



Interfacial reaction depending on the stack structure of AI 2 O 3 and Hf O 2 during film growth and postannealing

M.-H. Cho, K. B. Chung, H. S. Chang, D. W. Moon, S. A. Park, Y. K. Kim, K. Jeong, C. N. Whang, D. W. Lee, D.-H. Ko, S. J. Doh, J. H. Lee, and N. I. Lee

Citation: Applied Physics Letters **85**, 4115 (2004); doi: 10.1063/1.1807968 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1807968 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/apl/85/18?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Thin HfO 2 films grown on Si (100) by atomic oxygen assisted molecular beam epitaxy Appl. Phys. Lett. **85**, 85 (2004); 10.1063/1.1767604

Film properties of ALD HfO 2 and La 2 O 3 gate dielectrics grown on Si with various pre-deposition treatments J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **22**, 2121 (2004); 10.1116/1.1773840

Effects of plasma nitridation of Al 2 O 3 interlayer on thermal stability, fixed charge density, and interfacial trap states of HfO 2 gate dielectric films grown by atomic layer deposition J. Appl. Phys. **94**, 1898 (2003); 10.1063/1.1590418

Interfacial properties of ZrO 2 on silicon J. Appl. Phys. **93**, 5945 (2003); 10.1063/1.1563844

Interfacial silicon oxide formation during oxygen annealing of Ta 2 O 5 thin films on Si: Oxygen isotope labeling J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A **18**, 2522 (2000); 10.1116/1.1286717



Interfacial reaction depending on the stack structure of Al₂O₃ and HfO₂ during film growth and postannealing

M.-H. Cho,^{a)} K. B. Chung, H. S. Chang, and D. W. Moon Nano Surface Group, Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science, DaeJeon 305-600, Korea

S. A. Park, Y. K. Kim, K. Jeong, and C. N. Whang IPAP, Yonsei University, Seoul, 120-749, Korea

D. W. Lee and D.-H. Ko

Department of Ceramic Engineering, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, Korea

S. J. Doh, J. H. Lee, and N. I. Lee

System LSI Division, Samsung Electronics Co. Yongin-Si, Kyunggi-Do, 449-711, Korea

(Received 9 March 2004; accepted 30 August 2004)

Interfacial reactions as a function of the stack structure of Al₂O₃ and HfO₂ grown on Si by atomic-layer deposition were examined by various physical and electrical measurements. In the case of an Al_2O_3 film with a buffer layer of HfO_2 , reactions between the Al_2O_3 and Si layers were suppressed, while a HfO_2 film with an Al_2O_3 buffer layer on the Si readily interacted with Si, forming a Hf-Al-Si-O compound. The thickness of the interfacial layer increased dramatically after an annealing treatment in which a buffer layer of Al_2O_3 was used, while no change in thickness was observed in the film in which a HfO₂ buffer layer was used. Moreover, the stoichiometric change caused by a different reaction process altered the chemical state of the films, which affected charge trapping and the interfacial trap density. © 2004 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1807968]

High-permittivity dielectrics have been widely investigated as alternative gate insulating layers in advanced MOS devices because high dielectric oxides permit the use of thicker films, compared to other oxides, such as SiO₂, which have a lower dielectric constant. The possible use of HfO_2 based high dielectrics, in particular, have attracted considerable attention as a possible replacement for SiO_2 in gate oxides of ULSI because of their thermal stability and high dielectricity.^{1,2} HfO₂ has a relatively high dielectric constant of 25-30, a low leakage current, and is highly reliable although the structural transition from amorphous to crystalline phase occurs at a low temperature and the material has a relatively small band gap ($\sim 5.5 \text{ eV}$).³

A number of reports have focused on the use of composites of two dielectric oxides or multilayers of dielectrics for the purpose of a achieving comparable dielectrics and to enhance thermal stability.^{4,5} Mixed Al-Hf oxides have attracted particular attention because the transition temperature from amorphous to polycrystalline is increased, compared to pure HfO₂ and Al₂O₃.⁶ Johnson et al. reported that the flatband voltage shift of the Hf-Aluminate alloy is caused by electron trapping.' However, interfacial reactions between the high-koxide and the Si substrate were not considered, although the interfacial reaction at the contact of the dielectric with Si can affect intermixing, resulting in a significant change in thermal stability and flatband voltage shift.

In this study, we focused on the characteristics of bilayer HfO₂-Al₂O₃ films grown on Si using an atomic layer deposition (ALD) system. In particular, physical and electrical characteristics as a function of stack structure, whether Si is in contact with HfO₂ or Al₂O₃, were investigated. The findings show that intermixing between HfO₂ and Al₂O₃ was significantly affected by the stack structure, resulting in a different film stoichiometry as a result of interactions between the films and the Si substrate. The change in film thickness after annealing was also dependent on the stack structure, resulting in a drastic increase in total film thickness when a buffer layer of Al₂O₃ was used, while it was suppressed in the case of an Al₂O₃ film in which the buffer layer was HfO_2 . As a result, we conclude that interfacial reactions and intermixing are suppressed in a film in which a buffer layer of HfO₂ is used, compared to a film with a buffer layer of Al₂O₃.

The *p*-type Si substrate with $2-5 \Omega$ cm was cleaned using the RCA method and then preprocessed to include a ~ 1.0 nm SiO₂ layer to stabilize and reduce the interfacial state. The metal oxides were grown by means of an ALD system, which has a vertical warm wall reactor with a showerhead and a heated susceptor. Al2O3 and HfO2 films were each grown at temperatures below 300 °C using Al(CH₃)₃ and HfCl₄ as a precursors. H₂O vapor served as the oxygen source and N₂ was supplied as the purge and carrier gas. Bilayer structures with $HfO_2(15 \text{ \AA})/Al_2O_3(30 \text{ \AA})/Si$ and $Al_2O_3(30 \text{ \AA})/HfO_2(15 \text{ \AA})/Si$ were fabricated. In order to use the high thermal stability of Al₂O₃ and compensate the negative trap charge in Al₂O₃ with HfO₂, the Al₂O₃ layer was thicker than the HfO2. The films were annealed using a rapid thermal process in an ambient of N₂ from 750 to 900 °C for 5 min.

The chemical state and stoichiometry of the films were investigated using x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and medium energy ion scattering (MEIS) measurements. A MEIS analysis was accomplished with a 100 keV proton beam in a double alignment so as to reduce contributions from the crystalline Si substrate, allowing the deconvolution of the spectra into contributions from the Al₂O₃ layer and Si signals. Pt dots with a 0.01 cm radius for a MOS diode were evaporated onto the oxide surface as electrodes. C-V measurements

0003-6951/2004/85(18)/4115/3/\$22.00

article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloade 4115 130.113.111.210 On: Mon, 22 Dec 2014 04:39:56

^{a)}Electronic mail: mhcho@kriss.re.kr



FIG. 1. MEIS spectra of (a) an HfO₂ film (15 Å) with a buffer layer of Al₂O₃(30 Å) on an oxidized Si and (b) Al₂O₃(30 Å) film with a buffer layer of HfO₂ film (15 Å) on an oxidized Si substrate. MEIS spectra of annealed films at 750 and 900 °C for 5 min in a N₂ ambient are also shown in (a) and (b).

were performed in a probe station using an HP 4284A instrument.

Changes in layer thickness and stoichometry were investigated by MEIS measurements, as shown in Fig. 1. The calculated thickness of the as-grown Al2O3 and HfO2 films from MEIS spectra is about 32 and 14 Å, respectively when HfO₂ is used as a buffer layer. A very thin ~ 12 Å SiO₂ layer, which was formed before film growth to limit interfacial oxidation and to improve interfacial quality, was observed in the film in which Al₂O₃ was used as a buffer layer, indicating that no interfacial reactions occurred between Al₂O₃/Si.³ The interface between HfO_2/Al_2O_3 is quite sharp and no interdiffusion was observed. The most important finding is that intermixing between HfO_2 and Al_2O_3 is dependent on which buffer layer is in contact with the Si. The depth profile of an as-grown film in the stack structure of Al₂O₃/HfO₂/Si is almost the same as that for a film annealed at 750 °C, while that of HfO₂/Al₂O₃/Si was altered somewhat. Slight changes in the peak heights of Al/Si and Hf indicate that interdiffusion between HfO2 and Al2O3 starts at an annealing temperature of 750 °C. The difference in the depth profile of the annealed samples at 900 °C indicates that the HfO₂ and Al₂O₃ layers were completely intermixed in the stack structure of HfO₂/Al₂O₃/Si, while two layers were intermixed slightly in the stack structure of Al₂O₃/HfO₂/Si. Another noteworthy change is that small amounts of Si on the film surface after a 900 °C annealing treatment is observed when Al_2O_3 is used as a buffer layer. Thus, the diffusion of Si to the upper film occurs, although silicate formation in an asgrown film is effectively suppressed compared to the asgrown Al₂O₃/HfO₂/Si stack structure. The Si on film surface indicates that Si diffusion is closely related to the drastic interdiffusion of HfO₂ and Al₂O₃, i.e., the diffusion of Si from the bottom layer enhances interdiffusion. Moreover, a difference in the peak width of O between two stack structures shows that the increase of the oxide layer thickness by more than 10 Å occurs only in the HfO₂/Al₂O₃/Si stack structure annealed at 900 °C, which is caused by the oxidation of Si layer at the film surface diffused from Si substrate.

Changes in the stack structure were also investigated using HRTEM, as shown in Fig. 2. The TEM image shows that layers composed of HfO_2 and Al_2O_3 have an amorphous structure up to an annealing temperature of 900 °C. The asgrown HfO_2 and Al_2O_3 film thicknesses are consistent with previously reported MEIS data. The boundary between Al_2O_3 and HfO_2 in the $Al_2O_3/HfO_2/Si$ stack structure is



FIG. 2. HRTEM images of (a) an as-grown $Al_2O_3(30 \text{ Å})$ film with a buffer layer of HfO₂ film (15 Å) on an oxidized Si and (b) an as-grown HfO₂ film (15 Å) with a buffer layer of $Al_2O_3(30 \text{ Å})$ on an oxidized Si. Images of the films annealed at 900 °C for 5 min in an N₂ ambient: (c) an $Al_2O_3(30 \text{ Å})$ film with a buffer layer of HfO₂ film (10 Å) on an oxidized Si and (d) a HfO₂ film (10 Å) with a buffer layer of $Al_2O_3(30 \text{ Å})$ on an oxidized Si.

maintained after the annealing treatment, while that for $HfO_2/Al_2O_3/Si$ is not clear and a gradual change in contrast with the relative quantity of Hf and Al contents can be observed. Moreover, a distinct increase in the film thickness in the $HfO_2/Al_2O_3/Si$ stack structure after the annealing treatment was observed as shown in MEIS data, although the oxidized Si layer on the film surface cannot be clearly discriminated. These results are in good agreement with the MEIS data, which indicates intermixing between Al_2O_3/HfO_2 as well as the diffusion of Si (Fig. 3).

Changes in chemical state with stack structure were investigated using XPS. The binding energy of Hf in Hf silicate formation is shifted to a higher position because the more ionic Hf-oxide would be expected to become even more ionic after the formation of the complex oxide, whereas the Si of the more covalent oxide should experience a corresponding covalence under reduced Madelung and relaxation effects.⁹ Thus, the peak shift of Hf to higher binding energy in the as-grown $Al_2O_3/HfO_2/Si$ structure indicates that the Hf silicate layer is formed on the contact area between HfO_2/Si , while the formation of silicate layer is suppressed in the as-grown $HfO_2/Al_2O_3/Si$ structure.^{10,11} The high



FIG. 3. XPS spectra of annealed films at 900 °C for 5 min in a N₂ ambient: (a) and (b) Hf 4*f* spectra of as-grown films with stack structures of Al₂O₃/HfO₂/Si and HfO₂/Al₂O₃/Si(30 Å), respectively. (e) and (f) Hf 4*f* spectra of annealed films at 900 °C with stack structures of Al₂O₃/HfO₂/Si and HfO₂/Al₂O₃/Si(30 Å), respectively.



FIG. 4. C-V curves of (a) a HfO₂(15 Å)/Al₂O₃(30 Å)/Si and (b) an Al₂O₃(30 Å)/HfO₂(15 Å)/Si. The C-V curves for films annealed at 900 °C for 5 min in a N₂ ambient are also provided in (a) and (b). The line indicates a zero flatband voltage when a Pt electrode with a workfunction of 5.65 eV is used.

binding energy of ~18 eV for the Hf $4f_{7/2}$ of the mixed oxide with HfO₂, Al₂O₃, and SiO₂, after the annealing treatment, would be caused by the characteristic differences between ionic and covalent bonding. The broad Hf 4*f* peak in the annealed samples is caused by various chemical states, depending on the degree of intermixing among Hf(Si)O_x, Al₂O₃, and SiO_x. In particular, broadening of Hf 4*f* peak in the annealed Al₂O₃/HfO₂/Si structure is increased because of incompletely intermixed layer of HfAlO between Al₂O₃ and Hf(Si)O_x in the depth direction as shown in the MEIS spectra. On the other hand, completely mixed layer of HfAl-SiO is uniformly formed in the annealed HfO₂/Al₂O₃/Si structure, resulting from the interdiffusion between HfO₂/Al₂O₃ and the diffusion of Si from Si substrate.

We also investigated changes in the dielectric characteristics by measuring accumulation capacitances. The characteristics of the C-V curve in the stack structures are shown in Fig. 4. The maximum capacitance value of as-grown films has almost the same value in both structures with different buffer layers. Moreover, in the Al₂O₃/HfO₂/Si structure, the accumulation capacitance value is maintained after the annealing treatment, although the thicknesses of the layers with different dielectric constants are changed as shown in TEM and MEIS data. The slight intermixing in the structure after annealing treatment can result in a higher capacitance, assuming the resulting dielectric constant of the mixed oxide lies on intermediate value between the pure oxide values. This increase in capacitance can be offset by the slight increase in interfacial SiO₂ thickness seen in TEM data. Thus, no change in accumulation capacitance value reflects above two opposite results. It is noteworthy that the change in dielectric constant after the annealing treatment shows a very different tendency, i.e., the accumulation capacitance in the HfO₂/Al₂O₃/Si structure is drastically decreased after the annealing treatment, but no change in the Al₂O₃/HfO₂/Si structure is detected. The most plausible possibility based on the previous MEIS data is that the decrease of the accumulation capacitance in the HfO₂/Al₂O₃/Si structure resulted from the SiO_2 layer formation on the film surface caused by the diffusion of Si from Si substrate and its oxidation during the annealing process. Therefore, we conclude that the change in stoichiometry in the film as a result of Si incorporation can affect the dielectric constant, resulting in a decrease in accumulation capacitance. The flatband voltage in the as-grown film of $Al_2O_3/HfO_2/Si$ structure is shifted to the negative direction as shown in Fig. 4(b), which indicates that the positive trap changes are contained in the as-grown film. The positive trap charges decrease with increasing annealing temperature. For Al₂O₃ in contact with SiO₂, the negative charge is located at the interface between the Al₂O₃ and SiO₂, while for the HfO₂ film, the positive charge is in the film.^{3,8} The two types of trap charges are compensated by each of the other layers, resulting in a reduced flatband voltage shift. Thus, the decrease in positive trap charge in the Al₂O₃/HfO₂/Si film after an annealing treatment at 900 °C is caused by the partially intermixed alloy with a negative trap charge structure, which compensates for the positive trap charge in the as-grown film. Moreover, since the quantity of the trap charge is dependent on the depth position and interfacial characteristics, the resulting flatband voltage shift is influenced by the stack structure. In the $HfO_2/Al_2O_3/Si$ structure, the shift in the as-grown film is insignificant. However, when the stack structure almost changes into an alloy film after annealing treatment at 900 °C, a flatband voltage is a little shifted to lower direction. Johnson et al. reported that Hf d states of the alloy act as localized electron traps, which is the opposite shift direction compared to our data.³ The difference can be explained by the fact that the intermixing of Si, which is observed using MEIS data as shown in Fig. 1(a), significantly affects the total effective charge of the film.

In summary, the thermal stability and the structural characteristics of Al_2O_3 -HfO₂ bilayer films are demonstrated in this study. Structural stability is maintained in the Al_2O_3 /HfO₂/Si structure, but not in the HfO₂/Al₂O₃/Si structure. In particular, the diffusion of Si atoms from the Si substrate has a major effect on thermal stability. The diffusion of Si atoms also changes the dielectric constant as well as the oxide trap charge density.

This work was supported by the National Program for Tera-level Nanodevices of the Ministry of Science and Technology as one of the 21 Century Frontier Programs.

- ¹I. C. Kizilyalli, R. Y. S. Huang, and P. K. Roy, IEEE Electron Device Lett. **19**, 423 (1998).
- ²D. Park, Y.-C. King, Q. Lu, T.-J. King, C. Hu, A. Kalnitsky, S.-P. Tay, and C. C. Cheng, IEEE Electron Device Lett. **19**, 441 (1998).
- ³B. H. Lee, L. Kang, R. Nieh, W.-J. Qi, and J. C. Lee, Appl. Phys. Lett. **76**, 1926 (2000).
- ⁴L. Manchanda, W. H. Lee, J. E. Bower, F. H. Baumann, W. L. Brown, C. J. Case, R. C. Keller, Y. O. Kim, E. J. Laskowski, M. D. Morris, R. L. Opila, P. J. Silvermann, T. W. Sorsch, and G. R. Weber, Tech. Dig. Int.
- Electron Devices Meet. **1998**, 605.
- ⁵G. Lucovsky and G. B. Rayner, Jr., Appl. Phys. Lett. **77**, 2912 (2000). ⁶M.-Y. Ho, H. Gong, G. D. Wilk, B. W. Busch, M. L. Green, W. H. Lin, A.
- See, S. K. Lahiri, M. E. Loomans, Petri I. Räisänen, and T. Gustafsson, Appl. Phys. Lett. **81**, 4218 (2002).
- ⁷R. S. Johnson, J. G. Hong, C. Hinkle, and G. Lucovsky, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **20**, 1126 (2002).
- ⁸L. G. Gosset, J.-F. Damlencourt, O. Renault, D. Rouchon, Ph. Holliger, A. Ermolieff, I. Trimaille, J.-J. Ganem, F. Martin, and M.-N. Séméria, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **303**, 17 (2002).
- ⁹M. J. Guittet, J. P. Crocombette, and M. Gautier-Soyer, Phys. Rev. B 63, 125117 (2001).
- ¹⁰M.-H. Cho, D. W. Moon, K. H. Min, R. Sinclair, S. K. Kang, D.-H. Ko, J. H. Lee, J. H. Gu, and N. I. Lee, Appl. Phys. Lett. **84**, 571 (2004).
- ¹¹C. Morant, L. Galan, and J. M. Sanz, Surf. Interface Anal. 16, 304 (1990).