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#### Abstract

Aza-1,3-butadienes have been synthesized from carbonyl compounds and 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyl-disilazane in the presence of cobalt-containing catalysts. The best yields (up to $95 \%$ ) were achieved in the case of aldehydes branched in the $\alpha$-position and 2-methylcyclohexanone. In the case of two $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones, pyridine derivatives were found as the main products.


Keywords: 2-Aza-1,3-butadienes, pyridine derivatives, carbonyl compounds, 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyl-disilazane, cobalt catalysts

2-Aza-1,3-butadienes are important intermediates of various nitrogencontaining heterocycles. ${ }^{[1-9]}$ However, the synthetic routes leading to these substances involve often several reaction steps ${ }^{[2,3]}$ and/or the starting materials are hardly accessible. ${ }^{[3,6,8]}$

We have found a simple, one-pot method to prepare a series of alkyl- and aryl-substituted 2-azabutadienes (Chart 1). A carbonyl compound was heated with a slight excess of $1,1,1,3,3,3$-hexamethyl-disilazane (HMDSA) at $60-$ $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene or without solvent under argon in the presence of an anhydrous Co (II) salt. $\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}, \mathrm{CoI}_{2}$, and an ionic complex of the type $\left[\mathrm{CoB}_{6}\right]\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]_{2}(\mathrm{~B}=$ base $)$ formed from $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\right]$ under the reaction conditions ${ }^{[10,11]}$ proved the most efficient catalysts, but some other transition-metal compounds were active as well. The products were analyzed by gas chromatography (GC) and the gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) method
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| Product | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 a}$ | H | Et | Et |
| $\mathbf{1 b}$ | H | Me | Pr |
| $\mathbf{1 c}$ | Me | H | iPr |
| $\mathbf{1 d}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}$ |  | H |
| $\mathbf{1 e}$ | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ |  | Me |
| $\mathbf{1 f}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ |  | H |
| $\mathbf{1 g}$ | Me | H | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 h}$ | Me | H | Ph |
| $\mathbf{1 i}$ | H | Ph | Ph |
| $\mathbf{1 j}$ | Me | H | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ |

## Chart 1.

(Table 1). The isolated new azadienes were characterized also by IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra (Table 2). In the cases of $\mathrm{R}^{2} \neq \mathrm{R}^{3}$, more isomeric 2 -azadienes were formed. Suggested structures of the isolated and characterized isomers of $\mathbf{1}$ compounds are compiled in Table 3.

Only aldehydes branched in the $\alpha$-position $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2} \neq \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3} \neq \mathrm{H}\right)$ gave 1 in good yields; the linear ones led to nonvolatile (presumably polymeric) products. In the case of ketones, by-products such as silyl enol ethers were formed as well (Table 1). Sterically hindered ketones (2-methyl-3-pentanone, campher, etc). could not be converted under the reaction conditions. Interestingly, 4-phenyl-3-buten-2-one ( $\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{Ph}$ ) and 4-(2-furyl)-3-buten-2-one ( $\mathrm{R}^{4}=2$-furyl) gave pyridine derivatives (2) as main products (Chart 2, Table 2). From conjugated dicarbonyl compounds (2,4-pentanedione, ethyl 3-oxobutanoate), silyl enol ethers were formed; a nonconjugated diketone, 2,5-hexanedione, however, provided the ringclosure product 2,5-dimethyl-pyrrol (cf. Ref. [14]).

Corriu and coworkers [3] prepared 2-azadienes and pyridine derivatives starting from $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-bis(trimethylsilyl) enamines and carbonyl compounds in the presence of catalytic amounts of bases such as $\mathrm{F}^{-}$. Under our reaction conditions, $N, N$-bis(trimethylsilyl) enamines may be formed as intermediates catalyzed by Co-complexes (Scheme 1). In the second step, the intermediate reacts with a second molecule of aldehyde or ketone leading to $\mathbf{1}$ analogous to that proposed by Corriu and his coworkers. In the case of

Table 1. Products of the cobalt-catalyzed reaction of carbonyl compounds and HMDSA ${ }^{a}$

| 2-Ethyl-butanal $(100)$ | $\mathbf{1 a}\left(95^{d} /[1.0]\right)$ | $e$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2-Methyl-pentanal $(100)^{f, g}$ | $\mathbf{1 b}\left(93^{d} /[0.38: 0.62]\right.$ | $e$ |
| Pentanal (100) | $<1$ | Nonvolatile products |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone $(100)$ | $\mathbf{1 c}(27 /[0.68: 0.21: 0.11])$ | Silyl enol ether (main product) |
| 2-Methyl-3-pentanone $(<1)$ | - | $e$ |
| Cyclohexanone $(13)^{h}$ | $\mathbf{e}(95 /[1.0])$ | Silyl enol ether, dehydrated aldol dimer, unknown main product $\left(M^{+}=274 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}\right)$ |
| Cyclohexanone $(96)^{f, g}$ | $\mathbf{1 d}\left(32^{d} /[1.0]\right)$ | Sily |
| 2-Methyl-cyclohexanone $(90)^{f}$ | $\mathbf{1 e}\left(82^{d} /[0.07: 0.62: 0.11: 0.20]\right)$ | Silyl enol ether, silyl alkyl ether |
| Cyclopentanone $(100)^{f}$ | $\mathbf{1 f}(16 /[1.0])$ | Dehydrated aldol dimer, nonvolatile products |
| 2,4-Pentanedione $(100)$ | $<1$ | Silyl enol ether (main product), nonvolatile products |
| 2,5-Hexanedione $(81)^{f, g}$ | $<1$ | 2,5-Dimethylpyrrole (main product) |
| 4-Methyl-3-penten-2-one $(32)^{f}$ | $\mathbf{1 g}(17 /[1.0])$ | Silyl enol ether (main product) |
| Ethyl 3-oxo-butanoate $(88)^{f}$ | $<1$ | Ethyl 3-amino-2-butenoate, silyl enol ether (main product) |
| Acetophenone (35) | $\mathbf{1 h}(24 /[0.32: 0.68])$ | Silyl enol ether (main product), dehydrated aldol dimer, uncharacterized products |
| Diphenyl-acetaldehyde $(98)^{f}$ | $\mathbf{1 i}\left(75^{d} /[1.0]\right)$ | Silyl enol ether, uncharacterized products |
| 4-Phenyl-3-buten-2-one $(95)$ | $\mathbf{1 j}(8 /[0.58: 0.42])$ | 2a (main product), silyl enol ether, uncharacterized products |
| 4-(2-Furyl)-3-buten-2-one $(85)^{f}$ | $<1$ | 2b (main product), silyl enol ether, uncharacterized products |

[^0]Table 2. Properties of the products $\mathbf{1}$ and 2

| Product | $\mathrm{Bp}^{a}, \mathrm{Mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | MS ( $m / z$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IR }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},\right. \\ \nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), \\ \left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right.$, ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathbf{a}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H},\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Et}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $90-91^{10}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181\left(M^{+}\right), 152,110 \text { (base } \\ & \text { peak), } 55,41 \end{aligned}$ | 1625 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.88(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \\ & J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \\ & J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.50(4 \mathrm{H}, \text { quintet, } \\ & J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \\ & \mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \\ & J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}), 7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \\ & \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.46,12.57,13.04, \\ & 22.59,24.90,26.62 \\ & 47.73,135.88,142.94 \\ & 166.10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 b}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H},\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Pr}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $91-94{ }^{10} b$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181\left(M^{+}\right), 110,82,55 \\ & \text { (base peak), } 41 \end{aligned}$ | 1624 | $\begin{gathered} 0.8-1.0(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.1- \\ 1.8(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}), 2.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \\ \mathrm{m}), 2.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \\ \text { broad }), 7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m})^{c} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 c}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me},\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{iPr}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $65-74^{3 d}$ | $181\left(M^{+}\right), 166$ (base peak), 138, 124, 41 | 1618 | $\begin{gathered} 0.86(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=6.5), 0.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \\ J=6.6), 1.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \\ J=7.2), 2.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}), 2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \\ \text { octet, } J=6.6), 2.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \\ J=6.6), 5.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \text { broad })^{e} \end{gathered}$ |  |


| 1d $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}+\mathrm{R}^{2}=\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}\right)^{f}$ | $108-111^{5}$ | 177 ( $M^{+}$, base peak), 134, 81, 79, 41 | 1621 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.55-1.75(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.86(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \\ & 2.12(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 5.3(1 \\ & \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{1 e}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}+\mathrm{R}^{2}=\right. \\ \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}, \\ \left.\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $93-96^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 205\left(M^{+}\right), 191,162 \text { (base } \\ & \text { peak), 148, } 41 \end{aligned}$ | 1630 | $\begin{gathered} 1.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.2-2.5(17 \\ \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \text { broad })^{e} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1f }\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}+\mathrm{R}^{2}=\right. \\ & \left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}\right)^{g} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 149\left(M^{+}\right), 148 \text { (base } \\ & \text { peak), 162), 120, } 91, \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 g}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}\right. \\ & \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CMe}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $71-80^{3 d}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 177\left(M^{+}\right), 149,81 \text { (base } \\ & \text { peak), } 79,41 \end{aligned}$ | 1626 | 1.85 ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, broad), 2.15 ( $9, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, broad), $6.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, broad), 6.49 ( 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 h}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me},\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)^{g} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 221\left(M^{+}\right), 115,91,77 \\ & \quad \text { (base peak), } 51 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 i}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph},\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 176 (mp) |  | 1641 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=11.7), 7.1-7.6(20 \mathrm{H}, \\ & \mathrm{m}), 8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}), 8.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \\ & J=11.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.20,119.85,126.75, \\ 127.13,128.14, \\ 128.38,129.37, \\ 129.69,129.80, \\ 136.94,157.93 \\ 162.12 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H},\right. \\ \mathrm{R}^{3}=\text { trans }- \\ \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh})^{g} \end{gathered}$ |  | 273 ( $M^{+}$, base peak), 272, 196, 169, 91 |  |  |  |

Table 2. Continued

| Product | $\mathrm{Bp}^{a}$, $\mathrm{Mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | MS ( $m / z$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IR }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},\right. \\ v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \\ \left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right.$, ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2a ( $\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{Ph}$ ) | $120-135^{0.1 g}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 271\left(M^{+}\right), 270 \text { (base } \\ & \text { peak), 194, } 127,77 \end{aligned}$ | 1632 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}), 6.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=16.6) \text {, } \\ & 7.1-7.7(13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27.43, 117.32, 120.05, } \\ & \text { 125.46, 127.04, } \\ & \text { 127.74, 128.07, } \\ & \text { 128.25, 128.66, } \\ & \text { 128.78, 130.46, } 137 . \\ & 21,138.75,149.33 \\ & 155.72,158.68 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 b}\left(\mathrm{R}^{4}=2\right.$-furyl) ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 251\left(M^{+}\right), 223,197 \text { (base } \\ & \text { peak), } 83,63 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Pressure in $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{Hg}, 1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}=133.32 \mathrm{~Pa}$.
${ }^{b} \mathrm{Bp}: 89^{8.5} .{ }^{12]}$
${ }^{c}$ Mixture of two isomers.
${ }^{d}$ The fraction was further purified by chromatography (see text).
${ }^{e}$ Major Isomer.
${ }^{f}$ See ref. [13].
${ }^{g}$ Not isolated.

Table 3. Suggested structures of the isolated and characterized isomers of $\mathbf{1}$ compounds ${ }^{a}$

2-Aza-1,3-
butadiene Structure

1a


1b


1c


1d


1 e


1 g

$1 i$

${ }^{a}$ Cf. Tables 1 and 2.
${ }^{b}$ Only isomer found.
some $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compounds, ring closure of $\mathbf{1}$ and subsequent dehydrogenation takes place, presumably, resulting in 2 (cf. Ref. [3]).

## EXPERIMENTAL

## General

All manipulations involving air-sensitive compounds were carried out by the usual Schlenk technique using deoxygenated, dry solvents and gases as well as


2a: $R^{4}=P h, 2 b: R^{4}=2$-furyl
Chart 2.
reaction vessels with magnetic stirring. Gas chromatograms were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard model 5830 A chromatograph (with FID), and GC-MS analyses were performed on a Hewlett-Packard 5890 series II GC-MSD equipment using SPB1 (Supelco) capillary columns ( 30 m ). Infrared spectra were recorded by using a 0.06 to $0.12-\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ cuvette on Specord M 80 (Carl Zeiss, Germany). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and NMR ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian Unity 300 spectrometer.

## Synthesis of 1

In a typical procedure, $0.44 \mathrm{~g}(2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}, 2.5 \mathrm{ml}(20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ 2-methylpentanal, and $4.6 \mathrm{ml}(22.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of HMDSA were heated



Scheme 1.
gradually to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Evolution of ammonia gas indicated the beginning of the reaction. The mixture was stirred for 6 h at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. GC and GC-MS analysis showed the quantitative conversion of 2-methylpentanal and the formation of two isomers of $\mathbf{1 b}$ (Tables 1 and 2). The mixture of the two isomers was isolated by distillation in vacuo in $91 \%$ yield (cf. Ref. [10]).

## Synthesis of 2a

The procedure was modified so that $0.34 \mathrm{~g}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}, 2.9 \mathrm{~g}$ ( 20 mmol ) of 4-phenyl-3-buten-2-one, and 4.6 ml ( 22.0 mmol ) of HMDSA were heated in toluene $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a CO atmosphere for 6 h . The reaction mixture was filtered, the solvent removed in vacuo, and the residue fractionated. On the basis of GC-MS analysis (see Table 2), the second fraction $\left(120-135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ at 0.1 mmHg pressure; $1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}=133.32$ $\mathrm{Pa})$ contained mostly $\mathbf{2 a}$. This fraction was purified by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using a silica-gel plate and a $9: 1 \quad \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixture as eluant. Compound 2a was isolated as a pale yellow oil in $43 \%$ yield.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}[$ Carbonyl compound $]:[\mathrm{HMDSA}]=1: 1,5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\right]$ catalyst, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CO}$ atmosphere, toluene solvent, unless otherwise stated.
    ${ }^{b}$ Based on GC analysis unless otherwise stated.
    ${ }^{c}$ Based on GC-MS analysis.
    ${ }^{d}$ Isolated yield.
    ${ }^{e}$ Not determined
    ${ }^{f} 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ anhydrous $\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}$ catalyst.
    ${ }^{g}$ No solvent.
    ${ }^{h} 7 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ catalyst.

