

Rhenium(V)-Catalyzed Synthesis of 2-Deoxy-α-glycosides

Benjamin D. Sherry, Rebecca N. Loy, and F. Dean Toste*

Center for New Directions in Organic Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley,

Berkeley, California 94720

Received December 22, 2003; E-mail: fdtoste@uclink.berkeley.edu

The formation of carbon-heteroatom bonds through acidmediated electrophilic activation of an olefin has a rich history in organic chemistry.^{1,2} The harsh reaction conditions often required for the acid-mediated process prompted the development of alternative strategies involving stoichiometric reagents (Hg²⁺, I⁺, PhSe⁺) for alkene activation.² These methods generate carbonheteroatom bonds from olefins under mild conditions, but suffer from the requirement for a stoichiometric activating reagent and the need for reductive removal from the substrate. A mild catalytic method that allows for addition of nucleophiles to olefins is therefore highly desirable.

$$\begin{array}{c} Me \\ O \longrightarrow O \\ R \longrightarrow H \end{array} \longrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} Me \\ O \longrightarrow O \\ R \longrightarrow O \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{-HOAc} R \xrightarrow{(1)}$$

$$[M] = O \xrightarrow{\text{Nu-H}} \text{Nu} \xrightarrow{[M]} O \xrightarrow{\text{R}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{[M]} O \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \\ R \xrightarrow{\text{Vu}} C \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \end{array} \end{array} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R \xrightarrow{\text{Nu}} C \end{array} \end{array}$$

Our interest³ in the use of high-oxidation-state metal complexes as catalysts for organic reactions led us to consider mechanisms related to the microscopic reverse of the well-studied acetate pyrolysis⁴ (eq 1) as a means to add nucleophiles across a carboncarbon multiple bond. We reasoned that a protonated metal-oxo,5 generated by the activation of a nucleophile (Nu-H) by the metaloxygen multiple bond, could replace the hydroxyl group of acetic acid (eq 2). This approach presents several advantages. The use of high-oxidation-state complexes as catalysts should render the reaction tolerant of air and moisture. Additionally, the mild reaction conditions of the metal-oxo-catalyzed reaction could allow for the activation of sensitive olefins, such as glycals. However, successful use of metal-oxo complexes for preparation of 2-deoxyglycosides⁶ requires that the traditional behavior of these complexes as oxidizing agents of the nucleophile⁷ and glycal⁸ be suppressed. Furthermore, the competing Ferrier rearrangement,9 generally mediated by Lewis acids, must be avoided.

A survey of a number of high-valent metal complexes uncovered a Re(V)—oxo complex, [ReOCl₃(SMe₂)(Ph₃PO)] **1**,¹⁰ as the catalyst of choice. We found that **1** was a competent catalyst for the glycosylation in a variety of solvents; however, nonpolar solvents proved most effacious.¹¹ With optimized reaction conditions in hand, the scope of the Re(V)-catalyzed glycosylation was examined. The reaction was found to be compatible with a large variety of both glycosyl donors and acceptors (Table 1). Glucal and galactal donors function well in the glycosylation, the latter preceding with high anomeric α -selectivity. The catalytic system tolerated a number of commonly employed protecting groups, including isopropylidene acetals, silyl ethers, acetates, and benzoates. Importantly, the disaccharide product (**13**) from entry 9 served as a viable donor, thus demonstrating the synthetic utility of this method for the preparation of 2-deoxyoligosaccharides (entry 10).

Table 1. Re(V)-Catalyzed O-Glycosylation^a



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: 0.4 M glycosyl acceptor in PhMe, 1.5 equiv of glycal, 1 mol % **1**, 0 °C to room temperature. ^{*b*} Isolated yield after chromatography. ^{*c*} Determined from ¹H NMR of isolated material. ^{*d*} 4-OAc was hydrolyzed to allow purification; reported yield is over two steps.

Having demonstrated the ability to efficiently generate *O*-glycosides using metal—oxo complex **1**, we chose to examine other heteroatom nucleophiles in the reaction. Toward that end, the coupling of tri-*O*-benzyl-D-galactal **2** with *p*-toluenesulfonamide proceeded efficiently, providing the desired amino-glycoside in 81% yield.¹² Catalytic addition of thiols to olefins is particularly challenging because thiols often serve as poisons for transition metal complexes and are readily oxidized to disulfides.¹³ We were therefore pleased to find that complex **1** readily catalyzes the addition of thiophenol and 2,3,4-tri-*O*-benzoyl-6-thio- α -methyl-D-glucopyranoside to galactal **2** to selectively afford α -thioglycosides¹⁴ **15** and **16** in 94% and 81% yield, respectively (eq 3).

10.1021/ja031895t CCC: \$27.50 © 2004 American Chemical Society



Our mild catalyst system allows the use of nucleophiles that would be unstable under the conditions traditionally employed for additions to olefins. For example, substitution of electron-withdrawing groups at C-3 renders the glycal completely unreactive¹⁵ and provides the means to couple two glycals using the Re(V)-catalyzed method. Thus, 3,6-di-O-acetyl-D-glucal (17) was coupled with galactal 2, catalyzed by 1 mol % 1, to afford disaccharide 18 as a single anomer in 92% yield (eq 4). This disaccharide is now poised for further elaboration, through employment of either an oxidative glycosylation⁸ or a second Re(V)-catalyzed reaction providing an iterative approach to the synthesis of 2-deoxyoligosaccharides. In the event, rhenium-catalyzed coupling of 19 with thiol 20 provided trisaccharide 21 in 74% yield as a single anomer.^{16,17}



In the hopes of extending application of this method to the synthesis of β -glycosides, we examined the effect of variation of the rhenium-oxo complex on the selectivity of the glycosylation (eq 5). Variation of the neutral ligands to arsine/arsine oxide or *tert*-butylisocyanide slightly increased the amount of β -anomer formed, while changing the anionic ligands from chloride to bromide increased the selectivity for the α -anomer. Unfortunately, these variations did not deliver the desired β -selective glycosylation.



To gain insight on the source of the selectivity, we examined the facial preference of C-2 protonation. Coupling of 2-d-3,4,6tri-O-benzyl-D-glucal (23) with 3, catalyzed by 1 mol % 1, furnished a 3.5:1 mixture of α - and β -dissaccharides (eq 6). Furthermore, a 2:1 ratio18 in favor of equatorial protonation was observed in both the α - and the β -anomers, suggesting that the initial olefin activation has very little directing influence on the anomeric selectivity. These results suggest that the reaction is not proceeding through concerted transfer of a proton and nucleophile from the rhenium complex. Furthermore, it appears that the selectivity is determined not in the olefin activation step, but in the transfer of the nucleophile.



In conclusion, we have demonstrated that a high-oxidation-state rhenium-oxo complex serves as an air- and moisture-tolerant catalyst for the formation of 2-deoxy- α -glycosides from glycals. The catalyst system tolerates a wide range of functional groups and allows for the use of alcohols, sulfonamides, thiols, and glycals as nucleophiles. Traditionally, these high-valent metal-oxo complexes have been associated with oxidative transformations of olefins; however, adjusting the reactivity of these systems to promote alternative reactions is of fundamental importance in expanding the repertoire of transition metal catalysis. Application of this catalytic system to other olefin addition reactions is currently underway and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge the University of California, Berkeley, the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation, Research Corporation, Merck Research Laboratories, Amgen Inc., and Eli Lilly & Co. for financial support. The Center for New Directions in Organic Synthesis is supported by Bristol-Myers Squibb as a Sponsoring Member and Novartis Pharma as a Supporting Member. We thank Dr. Herman van Halbeek for assistance in NMR experiments.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and compound characterization data (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1)Larock, R. C.; Leong, W. W. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1991; Vol. 4, p 297
- (2) (a) Rousseau, G.; Robin, S. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 13681. (b) Harding, K. E.; Tinger, T. H. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1991; Vol. 4, p 463. (c) Mulzer, J. In Organic Synthesis Highlights; Mulzer, J., Altenbach, H. J., Braun, M., Krohn, K., Reissig, H. U., Eds.; VCH: Weinheim, 1991; p 157. (d) Larock, R. C. Solvomercuration/Demercuration Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1986; Chapter 3. (a) Konnedy, Smith L. J. Nellin, K. A. Guntermen, H. P. Tocto, F. D. J.
- (3) (a) Kennedy-Smith, J. J.; Nolin, K. A.; Gunterman, H. P.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4056. (b) Sherry, B. D.; Radosevich, A. T.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6076. (c) Luzung, M. R.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 15760.
- (4) For a review, see: DePuy, C. H.; King, R. W. Chem. Rev. 1960, 60, 431.
- (5) For an excellent discussion on the protonation of metal-oxo species, see: Han, Y.; Harlan, C. J.; Stoessel, P.; Frost, B. J.; Norton, J. R.; Miller, S.; Bridgewater, B.; Xu, Q. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 2942 and references therein.
- (6) For reviews, see: (a) Marzabadi, C. H.; Franck, R. W. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 8385. (b) Thiem, J.; Klaffke, W. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 1990, *154*, 285.
 (c) Toshima, K.; Tatsuta, K. *Chem. Rev.* 1993, *93*, 1503.
- For example, Re(V)-oxo complexes catalyze the oxidation of alcohols: Arterburn, J. B.; Liu, M.; Perry, M. C. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2002, 85, 3225.
 (a) Halcomb, R. L.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 6661.
- Epoxidation of glycals by rhenium-oxo complexes, see: (b) Soldaini,
- G.; Cardona, F.; Goti, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 5589.
 (9) Ferrier, R. J. Top. Curr. Chem. 2001, 215, 153.
 (10) Grove, D. E.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc. A 1966, 1224.
- (11) The reaction is reversible in polar solvents, resulting in lower yields and formation of the thermodynamically favored α -glycoside.

- (12) Colinas, P. A.; Bravo, R. D. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4509.
- (13) For an example of thiol to disulfield exidention by a rhenium(V)-oxo complex, see: Abu-Omar, M. M.; Khan, S. I. *Inorg. Chem.* 1998, *37*, 497Ŷ
- (14) Crich, D.; Ritchie, T. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 985.
- (15) This effect has been noted with regard to the stability of the glycosidic bond, see: (a) Kunz, H.; Unverzagt, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 1697. (b) Geurtsen, R.; Holmes, D. S.; Boons, G.-J. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 8145.
- (16) To the best of our knowledge, previous catalytic methods did not give Tise to the observed armed/disarmed effect, see: (a) Toshima, K.; Nagai, H.; Ushiki, Y.; Matsumura, S. *Synlett* **1998**, 1007. (b) Bolitt, V.; Mioskowski, C.; Lee, S.-G.; Falck, J. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 5812. (c) Sabesan, S.; Neira, S. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 5468.
- (17) For an alternative iterative approach using alkynol cycloisomerization, see: (a) McDonald, F. E.; Reddy, K. S.; Diaz, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4304. (b) McDonald, F. E.; Wu, M. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3979.
 (18) This selectivity is substantially lower than the observed equatorial
- selectivity in the acid-catalyzed glycal activation: Kaila, N.; Blumenstein, M.; Bielawska, H.; Franck, R. W. J. Org. Chem. **1992**, *57*, 4576.

JA031895T