This article was downloaded by: [University of Saskatchewan Library] On: 04 October 2013, At: 10:35 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gpss20

New Routes to β-Iminophosphonates, Phosphineoxides, and Sulfides

Hosni Slimani^a & Soufiane Touil^a ^a Laboratoire de Chimie Organique des Hétéroéléments, Département de Chimie, Faculté des Sciences de Bizerte, Jarzouna, Tunisie Published online: 11 Aug 2011.

To cite this article: Hosni Slimani & Soufiane Touil (2011) New Routes to β -Iminophosphonates, Phosphineoxides, and Sulfides, Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements, 186:8, 1655-1664, DOI: <u>10.1080/10426507.2010.527877</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10426507.2010.527877</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions



Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon, 186:1655–1664, 2011 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 1042-6507 print / 1563-5325 online DOI: 10.1080/10426507.2010.527877

NEW ROUTES TO β -IMINOPHOSPHONATES, PHOSPHINEOXIDES, AND SULFIDES

Hosni Slimani and Soufiane Touil

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique des Hétéroéléments, Département de Chimie, Faculté des Sciences de Bizerte, Jarzouna, Tunisie

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



Abstract Two synthetic methods leading to β -iminophosphonates, phosphineoxides, and sulfides **2** are reported. The first method involves the reaction of imines with chlorophosphines and phosphites followed by oxidation or sulfurization. The second one utilizes the reaction of imines with diethylchlorophosphate and thiophosphate. The stereochemistry of the obtained products is discussed, and their structure is confirmed by NMR (¹H, ³¹P, ¹³C) and IR spectroscopies, and by mass spectrometry.

Supplemental materials are available for this article. Go to the publisher's online edition of Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements to view the free supplemental file.

Keywords Imines; β -iminophosphineoxides; β -iminophosphinesulfides; β -iminophosphonates

INTRODUCTION

An increasing interest has been paid for several years to the synthesis of β -iminophosphonates.¹⁻⁶ Such interest has been stimulated by their utility as key intermediates

Received 8 May 2010; accepted 26 September 2010.

Address correspondence to Pr. Soufiane Touil, Laboratoire de Chimie Organique des Hétéroéléments, Département de Chimie, Faculté des Sciences de Bizerte, 7021-Jarzouna, Tunisie. E-mail: soufiane.touil@fsb. rnu.tn

in the synthesis of β -aminophosphonates,^{1–5,7} which are known for their interesting biological activities as antibacterial agents,⁸ enzyme inhibitors,⁹ haptens for catalytic antibodies,¹⁰ and anti-HIV agents.¹¹

 β -Iminophosphonates are commonly obtained by the reaction of amines with β -ketophosphonates, which are difficult to synthesize.^{4,6,12} Another approach,^{13–17} but leading to β -iminophosphines, involves the reaction of lithium salts of imines with chlorophosphines. The phosphorus(III) derivatives obtained are unstable and cannot be widely used in further syntheses. Also, the reaction is limited by a competitive N-phosphorylation process affording N-phosphorus-substituted enamines.

This prompted us to develop new, simple, one-pot methodologies for the synthesis of β -iminophosphonates, phosphineoxides, and sulfides, which use easily made imines directly, instead of their lithium salts, and commercially available chlorophosphines, phosphites, or phosphates as starting materials. These new syntheses have the advantages of good yields, stable products, and mild reaction conditions; besides no competitive N-phosphorylation process was observed in all cases.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For the synthesis of β -iminophosphonates, phosphineoxides, and sulfides **2**, we have used two different approches. The first one (Method A) involves the reaction of imines with chlorophosphines and phosphites followed by oxidation or sulfurization. The second method (Method B) utilizes the reaction of imines with diethylchlorophosphate and thiophosphate.

Method A: Reaction of Imines with Chlorophosphines and Phosphites

Treatment of imines **1** with chlorophosphines and phosphites, performed in acetonitrile at 0°C in the presence of an equimolar amount of triethylamine, led to the formation of the phosphine intermediate **I** (Scheme 1). A subsequent oxidation or sulfurization carried out, in a one-pot reaction, by treating respectively with dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) under reflux or with elemental sulfur at 40°C, furnished the β -iminophosphonates, phosphineoxides, and sulfides **2** in good yields.

Based on some data in the literature¹⁸ concerning the reactivity of imines with electrophilic agents, we can propose the reaction mechanism of Scheme 1, which involves a six-membered cyclic transition state **TS**. This allows the hydrogen on the nitrogen atom to be transferred concertedly and avoids the formation of charged intermediates that are high in energy.

In order to obtain a better perspective on the reaction mechanism, we carried out a theoretical *ab initio* SCF/6-31G calculation, which established that the reaction proceeds through a six-membered cyclic transition state having a compact structure stabilized by MO interactions (Figure 1).

Method B: Reaction of Imines with Diethylchlorophosphate and Thiophosphate

Similar to the reaction of chlorophosphines and phosphites, we found that chlorophosphates and thiophosphates can also react with imines **1** in the presence of

$\begin{array}{c} R^{1} \\ \parallel \\ N \\ 1 \\ R^{3} \end{array}$	►R ² —	R ¹ R ³ H	$R^2 = \frac{(R)}{M}$	eCN,0°C	R ¹ R ³ N H CCl TS	[‡] ►		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								
$\stackrel{+}{\text{HCl}} \stackrel{\text{Et}_{3}N}{\longrightarrow} \text{Et}_{3}^{\Theta}\text{H}, \text{Cl}^{\Theta}$								
10	2a	2b	2c	2d	2 ^e	2f		
R^1	Ph	Ph	Ph	Et	Et			
R^2	Н	Н	Н	н	Н	(CH ₂) ₃		
R ³	CH ₂ -Ph	Ph	CH ₂ -Ph	CH ₂ -Ph	CH ₂ -Ph	CH ₂ -Ph		
R^4	Ph	EtO	EtO	Ph	Ph	EtO		
Х	0	0	S	S	0	S		
Yield(%)	78	72	80	68	71	76		
	2g	2h		2i	2j	2k		
R^1						(CH ₂) ₄		
R^2	$(CH_2)_3$	(CH ₂) ₄	($(H_2)_4$	$(CH_2)_4$			
R ³	CH ₂ -Ph	CH ₂ -Ph		iPr	CH ₂ -Ph	CH ₂ -Ph		
R^4	EtO	Ph		EtO	EtO	Ph		
Х	0	S		S	0	0		
Yield(%)	85	80		82	91	73		

Scheme 1

an equimolar amount of triethylamine and using diethylether as solvent to afford the β -iminophosphonates and phosphinesulfides **2** in good yields (Scheme 2).

It is important to note that all compounds 2, synthesized by methods A and B, are obtained exclusively in their imine form. Indeed, the reaction did not afford any identifiable enamine tautomer for compounds 2, as evidenced by spectroscopic data.



Figure 1 Calculated transition state TS in the formation of compound 2a.

Spectrographic Study

Compounds **2** were characterized on the basis of their ³¹P, ¹H, and ¹³C NMR data, which indicate that they are obtained, in some cases, as a mixture of Z and E isomers (Scheme 3). Their relative proportions were estimated from the ³¹P NMR spectra where a singlet for each isomer is present (Table 1).

It is important to note here that the reaction shows considerable diastereoselectivity. Indeed, the less hindered E isomer is the major or the sole one.

The Z and E configurations were attributed on the basis of C_1 chemical shift values (Table 2). Indeed, according to some data in the literature^{19–22} concerning the stereochemistry of imines, hydrazones, and oximes, the carbon adjacent to the C=N double bond

2g 10.1	2f	2d 2e	24	_			
10.1			20	2c	2b	2a	
	57.1	60.7 23.5	60.7	68.4	2.6	21.3	$\delta^{31} P(\mathbf{E})$
, <u> </u>	70.6			70.0	3.8		$\delta^{31} P(\mathbf{Z})$
100	69	100 100	100	85	81	100	% E
_	31		_	15	19	_	% Z
2n	2m	2k 2l	2k	2j	2i	2h	
67.6	9.9	21.3 9.8	21.3	8.9	70.3	59.6	$\delta^{31} P(\mathbf{E})$
71.6	_				_		$\delta^{31} P(\mathbf{Z})$
62	100	100 100	100	100	100	100	% E
38	—		_	_	—	—	% Z
))	70.6 69 31 2m 9.9 	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	100 2k 21.3 100 	70.0 85 15 2j 8.9 100 	3.8 81 19 2i 70.3 100 	 100 2h 59.6 100 	$δ^{31}P(Z)$ % E % Z $δ^{31}P(E)$ $δ^{31}P(Z)$ % E % Z

Table 1 δ^{31} P in ppm and% of Z and E isomers for compounds 2

$ \begin{array}{c} R^{1} \\ \parallel \\ N \\ 1 \\ R^{3} \end{array} $		R^1 R^2 R^2 R^3	X (EtO) ₂ P-Cl Et ₃ N Et ₂ O, 0-25°C	$\begin{array}{c} R^{1} \\ R^{2} \\ R^{3} \\ R^{3} \end{array}$	$ \overset{X}{\underset{P(OEt)_{2}}{\Vdash}} + \overset{\oplus}{Et_{3}NH}, Cl \Theta $
		2b	2c	2g	2i
\mathbf{R}^1		Ph	Ph	(CH)	(CH.)
	R ²	Н	Н	(CH ₂) ₃	(CH ₂) ₄
R ³	0	Ph	CH ₂ -Ph	CH ₂ -Ph	iPr
Х		0	S	0	S
Yi	eld(%)	83	65	80	71
		2j	21	2m	2n
R^1			Et	Ph	
R ²		(CH ₂) ₄	Н	Н	(CH ₂) ₄
R ³		CH ₂ -Ph	CH ₂ -Ph	CH ₂ -Ph	CH ₂ -Ph
Х		0	0	0	S
Yi	eld(%)	75	70	67	78

Scheme 2



Scheme 3

R	$\frac{R^2}{1-C-CH}$	X \parallel $-P(R^4)$	$R^{1:} \overset{11}{C_{6}}H$ $R^{2:} H$	H_5 , CH_2 - CH_3	, ³ CH ₂ -CH ₂ -C	⁵ CH ₂ -CH ₂ , ³ CH ₂	⁴ ₂ -CH ₂ -CH ₂	
		$\Gamma(\mathbf{R}_{2})_{2}$	R ³ · C H	7 11	⁷ CH	5		
	N I		\mathbf{K} . \mathbf{C}_{6}	$1_5, C11_2 - C_611_2$	⁵ , CH,			
$\mathbf{R}^{3} \qquad \mathbf{R}^{4}: \overset{10}{\text{CH}_{3}} \overset{9}{\text{CH}_{2}} \text{-O}, \overset{11}{\text{C}_{6}} \overset{\text{CH}_{3}}{\text{H}_{5}}$								
	2a	2b	2c	2d	2e	2f	2g	
C ₁	26.1 (71.6)	20.8 (52.1; Z) 45.5 (67.9; E)	39.8 (44.3; Z) 46.5 (70.9; E)	44.4 (71.0)	30,7 (38.6)	63.3 (99.6; Z) 63.4 (98.9; E)	42.3 (109.4)	
C ₂	141.1	151.3 (Z) 154.7 (E)	150.7 (Z) 157.8 (E)	145.0	142.7	162.8 (Z) 160.2 (E)	157.4	
C ₃	—	_	_	45.3	45.0	33.7 (Z) 32.7 (E)	36.3 (18.1)	
C_4	—	—	—	8.1	7.8	$22.7 (\mathbf{Z})$ 23.1 (E)	19.4	
C ₅	—	—	—	—	—	$30.3 (\mathbf{Z})$ 28.6 (E)	23.4	
C_6	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
°	42.8		39.4 (Z) 42.6 (E)	42.5	42.4	45.5	43.4	
C ₈	_		_					
C9	—	$65.0 (3.0; \mathbf{Z})$ $62.4 (4.5; \mathbf{F})$	62,9 (4.5; Z)	—	—	62.6 (4.5; Z)	60.3 (6.0)	
C ₁₀	_	$15.5 (8.3; \mathbf{Z})$ $15.9 (7.5; \mathbf{F})$	$16.0 (8.3; \mathbf{Z})$ $15.7 (7.5; \mathbf{F})$	_	—	15.7 (7.5; Z)	14.3 (6.8)	
C ₁₁	127.7–137.8*	117.4–133.0	117.3–137.5	127.0–137.6	127.1–136.6	125.1–135.1	124.0–131.9	
	2h	2i	2ј	2k	21	2m	2n	
C1	51.6 (92.1)	44.2 (126.0)	54.6 (184.0)	73.9 (81.7)	33.5 (123.8)	43.9 (67.2)	41.0 (125.7; Z) 57.0 (172.7; E)	
C ₂	143.8	136.6	140.4	136.4	168.6	155.9	$158.0 (\mathbf{Z})$ 152 6 (F)	
C ₃	42.2 (18.0)	42.6 (14.3)	41.2 (18.1)	30.9 (4.7)	46.0	_	$41.5 (15.8; \mathbf{Z})$ 29.0 (9.8: F)	
C_4	23.9	24.3	24.5	25.0	8.5	—	$23.7 (\mathbf{Z})$ 24.4 (E)	
Cr	36 1 (15 5)	24.1 (14.3)	25.7 (18.1)	20.1 (9.7)	_	_	24.4(12)	
C _e	27.2	25.0	25.6	27.0			$27.6(\mathbf{Z})$	
0	27.2	2010	2010	27.0			27.3 (E)	
C ₇	45.1	40.9	44.7	42.0	44.8	41.6	45.1 (Z) 45.7 (E)	
C_8	_	26.0	_	_	_			
C ₉		61.5 (5.3)	61.2 (6.0)	_	61.8 (5.3)	60.4 (5.3)	62.1 (5.3; Z) 65.7 (6.8; E)	
C ₁₀		14.9 (6.8)	15.7 (7.5)		15.7 (8.3)	14.4 (9.8)	15.5 (8.3; Z) 15.2 (8.0; E)	
C ₁₁	127.5-134.4	_	126.0-129.1	127.5-132.6	123.4-129.8	124.2-131.7	124.8-131.1	

Table 2 ¹³C NMR for compounds **2**: δ in ppm (J_{CP} in Hz)

*For aromatic ¹³C NMR individual signals, see the Experimental section.

resonates at a higher field when it is in syn position to the group on nitrogen atom (R^3 in our case).

EXPERIMENTAL

¹H, ³¹P, and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with CDCl₃ as solvent on a Bruker-300 spectrometer. The chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to TMS (internal reference) for ¹H and ¹³C NMR and relative to 85% H₃PO₄ (external reference) for ³¹P NMR. The coupling constants are reported in Hz. For the ¹H NMR, the multiplicities of signals are indicated by the following abbreviations: s: singlet, d: doublet, t: triplet, q: quartet, qp: quintet, m: multiplet.

Mass spectra were determined on a Micromass Quatro-ultima Pt (triple quadrupole) spectrometer under electrospray ionization (ESI) conditions, or on a GCMSD 5975B (Agilent technologies) spectrometer under electronic impact ionization (EI) conditions.

IR spectra were recorded in CHCl₃, on a Perkin Elmer Paragon 1000 PC spectrometer. The progress of the reactions was controlled by TLC. Purification of products was performed by column chromatography using silica gel 60 (Fluka).

Synthesis of Imines 1

The starting imines 1 were prepared according to reported procedures.^{23–25}

Synthesis of β -Iminophosphonates, Phosphineoxides, and Sulfides 2

Method A. To a mixture of imine **1** (0.01 mol), triethylamine (0.012 mol), and dry MeCN (50 mL), cooled at 0° C and maintained under a nitrogen atmosphere, a solution of chlorophosphine or phosphite (0.01 mol) in dry MeCN (30 mL) was added dropwise with stirring. Stirring at 0° C was continued for 1 h. The reaction mixture was then treated with DMSO or sulfur as follows:

Oxidation. DMSO (0.01 mol) was added, and the mixture was heated under reflux for 2 h. After cooling, CHCl₃ (50 mL) was added. The organic phase was washed with water (2×25 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was chromatographed on a silica gel column using ether as eluent.

Sulfurization. Sulfur (0.01 mol) was added, and the mixture was heated at 40° C for 30 min. After cooling, CHCl₃ (50 mL) was added. The organic phase was washed with water (2 × 25 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was chromatographed on a silica gel column using ether as eluent.

Method B. To a mixture of imine **1** (0.01 mol), triethylamine (0.012 mol), and dry Et_2O (50 mL), cooled at 0°C and maintained under a nitrogen atmosphere, a solution of diethylchlorophosphate or thiophosphate (0.01 mol) in dry Et_2O (10 mL) was added dropwise with stirring. Stirring was continued for 24 h at 25°C. The reaction mixture was then extracted with water (2 × 25 mL). The organic phase was dried over Na_2SO_4 and concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was chromatographed on a silica gel column using ether as eluent.

2a: mp = 265°C; ¹H NMR: δ = 1.79 (d, 2H, ²J_{PH} = 13.6, CH₂-P=O); 3.56 (s, 2H, N-C<u>H₂</u>-Ph); 7.11-7.97(m, 20H, H arom); IR: $\nu_{C=N}$ = 1656 cm⁻¹; $\nu_{P=O}$ = 1252 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: δ = 127.7, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 128.6, 128.7, 129.0, 130.4, 130.6, 130.8, 131.1, 131.2, 132.5, 136.0, 137.8; ESI-MS: m/z = 427.552([M+NH₄]⁺).

2b: Oil; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.04$ (t, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0, CH₃-CH₂-O;**E**); 1.14(t, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0, CH₃-CH₂-O;**Z**); 2.12 (d, 2H, ²J_{PH} = 25.0, CH₂-P=O;**Z**); 2.33(d, 2H, ²J_{PH} = 15.0, CH₂-P=O;**Z**); 3.88 (qp, 4H; ³J_{HH} = ³J_{PH} = 6.0, CH₃-CH₂-O;**E**); 4.04 (qp, 4H, ³J_{HH} = ³J_{PH} = 6.0, CH₃-CH₂-O;**E**); 4.04 (qp, 4H, ³J_{HH} = ³J_{PH} = 6.0, CH₃-CH₂-O;**Z**); 6.50–7.80 (m, 10H, H arom); IR: $\nu_{C=N} = 1642 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $\nu_{P=O} = 1266 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: $\delta = 117.4$, 119.5, 121.0, 123.7, 127.8, 128.0, 128.1, 128.3, 128.4, 128.7, 129.1, 129.3, 132.4, 133.0; ESI-MS: m/z = 349.428([M+NH₄]⁺).

2c: Oil; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.10$ (t, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0, CH₃-CH₂-O;**Z**); 1.17 (t, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0, CH₃-CH₂-O,**E**); 1.28 (d, 2H, ²J_{PH} = 9.0, CH₂-P = **S**, **E**); 1.60 (d, 2H, ²J_{PH} = 9.0, CH₂-P=**S**,**Z**); 3.92 (qp, 4H, ³J_{HH} = ³J_{PH} = 6.0, CH₃-CH₂-O,**Z**); 4.06 (qp, 4H, ³J_{HH} = ³J_{PH} = 6.0, CH₃-CH₂-O,**Z**); 6.21 (s, 2H, Ph-CH₂,**Z**); 6.23 (s, 2H, Ph-CH₂,**E**); 6.99–7.73 (m, 10H, H arom); IR: $\nu_{C=N} = 1610 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $\nu_{P=S} = 1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: $\delta = 117.3$, 125.5, 125.7, 125.9, 126.0, 126.9, 127.4, 127.6, 128.1, 128.7, 129.2, 130.9, 137.3, 137.5; EI-MS: m/z = 361(M⁺, 15%); 345(30%); 332(16%); 270(60%); 91(100%); 77(28%).

2d: Oil; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.19$ (t, 3H, ³J_{HH} = 7.0, C<u>H₃</u>-CH₂); 2.05 (d, 2H, ²J_{PH} = 35.7, C<u>H₂</u>-P=S); 3.04 (q, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 7.0, CH₃-C<u>H₂</u>); 3.64 (s, 2H, Ph-C<u>H₂</u>); 6.92–8.16 (m, 15H, H arom). IR: $\nu_{C=N} = 1645 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $\nu_{P=S} = 1111 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: $\delta = 127.0$, 127.2, 127.3, 127.6, 128.5, 128.7, 130.2, 130.3, 131.2, 132.8, 136.5, 137.6; EI-MS: m/z = 377(M⁺, 8%); 286(10%); 217(10%); 160(66%); 91(100%); 77(20%).

2e: Mp = 138°C; ¹H NMR: δ = 1.28 (t, 3H, ³J_{HH} = 6.6, CH₃-CH₂); 2.22 (d, 2H, ²J_{PH} = 47.1, CH₂-P=O); 3.02 (q, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 6.6, CH₃-CH₂); 3.66 (s, 2H, Ph-CH₂); 7.14–7.54 (m, 15H, H arom). IR: $\nu_{C=N}$ = 1646 cm⁻¹; $\nu_{P=O}$ = 1260 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: δ = 127.1, 127.3, 127.6, 127.7, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.9, 129.7, 131.2, 134.8, 136.6; EI-MS: m/z = 361(M⁺, 33%); 270(13%); 201(53%); 160(86%); 91(100%); 77(29%).

2f: Oil; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.08$ (t, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0, C<u>H</u>₃-CH₂-O, **Z**); 1.19 (t, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0, C<u>H</u>₃-CH₂-O, **E**); 1.25–3.05 (m, 7H, cyclic H and C<u>H</u>-P=S); 3.79–4.15 (m, 6H, CH₃-C<u>H</u>₂-O and Ph-C<u>H</u>₂); 6.94–7.31 (m, 5H, H arom); IR: $\nu_{C=N} = 1616 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $\nu_{P=S} = 1096 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: $\delta = 125.1$, 125.7, 127.0, 127.7, 128.2, 128.4, 132.9, 135.1; EI-MS: m/z = 325(M⁺, 25%); 234(16%); 186(60%); 91(100%).

2g: Oil; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.22$ (t, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0; CH₃-CH₂-O); 1.39–3.20 (m, 7H, cyclic H and CH-P=O); 3.98–4.30 (m, 6H, CH₃-CH₂-O and Ph-CH₂); 7.00–7.60 (m, 5H, H arom); IR: $\nu_{C=N} = 1620 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $\nu_{P=O} = 1250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: $\delta = 124.0$, 125.2, 126.8, 131.9; EI-MS: m/z = 309(M⁺, 33%); 218(20%); 186(67%); 91(100%).

2h: Oil; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.50-3.10$ (m, 9H, cyclic H and CH-P=S); 4.10 (s, 2H, Ph-CH₂); 6.90-8.20 (m, 15H, H arom). IR: $\nu_{C=N} = 1650 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $\nu_{P=S} = 1106 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: $\delta = 127.5$, 127.6, 128.0, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 131.5, 131.6, 132.0, 133.1, 134.4; EI-MS: m/z = 403(M⁺, 16%); 312(20%); 217(29%); 186(75%); 91(100%); 77(25%).

2i: Oil; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.07$ (d, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0, C<u>H</u>₃-CH-N); 1.22 (t, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0, C<u>H</u>₃-CH₂-O); 1.38–2.25 (m, 9H, cyclic H and C<u>H</u>-P=S); 3.36 (m, 1H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0, CH₃-C<u>H</u>-N); 3.88–4.10 (m, 4H, CH₃-C<u>H₂-O); IR: $\nu_{C=N} = 1632 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $\nu_{P=S} = 1097 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ESI-MS: m/z = 292.474([M+H]⁺).</u>

2j: Oil; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.18$ (t, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 7.2, CH₃-CH₂-O); 1.53–2.28 (m, 9H, cyclic H and CH-P=O); 3.80–4.05 (m, 6H, CH₃-CH₂-O and Ph-CH₂); 7.04–7.30 (m, 5H, H arom); IR: $\nu_{C=N} = 1631 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $\nu_{P=O} = 1254 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: $\delta = 126.0$, 126.7, 127.8, 129.1; EI-MS: m/z = 323(M⁺, 36%); 232(15%); 186(62%); 91(100%).

2k: Mp = 176°C; ¹H NMR: δ = 1.50–2.50 (m, 9H, cyclic H and C<u>H</u>-P=O); 5.52 (m, 2H, Ph-C<u>H</u>₂); 7.00–8.30 (m, 15H, H arom); IR: $\nu_{C=N}$ = 1664 cm⁻¹; $\nu_{P=O}$ = 1253 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: δ = 127.5, 127.6, 127.9, 128.2, 128.4, 128.8, 129.0, 130.0, 130.8, 131.8,

132.5, 132.6; EI-MS: $m/z = 387(M^+, 19\%)$; 296(71%); 201(63%); 186(90%); 91(100%); 77(28%).

21: Oil; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.15$ (t, 3H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0, CH₃-CH₂-C); 1.25 (t, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0; CH₃-CH₂-O); 2.18 (d, 2H, ²J_{PH} = 21.0, CH₂-P=O); 3.02 (q, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0, CH₃-CH₂-C); 3.84–4.16 (m, 6H, CH₃-CH₂-O and Ph-CH₂); 7.00–7.62 (m, 5H, H arom). IR: $\nu_{C=N} = 1651 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $\nu_{P=O} = 1265 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: $\delta = 123.4$, 125.2, 128.6, 129.8; EI-MS: m/z = 297(M⁺, 14%); 281(34%); 268(16%); 207(67%); 91(100%); 77(17%).

2m: Oil; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.24$ (t, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 6.0, C<u>H</u>₃-CH₂-O); 2.35 (d, 2H, ²J_{PH} = 30.0, C<u>H</u>₂-P=O); 3.85–4.30 (m, 6H, CH₃-C<u>H</u>₂-O and Ph-C<u>H</u>₂); 6.80–8.20 (m, 10H, H arom); IR: $\nu_{C=N} = 1630 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $\nu_{P=O} = 1274 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: $\delta = 124.2$, 124.6, 125.1, 126.9, 127.4, 127.7, 128.0, 131.5, 131.7; EI-MS: m/z = 345(M⁺, 20%); 329(15%); 254(60%); 91(100%); 77(20%).

2n: Oil; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.14(t, 6H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.0, C\underline{H}_{3}$ -CH₂-O, **Z**); 1.25 (t, 6H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.0, C\underline{H}_{3}-CH₂-O, **E**); 1.45–3.01 (m, 9H, cyclic H and C<u>H</u>-P=S); 3.84–4.19 (m, 6H, CH₃-C<u>H₂-O</u> and Ph-C<u>H₂</u>); 7.00–7.57 (m, 5H, H arom); IR: $\nu_{C=N} = 1631 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $\nu_{P=S} = 1096 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹³C NMR for arom-C: $\delta = 124.8$, 126.3, 126.7, 127.2, 127.9, 128.3, 130.4, 131.1; EI-MS: m/z = 339(M⁺, 30%); 248(12%); 186(55%); 91(100%).

REFERENCES

- 1. Palacios, F.; Aparicio, D.; Garcia, J. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 9609-9628.
- 2. Palacios, F.; Aparicio, D.; Garcia, J.; Rodriguez, E. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 1413-1423.
- 3. Xiao, J.; Yuan, C. Heteroat. Chem. 2000, 7, 541-545.
- 4. Varlet, J. M.; Collignon, N.; Savignac, P. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 3713.
- 5. Srivastava, H. K.; Quntar, A.; Azab, A.; Srebnik, M.; Shurki, A. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 4389.
- Jelaiel, N.; Said, N.; Touil, S.; Efrit, M. L. Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 2010, 185, 2382–2392.
- 7. Palacios, F.; Alonso, C.; de los Santos, J. M. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 899.
- Allen, J. G.; Arthenton, F. R.; Hall, M. J.; Hassall, C. H.; Holmes, S. W.; Lambert, R. W.; Nisbet, L. J.; Ringrose, P. S. *Nature* 1978, 272, 56.
- (a) Smith, W. W.; Bartlett, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 4622; (b) Allen, M. C.; Fuhrer, W.; Tuck, B.; Wade, R.; Wood, J. M. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 1652.
- Hirschmann, R.; Smith, A. B.; Taylor, C. M.; Benkovic, P. A.; Taylor, S. D.; Yager, K. M.; Sprengler, P. A.; Benkovic, S. J. *Science* 1994, 265, 234.
- 11. Alonso, E.; Solis, A.; del Pozo, C. Synlett 2000, 698.
- 12. Coutrot, P.; Grison, C.; Lachgar, M.; Ghribi, A. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1995, 132, 925.
- Kabachnik, M. M.; Novikova, Z. S.; Chadnaya, I. A.; Borisenko, A. A.; Beletskaya, I. P. Russ. Chem. Bull. 1998, 47, 332.
- Novikova, Z. S.; Kabachnik, M. M.; Chadnaya, I. A.; Borisenko, A. A.; Beletskaya, I. P. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *29*, 385.
- Chadnaya, I. A.; Kabachnik, M. M.; Borisenko, A. A.; Novikova, Z. S. J. Gen. Chem. USSR 1991, 61, 1765.
- Chadnaya, I. A.; Potapova, E. L.; Kabachnik, M. M.; Borisenko, A. A.; Novikova, Z. S. J. Gen. Chem. USSR, 1991, 61, 1561.
- Novikova, Z. S.; Kabachnik, M. M.; Chadnaya, I. A.; Borisenko, A. A.; Lutzenko, I. F. *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* 1990, 51, 268.
- 18. d'Angelo, J.; Desmaële, D.; Dumas, F.; Guingant, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1992, 3, 459.
- 19. Naulet, N.; Filleux, H. L.; Martin, G. J.; Pornet, J. Org. Magn. Reson. 1975, 7, 326.

H. SLIMANI AND S. TOUIL

- 20. Levy, G. C.; Nelson, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 4897.
- 21. Touil, S.; Zantour, H. J. Soc. Chim. Tunisie 1998, 4, 159.
- 22. Ben Akacha, A.; Barkallah, S.; Zantour, H. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1999, 37, 916.
- 23. Jewers, K.; McKenna, J. J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 2209.
- 24. Norton, D. G.; Haury, V. E.; Davis, F. C.; Metchell, L. J.; Ballard, S. A. J. Org. Chem. 1954, 19, 1054.
- 25. Savoia, D.; Trombini, C.; Umani-Ronchi, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2907.