sigmatropic Rearrangement

Hao Shen, Hoi-Shan Chan, and Zuowei Xie*

Department of Chemistry and Center of Novel Functional Molecules, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong, China

Received July 21, 2007; E-mail: zxie@cuhk.edu.hk

Scheme 1. Reaction of 1 with Guanidines

Guanidine derivatives, as electronically and sterically flexible ligands, have received increasing attention. They are capable of exhibiting a variety of coordination modes and a range of donor properties leading to compatibility with a wide range of metal ions from all parts of the periodic table.^{1,2} The inert nature of guanidinates as suitable spectator ligands supporting organometallic fragments is well demonstrated in various types of reactions of $[\eta^2$ -(ⁱPrN)₂C(NR₂)]ZrCl₂.^{2d} In view of these, we would like to incorporate guanidinates into metallacarboranes³ to study the effects of metal/charge combinations on the reactivity of group 4 metal complexes. From the reaction of 1 with 2 equiv of guanidines, we isolated unprecedented zirconacarboranes 6.4 This result shows clearly that C-N bond-breaking and C-C bond-forming reactions are involved in the process, and the Zr-indenyl σ -bond remains surprisingly in the product. This communication describes the mechanism by which they are formed.

Treatment of **1** with 2 equiv of guanidines ^{*i*}PrNHC(NR₂)=N^{*i*}Pr (R = Me (**2a**), Et (**2b**)) in refluxing toluene gave $[\eta^1:\sigma:\eta^5-\{2-[C=N^i-Pr(NH^iPr)]C_9H_5\}C_2B_9H_{10}]Zr[\eta^2-(^iPrN)_2C(NR_2)]$ (R = Me (**6a**), Et (**6b**)) in 30-47% isolated yields (Scheme 1).⁴ The molecular structures of both **6a,b** were confirmed by single-crystal X-ray analyses. The key structural data indicate that the interactions between the Zr atom and the indenyl-dicarbollyl unit in **6a,b** are very similar to those observed in **1**.⁴

The ¹H NMR spectra showed that the molar ratio of $R_2N/Pr = 1/4$ in **6** rather than the expected 1/2, suggesting that one of R_2N groups was dissociated from the guanidinate unit during the reaction. The NMR experiments indicated the formation of both Me₂NH and Et₂NH in the reaction mixture of **1** with **2b**, which was further confirmed by GC/MS. This result may suggest that (1) 1 equiv of **2b** reacts with **1** via amine exchange to give Me₂NH and the guanidinate ligand which is η^2 -bound to the Zr atom,⁵ and (2) the second equivalent of **2b** undergoes presumably a C-NEt₂ bond cleavage, generating Et₂NH and the amidine. To gain some insight into these reactions, a stepwise reaction of **1** with carbodiimides R'N=C=NR' was performed.

An equimolar reaction of **1** with R'N=C=NR' in THF at room temperature afforded monoinsertion products $[\sigma:\eta^{5-}(C_{9}H_{6})C_{2}B_{9}H_{10}]$ -Zr[$\eta^{2-}(R'N)_{2}C(NMe_{2})$](THF) (R' = ^{*i*}Pr (**3a**), Cy (cyclohexyl; **3c**)) in 71–74% isolated yields (Scheme 2).⁴ They were fully characterized by ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹¹B NMR spectroscopic techniques and elemental analyses. The proton chemical shift of the Me₂N group was shifted from 3.11 ppm in **1** to 2.64 ppm in **3a** and 2.70 ppm in **3c**, respectively. A characteristic CN_{3} resonance at 174.4 ppm in **3a** and 174.0 ppm in **3c** was observed in their ¹³C NMR spectra. The spectroscopic features of the indenyl group are very similar in both **1** and **3**. These data suggested that R'N=C=NR' inserted into the Zr–N bond to form a guanidinate unit. The bonding interactions between the indenyl and Zr atom remained intact, which probably



Scheme 2. Transformations among Complexes 1-6



indicates that the indenyl group may be $\eta^3\mbox{-bound}$ to the Zr atom in the solution.^6

Treatment of **3a,c** with 1 equiv of R'N=C=NR' in THF at room temperature generated another type of insertion products $[\sigma:\eta^5-\{3-[C(=NR')-NR']-1-C_9H_6\}C_2B_9H_{10}]Zr[\eta^2-(R'N)_2C(NMe_2)]$ (R' = i-Pr (**5a**), Cy (**5c**)) in >90% isolated yields (Scheme 2).⁴ Both structures were confirmed by single-crystal X-ray analyses.⁴ It is very clear that the R'N=C=NR' inserted into the 3-position, rather than 1-position, of the indenyl ring. This result supported the argument of an η^3 -bonding mode between the indenyl and Zr atom in the solution of **3a,c**.

Complexes **5a,c** were quantitatively converted to $[\eta^{1:}\sigma:\eta^{5}-\{2-[C=NR'(NHR')]C_9H_5\}C_2B_9H_{10}]Zr[\eta^{2-}(R'N)_2C(NMe_2)]$ (R = ^{*i*}Pr (**6a**), Cy (**6c**)) upon refluxing in toluene.⁴ This can be rationalized by 1,5-sigmatropic rearrangement (vide infra).⁷ The molecular structure of **6c** is very similar to that of **6a,b** as confirmed by single-crystal X-ray analyses.⁴

Reaction of **3a** with 1 equiv of **2a** in toluene at room temperature gave proton exchange product $[\eta^5-(C_9H_7)C_2B_9H_{10}]Zr[\eta^2-(i^PrN)_2C-(NMe_2)]_2$ (**4a**) in 79% isolated yield.^{4.8} Its molecular structure shows that the Zr atom has no bonding interactions with the neutral indenyl ring. Complex **4a** was converted to **6a** upon refluxing in toluene, from which **6a** was isolated in 62% yield⁴ and Me₂NH was detected by GC/MS. Complex **6a** was also isolated in 31% yield⁴ from the



Scheme 4. Proposed Mechanism for the Formation of 6



refluxing toluene solution of **3a**. The formation of Me₂NH was confirmed by GC/MS. These transformations are outlined in Scheme 2.

A mechanism for the C–N bond cleavage of a guanidinate unit is proposed in Scheme 3. This process may be driven by heat and can be viewed as a deinsertion of a carbodiimide from the guanidinate ligand.⁹ This proposal is evident from the following carbodiimide exchange experiments. Treatment of **3c** with 8 equiv of iPrN=C=NiPr in refluxing toluene afforded **6a** in 18% isolated yield. On the other hand, under the same reaction conditions, reaction of **4a** with 6 equiv of CyN=C=NCy generated **6c** in 12% isolated yield.

On the basis of the aforementioned experimental results, a proposed mechanism for the formation of complexes 6 is illustrated in Scheme 4. Reaction of 1 with carbodiimides or guanidines 2 yields 3 which is able to react reversibly with another equivalent of 2 to generate 4. Heating of 3/3' results in the cleavage of the C-N bond and in situ generation of carbodiimide and amido, leading to the formation of intermediate **A**. Interaction of **A** with 2 or of 3' with carbodiimide produces **B** which gives 5 via an insertion reaction. 1,5-Sigmatropic rearrangement⁷ over the indenyl ring in 5 affords C, which undergoes an intramolecular proton-transfer reaction to generate the final products **6**.

In conclusion, although it is well-established that insertion of carbodiimides into amides is a very useful method for the preparation of guanidines,^{2m-0,10} this work provides experimental

evidence for the corresponding reverse reaction. In other words, guanidinates are not inert ligands in certain cases that can undergo C-N bond cleavage to form carbodiimides and amido units.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by grants from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong SAR (Project No. 403805), NSFC/RGC Joint Research Scheme (N_CUHK 446/06), and a Strategic Investments Scheme administrated by The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

Supporting Information Available: Detailed experimental procedures, full characterization data, and X-ray data for 1, 4a, $5a \cdot C_7 H_8$, $5c \cdot C_7 H_8$, 6a, 6b, and $6c \cdot THF$ in cif format. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (a) Bailey, P. H.; Pace, S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 214, 91–141. (b) Chen, E. Y.-X.; Rodriguez-Delgado, A. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry III; Crabtree, R. H., Mingos, D. M. P., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, 2007; Vol. 4, pp 759–1004.
- Selected examples: (a) Jones, C.; Junk, P. C.; Platts, J. A.; Stasch, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2206-2207. (b) Ong, T.-G.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8100-8101. (c) Holland, A. W.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9010-9011. (d) Duncan, A. P.; Mullins, S. M.; Arnold, J.; Bergman, R. G. Organometallics 2001, 20, 1808-1819. (e) Ong, T.-G.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. Organometallics 2002, 124, 9010-9011. (d) Duncan, A. P.; Mullins, S. M.; Arnold, J.; Bergman, R. G. Organometallics 2002, 12, 2839-2841. (f) Carmalt, C. J.; Newport, A. C.; O'Neill, S. A.; Parkin, I. P.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 615-619. (g) Mullins, S. M.; Duncan, A. P.; Bergman, R. G.; Arnold, J. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 6952-6963. (h) Tin, M. K. T.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 6728-6730. (i) Brazeau, A. L.; Wang, Z.; Rowley, C. N.; Barry, S. T. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 2276-2281. (j) Baunemann, A.; Winter, M.; Csapek, K.; Gemel, C.; Fischer, R. A. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 4665-4672. (k) Ong, T.-G.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. R. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2612-2613. (l) Tin, M. K. T.; Thirupathi, N.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. Dalton Trans. 1999, 2947-2951. (m) Shen, H.; Chan, H.-S.; Xie, Z. Organometallics 2006, 25, 5515-5517. (n) Shen, H.; Chan, H.-S.; Xie, Z. Organometallics 2007, 26, 2694-2704. (o) Zhang, W.-X.; Nishiura, M.; Hou, Z. Synlett 2006, 8, 1213-1216.
- (3) (a) Hosmane, N. S.; Maguire, J. A. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry III; Crabtree, R. H., Mingos, D. M. P., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, 2007; Vol. 4, pp 175–264. (b) Grimes, R. N. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II; Abel, E. W., Stone, F. G. A., Wilkinson, G., Eds.; Pergamon: New York, 1995; Vol. 1, pp 373–430. (c) Xie, Z. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 1–9. (d) Xie, Z. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2002, 231, 23–46. (e) Xie, Z. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 259–272.
- (4) Detailed experimental procedures and complete characterization data for all complexes are provided in the Supporting Information.
- (5) Such exchange reactions were known; see refs 2h and 21
- (6) The Zr-C σ-bond should be more reactive than the Zr-N bond; see: (a) Kloppenburg, L.; Petersen, J. L. Organometallics 1996, 15, 7-9. (b) Andersen, R. A. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 2928–2932. (c) Gately, D. A.; Norton, J. R.; Goodson, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 986–996.
- (7) 1,5-Sigmatropic rearrangement in indene derivatives was reported. For examples, see: (a) Stradiotto, M.; McGlinchey, M. J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 219–221, 311–378. (b) Christopher, J. N.; Jordan, R. F.; Peterson, J. L., Jr.; Young, V. G. Organometallics 1997, 16, 3044–3050. (c) Dolbier, W. R., Jr.; McCullagh, L.; Rolison, D.; Anapolle, K. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 934–935. (d) Stradiotto, M.; Hazendonk, P.; Bain, A. D.; Brook, M. A.; McGlinchey, M. J. Organometallics 2000, 19, 590–601.
- (8) (a) pK_a of guandines = 13.6; see ref 1a. (b) pK_a of inden = 20.1; see: Bordwell, F. G.; Drucker, G. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 3325–3328.
- (9) Reversible attack of dimethylamide co-ligands at the imine carbon atom of Schiff base tin complexes was reported: Nimitsiriwat, N.; Marshall, E. L.; Gibson, V. C.; Elsegood, M. R. J.; Dale, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13598–13599.
- (10) For examples, see: (a) Chandra, G.; Jenkins, A. D.; Lappert, M. F.; Srivastava, R. C. J. Chem. Soc. A **1970**, 2550–2558. (b) Thomas, E. W.; Nishizawa, E. E.; Zimmermann, D. C.; Williams, D. J. J. Med. Chem. **1989**, 32, 228–236. (c) Montilla, F.; Pastor, A.; Galindo, A. J. Organomet. Chem. **2004**, 689, 993. (d) Ong, T.-G.; O'Brien, J. S.; Korobkov, I.; Richeson, D. S. Organometallics **2006**, 25, 4728–4730. (e) Montilla, F.; del Río, D.; Pastor, A.; Galindo, A. Organometallics **2006**, 25, 4996–5002.

JA0754498