# PRENYL BIBENZYLS FROM THE LIVERWORTS RADULA PERROTTETII AND RADULA COMPLANATA\*

# YOSHINORI ASAKAWA, TOSHIHIRO HASHIMOTO, KEIKO TAKIKAWA, MOTOO TORI and SHUNICHI OGAWA

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tokushima Bunri University, Yamashiro-cho, Tokushima 770, Japan

### (Received 30 April 1990)

Key Word Index—Radula perrottetii, R. complanata; Radulaceae; Jungermannialies; Hepaticae; 2(R)-2-isopropenyl-6-hydroxy-4-(2-phehylethyl) dihydrobenzofuran; 2,2-dimethyl-7,8-dihydroxy-5-(2-phenylethyl) chromene; radulanin L; prenyl bibenzyls; revised structures; synthesis of prenyl bibenzyls; 5-lipoxygenase and calmodulin inhibitory activity; vasopressin antagonist activity; chemosystematics.

Abstract—A new dihydrobenzofuran and a new chromene derivatives have been isolated from the liverwort Radula perrottetii, together with the known 3,5-dihydroxy-2-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl, 2(R)-2-isopropenyl-6,7-dihydroxy-4-(2-phenylethyl)dihydrobenzofuran, 2,2-dimethyl-7-hydroxy-5-(2-phenylethyl)chromene, 3,5-dihydroxy-6-carbo-methoxy-2-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl, three bis(bibenzyls) and perrottetins E, F and G. The structures of the new compounds have been characterized as 2(R)-2-isopropenyl-6-hydroxy-4-(2-phenylethyl)dihydrobenzofuran and 2,2-dimethyl-7,8-dihydroxy-5-(2-phenylethyl)chromene by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral analysis and synthesis. The structures of the previously reported chalcone and three prenyl bibenzyls, perrottetins A, B and C, and the other two bibenzyls, isolated from *R. perrottetii* are revised by analysis of their <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, difference NOE experiments and synthesis of their derivatives. Radulanin L, a new bibenzyl with a dihydroxepin skeleton was isolated from *R. complanata* together with 2- and 4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)-3,5-dihydroxybibenzyls and its structure elucidated by comparison of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data with those of radulanins A and H. The structures of the previously reported radulanins A and H isolated from *R. complanata* are confirmed as correct by difference NOE. Some prenyl-containing bibenzyls showed 5-lipoxygenase and calmodulin in hibitory activity and vasopressin antagonist activity. *R. perrottetii* is a chemically isolated species in the Radulaceae.

### INTRODUCTION

Radula species are small stem-leafy liverworts which are distributed worldwide. The Radulaceae are morphologically isolated from the other families of the Jungermanniales [1]. In previous papers [2-10], we reported that Radula species elaborated several characteristic prenyl bibenzyls and their related phenolic compounds and that these components could be used as chemical markers. Various bibenzyls have been isolated from R. perrottetii and R. complanata and their structures characterized by 60 and 90 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy [4, 6]. Further fractionation of the methanol extract of R. perrottetii gave two new bibenzyls (1 and 8), together with the previously known bibenzyls (3, 6, 10 and 12) [6,9] and bis(bibenzyls), perrottetins E (38), F (39) and G (40) [7]. A new bibenzyl named radulanin L (36) was also isolated from the methanol extract of R. complanata, along with the known prenyl bibenzyls (10 and 16) [4, 6, 9]. Moreover, the structures of the previously reported six bibenzyl derivatives (19, 21-23, 30 and 31) were revised to 14, 24, 26, 28, 12 and 3 by 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H and 100 MHz <sup>13</sup>C NMR analysis as well as synthesis of their derivatives. In this paper, we report the isolation, characterization and synthesis of two new bibenzyls from R. perrottetii and revision of the structures of the pre-

PHYTO 30:1-P

viously isolated bibenzyls from R. perrottetii. We also discuss the biological activity of selected prenyl bibenzyls and the chemosystematics of *Radula* sp. In addition, we report on the structure of a new and two known bibenzyls with a dihydrooxepin skeleton isolated from R. complanata.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The methanol extract of *R. perrottetii* was chromatographed on silica gel, followed by purification on prep. TLC to afford two new bibenzyls (1 and 8), along with the know bibenzyls 3, 6, 10 and 12 [6, 9] and the three bis(bibenzyls) perrottetins E (38), F (39) and G (40) [7]. The methanol extract of *R. complanata* treated in the same manner gave a new bibenzyl named radulanin L (36), along with three prenyl bibenzyls (10, 14 and 16) [4, 6, 9] and radulanins A (32) and H (34) [3, 4, 6].

Compound 1,  $C_{19}H_{20}O_2$  ([M]<sup>+</sup> 280.1463) contained a hydroxyl group (3600 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and an aromatic ring (1490, 1602 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Methylation of 1 with methyl iodide gave a monomethyl ether (2),  $C_{20}H_{22}O_2$  ([M]<sup>+</sup> 294.1635;  $\delta 3.75$ , 3H, s), indicating the presence of one phenolic hydroxyl group. The IR spectrum of 2 showed neither carbonyl nor hydroxyl absorption. This is indicative of an ether oxygen in 1. The presence of a monosubstituted and a 1,2,3,5tetrasubstituted benzene ring was confirmed by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals ( $\delta 7.14$ , 7.27, each 2H, m, 7.19, 1H, m and 6.19, 2H, s). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (Table 2) of 1

<sup>\*</sup>Part 40 in the series 'Chemosystematics of Bryophytes'. For Part 39, see ref. [9].





further indicated the presence of two benzylic methylenes, one vinylic methyl, an exomethylene, one proton ( $\delta 5.10$ , dd, J = 9.4, 8.1 Hz) on a carbon ( $\delta 86.4, d$ ) bearing an ether oxygen which was coupled with one additional benzylic methylene protons ( $\delta 2.67$ , 1H, dd, J = 15.0, 8.1 Hz and 3.02, 1H, dd, J = 15.0, 9.4 Hz). On the basis of the above data, four alternative structures including 1 were suggested for the new compound. The structure 1 was given for the new bibenzyl by the presence of the higher field carbon signals at  $\delta 95.3$  in 1 and  $\delta 93.7$  in 2 [9] and the NOEs between (i) H- $\alpha$  and H-5, (ii) H-5 and OMe-6 and (iii) H-7 and OMe-6 in 2. Thus, compound 1 was established to be 2-isopropenyl-6-hydroxy-4-(2-phenylethyl)- dihydrobenzofuran. The absolute configuration at C-2 was established to be R by the positive Cotton effect of 2 [11, 12]. Compound 1 could be biosynthesized from the coexisting prenyl bibenzyl (10). Conclusive evidence for the structure 1 was obtained by the synthesis of 1 and 2 and their isomers (41 and 42) (see later).

R²

Compound 8,  $C_{19}H_{20}O_3$  ([M]<sup>+</sup> 296.1416), had a hydroxyl group (3570 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and an aromatic ring (1602, 1510 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Methylation of 8 with methyl iodide afforded a dimethyl ether (9),  $C_{21}H_{24}O_3$  ([M]<sup>+</sup> 324.1701;  $\delta 3.78$ , 3.83, each 3H, s) indicating the presence of two phenolic hydroxyl groups. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 8 and 9 were almost identical to those of 2,2-dimethyl-



1) n-BuLi/Me<sub>2</sub>C==CH---CH<sub>2</sub>Br 2) BBr<sub>3</sub> 3) EtSNa/DMF 4) Mel 5) EtSNa/HMPA

Scheme 1.

7-hydroxy-5-(2-phenylethyl)chromene (6), coexisting in the same species and R. kojana [9] and its dimethyl ether (7) [9], except for the absence of one aromatic proton and the higher field carbon signal at C-8, suggesting that 8 was 2,2-dimethyl-7,8-dihydroxy-5-(2-phenylethyl) chromene. This assumption was further confirmed by the difference NOE examinations of 9. Thus NOEs were observed between (i) H- $\alpha$  and H-4, (ii) H- $\alpha$  and H-6, and (iii) H-6 and OMe-7. Final proof of the structure of 8 came from the synthesis of the dimethyl ether (9) (see later). The chromenes 6 and 8 could originate from the prenyl bibenzyls 10 and 24 co-occurring in the same species.

Previously, we reported the presence of 3,5-dihydroxy-4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl (16) and its monomethyl ether (17) in *R. complanata* [4]. Further fractionation of the crude extract of *R. complanata* has yielded 3,5dihydroxy-2-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl (10) and a new bibenzyl, radulanin L (36) which is discussed later. The  $R_r$ s of 10 and 16 are the same and their mass spectra are almost identical, except for the relative intensity of m/z225 (73% in 10 and 6% in 16) and base peak (m/z 227 in 10 and m/z 91 in 16). However, these two compounds are easily differentiated by TLC [ $R_f$  0.3 (10) and 0.6 (16) in  $C_6H_6$ -EtOAc, 4:1] and the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>CNMR data (Tables 1 and 2). The chemical shifts of H-2 and H-6, C-2 and C-6, and C-3 and C-5 in 16 are the same, but the same

protons and carbons in 10 show different chemical shifts [13]. The natural bibenzyls 11 and 17 have been synthesized from 3-hydroxy-5-methoxybibenzyl (56) by prenylation with 2,2-dimethylallylbromide in the presence of sodium methoxide [9]. Compound 10 has also been prepared from 11 by demethylation with sodium thioethoxide (EtSNa) in hexamethylphosphoric triamide (HMPA). In order to obtain the natural bibenzyl 16, demethylation reaction of the dimethyl ether 18 was carried out (Scheme 1). Treatment of 3,5-dimethoxybibenzyl (44) with n-butyl lithium (n-BuLi) and 2,2-dimethylallylbromide gave regiospecifically 3,5-dimethoxy-4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl (18) (71.2%) which was also obtained from 17 by methylation with methyl iodide. Demethylation of 18 with boron tribromide  $(BBr_3)$  gave a 2,2-dimethylchroman derivative (45). Treatment of 18 with EtSNa in dimethylformamide (DMF) afforded a monodemethyl bibenzyl (17) which on further demethylation with EtSNa in HMPA gave 3,5-dihydroxybibenzyl (46). In these chemical reactions, the natural prenyl bibenzyl (16) could not be synthesized.

The co-occurrence of 10, 16 and 17 in R. complanata and the presence of 11 in R. kojana [9] prompted us to reanalyse the chemical constituents of R. perrottetii and indicated that 16 was not detected in this species and the previously reported 16 was revised to 10, by the identity of the spectral data with those of the synthetic 10 [9].





Furthermore, as 16 is absent in R. perrottetii, the structures of the previously reported prenyl bibenzyls (19, 21-23, 30 and 31) isolated from R. perrottetii [6] were carefully reinvestigated by 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H and 100 MHz <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectroscopy and synthesis of some methyl ethers of the natural bibenzyls. 2- and 4-Geranyl-3,5dihydroxybibenzyls (14 and 19) and their dimethyl ethers have been synthesized [9, 13]. The structure of the previously reported geranyl bibenzyl (19) was revised to 14 because its NMR data were identical to those of the synthetic 14. The structure of perrottetin A (21) was revised to 24 on the basis of the difference NOE examination of trimethoxyperrottetin A (25) [6] obtained from 24 by methylation with methyl iodide. The NOEs were observed between (i) H- $\alpha$  and H-1', (ii) H- $\alpha$  and H-2', (iii) H-a and H-6, (iv) H-6 and OMe-5, (v) H-1' and OMe-3, (vi) H-2' and OMe-3, (vii) H-1' and H-5', (viii) H- $\beta$  and H-2" and (ix) H- $\beta$  and H-6". The structure for perrottetin A (24) was further evidenced by the synthesis of 25 (see later). The structures of perrottetins B (22) and C (23) were revised to 26 and 28, as the following chemical correlation had been carried out [6]. Hydrogenation of the trimethyl ether 27 gave a dihydro derivative 29 which on dehydration afforded 25. The structure 30 proposed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (90 MHz) was revised to 12 by difference NOE experiments with 12 and 13 and chemical reaction of 12 as well as the NMR spectral data (Tables 1 and 2). Compound 12,  $C_{21}H_{24}O_4$  ([M]<sup>+</sup> 340.1675), showed the presence of two phenolic hydroxyl groups  $(3550 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  which was confirmed by methylation to give a dimethyl ether (13),  $C_{23}H_{28}O_4$  ([M]<sup>+</sup> 368.1982;  $\delta$  3.84, 3.85, each 3H, s) with one conjugated methoxycarbonyl group ( $\delta$ 171.9, s; 52.2, q; 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>), a 2,2-dimethylallyl group, a monosubstituted benzene ring, two benzylic methylenes and one proton on a benzene ring (Tables 1 and 2), indicating that 12 was not chalcone, but a prenyl bibenzyl with a methoxycarbonyl and two phenolic hydroxyl group. This assumption and the position of one hydroxyl and a prenyl group were confirmed by the formation of the 2,2dimethylchroman derivative 43 from 12 by treatment with acid. The position of the remaining functional groups in 12 was further established by difference NOE experiments and the <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Table 2) of 12 and 13. In 12, the NOE was observed between H- $\alpha$  and H-1', but not between any other protons and the OMe group. In 13, NOEs were observed between (i) H- $\alpha$  and H-1' and H-4 and OMe-3. On the basis of the above spectral data and the presence of the higher field carbon signals ( $\delta 102.3$ in 12 and 93.6 in 13) of C-4 as well as the similarity of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 12 and 13 with those of 10 and 11, the structure of 30 was revised to 3,5-dihydroxy-6carbomethoxy-2-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl (12). The structure 31 has been proposed for perrottetin D on the basis of the <sup>1</sup>HNMR data [6]. The NOE data of its dimethyl ethers [6] obtained from 31 by methylation with methyl iodide showed the presence of NOEs between (i)

Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectral data for compounds 1–5, 8–10, 12, 13, 16, 18, 24, 25, 29, 32–37, 41 and 42 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>–TMS)

Н	1	2	3	4	5
2	5.10 dd (9.4, 8.1)*	5.11 dd (9.5, 8.1)	5.13 t (9.3)	5.15 t (8.8)	4.48 dd (15.7, 8.6)
3	2.67 dd (15.0, 8.1)	2.70 dd (15.0, 8.1)	2.68 m	2.71 dd (15.0, 8.8)	2.57 dd (14.9, 8.6)
	3.02 dd (15.0, 9.4)	3.04 dd (15.0, 9.5)	3.01 dd (14.9, 9.3)	3.06 dd (15.0, 8.8)	2.85 m
4					
5	6.19 s	6.26 d (2.2)	6.32 s	6.21 s	6.29 s
6					
7	6.19 s	6.27 d (2.2)			
9					
1′					1.90 m
2′	4.87 s	4.88 s	4.88 s	4.86 s	0.90 d (6.7)
	5.04 s	5.04 s	5.04 s	5.05 s	
3′	1.71 s	1.71 s	1.70 s	1.72 s	0.99 d (6.7)
4'					
5'					
α	2.74 m	2.78 m	2.68 m	2.75 m	2.69 m
β	2.87 m	2.86 m	2.83 m	2.85 m	2.85 m
2‴	7.14 m	7.14 m	7.11 m	7.13 m	7.14 m
3″	7.27 m	7.27 m	7.24 m	7.27 m	7 26 m
4''	7.19 m	7.19 m	7.18 m	7.19 m	7.19 m
5″	7.27 m	7.27 m	7.24 m	7.27 m	7.26 m
6''	7.14 m	7.14 m	7.11 m	7.13 m	7.14 m
OH	4.68 s		5.28 s		4.90 br s
			5.45 s		5.22 br s
3-OMe					
4-OMe					
5-OMe					
6-OMe		3.75 s		3.81 s	
7-OMe				3.91 s	
8-OMe					
2"-OMe					
$CO_2 Me$					

H- $\alpha$  and H-5 and (ii) H-5 and OMe-4. The alternative structure 3, however, could not be excluded by this experiment. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral data of 3 and 4 were almost identical to those of 1 which coexisted in the same species and its methyl ether (2), except for the absence of one proton on the benzene ring. The chemical shifts of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR of 3 and 4 resembled those of 1 and 2. The above spectral data suggested the structure of perrottetin D to be 3 and not 31. The absolute configuration at C-2 was established to be R by the positive Cotton effects [11, 12] of 3, 4 and dihydroperrottetin D (5) obtained from 3 by hydrogenation. Further evidence for the structure of 3 was obtained by the synthesis of the dimethyl ether (4) (see later).

Radulanin A (32) has been isolated from R. javanica (= R. variabilis) [3] and R. complanata [4]. The latter species also produces radulanin H (34) [6]. The structures 32 and 34 having a dihydrooxepin skeleton have been given for each compound. To reconfirm these structures, the difference NOEs of their methyl ethers 33 [3] and 35 were measured. Compound 33 exhibited NOEs between (i) H- $\alpha$  and H-7 and (ii) H- $\alpha$  and H-9. The long range <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C 2D COSY NMR spectra of 33 showed a correlation (J = 10 Hz) between C-11 ( $\delta$ 122.4, s) and H-7 [14], indicating that the prenyl group was located at C-11 meta to H-7. This was further supported by the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 32 and 33 in which the higher chemical shift of one aromatic carbon observed in 1, 2, 12 and 13 was not present [9]. The above data coupled with the <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) data reconfirmed that the structure 32 was

correct. In 35, NOEs were also present between (i) H- $\alpha$  and H-9 and (ii) H-5 and OMe-6. On the basis of the above data coupled with the presence of a hydrogen bonded carboxyl group (1640 cm<sup>-1</sup>) in 34 and the analyses of the NMR data (<sup>1</sup>H 32-35; <sup>13</sup>C for 32-34) (see Tables 1 and 2), the structure 34 was also reconfirmed to be correct.

Radulanin L (36), a new bibenzyl isolated from R. complanata had the molecular formula,  $C_{19}H_{20}O_3$ ([M]<sup>+</sup> 296.1446). The spectral data of 36 showed the presence of a hydroxyl group (3580 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and an aromatic ring (1620, 1585, 1500 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\lambda$ 275, 282 nm). Methylation of 36 with methyl iodide gave a dimethyl ether (37) ([M]<sup>+</sup> 324.1674;  $\delta$ 3.76, 3.83, each 3H, s), indicating that 36 had two phenolic hydroxyl groups. One of the three oxygen atoms in 36 was an ether oxygen as no absorption band corresponding to a hydroxyl or a carbonyl group was observed in the IR spectrum of 37. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectra of 36 and 37 were very similar to those of 32 and 33, except for the presence of a 1.2-disubstituted benzene ring ( $\delta 6.74$ , dd, J = 7.4, 1.1 Hz, 6.84, ddd, J = 7.4, 7.4, 1.1 Hz, 7.08, ddd, J = 7.4, 7.4, 1.1 Hzand 7.09, dd, J = 7.4, 1.1 Hz in 36) in place of a monosubstituted benzene ring, suggested that 36 might be 2'-hydroxyradulanin A. The substitution pattern of each benzene ring was further confirmed by difference NOE experiments with 36 and 37. Thus NOEs were observed between (i) H- $\alpha$  and H-7, (ii) H- $\alpha$  and H-9 and (iii) H-B and H-6" in 36 and between (i) H-7 and OMe-6, (ii) H-3" and OMe-2", (iii) H- $\alpha$  and H-7, (iv) H- $\alpha$  and H-9

н	8	9	10	12	13	16	18
2	-,					6.26 s	6.35 s
3	5.48 d (10.0)	5.56 d (10.0)					
4	6.42 d (10.0)	6.47 d (10.0)	6.23 d (2.4)	6.36 s	6.39 d (6.6)		
5							
6	6.33 s	6.20 s	6.26 d (2.4)			6.26 s	6.35 s
7							
9							
1′	1.42 s	1.46 s	3.28 d (6.4)	3.38 d (6.6)	3.33 d (6.6)	3.38 d (7.3)	3.30 d (7.1)
2'	1.42 s	1.46 s	5.09 t (6.4)	5.07 t (6.6)	5.02 t (6.6)	5.26 t (7.3)	5.18 t (7.1)
3'				•			
4'			1.72 s	1.72 s	1.66 s	1.76 s	1.66 s
5'			1.79 s	1.79 s	1.74 s	1.82 s	1.76 s
α	2.80 s	2.83 br s	2.83 s	3.22 m	2.81 s	2.87 m	2.90 m
8	2.80 s	2.83 br s	2.83 s	2.82 m	2.81 s	2.77 m	2.90 m
2″	7.16 m	7.16 m	7.17 m	7.22 m	7.20 m	7.18 m	7.21 m
3″	7.28 m	7.29 m	7.28 m	7.32 m	7.29 m	7.28 m	7.29 m
4"	7.17 m	7.19 m	7.19 m	7.22 m	7.20 m	7.19 m	7.21 m
5"	7.28 m	7.29 m	7.28 m	7.32 m	7.29 m	7.28 m	7.29 m
• 6″	7.16 m	7.16 m	7.17 m	7.22 m	7.20 m	7.18 m	7.21 m
он	5.29 br s		4.80 br s	5.54 br s		5.00 br s	
	5.38 br s		5.25 br s	11.31 s			
3-OMe					3.85 s		3.78 s
4-OMe							
5-OMe					3.84 s		3.78 s
6-OMe							
7-0Me		3.78 s					
8-OMe		3.83 s					
2".OMe		2.000					
CO.Me				3.96 s	3.91 s		

Table 1. Continued

2 3 4 5 6	6.34 s			4.40 br s	4.39 br s	4 28 hr s	1 40 km -
3 4 5	6.34 s					1.40 01 3	4.40 <i>br</i> s
4 5	6.34 s						
5	6.34 <i>s</i>			5.60 t (3.9)	5.60 t (3.6)	5.45 br s	5.61 t (4.2)
۷	6.34 s			3.40 d (3.9)	3.43 d (3.6)	3.25 br s	3.41 d (4.2)
0		6.45 s	6.45 s				
7				6.35 d (1.6)	6.44 d (1.6)		
9				6.53 d (1.6)	6.56 d (1.6)	6.21 s	6.70 s
1′	3.28 d (6.9)	3.30 d (6.4)	2.63 m	1.53 s	1.53 s	1.49 s	1.53 s
2′	5.09 t (6.9)	5.07 t (6.4)	1.62 m				
3′							
4′	1.71 s	1.68 s	1.28 s				
5'	1.82 s	1.75 s	1.28 s				
α	2.77 s	2.85 s	2.86 s	2.86 m	2.88 s	3.00 m	2.83 m
ß	2.77 s	2.85 s	2.86 s	2.78 m	2.88 s	2.61 m	2.83 m
, 2''	7.15 m	7.18 m	7.19 m	7.17 m	7.18 m	6.85	7.17 m
3″	7.27 m	7.29 m	7.29 m	7.27 m	7.28 m	J	7.28 m
4''	7.18 m	7.20 m	7.20 m	7.18 m	7.19 m	(m)	7.19 m
5"	7.27 m	7.19 m	7.29 m	7.27 m	7.28 m	J. ć	7.28 m
6"	7.18 m	7.18 m	7.19 m	7.17 m	7.18 m	7.00	7.17 m
он	5.37 s		1.70 br s	5.06 br s			
	5.43 s						
	5.53 s						
3-OMe		3.85 s	3.85 s				
4-OMe		3.89 s	3.89 s				
5-OMe		3.79 s	3.79 s				
6-OMe					3.75 s		3.74 s
7-OMe							
8-OMc							
2"-OMe							
CO. Me							391 s

Table 1. Continued

and (v) H- $\beta$  and H-6" in **37**. The above spectral and chemical data led to the structure **37** for radulanin L.

# Synthesis of $(\pm)$ -1, 2, 41 and 42 (Schemes 2 and 3)

Before attempting the synthesis of 1, the model reaction was carried out using 2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-2'.2'-dimethylallylbenzene (47) (Scheme 2). In this reaction, the formation of the dihydrobenzofuran 50 and dihydrooxepin derivatives 51 might be expected and the monomethyl ether 2 of the natural bibenzyl 1 with a five-membered ring and a still unknown bibenzyl with a seven-membered bibenzyl would be synthesized. Acetylation of 47 with acetic anhydride in pyridine gave a mono acetate (48, 87.5%) which on treatment with calcium hypochloride  $[Ca(OCl)_2]$  [15] afforded an allyl monochloride (49, 46.1%). The chloride (49) was further treated with barium hydroxide to furnish only a five-membered compound (50, 41.5%). On the basis of this model reaction, the synthetic 3-hydroxy-5-methoxy-2-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl (11) [9] was treated in the same manner as described above to give 52(99.3%), 53(49.7%) and finally 2 (47.7%) which was identical to the methyl ether of the natural product (1) isolated from R. perrottetii. The isomer (42) of 2 was also synthesized (Scheme 2). The synthetic product 17 [9] was treated with the same reagents as described above to afford 42 (21.3%), which was not identical to the methyl ether 2, although the spectral data of both methyl ethers were similar (Tables 1 and 2). Compounds 2 and 42 were prepared from 56 by a one step reaction (Scheme 3). However, the yields were poor. The synthetic bibenzyl 56 [9] was treated with 1,4dibromo-2-methyl-2-butene in the presence of sodium methoxide to give two cyclized products which were purified by prep. TLC to afford 2 (5.6%) and 42 (5.7%), respectively. Dihydropinosylvin (46) was treated in the same manner as described above to yield the natural dihydrobenzofuran 1 (17.6%) and its isomer (41) (4.9%), respectively.

# Synthesis of 4 and 9 (Schemes 4 and 5)

3,4,5-Trimethoxybenzaldehyde (57) was treated with benzylmagnesiumbromide to give a secondary alcohol (58) (98.6%) which was hydrogenolysed to afford 3,4,5trimethoxybibenzyl (59) (86.5%) (Scheme 4). Compound 59 was demethylated with EtSNa to give the two monodemethyl ethers 65 (40.2%) and 66 (41.2%). The former product was treated with 1,4-dibromo-2-methyl-2-butene in the presence of MeONa to afford a dihydrobenzofuran (23.8%), the spectral data of which were superimposable on those of the dimethyl ether (4) of the natural product 3. 3-Hydroxy-4,5-dimethoxybibenzyl (65) was prenylated with 2,2-dimethylallylbromide in the presence of MeONa to give 3-hydroxy-4,5-dimethoxy-2-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl (67) (8.9%) and a prenyloxy product (68)

н	36	37	41	42
2	4.40 br s	4.40 br s	5.19 dd (9.5, 7.8)	5.16 dd (9.6, 8.1)
3			2.93 dd (15.1, 7.8)	2.92 dd (15.5, 8.1)
			3.25 dd (15.1, 9.5)	3.24 dd (15.5, 9.6)
4	5.61 t (4.4)	5.60 br t (6.0)		
5	3.40 d (4.4)	3.43 br d (6.0)	6.13 s	6.18 s
6				
7	6.39 d (1.7)	6.48 d (1.3)	6.30 s	6.34 s
9	6.55 d (1.7)	6.59 d (1.3)		
1′	1.54 s	1.53 s		
2′			4.90 s	4.88 s
			5.08 s	5.07 s
3′			1.76 s	1.76 s
4′				
5′				
α	2.83 m	2.84 m	2.79 m	2.88 m
β	2.83 m	2.84 m	2.85 m	2.88 m
2"			7.15 m	7.15 m
3″	6.74 dd (7.4, 1.1)	6.86 d (7.8)	7.29 m	7.29 m
4″	7.08 ddd (7.4, 7.4, 1.1)	7.19 ddd (7.8, 7.8, 1.8)	7.16 m	7.15 m
5″	6.86 ddd (7.4, 7.4, 1.1)	6.87 t (7.8)	7.29 m	7.29 m
6″	7.09 dd (7.4, 1.1)	7.10 dd (7.8, 1.8)	7.16 m	7.15 m
ОН	4.79 br s		5.16 br s	
	4.87 br s			
3-OMe				
4-OMe				3.75 s
5-OMe				
6-OMe		3.76 s		
7-OMe				
8-OMe				
2"-OMe		3.83 s		
CO <sub>2</sub> Me				
4				

Table 1. Continued

\*Coupling constants (J in Hz) are given in parentheses.



Scheme 3.

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C	1	2	3	4	5	8	9	10	12	13	16
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1								142.1	144.1	139.1	141.7ª
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	86.4	86.3	87.7	86.9	90.5	76.6	75.5	117.6	119.4	1211	108.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	32.9	32.9	33.7	33,3	31.8	127.6	128.6	155.7	162.7	159.3	154.8
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	138.9	138.6	129.6	131.6	129.4	119.2	119.0	101.4	102.3	93.6	111.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	107.1	106.0	107.7	104.7	107.2	129.4	132.3	154.4	159.8	155.8	154.8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	156.2	160.7	143.5	152.3	144.3	108.4	105.3	108.9	106.2	116,7	108.2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	95.3	93.7	125.7	126.0	125.6	143.9	152.7				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8	160.7	160.5	146,4	151.3	146.6	130.1	136.1				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	9	117.7	1175	117.9	119.6	118.4	140.0	146.7				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10						112.4	114.0				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11											
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1'	144.1	144.2	144.5	144.0	33.2	27.8	27.6	24.9	251	24.5	22.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2'	111.9	111.8	112.4	111.9	18.3	27.8	27.6	122.6	122.4	123.6	121.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3'	17.2	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.8			134.2	134.0	131.0	135.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4'								257	25.7	25.7	25.8
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5'								18.0	18.1	18.0	17.9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	α	356	35.8	35.0	35.5	35.0	33.8	34.5	35.7	33,4	33.3	37 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ß	36.5	36.6	36.7	36.8	36.9	37.9	37.7	37 5	37.3	37.3	37.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1"	141.5	141.6	141.6	141.5	141.8	141.7	141.5	141.7	1421	142.1	141.8 <sup>a</sup>
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2"	128.4	128.4	128.3	128.3	128.3	128.4	128 3	128.4	128.2	128.3	128.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3"	128.4	128.5	128.5	128.5	128.5	128.4	128.4	128.4	128.5	128.5	128.4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4"	126.1	126.0	125.9	126.0	126.0	125.9	125.9	1260	1261	126.0	125.9
	5″	128.4	128.5	128.5	128.5	128,5	128.4	128.4	128.4	128.5	128.5	128.4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6"	128.4	128.4	128.3	128.3	128.3	128.4	128.3	128.4	128.2	128 3	128.4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3-OMe										56.1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4-OMe											
$6-OMe$ 55.2   60.6 $7-OMe$ 56.4   55.9 $8-OMe$ 60.9 $2''-OMe$ 171.9   169.5 $CO_2Me$ 171.9   169.5	5-OMe										55.8	
T-OMe 56.4 55.9   8-OMe 60.9 $2''$ -OMe 171.9 169.5 $CO_2Me$ 171.9 169.5	6-OMe		55.2		60.6						55 0	
8-OMe     60.9 $2''$ -OMe     171.9     169.5       CO_2Me     52.2     52.2	7-OMe				56.4			55.9				
$2^{\prime\prime}$ -OMe CO <sub>2</sub> Me $171.9 169.5 \\ 52.2 52.2 \\ 52$	8-OMe							60.9				
$CO_2Me$ 171.9 169.5	2"-OMe							00.7				
52 5 2 1	CO <sub>2</sub> Me									171.9	169.5	
	202000									52.2	52.2	

Table 2. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz) spectral data for compounds 1-5, 8-10, 12, 13, 16, 18, 24, 25, 29, 32-37, 41 and 42 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>-TMS)\*

(23.1%). The former compound was dehydrogenated with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone (DDQ) to furnish a chromene derivative (88.4%) whose spectral data were identical to those of the dimethyl ether (9) of the natural product 8.

## Synthesis of 25 (Scheme 4)

Compound 59 was brominated with N-bromosuccinimide to give a mono- (60) (83.7%) and a dibromide (61) (13.9%). Treatment of the former product with *n*-BuLi and 2,2-dimethylallylbromide gave a debrominated product (59) (20.1%) and a prenylated product (74.5%) whose spectral data were consistent with those of the trimethyl ether (25) of the natural perrottetin A (24). Demethylation of 25 with EtSNa gave two bisdemethyl products 62 (50.7%) and 63 (38.2%). On the other hand when 25 was treated with BBr<sub>3</sub> it gave a chroman derivative (64) (80.3%). The structures of all intermediates obtained during the course of the syntheses of the natural bibenzyls and their derivatives were established by spectral methods (UV, IR, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, difference NOE, HRMS and EIMS).

It is known that bibenzyl derivatives show significant antimicrobial, antifungal [16–19], hyaluronidase [20], 5-

lipoxygenase and calmodulin inhibitory activity [9]. The prenyl bibenzyls (3, 24, 32 and 36) found in *R. perrottetii* and *R. complanata* also showed 5-lipoxygenase and calmodulin inhibitory activity (Table 3). One natural bibenzyl (17) and two synthetic bibenzyls (15 and 20) [9] possessed vasopressin antagonist activity (Table 4).

The distribution of bibenzyls in several Radula species have been reported [6, 8]. Radula constricta, R. okamurana and R. brunnea (subgenus: Radula) and R. companigera and R. chinensis (subgenus: Cladoradula) have chemically been investigated and neither perrottetin A-type compounds (24, 26 and 28) nor the cyclized compounds (1, 3 and 8) have been detected by TLC, GC or GC-MS [8]. R. perrottetin (subgenus: Cladoradula) is chemically different from the other Radula species so far examined because it produces 3,4,5-trihydroxy-2-(3methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl and its cyclized products as major components.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

Mps: uncorr. The solvents used for spectral measurements were TMS-CDCl<sub>3</sub> [<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz)]; EtOH (UV); CHCl<sub>3</sub> (IR); MeOH (CD and  $[\alpha]_D$ ) unless otherwise stated. The solvent MeOH-CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1:1) was

	18	24	25	29	32	33	35	36	37	41	42
1	140.7	133.9	140.5	140.5				<u></u>	<u> </u>	····	
2	104.2	118.2	126.1	126.5	74.3	74.1	74.3	74.3	74.2	86.4	86.3
3	157.9	142.9	151.9	151.8	133.9	133.9	134.3	134.1	134.0	31.6	32.1
4	116.0	130.2	130.8	135.5	120.7	121.0	120.1	120.7	121.1	152.0	156.1
5	157.9	141.9	151.2	151.3	21.7	21.3	22.3	21.7	21.3	107.9	102.0
i i	104.2	108.8	108.8	108.7	156.1ª	156.1*	154.4	152.1	156.1	143.9	143.
7					111.6	107.2	127.8	111.6	107.3	102.3	103.4
1					146.6 <sup>b</sup>	141.7 <sup>b</sup>	138.9	141.6	142.0	161.6	161.2
)					113.6	113.7	118.1	113.8	113.7	109.8	111.0
0					159.6*	159.4*	160.4	159.9	159.4		
.1					120.7	122.4	124,4	120.7	122.2		
1	22.1	25.4	25.2	21.1	20.1	20.1	19.9	20.1	20.1	143.9	144.3
Ľ	123.2	122.8	124.1	44.8						112.1	111.
ľ	130.9	131.9	135.4	70.9						17.2	17.3
¥.	25.9	25.7	25.7	29.1							
5'	17.7	17.9	18.0	29.1							
ι	38.0ª	35.0	35.2	35.2	37.4°	37.8°	35.6	35.7	36.2	37.7	38.
3	38.5ª	37.9	37.7	38.1	37.5°	37.9°	37.5	32.0	32.3	37.9	38.4
"	141.9	141.9	141.9	141.8	141.4 <sup>b</sup>	141.3 <sup>b</sup>	141.4	127.8	130.2	141.7	141.8
"	128.3	128.4	128.3	128.4	128.3	128.3	128.4	153.5	157.5	128.3	128.3
"	128.5	128.4	128.5	128.5	128.4	128.5	128.4	115.4	110.3	128.4	128.4
<i>"</i>	125.9	125.9	125.9	126.0	125.9	125.9	126.0	127.4	127.2	125.9	125.9
"	128.5	128.4	128.5	128.5	128.4	128.5	128.4	120.9	120.4	128.4	128.4
<i>"</i>	128.3	128.4	128.3	128.4	128.3	128.3	128.4	130.3	129.9	128.3	128.3
-OMe	55.8		60.9	61.0							
-OMe			60.7	60.7							55.2
-OMe	55.8		55.9	55.9							
-OMe						55.7	52.1		55.8		
-OMe											
3-OMe											
2"-OMe									55.3		
CO <sub>2</sub> Me							168.7				
-							62.8				

Table 2. Continued

\*All assignments were confirmed by the INEPT, <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C and long range <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C COSYs.

\*~ Values in any vertical column may be interchanged.

used for Sephadex LH-20 CC. TLC, GC and GC-MS were carried out as previously reported [21].

Plant materials. R. perrottetii Gott. and R. complanata (L.) Dum. were collected in Momizigawa, Aioi-cho, Tokushima Japan in Nov. 1983 and Dordogne, France 1979, respectively and identified by Drs S. Hattori, M. Mizutani and K. Yamada. The voucher specimens are deposited in the Herbarium of the Institute of Pharmacognosy, Tokushima Bunri University.

Extraction and isolation. Air-dried and powdered R. perrottetii (347 g) was extracted with MeOH for 5 months. The resultant MeOH extract was evapd in vacuo to give a green oil (25.90 g). This was chromatographed on silica gel using a nhexane-EtOAc gradient which was collected as 3 fractions. Fr. 1 (n-hexane 100%, 1.52 g) contained mixts of mono- and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons and *n*-paraffins in which the presence of  $\alpha$ pinene,  $\beta$ -barbatene [22], cuparene [6, 22] and squalence were confirmed by GC-MS. Fr. 2 (n-hexane-EtOAc, 10-30%, 6.25 g) was rechromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 to give Fr. 2-A and 2-B. A part of Fr. 2A was purified by prep. TLC (C6H6-EtOAc 4:1) to give 8 (22 mg) as an oil. The remainder of Fr. 2-A was purified by CC on silica gel impregnated with 10% AgNO3 (nhexane-EtOAc gradient) to give 1 (14 mg), 6 (9 mg) [9] and 12 (22 mg). Fr. 2-B was rechromatographed on silica gel using CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH (49:1) to give 10 (300 mg) [9] and perrottetin D (3) (520 mg). Fr. 3 (*n*-hexane-EtOAc, 50-75%, 3.98 g) was rechromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 to give 10 (25 mg), perrottetins E (38) (290 mg), F (39) (300 mg) and G (40) (16 mg) [7].

Compound 8. UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 211 (4.23), 280 (3.67), 335 (3.64); IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3570, 1620, 1510, 1375, 1180, 1130, 690; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>CNMR: Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 296.1416, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires 296.1413; EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 296 [M]<sup>+</sup> (30), 281 (100), 205 (15), 190 (33), 91 (25).

Compound 1. Mp 109–115.5° (from n-hexane);  $[\alpha]_D -13.6^{\circ}$ (c 0.09); UV  $\lambda_{max}$ nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 211 (4.08), 235 (3.49), 288 (3.21); IR  $\nu_{max}$ cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3600, 1602, 1490, 1450, 1443, 1119, 1112, 972, 900, 830, 690; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 280.1459, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires 280.1463; EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 280 [M]<sup>+</sup> (100), 265 (28), 225 (17), 189 (51), 175 (32), 174 (22), 105 (13), 91 (24).

Compound 12. Mp 95–98° (from Et<sub>2</sub>O); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 340.1677,  $C_{21}H_{24}O_4$  requires 340.1675. This compound was previously reported as 30 [6].

Compound 3. Mp 82-83° (from cyclohexane);  $[\alpha]_D - 9.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.1); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 296.1425, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires 296.1413; CD:  $\Delta \varepsilon_{207 \text{ nm}} + 0.29$ ,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{235 \text{ nm}} - 0.02$ ,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{265 \text{ nm}} + 0.06$  (c  $3.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol } 1^{-1}$ ). This compound was previously reported as 31 [6].

A part (35.028 g) of the crude extract (55.587 g) obtained from





Scheme 4.



Scheme 5.

Table 3. 5-Lipoxygenase and calmodulin inhibitory activity of some prenyl bibenzyls isolated from Radula species

Compounds	5-Lipoxygenase inhibition (at 10 <sup>-6</sup> mol)	Calmodulin inhibition ID <sub>50</sub> (µg ml <sup>-1</sup> )
3	40%	2
24	76	4
32	Not tested	95
34	15	17
36	not tested	18

Table 4. Vasopressin (VP) antagonist activity of some prenyl bibenzyls

Compounds	$ID_{so} (\mu g m l^{-1})$			
14	no activity			
15	57			
17	27			
19	no activity			
20	17			
25	no activity			

the dried R. complanata (610 g) was fractionated by silica gel CC using a n-hexane–EtOAc gradient which was collected as 6 fractions: Fr.1 (n-hexane 100%, 1.20 g), Fr. 2 (95–90% n-hexane, 7.74 g), Fr. 3 (85–70% n-hexane, 7.24 g), Fr. 4 (60–40% n-hexane, 11.29 g), Fr. 5 (30–10% n-hexane, 3.47 g) and Fr. 6 (EtOAc 100%, 3.45 g). Fr. 1–4 were further fractionated by CC on silica gel and several bibenzyls obtained [6]. A part of Fr. 3 (957 mg) was chromatographed on silica gel using the same solvent as described above to give radulanin A (32) (20 mg) [3, 4]. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2. A part of Fr. 4 (4.593 g) was rechromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 to afford 3,5-dihydroxy-2-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl (10) (39 mg) [9], 3,5-dihydroxy-4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl (16) (12 mg) [4], 2-geranyl-3,5-dihydroxybibenzyl (14) (14 mg), radulanin H (34) (120 mg) [6] and radulanin L (36) (900 mg).

Compounds 10 and 16. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2.

Compound 36. Mp 125–126° (from Et<sub>2</sub>O); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 214 (4.29), 275 (3.75), 282 (3.66); IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3580, 2900, 1620, 1585, 1500, 1480, 1450, 1420, 1245, 1060; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 296.1446, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 296.1412; EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 296 [M]<sup>+</sup> (100), 281 (27), 189 (91), 187 (58), 174 (26), 160 (23), 107 (36), 91 (11), 77 (30).

Methylation of 1. Compound 1 (2.3 mg) in dry Me<sub>2</sub>O (3 ml) was methylated with MeI (0.1 ml) in the presence of  $K_2CO_3$  (100 mg) for 4 hr under reflux. Work-up as usual gave an oil which was purified by prep. TLC (*n*-hexane-EtOAc, 4:1) to afford a monomethyl ether (2) (2.2 mg). mp 30.5-32.0°;  $[\alpha]_D$ 

-25.5° (c 0.08); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (loge): 215 (4.12), 231sh (3.74), 288 (3.37); IR  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1620, 1600, 1492, 1440, 1130, 690; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 294.1635, C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires 294.1620; CD:  $\Delta \varepsilon_{208 \text{ nm}} + 0.57$ ,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{220 \text{ nm}} - 0.27$ ,  $\Delta \varepsilon_{270 \text{ nm}} + 0.39$  (c 2.7 × 10<sup>-3</sup> mol l); EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 294 [M]<sup>+</sup> (100), 279 (23), 239 (15), 203 (61), 189 (35), 105 (13), 91 (28).

*Methylation of* **8**. Compound **8** (16 mg) was treated with MeI as described above to give a dimethyl ether (9): UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 220 (4.36), 275 (4.01), 280 (4.02), 350 (3.40); IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1600, 1500, 1460, 1450, 1418, 1130, 1060, 690; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 324.1701, C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires 324.1725; EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 324 [M]<sup>+</sup> (18), 309 (100), 233 (10), 218 (5), 203 (18), 91 (11).

*Methylation of* **12**. Treatment of **12** (5 mg) with MeI as described above afforded a dimethyl ether **(13)** (5 mg). Mp 82–85°; UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 218 (4.42), 287 (3.60); IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1722, 1595, 1433, 1320, 1270, 1150, 1140, 1080, 945, 690; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 368.1982, C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires 368.1987; EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 368 [M] <sup>+</sup> (100), 337 (50), 321 (18), 311 (42), 280 (27), 279 (94), 263 (29), 245 (57), 203 (55).

*Methylation of* **34**. Compound **34** (60 mg) was methylated as described above to give a methyl ester (**35**) (26 mg): UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 212 (4.32), 266 (3.85), 310 (2.85); IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2940, 1730, 1600, 1570, 1445, 1298, 1260, 1140, 1060, 1018, 980, 690; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 352.1653, C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires 352.1675; EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 352 [M]<sup>+</sup> (79), 337 (35), 321 (12), 289 (42), 261 (95), 231 (26), 91 (100).

Methylation of **36**. Compound **36** (33 mg) was methylated with MeI as described above to give a dimethyl ether (**37**) (20 mg): UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 212.5 (4.28), 270.5 (3.67), 277.5 (3.67); IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2950, 1615, 1600, 1585, 1465, 1452, 1420, 1240, 1100, 1048, 1030; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 324.1674, C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires 324.1725; EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 324 [M]<sup>+</sup> (98), 309 (89), 293 (8), 203 (74), 121 (100), 91 (92).

Methylation of 3. Compound 3 (20 mg) was methylated with MeI as described above to afford a dimethyl ether (4) (16 mg):  $[\alpha]_{\rm D} - 13.8^{\circ}$  (c 0.25); UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  nm (log  $\epsilon$ ): 217 (4.44), 277.5 (3.27); IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm neat}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3025, 2925, 1650, 1600, 1500, 1430, 1360, 1230, 1105, 1070, 1020, 970, 900, 810, 750, 700; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2; CD:  $\Delta \epsilon_{210 \text{ nm}} + 0.65$ ,  $\Delta \epsilon_{234 \text{ nm}} - 0.08$ ,  $\Delta \epsilon_{265 \text{ nm}} + 0.15$  (c  $3.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}1^{-1}$ ); EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 324 [M]<sup>+</sup> (44), 263 (17), 234 (17), 233 (100), 167 (15), 91 (39).

Catalytic hydrogenation of 3. Compound 3 (15 mg) in EtOH (2 ml) was hydrogenated in the presence of 10% Pd-C (40 mg) for 30 min. The product (14.8 mg), after filtration and removal of the solvent was purified by prep. TLC ( $C_6H_6$ -EtOAc, 4:1) to afford a dihydro deriative (5) (6 mg): mp 72-73°;  $[\alpha]_D - 27.9^\circ$  (c 0.11); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\epsilon$ ): 215 (4.38), 235sh (4.02), 275 (3.11); IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3550, 2950, 1610, 1520, 1455, 1280, 1175, 1032, 998, 690; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 298.1596, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires 298.1569; CD:  $\Delta \epsilon_{215}$  nm +1.68,  $\Delta \epsilon_{240}$  nm -0.25,  $\Delta \epsilon_{266}$  nm +0.13 (c  $3.6 \times 10^{-3}$  mol 1<sup>-1</sup>); EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 298 [M]<sup>+</sup> (51), 207 (100), 165 (22), 151 (32), 123 (18), 105 (11), 91 (82).

Prenylation of 3,5-dimethoxybibenzyl (44). To a soln of 42 (736 mg) [9] in dry Et<sub>2</sub>O (20 ml) was added 1.6 M *n*-BuLi soln (1.9 ml). The resultant soln was refluxed under Ar for 8 hr and then 2,2-dimethylallylbromide (550 mg) in dry Et<sub>2</sub>O was added dropwise over 10 min. After stirring for 1 hr at 40°, the reaction mixt. was poured into ice-H<sub>2</sub>O and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concd to an oil (993 mg), which was chromatographed on silica gel using an *n*-hexane–EtOAc gradient to afford 3,5-dimethoxy-4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl (18) (671 mg, 71.2%) as an oil and the starting material (42) (102 mg, 13.9%).

Compound 18. IR v<sub>max</sub><sup>neat</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2925, 1605, 1585, 1450, 1415,

1220, 1160, 1110; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 310.1942,  $C_{21}H_{26}O_2$  requires 310.1933; EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 310 [M] <sup>+</sup> (100), 295 (65), 255 (22), 242 (25), 219 (57), 151 (12), 105 (16), 91 (15).

Reaction of 18 with BBr<sub>3</sub>. To a soln of 18 (175 mg) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 ml) was added BBr<sub>3</sub> (0.2 ml) at  $-78^\circ$  with stirring. After stirring for 2 hr at  $-10-0^{\circ}$  Et<sub>3</sub>N (1 ml) was added. The reaction mixt. was poured into ice-H<sub>2</sub>O and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The CHCl<sub>3</sub> layer was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concd to an oil (178 mg) which was chromatographed on silica gel using 10% EtOAc-n-hexane to afford 2,2dimethyl-5-hydroxy-7-(2-phenylethyl)chroman (45) (118 mg, 74.2%): IR  $\nu_{max}^{neat}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 2975, 2925, 1625, 1580, 1450, 1360, 1345, 1225, 1150, 1110, 1045, 1020, 985; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ1.30 (6H, s), 1.75 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 2.61 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 2.70-2.83 (4H, m),5.54 (1H, br s, OH), 6.12, 6.33 (each 1H, br s), 7.12-7.25 (5H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ16.7 (t), 26.6 (q), 32.2, 37.4, 37.5 (each t), 74.0 (s), 106.2 (s), 106.5, 109.4, 125.8, 128.3 (each d), 141.3, 141.8, 153.7, 154.7 (each s); HRMS: found: 282.1632; C19H22O2 requires 282.1620; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 282 [M]<sup>+</sup> (63), 227 (100), 191 (40), 91 (46).

Methylation of 17. To a soln of 17 (42 mg) in dry Me<sub>2</sub>CO (10 ml) was added  $K_2CO_3$  (350 mg) and MeI (1.0 ml). The mixt. was stirred at 70-80° for 6 hr. Work-up as usual gave an oil which was purified by prep. TLC (*n*-hexane-EtOAc, 4:1) to afford 18 (37.5 mg, 85.2%) as an oil.

Demethylation of 18 with EtSNa in DMF. To a soln of 60% NaH (77 mg) in dry DMF (2 ml) was added a soln of EtSH (120 mg) in dry DMF (2 ml) under Ar at room temp. After stirring for 5 min, a soln of 18 (100 mg) in dry DMF (1 ml) was added and refluxed for 3 hr at 170–180°. The reaction mixt. was poured into ice-H<sub>2</sub>O and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The organic layer was successively washed with 1 M HCl, H<sub>2</sub>O, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concd *in vacuo* to give a residue (125 mg) which was purified by prep. TLC (*n*-hexane–EtOAc, 4:1) to yield a bibenzyl (66 mg, 69.0%) whose spectral data were identical to those of the natural 3-hydroxy-5-methoxy-4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl (17) [4, 9].

Treatment of 17 with EtSNa in HMPA. To a soln of 60% NaH (164 mg) in dry hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA) (2 ml) was added a soln of EtSH (0.43 ml) in HMPA (2 ml) under Ar at room temp. After stirring for 10 min, a soln of 17 (101 mg) in dry HMPA (2 ml) was added and the mixt. refluxed for 5 hr at 170–180°. The reaction mixt. was treated in the same manner as described above to afford 3,5-dihydroxybibenzyl (46) (55 mg, 57.2%) [9].

Synthesis of 50. The prenyl phenol (47) (154.5 mg) was acetylated with Ac<sub>2</sub>O-pyridine (each 1 ml). Work-up as usual gave an acetate (48) (165.2 mg, 87.5%); 1.68 (6H, s), 2.28 (3H, s), 3.12 (2H, d, J = 7.7 Hz), 3.72 (3H, s), 5.16 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz). To the acetate (48) (63 mg) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (6 ml) was added  $H_2O$  (2 ml) and  $Ca(OCl)_2$  (87 mg) in an ice bath. A small piece of solid CO<sub>2</sub> was added to the above resultant mixt. which was then stirred for 45 min. After filtration, the CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> layer was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> to give a residue (68 mg), which was purified by prep. TLC (n-hexane-EtOAc, 5:1) to afford 49(33 mg, 46.1%) [15] <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$ 1.88 (3H, s), 2.32 (3H, s), 2.96 (2H, d, J = 7.2 Hz), 3.72 (3H, s), 4.56 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 4.88 (2H, d, J = 7.2 Hz). To compound 49 (14.3 mg) in Me<sub>2</sub>CO was added 0.6 M Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub> (1 ml) and the mixt. was stirred for 2 hr at room temp. and then Amberlite-IR-120-B (2 g) was added and stirred for 10 min. Filtration and evapn of the solvent gave a residue which was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> to give a residue which was purified by prep. TLC as described above to furnish 50 (4.2 mg, 41.5%) <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$ 1.76 (3H, s), 3.04 (2H, dd, J = 14.4, 7.2 Hz), 3.72 (3H, s), 4.89 (1H, s), 5.04 (1H, s), 5.14 (1H, t, J = 9.0 Hz).

Synthesis of 2. Compound 11 (99.5 mg) was acetylated with Ac<sub>2</sub>O-pyridine as described above to give an acetate (52) (112.8 mg, 99.3%): IR  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1770, 1615, 1580, 1360, 1200, 1120, 1040; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ1.64, 1.68 (each 3H, s), 2.26 (3H, s), 2.88 (4H, s), 3.16 (2H, d, J = 7.2 Hz), 3.70 (3H, s), 5.00 (1H, t, J =7.2 Hz), 6.48 (1H, d, J=3.6 Hz), 6.64 (1H, d, J=3.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ18.0 (q), 25.3 (t), 25.6 (q), 35.5, 37.4 (each t), 55.3 (q), 106.4, 111.3 (each d), 123.8 (s), 126.0, 128.4 (each d), 131.1, 141.7, 142.6, 149.9, 158.0, 169.5 (each s); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 338 [M]<sup>+</sup> (5), 296 (26), 295 (100), 279 (12), 241 (66), 205 (21), 161 (25), 105 (11), 91 (27), 43 (10). Compound 52 (85.7 mg) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4 ml) was treated with H<sub>2</sub>O (2 ml) and Ca(OCl)<sub>2</sub> (82.4 mg) in the presence of solid CO2 as described above [15]. The usual workup gave a chloride (53) (22.1 mg, 49.7%) and the starting material (52) (26 mg): compound 53: UV λ<sup>MeOH</sup> nm (log ε): 217.5 (4.12), 282.5 (3.14); IR  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1775, 1120, 1040; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  1.89, 2.30 (each 3H, s), 2.92 (6H, s), 3.75 (3H, s), 4.57 (1H, t, J = 6.0 Hz), 4.90(2H, s), 6.52 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz), 6.66 (1H, J = 2.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$ 17.3 (q), 33.7, 37.4 (each t), 55.3 (q), 66.5, 106.1, 113.1 (each d), 113.9 (t), 120.3, 124.2 (each s), 126.1, 128.4 (each d), 143.1, 144.4, 150.4, 158.0, 169.5 (each s); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 372 [M] + (1), 283 (16), 242 (15), 241 (100), 137 (11), 105 (7), 91 (23), 43 (16). To the chloride (53) (16.2 mg) in Me<sub>2</sub>CO (4 ml) was added 0.6 M  $Ba(OH)_2$  (2 ml) at room temp. with stirring for 3 hr. The reaction mixt. was treated in the same manner described in the preparation of 50 to give a cyclized product (6.1 mg, 47.7%) whose spectral data were in good agreement with those of 2 prepared from 1 by methylation with Mel.

Synthesis of 42. The bibenzyl (17) (111.8 mg) was treated with Ac<sub>2</sub>O-pyridine to give an acetate (54) (125.7 mg, 98.5%): UV  $\lambda_{max}^{MeOH}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 219.5 (4.22), 281.5 (3.38); IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1770, 1615, 1580, 1450, 1360, 1200, 1075; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ1.65, 1.66 (each 3H, s), 2.23 (3H, s), 2.87 (4H, s), 3.22 (2H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.72 (3H, s), 5.12 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 6.51 (2H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$ 17.6 (q), 22.8 (t), 25.5 (q), 37.4. 37.7 (each t), 55.9 (q), 108.5, 114.4 (d), 119.9, 122.1, 125.8, 128.3 (each d), 131.0, 140.7, 141.4, 149.3, 158.0, 169.2 (each s); EIMS m/z (rel. int.); 338 [M]<sup>+</sup> (20), 296 (26), 295 (100), 279 (29), 241 (62), 205 (43), 161 (13), 105 (21), 91 (30), 43 (18). The acetate 54 (116.8 mg) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (6 ml) was treated in the same manner as described in the preparation of 53 to afford 55 (143.7 mg) which was treated with 0.6 Ba(OH)<sub>2</sub> (1 ml), without further purification. The reaction mixt, was treated in the same manner as described in the preparation of 2 to yield 42 (24.2 mg, 21.3%).  $[a]_{D} \pm 0^{\circ}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>; c 1.5); UV  $\lambda_{max}^{MeOH}$  nm (log e): 218 (4.28), 275 (3.03); IR v<sub>max</sub>cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1600, 1490, 1450, 1325, 1090; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 1 and 2; HRMS: found: 294,1604, C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires 294.1620; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 294 [M]+ (100), 280 (15), 279 (80), 203 (75), 188 (21), 105 (17), 91 (25).

Preparation of 2 and 42 from 3-hydroxy-5-methoxybibenzyl (56). To isoprene (27.7 ml) in CCl<sub>4</sub> (20 ml) Br<sub>2</sub> (10 ml) was added dropwise under non-aq. condition with stirring at  $10\pm5^\circ$  for 16 hr. To the reaction mixt, was added H<sub>2</sub>O (100 ml) and the organic layer was distilled in vacuo to give cis and trans-1.4dibromo-2-methyl-2-butene (13.17 g, cisttrans, 4:1). To the bibenzyl 56 (1.20 g) in dry MeOH (20 ml) was added 28% MeONa soln (1.4 ml). After stirring for 30 min, the reaction mixt. was evapd to dryness in vacuo. The residual brown crystals were suspended in dry  $C_6H_6$  (20 ml) and evapd again to remove all traces of  $H_2O$ . The residue was again suspended in dry  $C_6H_6$ (20 ml), to which was added dropwise 1,4-dibromo-2-methyl-2butene (1.97 g), and the mixt. refluxed for 2 hr. The reaction mixt. was poured into ice-H<sub>2</sub>O, extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O, washed with brine and dried over MgSO4. Evapn of the solvent gave an oil (1.95 g), which was chromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 to yield the starting material (56) (412 mg; 34.3%) and a mixt. of 2 and 42. The mixt, was further chromatographed on silica gel

using an n-hexane-EtOAc gradient to give 2 (314 mg, 19.9%) and 42 (251 mg, 15.9%).

Preparation of 1 and 41 from 3,5-dihydroxybibenzyl (46). To a soln of 46 (1.00 g) in dry MeOH (20 ml) was added 28% MeONa soln (2.0 ml). The resultant mixt, was treated with 1,4-dibromo-2-methyl-2-butene (1.70 g) as described above to give an oil (1.85 g) which was chromatographed on silica gel using the same solvent system as described above to afford 1 (235 mg, 17.6%):  $[\alpha]_D \pm 0^\circ$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>; c 1.5) and 41 (65 mg, 4.8%):  $[\alpha]_D \pm 0^\circ$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>; c 0.8); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log e): 213.5 (4.32), 275 (3.31), IR  $\nu_{max}^{neat}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3450, 1640, 1600, 1490, 1240, 1130, 1020; EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 280 [M]<sup>+</sup> (100), 265 (22), 225 (21), 189 (65), 175 (35), 174 (26), 161 (19), 147 (21), 105 (16), 91 (68); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Tables 2 and 3.

Preparation of 3,4,5-trimethoxybibenzyl (59). To a soln of benzyl magnesium bromide [prepared from C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Br (6.54 g) and Mg (1.10 g) in dry Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 ml) was added a soln of 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde (57) (5.50 g) in dry THF (10 ml) over 20 min under Ar at room temp. After stirring at 35-40° for 30 min, the reaction mixt, was poured into 1 M HCl (50 ml)crushed ice and extracted with EtOAc. The EtOAc layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concd to give an oil (9.59 g) which was chromatographed on silica gel using 10%-EtOAc-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to yield a-hydroxy-3,4,5-trimethoxybibenzyl (58) (7.97 g, 98.6%) as needles, mp 83–84.5°; UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 215 (4.33), 267.5 (3.10); IR v<sup>KBr</sup><sub>max</sub> cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3500, 1595, 1510, 1465, 1420, 1320, 1230, 1125, 1005, 750; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ2.97 (2H, d, J =7.1 Hz), 3.80 (6H, s), 3.82 (3H, s), 4.79 (1H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 6.52 (2H, s), 7.16-7.30 (SH, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ45.9 (t), 55.9, 60.6 (each q), 75.2, 102.9, 126.3, 128.1, 129.3 (each d) 137.1, 137.9, 139.5, 152.8 (each s); HRMS: found: 288.1383, C17H20O4 requires 288.1362; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 280 [M]<sup>+</sup> (5), 198 (12), 197 (100), 169 (51), 154 (17), 138 (24), 91 (15); (found: C, 70.6; H, 6.92; C17H20O4 requires: C, 70.81; H, 6.99). Compound 58 (3.261 g) in EtOAc (50 ml) and HOAc (5 ml) was hydrogenated in the presence of 20% Pd-C (0.80 g) for 48 hr at 40°. The catalyst was removed by filtration, washed with EtOAc and the solvent was evapd to afford 59 (2.661 g, 86.5%) as an oil. UV 2 MeOH nm (log c): 211.5 (4.15), 270.5 (3.23); IR y<sup>neat</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2925, 1590, 1505, 1450, 1420, 1320, 1230, 1120, 1000; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ2.83-2.90 (4H, m), 3.79 (6H, s), 3.82 (3H, s), 6.35 (2H, s), 7.16-7.29 (5H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 837.6 (t), 37.9 (d), 55.6, 60.4 (each q), 105.3, 125.5, 127.9, 128.2 (each d), 136.1, 137.0, 141.2, 152.7 (each s); HRMS: found: 272.1388, C17H20O3 requires: 272.1412; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 272 [M]<sup>+</sup> (69), 182 (28), 181 (100), 148 (16), 91 (61).

Synthesis of 4. To a soln of 60% NAH (0.60 g) in dry DMF (20 ml) was added EtSH (2.2 ml) under Ar at room temp. After stirring for 10 min, a soln of 59 (5.23 g) in dry DMF (10 ml) was added dropwise over 20 min. The usual work-up gave a crude oil (6.96 g), which was chromatographed on silica gel (nhexane-EtOAc gradient) to afford starting material (59) (551 mg, 10.5%), 3,4-dimethoxy-5-hydroxybibenzyl (65) (1.994 g, 40.2%) and 3,5-dimethoxy-4-hydroxybibenzyl (66) (2.043 g, 41.1%) as oils, respectively. Compound 65: UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\epsilon$ ): 214.5 (4.46), 262 (3.03); IR vmai cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3420, 3020, 2925, 1595, 1510, 1450, 1350, 1230, 1195, 1160, 1130, 1100, 995; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ2.85 (4H, br s), 3.79, 3.85 (6H, s), 5.80 (1H, br s, OH), 6.23, 6.44 (each d, J = 1.8 Hz), 7.22 (5H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$  37.7, 37.9 (each t), 55.8, 60.9 (each q), 104.6, 107.9, 125.9, 128.3, 128.4 (each d), 133.8, 138.0, 141.6, 149.1, 152.1 (s); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 258 [M]<sup>+</sup> (31), 168 (15), 167 (100), 91 (14). Compound 66: UV  $\lambda_{max}$ nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 213 (4.13), 235 (3.77), 270 (3.02); <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ2.87 (4H, br s), 3.82 (6H, s), 6.34 (2H, s), 7.10–7.30 (5H, m);  $^{13}$ C NMR:  $\delta$  37.6, 37.8 (each t), 55.8 (s), 105.0, 125.4, 127.8, 128.2 (each d), 132.3, 132.8, 141.2. 146.6 (each s); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 258 [M]<sup>+</sup> (20), 168 (12), 167 (100), 91 (11). To a soln of 65 (646 mg) in dry MeOH (10 ml) was added 28% MeONa-MeOH soln (0.4 ml) at room temp. under Ar. The reaction mixt. was treated with 1,4-dibromo-2-methyl-2butene (560 mg) as described in the preparation of 1, 2, 41 and 42 (Scheme 2) to give an oil (1.705 g) which was chromatographed on silica gel (*n*-hexane-EtOAc gradient) to afford 4 (193 mg, 23.8%) as an oil,  $[\alpha]_D \pm 0^\circ$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>; c 2.1), whose spectral data were identical to those of the dimethyl ether of perrottetin D (3).

Synthesis of 9. To a soln of 65 (1.00 g) in dry MeOH (15 ml) was added 28% MeONa-MeOH soln (1.0 ml) at room temp. under Ar. After stirring for 30 min, the reaction mixt. was evapd to dryness to give yellow crystals which were suspended in dry  $C_6H_6$  (20 ml). The soln was evapd again to remove all traces of  $H_2O$ . The residue was again suspended in dry  $C_6H_6$  (20 ml), to which was added dropwise 2,2-dimethylallylbromide (0.87 g). The reaction mixt. was stirred for 30 min at 50-55° under Ar, poured into ice-H<sub>2</sub>O, and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The Et<sub>2</sub>O layer was washed with 1 M HCl and H<sub>2</sub>O, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concd to give an oil (1.75 g), which was chromatographed on silica gel (n-hexane-EtOAc gradient) to give the starting material 65 (395 mg, 39.5%), 67 (125 mg, 8.9%) and 68 (320 mg, 23.1%), as oils, respectively. Compound 67: UV  $\lambda_{max}$ nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 216 (4.42), 272.5 (3.12); IR v<sub>max</sub><sup>nest</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3500, 3025, 2920, 1605, 1590, 1500, 1450, 1420, 1340, 1240, 1120, 1060, 1030; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ1.68, 1.76 (each 3H, s), 2.84 (4H, br s), 3.33 (2H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), 3.77 (3H, s), 3.87 (3H, s), 5.14 (1H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 5.89 (1H, br s, OH), 6.22 (1H, s), 7.17–7.30 (5H, m);  ${}^{13}$ C NMR:  $\delta$ 17.9, 25.6 (each a), 24.9, 35.1, 37.7 (each t), 55.7, 60.8 (each q), 104.9 (d), 119.0 (s), 123.5, 125.8, 128.3, 128.4 (each d), 130.9, 133.8, 135.6, 141.9, 147.3, 149.8 (each s); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 326 [M] + (47), 271 (11), 236 (15), 235 (100), 193 (15), 178 (22), 91 (73). Compound 68: UV λ<sub>max</sub>nm (log ε): 213.5 (4.53), 267.5 (3.01); IR  $\nu_{max}^{neat}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3025, 2925, 1590, 1500, 1450, 1420, 1230, 1110, 1010; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ1.72, 1.76 (each 3H, s), 2.87 (4H, br s), 3.81, 3.82 (each 3H, s), 4.50 (2H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), 5.49 (1H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 6.36 (2H, s), 7.20–7.28 (5H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$ 17.8, 25.4 (each q), 37.6, 37.8 (each t), 55.7, 60.4 (each q), 65.7 (t), 105.5, 107.4, 120.0, 125.6, 128.0, 128.2 (each d), 136.8, 141.3, 152.0, 152.8 (each s); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 326 [M]<sup>+</sup> (6), 259 (12), 258 (66), 168 (23), 167 (100), 91 (49), 69 (36). To a soln of 67 (90 mg) in dry C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (20 ml) was added 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-pbenzoquinone (DDQ) (110 mg). The reaction mixt. was refluxed for 30 min, and filtered. The filtrate was evapd to afford an oil (138 mg) which was purified by prep. TLC (n-hexane-EtOAc, 4:1) to yield a chromene (79 mg, 88.4%) as an oil, whose spectral data were identical to those of the dimethyl ether (9) of the natural chromene (8).

Synthesis of 25. To a soln of 59 (1.90 g) in dry DMF (20 ml) was added N-bromosuccinimide (1.36 g). After stirring for 1 hr, the reaction mixt. was poured into H<sub>2</sub>O and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The Et<sub>2</sub>O layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concd to give an oil (2.15 g), which was chromatographed on silica gel (10% EtOAc-n-hexane) to yield 2-bromo-3,4,5trimethoxybibenzyl (60) (2.057 g, 83.7%) and 2,6-dibromo-3,4,5trimethoxybibenzyl (61) (420 mg, 13.9%) as needles. Compound 60: mp 28.0–30.0° (from *n*-hexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 217.5 (4.51), 267.5 (3.17); IR  $\nu_{max}^{KBr}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2950, 1605, 1570, 1485, 1390, 1330, 1235, 1195, 1160, 1100, 1040, 1005, 920, 795, 695; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$ 2.86, 2.97 (each 2H, m), 3.72, 3.84, 3.87 (each 3H, s), 6.43 (1H, s), 7.17-7.28 (5H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ36.1, 38.3 (each t), 56.0, 60.7, 60.9 (each q), 109.4 (d), 110.4 (s), 125.9, 128.2, 128.4, 141.2, 141.4, 150.8, 152.3 (each s); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 350  $[M + 2]^+$  (28), 320  $[M]^+$ (22), 261 (80), 259 (100), 91 (13), (Found: C, 57.87; H, 5.39; C17H19O3Br: C, 58.13; H, 5.45). Compound 61: mp 80.0-81.5° (from *n*-hexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 216 (4.41), 282.5 (3.22); IR v<sub>max</sub><sup>KBr</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2925, 1595, 1465, 1405, 1385, 1320, 1135, 1045, 995, 950, 740, 730, 690; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ2.82, 3.28 (each 2H, m), 3.91 (6H, s), 3.93 (3H, s), 7.22–7.34 (5H, m);  ${}^{13}CNMR$ :  $\delta$  34.3, 39.6 (each t), 60.9, 61.2 (each q), 115.0 (s), 126.1, 128.4, 136.2, 141.4,

145.9, 150.7 (each s); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 432  $[M + 4]^+$  (12), 341 (44), 339 (100), 337 (44), 91 (13); (found: C, 47.27; H, 4.19,  $C_{17}H_{18}O_3Br_2$  requires: C, 47.47; H, 4.22). To compound 60 (1.20 g) in dry  $Et_2O$  (20 ml) was added 1.6 M *n*-BuLi soln (2.6 ml) at room temp. After stirring for 1 hr, 2,2-dimethylallyl bromide (609 mg) was added dropwise over 10 min to the stirred soln. The mixt. was treated in the same manner as described in the preparation of 17 (Scheme 1) to afford 3,4,5-trimethoxybibenzyl (59) (186 mg, 20.1%) and 2-prenyl bibenzyl (858 mg, 74.5%) as an oil, whose spectral data were identical to those of the trimethyl ether (25) of perrottetin A (24).

**Reaction of 25** with BBr<sub>3</sub>. To a soln of **25** (250 mg) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 ml) was added BBr<sub>3</sub> (0.3 ml) at  $-60^{\circ}$ . The mixt. was treated in the same manner as described in the preparation of **45** from **18** (Scheme 1) to give a chroman (**64**) (176 mg) as needles, mp 124–125.5° (from *n*-hexane); UV  $\lambda_{max}$ nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 216 (4.30), 272.5 (3.05); IR  $\nu_{max}^{\text{KBr}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3550, 3350, 1615, 1500, 1460, 1160, 1120, 1080, 1020, 960; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$ 1.29 (6H, s), 1.73, 2.48 (each 2H, *t*, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 2.72, 2.81 (each 2H, *m*), 5.52 (2H, *br* s, 2 × OH), 6.43 (1H, s), 7.13–7.27 (5H, *m*); <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$ 19.2 (*t*), 26.6 (*q*), 32.9, 34.1, 37.0 (each *t*), 74.7 (s), 107.6 (*d*), 111.3 (s), 125.8, 128.2, 128.4 (each *d*), 130.1, 131.0, 141.1, 141.6, 141.9 (each *s*); EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.); 298 [M]<sup>+</sup> (52), 243 (14), 209 (14), 207 (100), 165 (19), 151 (15), 91 (38).

Reaction of 25 with EtSNa in DMF. To a suspension of 60% NaH (1.18 g) in dry DMF (8 ml) was added a soln of EtSH (2.20 ml) in dry DMF (3 ml) for 10 min under Ar at room temp. with stirring. After stirring for 20 min, a soln of 25 (1.00 g) in dry DMF (3 ml) was added, and the mixt. stirred for 30 min at 150-160°. The reaction mixt. was treated in the same manner as described in the preparation of 17 from 18 (Scheme 1) to give 3,4-dihydroxy-5-methoxy-2-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)bibenzyl (62) (465 mg, 50.7%) and 4,5-dihydroxy-3-methoxy-2-(3-methyl-2butenyl)bibenzyl (63) (351 mg, 38.2%), as needles, respectively. Compound 62: mp 82–83° (from *n*-hexane–Et<sub>2</sub>O); UV  $\lambda_{max}$ nm  $(\log \epsilon)$ : 215 (4.61), 267 (3.18), 282 (3.00); IR  $\nu_{max}^{KBr}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 2925, 1620, 1590, 1500, 1450, 1300, 1220, 1100, 1090, 1040; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$ 1.68, 1.77 (each, 3H, s), 2.83 (4H, br s), 3.34 (2H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), 3.76(3H, s), 5.14(1H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), 5.44, 5.53 (each 1H, br s, OH), 6.22 (1H, s), 7.16-7.27 (5H, m); HRMS: found 312.1719, C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires 312. 1725; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 312 [M]<sup>+</sup> (54), 257 (14), 221 (100), 189 (14), 179 (20), 165 (15), 164 (18), 147 (21), 91 (32). Compound 63: 74.0-74.5° (from *n*-hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O); UV  $\lambda_{max}$ nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 214 (4.40), 282.5 (3.42); IR  $v_{max}^{KBr}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 3320, 3000, 1600, 1500, 1430, 1370, 1290, 1180, 1050; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$ 1.67, 1.73 (each 3H, s), 2.78 (4H, m), 3.25 (2H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), 3.77 (3H, s), 5.05 (1H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 5.30, 5.49 (each 1H, br s, OH), 6.60 (1H, s), 7.16-7.30 (5H, m); HRMS: found: 312.1723, C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires 312.1725; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 312 [M]<sup>+</sup> (56), 256 (19), 221 (100), 189 (14), 179 (30), 164 (26), 147 (23), 91 (42).

Acknowledgements—We thank Drs S. Hattori and M. Mizutani (The Hattori Botanical Laboratory, Nichinan, Japan) and Dr K. Yamada (Ujiyamada High School, Mie, Japan) for their identification of the *Radula* species. We are also indebted to Prof. L. Crombie (Department of Chemistry, The University of Nottingham, U.K.) for his valuable information of prenyl bibenzyls. Thanks are also due to Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co. for bioassay on 5-lipoxygenase, calmodulin and vasopressin. This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Cancer Research from the Ministry and Health and Welfare.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Yamada, K. (1979) J. Hattori Bot. Lab. 45, 201.
- 2. Asakawa, Y., Toyota, M. and Takemoto, T. (1978) Experientia 34, 971.

- 3. Asakawa, Y., Toyota, M. and Takemoto, T. (1978) Phytochemistry 17, 2005.
- 4. Asakawa, Y., Kusube, E., Takemoto, T. and Suire, C. (1978) Phytochemistry 17, 2115.
- 5. Asakawa, Y., Takeda, R., Toyota, M. and Takemoto, T. (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 858.
- 6. Asakawa, Y., Takikawa, K., Toyota, M. and Takemoto, T. (1982) *Phytochemistry* 21, 2481.
- Toyota, M., Tori, M., Takikawa, K., Shiobara, Y., Kodama, M. and Asakawa, Y. (1985) *Tetrahedron Letters* 26, 6097.
- Takikawa, K., Tori, M. and Asakawa, Y. (1989) J. Hattori Bot. Lab. 67, 365.
- 9. Asakawa, Y., Kondo, K., Tori, M., Hashimoto, T. and Ogawa, S. (1991) Phytochemistry 30, 219.
- 10. Asakawa, Y., Kondo, K. and Tori, M. (1991) Phytochemistry 30, 325.
- 11. Ishii, H., Sekiguchi, F. and Ishikawa, T. (1981) Tetrahedron 37, 285.
- 12. Ishii, H., Ishikawa, T., Chen, I.-S. and Lu, S.-T. (1982) Tetrahedron Letters 23, 4345.
- 13. Crombie, L. W., Crombie, W. M. L. and Firth, D. F. (1988) J.

Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans I 1263.

- Sthothers, J. B. (1972) in <sup>13</sup>C-NMR Spectroscopy, p. 358. Academic Press, New York.
- Hegde, S. G., Vogel, M. K., Saddler, J., Hrinyo, T., Rockwell, N., Haynes, R., Oliver, M. and Wolinsky, J. (1980) Tetrahedron Letters 21, 441.
- 16. Mitscher, L. A., Park, Y. H., Al-Shamman, A., Hudson, P. B. and Haas, T. (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 781.
- 17. Mitscher, L. A., Rao, G. S. R., Veysoglu, T. and Drake, S. (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 573.
- 18. Asakawa, Y. (1984) Rev. Latinoam. Quim. 14, 109.
- Gollapudi, S. R., Telikepalli, H., Keshavarz-Shokri, A., Velde, D. V. and Mitscher, L. A. (1989) *Phytochemistry* 28, 3556.
- Hashimoto, T., Tori, M. and Asakawa, Y. (1988) Phytochemistry 27, 109.
- 21. Asakawa, Y., Matsuda, R., Tori, M. and Hashimoto, T. (1988) Phytochemistry 27, 3861.
- 22. Asakawa, Y. (1982) in Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products (Herz, W., Grisebach, H. and Kirby, G. W., eds), Vol. 42, p. 1. Springer, Wien.