Siloles Bearing Si-Vinyl and Si-Allyl Functions. 1,1-Organoboration and Protodeborylation

Ezzat Khan^{a, b} and Bernd Wrackmeyer^a

^a Anorganische Chemie II. Universität Bavreuth, 95440 Bavreuth, Germany

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Malakand, Chakdara, Dir(Lower), N. W. F. P., Pakistan

Reprint requests to Prof. Dr. B. Wrackmeyer. E-mail: b.wrack@uni-bayreuth.de

Z. Naturforsch. 2009, 64b, 1098-1106; received September 14, 2009

1,1-Organoboration of dialkyn-1-yl(divinyl)silanes, dialkyn-1-yl(organo)(vinyl)silanes and dialkyn-1-yl(allyl)(methyl)silane using triethylborane, BEt3, or 9-ethyl-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane, Et-9-BBN, afforded selectively silole derivatives with Si-vinyl and Si-allyl functions, respectively, bearing the dialkylboryl group in 3-position. The siloles are formed *via* intermolecular 1,1-alkylboration, followed by intramolecular 1,1-vinylboration. In the cases of several 3-diethylboryl-substituted siloles, smooth and essentially quantitative protodeborylation could be achieved by the reaction of the siloles with an excess of acetic acid at ambient temperature. All new siloles were characterized in solution by multinuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H, ¹³C, ¹¹B and ²⁹Si NMR).

Key words: Alkynylsilanes, Siloles, Organoboration, Triethylborane, Protodeborylation, NMR

Introduction

R

Siloles [1] attract increasing interest owing to their photophysical properties [2,3] which depend on the substituents at the silicon and the ring carbon atoms. A versatile synthesis of siloles aiming for the introduction of different substituents at all ring positions has been developed, taking advantage of 1,1-organoboration [4, 5] of dialkyn-1-ylsilanes. For this purpose, thermally robust triorganoboranes such as triethylborane, BEt₃, or 9-ethyl-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane, Et-9-BBN, have to be used [5-7]. Alternatively, triarylboranes [8] or the extremely Lewis-acidic tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane, $B(C_6F_5)_3$, have also proved useful [9]. So far we have accomplished the straightforward synthesis of numerous siloles of type A and B, some of which could readily be protodeborylated to give the siloles C (Scheme 1).

In the present work, we report on the first examples of siloles, obtained via 1,1-organoboration starting

BR-Ét в С Alkyl, Aryl, H R Alkyl, Aryl = Alkyl, Arvl R R¹/R² = Alkyl, Aryl, H, C R¹/R² = Alkyl, Aryl, H R¹/R² = Alkyl, Aryl, AcC

from dialkyn-1-ylsilanes, with vinyl group(s) or an allyl group at silicon. This can be useful for further transformation of the siloles and also for incorporating the siloles into polymers.

Results and Discussion

1,1-Organoboration

The reactions of the respective alkynyllithium reagents with diorganosilicon dichlorides [10, 11] led to the dialkyn-1-ylsilanes 1-5 (Schemes 2-5), of which 5 was obtained by consecutive reactions of dichloro(divinyl)silane in the first step with hexyn-1yllithium ⁿBu-C=C-Li, and in the second step with 4-^{*t*}Bu-C₆H₄-C \equiv C–Li. The dialkyn-1-ylsilanes were purified by distillation and characterized by NMR spectroscopy (e.g. Fig. 1). The NMR data of these starting alkynes will be described elsewhere [12].

Treatment of the dialkyn-1-yl(organo)vinylsilanes 1 and 2 with an excess of BEt₃ at 100-120 °C

> Scheme 1. Some silole derivatives bearing various substituents at different positions, prepared by 1,1-organoboration (A, B), followed by protodeborylation (C), reported so far.

0932-0776 / 09 / 1000-1098 \$ 06.00 © 2009 Verlag der Zeitschrift für Naturforschung, Tübingen · http://znaturforsch.com



Fig. 1. 100.5 MHz ¹³C{¹H} and 59.6 MHz $^{29}Si^{1}H$ (upper insert) NMR spectra of dihexyn-1-yldivinylsilane (4a)(in C₆D₆, 23 °C). Satellite signals marked by asterisks correspond to J(²⁹Si, ¹³C).

(Scheme 2a) afforded the siloles 6 and 7 in essentially quantitative yield. The progress of the reaction was monitored by NMR spectroscopy. In the case of 1, the reaction proceeded further, if heating was unnecessarily continued for prolonged periods of time. Then, BEt₃ turned out to act as a hydroborating reagent [13, 14], and in repeated experiments variable amounts of the siloles 6 were converted into siloles 8 via hydroboration of the Si-CH=CH₂ group (see Fig. 2 for relevant ¹³C NMR spectra). This was confirmed (Scheme 2b) by the quantitative hydroboration of **6a** using 9-BBN [15] as a common hydroborating reagent. The comparison of the NMR data sets of 8 and 9a was conclusive.

The successful syntheses of siloles bearing one Si-vinyl function prompted us to study the case of allyl(methyl)bis(phenylethynyl)silane 3b (Scheme 3),

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 1,1-organo(vinyl)siloles and hydroboration of the Si-CH=CH₂ function.



*

Scheme 3. Synthesis of the 1-allyl substituted silole 10b.

and silole 10b was obtained without appreciable side reactions.

Dialkyn-1-yl(divinyl)silanes 4 were treated with BEt₃ in excess (Scheme 4) in the same way as described above. Three straightforward examples of 1,1divinylsiloles 11 were obtained in essentially quantitative yield (Scheme 4a). The dialkyn-1-yl(divinyl)silane 5 contains two different alkyn-1-yl groups. Apparently, attack of the Si-C \equiv C-ⁿBu was preferred over that



Fig. 2. Part of the 100.5 MHz ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra of silole **6a**, (bottom, after heating the mixture of **1a** in BEt₃ for 3 d at 100–120 °C). The upper trace illustrates the result of the same reaction after 13 d at 100–120 °C: **6a** and **8a**. ${}^{29}Si$ satellite signals marked by asterisks correspond to ${}^{n}J({}^{29}Si, {}^{13}C)$ (n = 1,2), and J values are given in brackets. The typically broad ${}^{13}C(3)$ NMR signal indicates the linkage C–B by partially relaxed ${}^{13}C{}^{-11}B$ spin-spin coupling [16].



at the Si–C=C-C₆H₄-4-^{*t*}Bu unit, leading to **12**, and only about 25 % of the other isomer **12**' was formed (Scheme 4b; see also Fig. 3 for relevant NMR spectra).

Similar to BEt₃, 9-ethyl-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane [17], Et-9-BBN, is sufficiently stable to survive the harsh reaction conditions required for the 1,1organoboration of many alkyn-1-ylsilanes. The reaction (Scheme 5) proceeds as described previously for $Me_2Si(C\equiv C-Ph)_2$ [7a] by twofold expansion of the bi-

Scheme 4. 1,1-Divinyl silole derivatives bearing identical (11) or different substituents (12) at 2- and 5-positions.



Scheme 5. Synthesis of polycyclic siloles, using 9-Et-9-BBN as organoborating reagent.

Unauthenticated



Fig. 3. Part of the 100.5 MHz ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of the mixture of the siloles **12** and **12'**. Expansions show ${}^{29}Si$ satellites (asterisks) corresponding to ${}^{1}J({}^{29}Si, {}^{13}C)$ and ${}^{2}J({}^{29}Si, {}^{13}C)$. Signals belonging to the isomer **12'** are marked by filled circles (see also Table 1 for details). Note the broad B-C(3) NMR signals [16]. The insert shows the 79.4 MHz ${}^{29}Si{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum with signals for both isomers **12** and **12'**.

cyclic structure to give the polycyclic siloles **13** and **14** without any side products. The analogous silole with R = Ph containing the Si(Ph)H unit has already been characterized by X-ray diffration [7b].

Protodeborylation of siloles bearing the diethylboryl group in 3-position

We have previously shown that protodeborylation of siloles [7, 18] works efficiently with acetic acid in excess at r. t. In the course of these reactions (Scheme 6), in each case, the diethylboryl group is converted into the bicyclic boron-oxygen compound **19** [18], and the siloles **15**–**18** were obtained and readily characterized by their consistent NMR data sets (Table 2) The vinyl group(s) (see *e. g.* Fig. 4 for ¹³C NMR spectra of **11a**) or the allyl group (see Fig. 5 for the ²⁹Si NMR spectrum of **18b**) are left untouched, ready for further transformations. The siloles **13** and **14** did not react with acetic acid under these conditions.

Chemical shifts $\delta^{11}B$, $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{29}Si$ and coupling constants ${}^{1}J({}^{29}Si, {}^{13}C)$

The nature of the π system of the siloles is influenced by the various substituents in positions 1-5, and this should be reflected to some extent by the chemical



Scheme 6. Protodeborylation of some 1-vinyl-siloles and of a 1-allyl-silole.

shifts of ¹³C and ²⁹Si nuclei. For the siloles bearing the dialkylboryl group in 3-position, the δ^{11} B data are typical of triorganoboranes without BC(*pp*) π interactions [16a, 19]. This is in agreement with a preferred conformation in which the ring plane and the C₂B plane of the dialkylboryl group are close to perpendicular. Thus, the

	$\delta^{13}C(2)$	$\delta^{13}C(3)$	$\delta^{13}C(4)$	$\delta^{13}C(5)$	δ ²⁹ Si	δ^{11} B
6a ^b	140.1 [65.0]	167.7 (br)	155.5 [11.2]	135.8 [69.5]	-3.5	86.8
6b ^c	144.6 [64.3]	169.9 (br)	157.6 [10.1]	139.2 [68.0]	-1.1	85.1
$7a^d$	139.7 [65.9]	169.2 (br)	157.0 [11.1]	135.7 [62.6]	-8.4	86.5
8a ^e	140.2 [62.4]	167.4 (br)	155.0 [8.8]	135.6 [67.1]	9.7	85.6
8b ^f	145.3 [64.4]	169.7 (br)	157.3 [9.2]	139.5 [65.1]	12.3	85.1
9a ^g	140.3 [62.7]	167.4 (br)	155.1 [10.1]	135.6 [67.1]	9.6	87.9
10b ^h	144.7 [63.2]	169.7 (br)	157.5 [9.7]	139.0 [66.5]	6.9	86.5
11a ⁱ	139.1 [66.3]	168.8 (br)	156.7 [11.0]	135.1 [70.6]	-11.7	87.1
11b ^j	143.1 [65.7]	170.9 (br)	158.6 [10.2]	138.1 [69.2]	-10.0	86.2
11c ^k	142.4 [66.4]	170.3 (br)	158.4 [10.3]	137.5 [69.6]	-10.2	86.9
12 ¹	139.9 [66.9]	170.5 (br)	157.0 [10.7]	137.6 [69.8]	-10.8	86.3
12′ m	141.5 [65.7]	168.6 (br)	158.0 [10.5]	135.8 [n. o.]	-10.5	86.3
13b ⁿ	148.0 [64.3]	171.1 (br)	166.8 [9.0]	136.0 [66.5]	-1.6	88.1
14d ^o	146.4 [65.9]	171.2 (br)	167.7 [9.2]	134.4 [69.7]	-10.8	87.9

Table 1. 11 B, 13 C and 29 Si	NMR data ^a for siloles $6-14$.
--	--

^a Measured as C_6D_6 solution at 23 °C, coupling constants $J(^{29}Si, ^{13}C)$ are given in brackets, br denotes broad ¹³C resonance signals owing to partially relaxed scalar ¹³C-¹¹B coupling [16], n. o. means not observed; ^b other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = -5.7$ [49.3] (SiMe), 22.8 (br), 9.2 (BEt₂), 24.7, 14.2 (Et), 34.2, 33.6, 32.8, 28.8, 23.5, 23.49, 14.4, 14.3 (ⁿBu), 133.1 (=CH₂), 137.2 [61.3] (Si-CH=); ^c other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = -6.5 \ [51.3] \ (SiMe), \ 22.3 \ (br), \ 9.8 \ (BEt_2), \ 24.7, \ 14.5 \ (Et), \ 142.0 \ [6.5], \ 141.0 \ [6.0], \ 128.7, \ 128.3, \ 127.7, \ 126.4, \ 125.9 \ (Ph), \ 135.3 \ (Ph), \ Ph), \ 135.3 \ (Ph), \ Ph), \ Ph)$ $(=CH_2)$, 135.1 [63.7] (Si-CH=); ^d other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = 22.8$ (br), 9.4 (BEt₂), 24.9, 14.1 (Et), 34.1, 33.5, 33.0, 29.0, 23.3, 14.1 (ⁿBu), 135.2, 135.15, 128.3, 129.7, (SiPh), 135.0 (=CH₂), 133.9 [63.8] (Si-CH=); ^e other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = -3.9$ [46.3] (SiMe), 34.2, 33.2, 29.1, 23.61, 23.6, 14.4 ("Bu), 22.8 (br), 9.4 (BEt₂), 23.7, 13.4 (Et), 19.5 (br), 8.5 (BEt₂), 19.5 (br) (BCH₂), 6.6 [49.1] (SiCH₂); ^f other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = -4.8$ [48.5] (SiMe), 22.4 (br), 10.0 (BEt₂), 24.7, 14.7 (Et), 19.5 (br), 8.5 (BEt₂), 6.1 [51.1] (SiCH₂), 19.4 (br) (BCH₂), 142.6 [6.4], 142.6 141.7 [5.8], 128.7, 128.8, 128.1, 127.5, 126.3, 125.8 (Ph); ^g other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = -4.0$ [46.1] (SiMe), 22.8 (br), 8.5 (BEt₂), 24.7, 14.4 (Et), 6.5 [49.0] (SiCH₂], 20.6 (br) (BCH₂), 34.2, 33.7, 33.2, 29.2, 23.7, 23.6, 14.4, 14.4 (ⁿBu), 33.7, 31.5 (br), 23.8 (9-BBN); ^h other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = -5.4$ [49.5] (SiMe), 22.3 (br), 9.8 (BEt₂), 24.6, 14.5 (Et), 133.8 (=CH), 114.0 (H₂C=), 21.2 [46.2] (SiCH₂], 142.3 [6.7], 142. 141.4 [5.9], 128.8, 128.7, 128.3, 127.6, 126.4, 125.9 (Ph); ⁱ other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = 24.8$, 14.1 (Et), 22.8 (br), 9.3 (BEt₂), 34.3, 33.7, 32.9, 29.0, 23.5, 23.4, 14.3, 14.2 (^{*n*}Bu), 134.5 [63.8] (Si–CH=), 134.4 (=CH₂); ^j other ¹³C NMR data: δ = 24.8, 14.4 (Et), 22.2 (br), 9.8 (BEt₂), 132.6 [66.4] (Si–CH=), 136.7 (=CH₂), 141.9 [6.4], 140.9 [5.8], 128.7, 128.7, 128.5, 128.0, 126.5, 125.0 (Ph); ^k other ¹³C NMR data: δ = 24.9, 14.5 (Et), 22.2 (br), 9.9 (BEt₂), 133.1 [66.1] (Si-CH=), 136.5 (=CH₂), 149.0, 148.4, 138.9 [6.7], 138.0 [5.3], 128.4, 128.0, 125.6, 125.6, 34.5 (C), 34.5 (C), 31.6 (Me), 31.5 (Me) (4-'Bu-C₆H₄); ¹ other ¹³C NMR data: δ = 24.6, 14.3 (Et), 22.1 (br), 9.8 (BEt₂), 34.5, 29.2, 23.5, 14.3 (ⁿBu), 134.0 [64.7] (Si-CH=), 135.5 (=CH₂), 139.0, 128.0, 125.6, 148.5, 33.6 (C), 31.5 (Me) (4-^tBu-C₆H₄); ^m other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = 25.3, 14.3 \text{ (Et)}, 22.8 \text{ (br)}, 9.4 \text{ (BEt}_2), 34.2, 31.2, 23.4, 14.3 ("Bu), 133.6 \text{ [64.9]} \text{ (Si-CH=)}, 135.6 \text{ (=CH)}, 138.2, 128.4, 125.5, 148.1, 33.0 \text{ (BC}), 138.2, 128.4, 125.5, 148.1, 33.0 \text{ (BC}), 138.2, 128.4, 128$ (C), 31.6 (Me) $(4^{-1}Bu-C_6H_4)$; ⁿ other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = -6.6$ (SiMe), 11.2, 21.6, 23.2, 22.4, 30.5, 30.7, 33.3, 34.9, 35.0 (Et-BC_8H_{14}), 143.1 [6.6], 141.2 [5.9], 128.6, 128.2, 127.9, 126.7, 125.8 (Ph), 135.2 (H₂C=), 134.9 (Si–CH=); ^o other ¹³C NMR data: δ = 11.3, 21.5 (br), 21.2, 30.7, 31.2 (br), 34.9, 35.2 (Et-BC₈H₁₄), 136.3 (H₂C=), 132.9 (Si–CH=), 140.3 [5.6], 138.1, 137.0, 134.9, 129.34, 129.29, 128.4, 128.2, 21.2 (4-Me-C₆H₄).

Table 2. ¹³ C a	and ²⁹ Si NMR	data ^a for	protodeborylated	siloles 15 – 18
----------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------	------------------	------------------------

10

	δ^{13} C(2)	$\delta^{13}C(3)$	$\delta^{13}C(4)$	$\delta^{13}C(5)$	δ^{29} Si
15b ^b	143.3 [63.1]	142.9 [8.3]	155.1 [7.8]	137.3 [67.5]	-1.9
16a ^c	143.5 [65.3]	154.6 [8.9]	144.9 [9.4]	133.4 [69.6]	-12.7
16b ^d	142.1 [65.7]	156.0 [7.8]	143.7 [8.1]	135.9 [69.1]	-10.4
17 ^e	139.9 [66.7]	155.0 [8.4]	143.2 [8.9]	135.7 [69.7]	-11.1
17′ ^f	137.9	155.5	145.0	135.8	-11.7
18b ^g	143.4 [63.0]	142.6 [≈7]	155.0 [7.5]	137.4 [66.5]	6.1

^a In C₆D₆ at 23 ± 1 °C; coupling constants $J(^{29}Si, ^{13}C)$ are given in brackets [±0.3 Hz]; ^b other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = -6.4$ [51.9] (SiMe), 24.8, 13.7 (Et), 134.6 [64.3], (Si–CH=), 135.6 (=CH₂), 140.5 [6.0], 139.1 [6.2], 129.0, 128.7, 128.4, 126.9, 127.3, 126.1 (Ph); ^c other ¹³C data: $\delta = 23.9, 13.5$ (Et), 33.6, 33.0, 32.6, 28.9, 23.3, 23.0, 14.2, 14.2 (ⁿBu), 133.5 [64.9] (Si–CH=), 135.0 (H₂C=); ^d other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = 13.4, 24.8$ (Et), 140.4 [5.4], 138.9 [5.3], 129.0, 128.7, 128.6, 127.1, 127.4, 126.1 (Ph), 132.1 [66.5] (Si–CH=), 137.1 (H₂C=); ^e other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = 24.0, 13.6$ (Et), 34.5, 29.1, 23.3, 14.2 (ⁿBu), 136.5 [5.4], 126.8, 125.7, 149.7, 33.5 (C), 31.4 (Me) (4-^rBu-C₆H₄), 133.3 [65.5] (Si–CH=), 135.9 (H₂C=); ^f other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = 24.8, 13.6$ (Et), 34.5, 29.1, 23.3, 14.2 (ⁿBu), 136.5 [5.4], 126.8, 125.7, 149.7, 33.5 (C), 31.4 (Me) (4-^rBu-C₆H₄), 133.3 [65.5] (Si–CH=), 135.9 (H₂C=); ^f other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = 24.8, 13.6$ (Et), 34.5, 29.1, 23.3, 14.2 (ⁿBu), 136.5 [5.4], 126.8, 125.7, 149.7, 33.5 (C), 31.4 (Me) (4-^rBu-C₆H₄), 133.3 [65.5] (Si–CH=), 135.9 (H₂C=); ^f other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = 24.8, 13.6$ (Et), 34.5, 31.1, 23.0, 14.1 (ⁿBu), 136.7, 128.4, 125.5, 148.3, 33.1 (C), 31.5 (Me) (4-^rBu-C₆H₄), 132.7 (Si–CH=), 136.1 (H₂C=); ^g other ¹³C NMR data: $\delta = -5.4$ [49.5] (SiMe), 24.6, 14.5 (Et), 133.8 (HC=), 114.0 (=CH₂), 21.2 [46.2] (SiCH₂), 142.3 [6.7], 141.4 [5.9], 128.8, 128.7, 128.3, 127.6, 126.4, 125.9, (Ph).

boryl group should not affect the silole π system. This is mirrored by the virtually unchanged chemical shifts

 δ^{29} Si [20] in 3-diethylboryl-substituted siloles (Table 1) and the corresponding protodeborylated siloles



32.0

Fig. 5. 59.6 MHz ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR spectrum (refocused IN-EPT) of 1-allylsilole **18b**. The ¹³C satellites belonging to ¹J(²⁹Si, ¹³C) are marked by asterisks, and those marked by arrows (close to the parent signal) may be assigned to ^{*n*}J(²⁹Si, ¹³C) ($n \ge 2$).

0.0

v(Hz) 32.0

(Table 2). This comparison is more straightforward than that of the $\delta^{13}C(2,3,4,5)$ data because of the intrinsic substituent effect exerted by the Et₂B group as compared to hydrogen. Another diagnostic criterion for potential changes of the π system is available in the coupling constants ${}^{1}J({}^{29}Si, {}^{13}C(2,5))$ [20]. An inspection of these data in the Tables 1 and 2 shows that Fig. 4. Parts of ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ the NMR (100.5 MHz) spectrum of 2,5-dibutyl-3-ethyl-1,1-divinylsilole 11a. ²⁹Si satellites (marked by asterisks in expansions) correspond to $J(^{29}Si,$ ¹³C). The upper insert shows the J-modulated spectrum for assignment of C, CH and CH₂ carbons.

protodeborylation does not induce significant changes. This is also true for ${}^{1}J({}^{29}\text{Si},{}^{13}\text{C})$ of all organyl groups exocyclicly attached to silicon.

Et

11a

Siloles bearing various substituents at the ring positions are readily available in high yield *via* 1,1organoboration reactions. It is shown that this route to siloles also tolerates vinyl or allyl groups at the silicon atom. Furthermore, if triethylborane is used for the organoboration, the diethylboryl group can be removed by protodeborylation, and the diene system as well as the substituents at silicon (vinyl or allyl) are not affected. On the other hand, the hydroboration of the exocyclic vinyl group of **6a** indicates that much more chemistry can be done without disturbing the π system of the silole.

Experimental Section

Starting materials and measurements

The preparations and handling of all air- and moisturesensitive samples were carried out under an inert atmosphere (Ar), and carefully oven-dried glassware and dry solvents were used throughout. BuLi in hexane (1.6 M), all chlorosilanes, 1-hexyne, phenylethyne, 1-ethynyl-4-*tert*butylbenzene, triethylborane BEt₃, and glacial acetic acid were commercial products and were used as received. 9-Ethyl-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane [17], Et-9-BBN, dialkyn-1-yldivinylsilanes 4 and 5 [21] were prepared as described. Dialkyn-1-ylvinylsilanes were prepared according to analogous literature procedures [22]. Data related to their structures will be published elsewhere [12]. NMR spectra (¹H, ¹¹B, ¹³C, ²⁹Si) were recorded in C₆D₆ (concentration *ca*. 10–15 %, v/v) with samples in 5 mm o.d. tubes at 23 \pm 1 °C using Varian Inova 300 MHz and 400 MHz spectrometers; chemical shifts are given relative to Me₄Si [δ^{1} H $(C_6D_5H) = 7.15; \ \delta^{13}C \ (C_6D_6) = 128.0; \ \delta^{29}Si = 0 \ for$ Ξ (²⁹Si) = 19.867184 MHz] and external BF₃-OEt₂ [δ ¹¹B = 0 for $\Xi(^{11}B) = 32.083971$ MHz]. Chemical shifts $\delta^{1}H$ are given to ± 0.04 ppm, δ^{13} C and δ^{29} Si to ± 0.1 ppm, and δ^{11} B to ± 0.5 ppm. ²⁹Si NMR spectra were measured by using the refocused INEPT pulse sequence [23], based on ${}^{2/3}J({}^{29}Si C^{1}H=CH_{2}$) and ${}^{3}J({}^{29}Si,{}^{1}HC^{4})$ 20-25 Hz (after optimization of the respective refocusing delays).

1,1-Ethylboration of alkyn-1-ylsilanes 1-3

An NMR tube was filled with **1a** (0.23 g: 0.99 mmol) and BEt₃ in excess. The NMR tube was sealed and kept at 100-120 °C (oil bath). After three days the NMR tube was cooled in liquid nitrogen, opened under argon, and all readily volatile materials were removed in a vacuum. The brown oily liquid left was identified as silole **6a**. A portion of silole **6a** was mixed with BEt₃ and kept under identical conditions in a sealed NMR tube. After 13 d the NMR tube was opened, all volatile materials were evaporated, and the oily liquid was identified as mixture of silole **6a** and **8a**. The reaction conditions for the synthesis of siloles **6b** and **8b** were similar, except that heating lasted for 5 d and 23 d, respectively. Reactions in the case of silole derivatives **7a** and **10b** continued for 8 d and 6 d, respectively.

6a: ¹H NMR (300 MHz): δ = 0.3 (s, 3H, SiMe), 0.7, 0.7, 1.3, 2.1 (t, t, m, m, 18H, ^{*n*}Bu), 1.1, 1.3 (t, m, 10H, BEt₂), 0.9, 2.3 (t, m, 5H, Et), 6.0 (dd, 1H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H)$ = 4.0, 20.1 Hz, =CH₂), 6.1 (dd, 1H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H)$ = 4.0, 14.6 Hz, =CH₂), 6.5 (dd, 1H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H)$ = 14.6, 20.1 Hz, Si–CH=).

6b: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): $\delta = 0.5$ (s, 3H, SiMe), 1.1, 1.5 (t, m, 10H, BEt₂), 0.9, 2.3, 2.4 (t, m, m, 5H, Et), 5.9 (dd, 1H, $J(^{1}H, ^{1}H) = 3.6$, 20.2 Hz, =CH₂), 6.1 (dd, 1H, $J(^{1}H, ^{1}H) = 3.6$, 14.4 Hz, =CH₂), 6.4 (dd, 1H, $J(^{1}H, ^{1}H) = 14.4$, 20.2 Hz, Si–CH=), 7.2, 7.3 (m, m, 10H, Ph).

7a: ¹H NMR (300 MHz): $\delta = 0.7, 0.8, 1.2, 1.4, 2.1$ (t, t, m, m, m, 18H, ^{*n*}Bu), 1.1, 1.4 (t, m, 10H, BEt₂), 0.9, 2.3 (t, m, 5H, Et), 6.0 (dd, 1H, $J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) = 3.8, 20.3$ Hz, =CH₂), 6.1 (dd, 1H, $J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) = 3.8, 14.8$ Hz, =CH₂), 6.5 (dd, 1H, $J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) = 14.8, 20.3$ Hz, Si–CH=), 7.2, 7.7 (m, m, 5H, SiPh).

10b: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): $\delta = 0.3$ (s, 3H, SiMe), 1.0, 1.3 (t, m, 10H, BEt₂), 0.8, 2.1, 2.2 (t, m, m, 5H, Et), 1.7, 4.8, 5.7 (d, m, m, 5H, All), 7.0–7.2 (m, 10H, Ph).

Hydroborylation of the Si-vinyl group in silole **6a** using 9-BBN, synthesis of silole **9a**

A Schlenk tube was charged with the solution of silole **6a** (0.13 g, 0.39 mmol) in THF (5 mL), and one equivalent of solid 9-BBN (0.05 g; 0.39 mmol) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 2 h. Then, the solvent and all other volatiles were removed, leaving silole **9a** as an oily liquid.

9a: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 0.3 (s, 3H, SiMe), 0.9, 2.1, 2.3 (t, m, m, 5H, Et), 0.9 – 1.0, 1.2 – 1.8 (m, m, Bu, Si(CH₂)₂, BEt₂, 9-BBN).

Synthesis of silole derivatives 11 and 12

Reactions for the preparation of silole derivatives 11 and 12 were carried out under harsh reaction conditions as described above. All these compounds were obtained as viscous oils. The reaction times were different for these siloles: 11a (2 d), 11b (4 d), 11c (10 d) and 12a, 12a' (5 d).

11a: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 1.0, 1.4 (t, m, 10H, BEt₂), 0.8, 2.1 (t, q, 5H, Et), 0.9, 0.9, 1.4, 2.1, 2.3 (t, t, m, t, t, 18H, ^{*n*}Bu), 5.9 (dd, 2H, $J(^{1}H,^{1}H)$ = 4.0, 20.1, =CH₂), 6.0 (dd, 2H, $J(^{1}H,^{1}H)$ = 4.0, 14.6, =CH₂), 6.3 (dd, 2H, $J(^{1}H,^{1}H)$ = 14.6, 20.1, Si–CH=).

11b: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 1.1, 1.5 (t, br, 10H, BEt₂), 0.9, 2.3 (t, q, 5H, Et), 6.0 (dd, 2H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H)$ = 3.7, 20.0 Hz, =CH₂), 6.0 (dd, 2H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H)$ = 3.7, 14.8 Hz, =CH₂), 6.3 (dd, 2H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H)$ = 14.8, 20.0 Hz, HC=), 7.1–7.2, 7.3, 7.3 (m, m, m, 10H, Ph).

11c: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): $\delta = 0.9$, 1.3 (t, m, 10H, BEt₂), 0.7, 2.2 (t, q, 5H, Et), 6.2 (dd, 2H, Si–CH=), 5.8 (m, 4H, =CH₂), 1.0, 1.1, 6.9, 7.1 (s, s, m, m, 26H, 4-^{*t*}Bu-C₆H₄).

12a: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): $\delta = 0.9$, 1.2 – 1.4 (t, m, 10H, BEt₂), 0.8, 2.0 (t, m, 5H, Et), 0.8, 1.2 – 1.4, 2.3 (t, m, t, 9H, ^{*n*}Bu), 5.9 (dd, 2H, $J(^{1}H,^{1}H) = 3.8$, 14.8 Hz, =CH₂), 5.9 (dd, 2H, $J(^{1}H,^{1}H) = 3.8$, 19.8 Hz, =CH₂), 6.2 (dd, 2H, $J(^{1}H,^{1}H) = 14.8$, 19.8 Hz, Si–CH=), 1.1, 6.9, 7.1 (s, m, m, 13H, 4-^{*t*}Bu-C₆H₄).

1,1-Organoboration of silanes **1b** and **4d** using 9-ethyl-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane

Silane **1b** (1 g, 3.7 mmol) was filled together with an excess (1 mL, 0.72 g, 5.6 mmol) of 9-ethyl-9-borabicyclo-[3.3.1]nonane into an NMR tube. The NMR tube was sealed and heated at 120 °C (oil bath). The progress of the reaction was monitored by ²⁹Si NMR spectroscopy. After 15 h the reaction was found to be complete, and all readily volatile materials were removed *in vacuo* (*ca.* 10^{-1} Torr). Finally, excess of Et-9-BBN was removed by heating at 60–70 °C *in vacuo*. The remaining oily product was identified as pure **13b** in > 95 % yield and high purity (99 %). The silole **14d** was obtained in the same way. Silole derivatives **13b** and **14d** were air- and moisture-sensitive oily liquids.

13b: ¹H NMR data (400 MHz): $\delta = 0.5$ (s, 3H, SiMe), 0.8, 1.1, 1.5, 1.7, 2.0–2.1, 3.3 (t, m, m, m, m, m, 19H, Et-BC₈H₁₄), 5.9–6.2 (m, 3H, Si-Vinyl), 6.9, 7.0–7.2, 7.3 (m, m, m, 10H, Ph).

14d: ¹H NMR data (300 MHz): $\delta = 1.1$, 1.4, 1.4–1.8, 3.4 (t, q, m, m, 19H, Et-BC₈H₁₄), 5.9–6.1, 6.3 (m, m, 6H, Si–CH=CH₂), 2.1, 6.9–7.1 (s, m, m, 13H, 4-^{*t*}Bu-C₆H₄).

Protodeborylation of the silole derivatives 6, 10, 11, and 12

The protodeborylation of the silole derivatives was carried out following literature procedures [18, 21].

15b: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): $\delta = 0.3$ (s, 3H, SiMe), 0.8, 2.3 (t, m, 5H, Et), 5.8 (dd, 1H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 3.5$, 20.3 Hz, =CH₂), 5.9 (dd, 1H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 3.5$, 14.6 Hz, =CH₂), 6.2 (dd, 1H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 14.6$, 20.3 Hz, Si–CH=), 7.0–7.2, 7.4 (m, m, 11H, C⁴H, Ph).

16a: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): $\delta = 0.8, 0.8, 1.2, 1.4, 2.2, 2.3$ (t, t, m, m, t, t, 18H, "Bu), 0.9, 2.1 (t, q, 5H, Et), 5.9 (dd, 2H, $J({}^{1}\text{H}, {}^{1}\text{H}) = 4.1, 20.0$ Hz, =CH₂), 5.9 (dd, 2H, $J({}^{1}\text{H}, {}^{1}\text{H}) = 4.1, 14.8$ Hz, =CH₂), 6.1 (dd, 2H, $J({}^{1}\text{H}, {}^{1}\text{H}) = 14.8, 20.0$ Hz, Si–CH=), 6.4 (m, 1H, ${}^{3}J({}^{29}\text{Si}, {}^{1}\text{H}) = 13.8$ Hz, C⁴H).

- B. Wrackmeyer, O. L. Tok, *Comprehensive Hetero-cyclic Chemistry III*, (Eds.: A. R. Katritzky, C. A. Ramsden, E. F. V. Scriven, R. J. K. Taylor), Elsevier, Oxford, **2008**, chapter 3.17, pp. 1181–1223, and refs. cited therein.
- [2] a) S.-C. Lo, P.L. Burn, *Chem. Rev.* 2007, *107*, 1097;
 b) N. Yu, C. Wang, F. Zhao, L. Liu, W.-X. Zhang, Z. Xi, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2008, *14*, 5670;
 b) X. Zhan, A. Haldi, C. Risko, C. K. Chan, W. Zhao, T. V. Timofeeva, A. Korlyukov, M. Yu. Antipin, S. Montgomery, E. Thompson, Z. An, B. Domercq, S. Barlow, A. Kahn, B. Kippelen, J.-L. Bredas, S. R. Marder, *J. Mat. Chem.* 2008, *18*, 3157;
 c) J. Liu, J. W. Y. Lam, B. Z. Tang, *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. Mat.* 2009, *19*, 249.
- [3] a) Z. Li, Y.Q. Dong, J.W.Y. Lam, J. Sun, A. Qin, M. Haussler, Y.P. Dong, H. H. Y. Sung, I. D. Williams, H. S. Kwok, B.Z. Tang, *Adv. Funct. Mat.* **2009**, *19*, 905; b) C. Booker, X. Wang, S. Haroun, J. Zhou, M. Jennings, B. L. Pagenkopf, Z. Ding, *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 7845; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 7731.
- [4] B. Wrackmeyer, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1995,145, 125.
- [5] B. Wrackmeyer, Heteroatom Chem. 2006, 17, 188.
- [6] a) R. Köster, G. Seidel, J. Süß, B. Wrackmeyer, *Chem. Ber.* 1993, *126*, 1107; b) R. Köster, G. Seidel, I. Klopp, C. Krüger, G. Kehr, J. Süß, B. Wrackmeyer, *Chem. Ber.* 1993, *126*, 1385.

16b: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): $\delta = 0.9$, 2.3 (t, q, 5H, Et), 5.9 (dd, 2H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 3.5$, 20.1 Hz, =CH₂), 5.9 (dd, 2H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 3.5$, 14.9 Hz, =CH₂), 6.2 (dd, 2H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 14.8$, 20.1 Hz, Si–CH=), 7.0–7.2, 7.3, 7.5 (m, m, m, 11H, Ph, C⁴H).

17: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): δ = 0.9, 1.3, 2.4 (t, m, t, 9H, ^{*n*}Bu), 1.0, 2.3 (t, q, 5H, Et), 6.0 (dd, 2H, $J({}^{1}\text{H}, {}^{1}\text{H})$ = 3.9, 19.9 Hz, =CH₂), 6.1 (dd, 2H, $J({}^{1}\text{H}, {}^{1}\text{H})$ = 3.9, 15.0 Hz, =CH₂), 6.3 (dd, 2H, $J({}^{1}\text{H}, {}^{1}\text{H})$ = 15.0, 19.9 Hz, Si–CH=), 7.2 (s, 1H, ${}^{3}J({}^{29}\text{Si}, {}^{1}\text{H})$ = 13.3 Hz, C⁴H), 1.2, 7.3, 7.5 (s, m, m, 13H, 4^{*t*}Bu-C₆H₄).

17': ¹H NMR (400 MHz): $\delta = 6.6$ (m, 1H, C⁴H), other protons were not assigned owing to overlap with signals belonging to silole 17a.

18b: ¹H NMR (400 MHz): $\delta = 0.3$ (s, 3H, SiMe), 1.0, 2.3 (t, m, 5H, Et), 1.7 (d, 2H, $J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 8.0$ Hz, Si–CH₂), 4.7 (m, 1H, =CH), 5.6 (m, 2H, =CH₂), 7.1, 7.2, 7.4 (m, m, m, 11H, C⁴H, Ph).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. E. K. is grateful to DAAD and HEC (Pakistan) for a scholarship.

- [7] a) E. Khan, S. Bayer, B. Wrackmeyer, Z. Naturforsch.
 2009, 64b, 995; b) E. Khan, S. Bayer, R. Kempe,
 B. Wrackmeyer, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2009, in press.
- [8] B. Wrackmeyer, G. Kehr, J. Süß, E. Molla, J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 562, 207.
- [9] G. Dierker, J. Ugolotti, G. Kehr, R. Fröhlich, G. Erker, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2009**, *351*, 1080.
- [10] a) H. Lang, M. Weinmann, L. Zsolnai, J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 522, 277; b) H. Lang, U. Lay, M. Weinmann, J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 436, 265.
- [11] B. Wrackmeyer, E. Khan, R. Kempe, Z. Naturforsch. 2007, 62b, 75.
- [12] E. Khan, B. Wrackmeyer, Manuscript in preparation.
- [13] a) B. Wrackmeyer, E. Khan, S. Bayer, K. Shahid, Z. Naturforsch. 2007, 62b, 1174; b) B. Wrackmeyer, E. Khan, W. Milius, Z. Naturforsch. 2008, 63b, 1267.
- [14] E. Khan, S. Bayer, B. Wrackmeyer, Z. Naturforsch. 2009, 64b, 47.
- [15] a) R. Köster, Angew. Chem. 1960, 72, 626; b) R. Köster,
 P. Binger, Inorg. Synth. 1974, 15, 141; c) E. F. Knights,
 H. C. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 5280; d) E. F.
 Knights, H. C. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 5281.
- [16] a) B. Wrackmeyer, *Progr. NMR Spectrosc.* 1979, *12*, 227; b) B. Wrackmeyer, *Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc.* 1988, 20, 61.

- [17] R. Köster, G. Griaznow, W. Larbig, P. Binger, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1964**, 672, 1.
- [18] B. Wrackmeyer, E. Khan, R. Kempe, Z. Naturforsch. 2008, 63b, 275.
- [19] H. Nöth, B. Wrackmeyer, in *NMR Basic Principles and Progress*, (Eds.: P. Diehl, E. Fluck, R. Kosfeld), Vol. 14, Springer, Berlin, **1978**.
- [20] B. Wrackmeyer, Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc. 2006, 57, 1.
- [21] E. Khan, R. Kempe, B. Wrackmeyer, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, 5367.
- [22] a) W. E. Davidsohn, M. C. Henry, Chem. Rev. 1967, 67, 73; b) L. Brandsma, Preparative Acetylenic Chemistry (2nd ed.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1988; c) L. Brandsma, Synthesis of Acetylenes, Allenes, and Cumulenes – Methods and Techniques, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004.
- [23] a) G. A. Morris, R. Freeman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 760; b) G. A. Morris, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 428; c) G. A. Morris, J. Magn. Reson. 1980, 41, 185; d) D. P. Burum, R. R. Ernst, J. Magn. Reson. 1980, 39, 163.