


(Me₃Si)₃SiH-Mediated Intermolecular Radical Perfluoroalkylation Reactions of Olefins in Water

Sebastián Barata-Vallejo[‡] and Al Postigo^{*,†}[†]Faculty of Science, University of Belgrano, Villanueva 1324 CP 1426-Buenos Aires, Argentina, and[‡]Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón 2, 3^{er} piso, CP 1428-Buenos Aires, Argentina

alberto.postigo@ub.edu.ar

Received May 7, 2010



Perfluoroalkyl-substituted compounds are regarded as important components of fluorophors and for the introduction of fluorous tags into organic substrates. Their syntheses in organic solvents are achieved through different methods, among which, the addition of perfluoroalkyl radicals to unsaturated bonds represents a convenient choice. On the other hand, intermolecular radical reactions in water have attracted the attention of synthetic chemists as a strategic route to carbon–carbon bond formation reactions. In this paper we undertook the intermolecular addition of perfluoroalkyl radicals on electron rich alkenes and alkenes with electron withdrawing groups in water, mediated by silyl radicals, and obtained perfluoroalkyl-substituted compounds in fairly good yields. The radical triggering events employed consist of the thermal decomposition of an azo compound and the dioxygen initiation. Our results indicate that for intermolecular carbon–carbon bond formation reactions mediated by (Me₃Si)₃SiH, the decomposition of the azo compound 1,1'-azobis(cyclohexanecarbonitrile) (ACCN) is the best radical initiator. We also found that water exerts a relevant solvent effect on the rates of perfluoroalkyl radical additions onto double bonds and the H atom abstraction from the silane. Our account provides a versatile and convenient method to achieve perfluoroalkylation reactions of alkenes in water to render perfluoroalkylated alkanes as key intermediates in the synthesis of fluorophors and other fluorinated materials. This is the first report where perfluoroalkyl-substituted alkanes are synthesized through intermolecular radical carbon–carbon bond formation reactions in water, mediated by silyl radicals.

1. Introduction

Perfluoroalkyl compounds have attracted much attention during the past twenty years for their wide applications in

different fields of chemistry. In particular, recent advance in fluorous¹ combinatorial technique requires convenient methods for selective introduction of fluorous tags containing perfluoroalkyl groups² into various organic compounds.³ The synthesis of these compounds cannot be achieved through classical nucleophilic substitutions on perfluoroalkyl halides, R_fX, as these substrates are impeded to react by the

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Phone/fax: +54 11 4511-4700.

(1) (a) Zhang, W. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 4475–4489. (b) Curran, D. P. *Pharm. News* **2002**, *9*, 179–188. (c) Villard, A.-L.; Warrington, B. H.; Ladlow, M. J. *Comb. Chem.* **2004**, *6*, 611–622. (d) Zhang, W.; Luo, Z.; Chen, C. H.-T.; Curran, D. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 10443–10450. (e) Lindsley, C. W.; Zhao, Z.; Newton, R. C.; Leister, W. H.; Strauss, K. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 4467–4470. (f) Lindsley, C. W.; Zhao, Z.; Leister, W. H.; Strauss, K. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 6319–6323.

(2) Gladysz, J. A.; Emnet, C.; Rabai, J. In *The Handbook of Fluorous Chemistry*; Gladysz, J. A., Curran, D. P., Horvath, I. T., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004; pp 56–112.

(3) Park, G.; Ko, K.-S.; Zakharova, A.; Pohl, N. L. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2008**, *129*, 978–982.

S_N1 mechanism, on account of the low stability of carbocations, and precluded to undergo S_N2 substitutions due to repulsion of the lone electron pairs of the fluorine atoms to the backside attack by the nucleophile.⁴

Compounds bearing the perfluoroalkyl moiety R_F-C bond, however, have been synthesized by different routes. One such route involves addition of R_F^\bullet radicals to double bonds.^{5–7} Perfluoroalkyl iodides and bromides are convenient perfluoroalkyl radical precursors in the presence of radical initiators.⁸

Because perfluoroalkyl iodides exhibit their absorption in UV and near-UV regions, the photoinitiation based on the homolytic dissociation of the R_F-I bond is also applicable for the iodoperfluoroalkylation of unsaturated compounds with R_FI .⁹ Ogawa et al. undertook an iodoperfluoroalkylation of unsaturated carbon–carbon double and triple bonds in benzonitrile as solvent.^{4,9} These authors also utilized nonconjugated dienes, conjugated dienes, allenes, vinylcyclopropanes, and isocyanides as radical-acceptor substrates for the radical iodoperfluoroalkylation reactions in benzonitrile, affording good yields of the corresponding iodoperfluoroalkylated derivatives.


Another route to the synthesis of compounds with perfluoroalkyl moieties is through the $S_{RN}1$ mechanism, which involves radicals and radical ions as intermediates.^{4,10}

On the other hand, intermolecular radical carbon–carbon bond formation reactions, i.e., consecutive reactions, demand a careful synthetic design to achieve carbon–carbon coupling products in fairly good yields. The key step in these consecutive reactions generally involves the intermolecular addition of R^\bullet radicals to a multiple-bonded carbon acceptor. When a hydride chain carrier is involved care has to be exercised in order to ensure that the effective rate of the radical addition is higher than the rate of H atom transfer.

When silicon-centered radicals are used,¹¹ for an efficient chain process it is important that (i) the R'_3Si^\bullet radical reacts faster with RZ (the precursor of radical R^\bullet) than with the alkene, and (ii) that the alkyl radical reacts faster with the alkene (to form the adduct radical) than with the silicon hydride. This process has in due course been termed “disciplined intermediates”.¹² The hydrogen donation step controls the radical sequence and the concentration of silicon hydride often serves as the variable by which the product distribution can be influenced. The majority of sequential radical reactions using silanes as mediators for the inter-

molecular carbon–carbon bond formation deals with tris(trimethylsilyl)silane, $(Me_3Si)_3SiH$, in organic solvents.¹³

The need to resort to more environmentally friendly solvents opened the scope of radical carbon–carbon bond formation reactions in water, and other aqueous mixtures. Atom transfer intermolecular carbon–carbon bond formation reactions in water have been investigated in detail by many authors.¹⁴ Oshima et al.^{14a} reported the intermolecular carbon–carbon bond formation reaction in water from ethyl bromoacetate and 1-octene affording ethyl 4-bromodecanoate in 80% yield when the reaction is initiated by triethylborane (Bt_3)/air (eq 1).



Other radical precursors such as bromomalonate and bromoacetonitrile give excellent results of bromine atom transfer products in water. A tandem radical addition–oxidation sequence in water that converts alkenyl silanes into ketones has been elegantly described by Oshima et al.¹⁵ Other types of intermolecular radical carbon–carbon bond formation reactions in water have been reported lately, describing radical additions to radical acceptors such as imines and their derivatives.^{16–18} These latter consecutive radical reactions are also initiated by Bt_3 /air.

The dioxygen radical initiation has recently been successfully applied in water for the hydrosilylation of alkynes employing $(Me_3Si)_3SiH$ /oxygen, rendering high yields of the respective hydrosilylated alkene products with excellent Z stereoselectivity.¹⁹

We have attempted several radical reactions in water mediated by silyl radicals, among them, reduction of organic halides,²⁰ reduction of azides,²¹ hydrosilylation reactions of multiple-bonded substrates,²² intramolecular carbon–carbon bond formation reactions,²³ etc., either by azo compounds decomposition, photochemically or through dioxygen initiation.

Dolbier et al.²⁴ have found that perfluorinated radicals were much more reactive than their hydrocarbon counterparts in addition to normal, electron rich alkenes such as

(13) Chatgililoglu, C. *Organosilanes in Radical Chemistry*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, UK, 2004; pp 143–184.

(14) (a) Yorimitsu, H.; Shinokubo, H.; Matsubara, S.; Oshima, K. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 7776–7785. (b) Miyabe, H.; Fujii, K.; Goto, T.; Naito, T. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 4071–4074.

(15) Kondo, J.; Shinokubo, H.; Oshima, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 825–827.

(16) Miyabe, H.; Ueda, M.; Naito, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 5043–5047.

(17) McNabb, S. B.; Ueda, M.; Naito, T. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 1911–1914.

(18) Miyabe, H.; Yamaoka, Y.; Takemoto, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 3324–3327.

(19) Postigo, A.; Sbarbati Nudelman, N. Different Radical Initiation Techniques of Hydrosilylation Reactions of Multiple Bonds in Water. Dioxygen Initiation. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* DOI: 10.1002/poc.1703. Published Online: June 18, 2010.

(20) Postigo, A.; Ferreri, C.; Navacchia, M. L.; Chatgililoglu, C. *Synlett* **2005**, 2854–2856.

(21) Postigo, A.; Kopsov, S.; Ferreri, C.; Chatgililoglu, C. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 5159–5162.

(22) Postigo, A.; Kopsov, S.; Zlotsky, S.; Ferreri, C.; Chatgililoglu, C. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 3282–3287.

(23) Postigo, A. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *13*, 1683–1704.

(24) Rong, X. X.; Pan, H.-Q.; Dolbier, W. R., Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 4521–4522.

(4) Vaillard, S.; Postigo, A.; Rossi, R. A. *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 3003–3007.

(5) Bravo, A.; Bjorvski, H.-R.; Fontana, F.; Liguori, L.; Mele, A.; Minischi, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 7128–7136.

(6) Brace, N. O. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2001**, *108*, 147–175. Brace, N. O. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1999**, *93*, 1–25. Brace, N. O. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1999**, *96*, 101–127.

(7) Cahard, D.; Ma, J.-A. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *104*, 6119–6146.

(8) Baasner, B.; Hagemann, H.; Tatlow, J. C. *Houben-Weyl Organofluorine Compounds*; Thieme: Stuttgart, Germany, 2000; pp 1–56.

(9) Tsuchii, K.; Imura, M.; Kamada, N.; Hirao, T.; Ogawa, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 6658–6665.


(10) (a) Rossi, R. A.; Postigo, A. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *7*, 747–769.

(b) Rossi, R. A.; Pierini, A. B.; Penñenory, A. B. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 71–168.

(11) Postigo, A. Photochemical Generation of Silicon-centered Radicals and their Reactions. In *Progress in Photochemistry*; Nova Science Publications: Hauppauge, NY, 2008; Chapter VI, pp 193–226. ISBN 978-1-60456-568-3.


(12) Barton, D. H. R. *Half a Century of Free Radical Chemistry*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1993.

SCHEME 1. Intermolecular Radical Carbon–Carbon Bond Formation in Water^a



^aReactions of different alkenes with *n*-1-iodoperfluorohexane initiated by $(Me_3Si)_3SiH$ /dioxxygen.

1-hexene (40 000 times more reactive) in organic solvents, and that H transfer from $(Me_3Si)_3SiH$ to a perfluoro-*n*-alkyl radical such as $n\text{-}C_7F_{15}CH_2CH\cdot C_4H_9$ was 110 times more rapid than that to the analogous hydrocarbon radicals (eq 2).



Thus the authors determined that k_{add} (eq 2) has a value of $7.9 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in benzene-*d*₆ at 298 K, and the value of k_H is ca. $50 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in benzene-*d*₆ at 303 K.


Given the known rate acceleration effects of radical reactions in water,²³ it becomes challenging at this time to attempt intermolecular carbon–carbon bond formation radical reactions in this medium, mediated by silyl radicals. Therefore, we herein embark on a study of the perfluoroalkylation of electron rich alkenes and alkenes with electron withdrawing groups, to explore the scope and limitations of the intermolecular addition reactions of perfluoroalkylated radicals on alkenes in water mediated by $(Me_3Si)_3SiH$. The initiators to be employed are azo compounds and dioxxygen which up to now gave us the best results in previous studies.^{18–21}

Moreover, the scope of this paper is on the syntheses of perfluoroalkyl-substituted alkanes, which are currently employed in fluororous syntheses. Our results are meant to contribute with a versatile methodology for the synthesis of perfluoroalkyl-substituted compounds in water.

2. Results and Discussion

When 1-hexene is allowed to react with *n*-1-iodoperfluorohexane, $n\text{-}C_6F_{13}I$, in water, initiated by $(Me_3Si)_3SiH$ /dioxxygen (see the Experimental Section), 1,1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6-tridecafluorododecane **1a**^{25a} is obtained in 65% yield (Scheme 1). Analogously, 1-octene and 1-decene afford, upon reaction with $n\text{-}C_6F_{13}I$ under the same radical conditions, products **2a**^{25b} and

SCHEME 2. Intermolecular Radical Carbon–Carbon Bond Formation in Water^a



^aReactions of 1-hexene with haloperfluoroalkanes in water, initiated by $(Me_3Si)_3SiH$ /dioxxygen.

3a^{25b} in 71% and 74% yields (isolated yields), respectively (Scheme 1).

Styrene and *p*-methylstyrene when reacted with $n\text{-}C_6F_{13}I$ in water under $(Me_3Si)_3SiH$ /dioxxygen initiation give products **4a**²⁶ and **5a**²⁷ in 54% and 63% yields, respectively (Scheme 1). In these latter cases, reduction of the iodo compound (i.e., 1,1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6-tridecafluorohexane, $CHF_2(CF_2)_4CF_3$) was concomitantly obtained, as determined from the ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures (centered triplet at 6.29 ppm, *J* = 22 Hz, from terminal HCF_2 , in the ¹H NMR spectrum and from the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum, the CF_2H peak at $\delta = -114.05$ ppm). Alkenes with electron withdrawing groups such acrylonitrile, crotonaldehyde, methyl acrylate, and vinyl methyl ketone also react with $n\text{-}C_6F_{13}I$ under the same radical conditions, to afford the respective perfluoroalkylated products **6a**,^{28,25c} **7a**, **8a**, and **9a**^{28,29} in yields ranging from 60% to 77% (Scheme 1). The products are isolated from the crude reaction mixture by extraction of the water phase with pentane (3×), drying of the pentane layers with anhydrous sodium sulfate, and filtering, and the evaporated pentane extracts are reduced-pressure distilled.

It should be pointed out that a small minor byproduct (ca. 5%) detected from the oxygen-initiated reactions in water corresponds to a compound observed by mass spectrometry of mass 280 and formula minima $C_9H_{28}Si_4O_2$ whose structure has been assigned to $(Me_3SiO)_2Si(H)SiMe_3$, arising from the autoxidation of silane.³⁰ This byproduct was confirmed by GC-co-injection with an authentic sample that has been synthesized according to a reported procedure.³⁰

Encouraged by the above results obtained from reactions in water with $n\text{-}C_6F_{13}I$ and different alkenes initiated by $(Me_3Si)_3SiH$ /dioxxygen, we proceeded with the perfluoroalkylation reactions of electron rich 1-hexene with an array of perfluoroalkyl halides (iodides and bromides) under the same radical conditions in water.

When 1-hexene reacts with *n*-1-iodoperfluorobutane, $n\text{-}C_4F_9I$, under the reaction conditions described above, 1,1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4-nonafluorodecane **1b**³¹ is obtained in 88%

(25) (a) Davis, C. R.; Burton, D. J.; Yang, Z.-Y. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1995**, *70*, 135–140. (b) Le, T. D.; Arlauskas, R. A.; Weers, J. G. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1996**, *78*, 155–163. (c) Huang, X.-T.; Chen, Q.-Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 4651–4656.


(26) Yang, G.-S.; Xie, X.-J.; Zhao, G.; Ding, Y. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1999**, *98*, 159–161.

(27) Chen, W.; Xu, L.; Xiao, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 4275–4278.

(28) Hu, C.-M.; Qiu, Y.-L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 3339–3342.

(29) Kyoko, N.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1991**, *64*, 403–409.

(30) Kopping, B.; Chatgililoglu, C.; Zehnder, M.; Giese, B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 3994–4000.

SCHEME 3. Intermolecular Radical Carbon–Carbon Bond Formation in Water^a

^aReactions of alkenes with *n*-1-bromoperfluoroalkanes ($C_nF_{2n+1}Br$, $n = 6, 8$, and 10) in water, Initiated by $(Me_3Si)_3SiH/ACCN$.

yield (Scheme 2). The reaction of 1-hexene with 1,4-diiodo-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4-octafluorobutane, *n*-IC₄F₈I, affords 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4-octafluoro-1-iododecane **1c**, obtained in 75% yield (Scheme 2), and 15% of reduced 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4-octafluorodecane, as observed from the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum, with the CF₂H peak at $\delta = -114.15$ ppm.

When *n*-1-bromoperfluorohexane, *n*-C₆F₁₃Br, is allowed to react with 1-hexene, product **1a**^{25a} is obtained in poor yield (21%, Scheme 2, cf. with the same reaction carried out with *n*-C₆F₁₃I, Scheme 1). Analogously, the reaction of 1-hexene with *n*-1-bromoperfluorooctane, *n*-C₈F₁₇Br, progresses poorly to give product **1d**^{25b} in 14% yield, while the same reaction employing *n*-C₈F₁₇I affords **1d**^{25b} in 72% yield (Scheme 2). In the same fashion, *n*-1-iodoperfluorodecane, *n*-C₁₀F₂₁I, reacts with 1-hexene to afford **1e**^{25b} in 70% yield (Scheme 2), but with *n*-C₁₀F₂₁Br, product **1e**^{25b} is obtained in 9% yield. This could be in agreement with a stronger BDE of the bond R_f–Br than that of R_f–I.

To cast some light into the efficiency of the chain reaction with dioxygen, and see whether the low yields obtained from 1-hexene and R_fBr and $(Me_3Si)_3SiH$ /dioxygen are due either to a slow initiation step or the retardation in the propagation step on account of the different BDE of bromo- and iodo-perfluoroalkanes, we undertook the radical chain initiation with the azo compound ACCN, at 70 °C in water (see the Experimental Section).

When a series of alkenes are allowed to react with bromoperfluoroalkanes (*n*-1-bromoperfluorohexane, *n*-1-bromoperfluorooctane, and *n*-1-bromoperfluorodecane) in water as described for the thermal radical initiation reaction (see the Experimental Section), the respective perfluoroalkylated products are obtained in yields ranging from 50% to 77% (Scheme 3).

Thus, 1-hexene reacts with *n*-C₆F₁₃Br, *n*-C₈F₁₇Br, and *n*-C₁₀F₂₁Br to afford the corresponding perfluoroalkylated hexanes **1a**,^{25a} **1d**,^{25b} and **1e**^{25b} in 75%, 70%, and 62% yields, respectively (Scheme 3). 1-Octene and 1-decene also afford perfluoroalkylated alkanes in fairly good yields (50–77%). Styrene and 4-methylstyrene react with *n*-C₆F₁₃Br, *n*-C₈F₁₇Br, and *n*-C₁₀F₂₁Br to afford the alkylated products in good yields, ranging from 46% to 81% (Scheme 3).

Acrylonitrile, upon reaction with the series $C_nF_{2n+1}Br$ ($n = 6, 8$, and 10) affords the perfluoroalkylated-substituted

propiononitriles **6a**,²⁸ **6d**,³² and **6e**²⁸ in 51%, 50%, and 44% yields, respectively. Crotonaldehyde, upon reaction with *n*-C₆F₁₃Br, *n*-C₈F₁₇Br, and *n*-C₁₀F₂₁Br under the reaction conditions described above, gives the perfluoroalkylated-substituted butyraldehydes **7a**, **7d**, and **7e** in 62%, 60%, and 55% yields, respectively (Scheme 3).

Methyl acrylate upon reaction with the series $C_nF_{2n+1}Br$ ($n = 6, 8$, and 10) affords the perfluoroalkylated-substituted methyl propionates **8a**, **8d**,³³ and **8e** in 55%, 53%, and 43% yields, respectively (Scheme 3), while vinyl methyl ketone when reacted with *n*-C₆F₁₃Br, *n*-C₈F₁₇Br, and *n*-C₁₀F₂₁Br in water under ACCN/ $(Me_3Si)_3SiH$ thermal initiation affords the 5-perfluoroalkylated-substituted 2-butanones **9a**,^{28,29} **9d**,^{34,28} and **9e**^{34,28} in 67%, 70%, and 66% yields, respectively (Scheme 3).

We also undertook the ACCN-radical initiated perfluoroalkylation of 1-hexene with $C_nH_{2n+1}I$ ($n = 8$, and 10), and obtained compounds **1d**^{25b} and **1e**,^{25b} respectively, in yields ranging from 90% to 95%, while the same yields under dioxygen-radical initiation were in the 70% range (cf. O₂-initiated radical yields of **1d** and **1e** from Scheme 2).

These sets of experiments would reveal that the lower yields obtained with the bromoperfluoroalkanes and alkenes in water under dioxygen initiation than those obtained under ACCN initiation could be attributed to a slower initiation in the former rather than a retardation in the propagation step due to differences in BDE of R_f–I and R_f–Br bonds; however, some involvement of the BDE of R_f–I versus R_f–Br should also be considered.

Given the optimal yields obtained from the radical reactions of 1-bromoperfluoroalkanes and alkenes in water initiated by $(Me_3Si)_3SiH/ACCN$, we undertook the reactions depicted in Scheme 1 (*n*-C₆F₁₃I and different alkenes) this time under ACCN initiation. Table 1 summarizes the reaction yields of perfluoroalkyl-substituted compounds **1a–9a** obtained from *n*-C₆F₁₃I, an array of alkenes, and $(Me_3Si)_3SiH/ACCN$ initiator.

It is observed that the yields of products **1a–9a** are much better under ACCN initiation (Table 1) than under dioxygen initiation (Scheme 1)











(33) Sturz, G.; Clement, J. C.; Daniel, A.; Molinier, J.; Lenzi, M. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1981**, *1*, 161–165.

(34) (a) Umemoto, T.; Kuriu, Y.; Nakayama, S-i. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, *23*, 1169–1172. (b) Umemoto, T.; Gotoh, Y. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1986**, *59*, 439–445. (c) Hamza, M.H. A.; Serratrice, G.; Stebe, M.-J.; Delpuech, J.-J. *J. Magn. Reson.* **1981**, *42*, 227–231.

(31) Delest, B.; Shtarev, A. B.; Dolbier, W. R. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 9273–9280.

(32) Briza, T.; Kvicala, J.; Paleta, O.; Cermak, J. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 3841–3846.

TABLE 1. Intermolecular Radical Carbon–Carbon Bond Reactions in Water: Reactions of Different Alkenes (20–25 mM) with $n\text{-C}_6\text{F}_{13}\text{I}$ (10 mM), Initiated by $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}$ (5 mM)/ACCN (3 mM):

Entry	Alkene	Product, (%) ^a
1 ^{ref.25a}	1-Hexene	 1a, (81)
2 ^{ref.25b}	1-Octene	 2a, (92)
3 ^{ref.25b}	1-Decene	 3a, (84)
4 ^{ref.26}	Styrene	 4a, (77)
5 ^{ref.27}	4-Methylstyrene	 5a, (79)
6 ^{ref.28}	Acrylonitrile	 6a, (80)
7	Crotonaldehyde	 7a, (71)
8	Methylacrylate	 8a, (75)
9 ^{ref.28}	Vinyl methyl ketone	 9a, (76)
10 ^{ref.25c}	Diallyl ether	 10a, (68)^b

^aIsolated yield after purification. ^bObtained in a 81:19 cis:trans ratio measured by ¹H NMR

We also subjected electron-rich diallyl ether (3-(allyloxy)-prop-1-ene) to the radical perfluoroalkylation reaction in water with $n\text{-C}_6\text{F}_{13}\text{I}$ (ACCN-initiation) and obtained the perfluoroalkylated tetrahydrofuran derivative **10a**^{25c} in 68% yield, in a cis:trans ratio of ca. 80:20 (Table 1, entry 10). Exocyclization of the 6-hexenyl radical is a useful probe for the elucidation of the radical mechanism of the reaction in water, as has been observed for the cyclization of a 1-allyloxy-2-iodobenzene derivative in water as well.^{21,25a}

It can be deduced that all water-insoluble material (substrates and reagents) suspended in the aqueous medium can interact due to the vigorous stirring that creates an efficient vortex and dispersion. In the dioxygen initiation, the chain mechanism probably benefits from the enhanced contact surface of tiny drops containing $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}$ and dioxygen.

It is worth mentioning that under our experimental procedure, initiation with oxygen does not take place under O_2 -purging of the solution, nor with slow, continuous bubbling of O_2 or air. Oxygen or air introduced slowly by syringe-pump techniques resulted in a less efficient initiation of the chain radical reaction. Probably, the slow oxygen addition


into the solution with the balloon technique described above allows for sufficient oxygen to be dissolved in the water environment, thus initiating the radical chain (the solubility of oxygen in water is 1.34×10^{-3} M at 22 °C; taking into account that the substrate and $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}$ concentrations are ca. 10 times higher, limiting working initiator concentrations could be reached by the methodology).

The mechanism of the $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}$ -mediated intermolecular perfluoroalkylation of alkenes in water is depicted in Scheme 4.

The $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{Si}^\bullet$ radical (produced either by dioxygen or thermal decomposition of ACCN initiator) in water abstracts the halogen atom (iodine or bromine) from R_fX . This R_f^\bullet radical reacts faster with the alkene than with the silicon hydride, affording the perfluoroalkylated radical adduct **10**. The perfluoroalkylated radical adduct **10** abstracts hydrogen from the silane, affording the perfluoroalkyl-substituted alkane **11**, and regenerating the silyl radical, thus propagating the chain.

According to what has been observed and measured by Dolbier et al.,²⁴ in benzene- d_6 , the ratio of products $[\mathbf{3}]/[\mathbf{2}]$ (eq 2) should equal the ratio of rate constants for addition

SCHEME 4. Mechanism for Radical Carbon–Carbon Bond Formation Reactions from Alkenes and Perfluoroalkyl Iodides in Water, Mediated by $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}$



(of perfluorinated heptyl radical on 1-hexene) and rate constant for H abstraction from $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}$ times the ratio of concentrations of alkene and silane. According to our experimental conditions, employing the equation in ref 37, we would obtain a theoretical ratio of perfluoroalkylated alkane over reduced perfluoroalkane of ca. 1.3, which is not completely in agreement with the unobserved reduced perfluoroalkanes in our reaction systems (i.e., $\text{CHF}_2(\text{CF}_2)_4\text{CF}_3$, when iodoperfluorohexane is employed).

The electrophilicity of R_f^\bullet radicals is the dominant factor giving rise to their high reactivity. The stronger carbon–carbon bond that forms when R_f^\bullet versus R^\bullet radicals add to an alkene is a driving force for the radical addition (the greater exothermicity of the R_f^\bullet radical addition is expected to lower the activation energy).³⁵ It has been observed, in organic solvents, that the rates of addition of R_f^\bullet radicals onto alkenes correlate with the alkene IP (which reflects the HOMO energies).³¹ Indeed, the major transition state orbital interaction for the addition of the highly electrophilic R_f^\bullet radical to an alkene is that between the SOMO of the radical and the HOMO of the alkene. Thus, the rates of R_f^\bullet radical addition to electron deficient alkenes are slower than those to electron rich alkenes (as observed in organic solvents). From our results, however, it becomes apparent that in water the reactivity for both electron rich and electron deficient alkenes toward R_f^\bullet radical addition could be comparable (cf. in Scheme 1, substrates **1a–3a** and **6a–9a**). To clarify this subtle aspect of the reaction in water, we undertook a set of experiments designed to compare the ratios of $(k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{add}})_{1\text{-hexene}}$ and $(k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{add}})_{\text{acrylonitrile}}$ for the addition reaction of $n\text{-C}_6\text{F}_{13}\text{I}$ to the electron rich 1-hexene and electron deficient acrylonitrile, respectively. These ratios of rate constants are obtained by plotting $[n\text{-C}_6\text{F}_{13}\text{H}]/[\mathbf{1a}]$ vs $[(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}]/[1\text{-hexene}]$ and $[n\text{-C}_6\text{F}_{13}\text{H}]/[\mathbf{6a}]$ vs $[(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}]/[\text{acrylonitrile}]$, respectively, when the reactions are initiated thermally, by using incremental amounts of $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}$, and keeping the alkene and $n\text{-C}_6\text{F}_{13}\text{I}$ concentrations constant. We obtained slopes for both plots equal to 1.55 ± 0.09 ($r^2 = 0.998$) and 1.88 ± 0.19 ($r^2 = 0.989$) for $(k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{add}})_{1\text{-hexene}}$ and

$(k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{add}})_{\text{acrylonitrile}}$, respectively. This seems to indicate that the reactivities of electron rich and electron deficient alkenes toward R_f^\bullet radicals in water level off.

The ratio of rates constants $(k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{add}})_{1\text{-hexene}}$ obtained in benzotrifluoride as solvent²⁴ for the reaction of $n\text{-C}_7\text{F}_{15}\text{I}$ and 1-hexene with $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}$ as the hydrogen donor (eq 2) is 6.32, while that same ratio of rate constants for the reaction of $n\text{-C}_6\text{F}_{13}\text{I}$ with 1-hexene in water is 1.55 (vide supra). The unavailability of the rate constant for R_f^\bullet radical addition onto double bonds *in water* makes comparisons difficult; however, our results would seem to imply that the rate for hydrogen donation from $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}$ to the R_f^\bullet radical relative to the addition reaction is four times slower in water than in benzotrifluoride as solvent (i.e., $(k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{add}})_{\text{water}}/(k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{add}})_{\text{benzotrifluoride}} = 0.25$). Indeed, it is likely that water does influence the H-transfer step from the silane to the C-radical. This is well established and important for phenols; however, for silanes this is not known. In the phenol series H-bonding of the phenol with the solvent leads to a stabilization and consequently to less efficient H-transfer.³⁶ H-bonding from the silane to water might have a similar effect, as we report on slower H-transfer in water. It can also be hypothesized that given the known hypervalency of silicon, coordination of a water molecule can diminish the hydrogen atom donation of the silicon hydride.

The intermolecular radical carbon–carbon bond formation reactions presented herein in water take advantage of the halophilicity of silyl radicals toward iodine and bromine atoms. In our case, the halogen atom transfer reaction from **12** toward the perfluoroalkylated radical adduct **10** (Scheme 4) is slower than the hydrogen atom abstraction from the $(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}$, thus providing the reduced perfluoroalkylated product **11**. As a support to the mechanism proposed (Scheme 4), 2-iodo-1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyl-2-(trimethyl)trisilane (**12**, $\text{X} = \text{I}$, Scheme 4) was isolated and characterized¹⁸ from the reaction mixtures described in Scheme 1. In the same fashion, from reactions described in Scheme 3, 2-bromo-1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyl-2-(trimethyl)trisilane (**12**, $\text{X} = \text{Br}$, Scheme 4) was detected by gas chromatography, isolated, and compared with an authentic sample.

As mentioned above, by plotting $[n\text{-C}_6\text{F}_{13}\text{H}]/[\mathbf{1a}]$ vs $[(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}]/[1\text{-hexene}]$, a straight line, whose slope represents $(k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{add}})_{1\text{-hexene}}$, is obtained (with a value of 1.55 ± 0.09 , $r^2 = 0.998$). The intercept of this plot shows, remarkably, no deviation from the ideal value of zero, purporting that the only source of $n\text{-C}_6\text{F}_{13}^\bullet$ radical reduction (i.e., $n\text{-C}_6\text{F}_{13}\text{H}$) is the silane, and not the solvent or the alkene.³¹

3. Conclusions

It is interesting to point out that the scope of the radical dioxygen initiation reactions with silanes in water has been extended from the use in hydrosilylation reactions of C–C

(35) (a) Scaiano, J. C.; Stewart, L. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 3609–3614. (b) Johnston, L. J.; Scaiano, J. C.; Ingold, K. U. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 4877–4881.

(36) (a) Litwinienko, G.; DiLabio, G. A.; Mulder, P.; Korth, H.-G.; Ingold, K. U. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2009**, *113*, 6275–6288. (b) Nielsen, M. F.; Ingold, K. U. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1172–1182. (c) Snelgrove, D. W.; Luszyk, J.; Banks, J. T.; Mulder, P.; Ingold, K. U. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 469–477. (d) Avila, D. V.; Ingold, K. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 2929–2930.

(37) $[3]/[2] = k_{\text{add}}[1\text{-hexene}]/k_{\text{H}}[(\text{Me}_3\text{Si})_3\text{SiH}]$, where k_{add} is the rate constant for of addition of perfluorinated radical to 1-hexene, k_{H} is the rate constant for H atom abstraction from the silane, and **2** and **3** are the reduced perfluorinated alkane and the addition product, respectively, shown in eq 2.

multiple bonds^{19,22} to the intermolecular radical carbon–carbon bond formation.

From results obtained in Schemes 1–3 and Table 1, the thermal ACCN-initiation is deemed a better radical initiating technique than the dioxygen-initiation, as opposed to what has been observed in the radical hydrosilylation of alkynes in water.^{19,22} Also, the radical dioxygen initiation methodology is a good option of choice, as fairly good yields of carbon–carbon coupling products can be obtained in water under the mild dioxygen initiation technique, in the absence of reduced perfluorinated halides.

Interesting and notorious solvent effects can be invoked in water on the rates of reactions of perfluoroalkyl radicals toward alkenes and silicon hydrides, respectively, as opposed to those found in benzene, where the latter rates are ca. 6 times faster at equal concentrations of silanes and alkenes.

Our account also provides a convenient method to achieve perfluoroalkylation reactions of alkenes in water to render perfluoroalkylated alkanes as key intermediates in the synthesis of fluorophors and other fluorinated materials. We are currently studying competition reactions between radical additions and H abstraction processes in water.

4. Experimental Section

General Methods. The internal standard method was used for quantitative GC analysis with use of authentic samples, and one of the following capillary columns was employed: HP-1 (5 m × 0.53 mm i.d.) or HP-1 (30 m × 0.32 mm i.d.). Oven program: starting at 50 °C for 5 min, followed by an increase of 5 deg/min up to 250 °C. NMR spectra were recorded at 400 (for ¹H) or 100.6 MHz (for ¹³C) in CDCl₃ as deuterated solvent and referenced with the residual solvent peak at 7.26 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectra and 77.0 ppm (CDCl₃) in the ¹³C NMR spectra. Hydrogen multiplicity (CH, CH₂, CH₃) information was obtained from carbon DEPT-135 experiments for compounds **1c**, **7a**, **7d**, and **8d**. Some NMR spectral connectivity data were confirmed by ¹H–¹³C HSQC and HMBC 2-D experiments. Reduced pressure distillation employed a bulb-to-bulb distillation apparatus with four glass bulbs. When necessary, compounds were isolated by flash chromatography performed on silica gel. High-resolution mass spectrometry measurements were performed with a resolution of 1–5 ppm.

Water was obtained from a milli-pore system, and extraction and chromatographic solvents were HPLC-grade. Products from Schemes 1–4 and Table 1 were characterized by standard spectroscopic techniques and compared with spectral data from the literature when available.

Materials. Perfluoroalkyl iodides and bromides were commercially available and used as received from the supplier. Alkene substrates were also commercially available and used as received from the supplier, except for styrene, 4-methylstyrene, acrylonitrile, crotonaldehyde, methyl acrylate, and vinyl methyl ketone, which were previously distilled and stored over molecular sieves (4 Å) prior to use.

Methods of Radical Initiation in Water: Study of the Reaction Stoichiometry. Initiation by Thermal Decomposition of an Azo Compound. The water-insoluble radical initiator 1,1'-azobis(cyclohexanecarbonitrile) (ACCN; half-life of 2.33 h at 100 °C) has been found to give the best performance for both hydrophobic and hydrophilic substrates²² in our initial studies and this trend has been confirmed by the present results.

A preliminary set of experiments were conducted in order to adjust the right stoichiometry of the radical addition reactions of R_f[•] radicals to alkenes so as to favor the radical addition product over the reduction product in water (as in products **3**

and **2**, eq 2). For this preliminary experiment, we used 1-hexene as the alkene and *n*-C₆F₁₃I as the source of R_f[•] radicals. Reduction product *n*-C₆F₁₃H and addition product C₆F₁₃–C₆H₁₃ were both obtained under different reaction conditions (by incremental amounts of (Me₃Si)₃SiH, and keeping alkene and *n*-C₆F₁₃I concentrations constant), under thermal initiation. The most favorable reaction conditions are obtained by using a molar ratio of alkene:(Me₃Si)₃SiH:R_fI equal to 25:2.5:5, and this ratio was chosen as optimal.

The procedure is the following: In a 5 mL conical vial, provided with a conical stir bar, a heterogeneous aqueous (5 mL) mixture of the alkene (20–25 × 10^{−5} mol), (Me₃Si)₃SiH (2.5 × 10^{−5} mol), the perfluoroalkyl halide (5 × 10^{−5} mol), and ACCN (1.5 × 10^{−5} mol) is flushed with Ar for 10 min before heating at 70 °C for 2–4 h unless otherwise indicated. After the reaction time elapsed, addition of pentane, and extraction, the organic phase is analyzed. Perfluoroalkylated products are isolated and purified by distillation under reduced pressure with use of a short path distillation apparatus, and characterized by standard spectroscopic techniques. The purity of products is checked by gas chromatography, and in some cases redistilled or silica gel chromatographed.

Dioxygen Initiation¹⁹. A balloon filled with pure oxygen connected to the vessel where no apparent bubbling resulted allowed dioxygen to be introduced, in the reaction vessel, up to its solubility limits in water.

The dioxygen-initiated radical-induced reactions of alkenes with perfluoroalkyl halides in Ar-degassed water are carried out by adding subsequently (Me₃Si)₃SiH (2.5 × 10^{−5} mol), the alkene (20–25 × 10^{−5} mol), and the perfluoroalkyl halide³⁷ (5 × 10^{−5} mol) in a conical vial provided with a conical stir bar, filled with 5 mL of Milli-Q water previously deoxygenated with a stream of Ar for 10 min. The vessel is tight-sealed, connected with a balloon filled with 99.99% dioxygen, and vigorously stirred at 20 °C (36 h). As a slight positive oxygen pressure is exerted on the reaction vessel, air does not leak in the system.

The mechanism for the unusual reaction of (Me₃Si)₃SiH with oxygen has been investigated before^{38a} and applied successfully in water.^{19,22}

Spectroscopic Characterization of Known Compounds 1a–6a,^{25–28} **9a,**²⁸ **10a,**^{25c} **1b,**³¹ **1d,**^{25b} **2d,**^{25b} **3d,** **4d,**^{39,40,41a} **5d,**^{40,41} **6d,**^{28,32} **8d,**³³ **9d,**²⁸ **1e,**^{25b,42c} **2e,**^{25b,42c} **3e,**^{42c} **4e,**²⁶ **6e,**²⁸ **9e,**^{28,34}. These compounds were characterized from comparison of their ¹H and ¹³C NMR data with those found in the literature (references of compounds throughout the text).

Spectroscopic Characterization of Unknown Compounds 7a, 8a, 1c, 7d, 5e, 7e, and 8e. **7a** (4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,9,9,9-tridecafluoro-3-methylnonanal): yellowish oil. GC/MS EI, *m/z* (%) 390 (32), 375 (21), 373 (12), 362 (29), 320 (39), 273 (100). EI-HRMS Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₇F₁₃O 390.0289, found 390.0296. Elemental analyses: Found: C (30.05%), H (1.71%), O (4.21%). Calcd for C₁₁H₇F₁₃O: C (30.79%), H (1.81%), F (63.31%), O (4.10%). FT-IR (ν, cm^{−1}) 1795 (C=O), 1204, 1140. ¹H NMR δ_H

(38) (a) Zaborovskiy, A. B.; Lutsyk, D. S.; Prystansky, R. E.; Kopylets, V. I.; Timokhin, V. I.; Chatgililoglu, C. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2004**, *689*, 2912–2919. (b) Postigo, A.; Nudelman, N.; Calandra, J.; Tereñas, J. J.; Russo, D. Different Radical Initiation Techniques of Hydrosilylation Reactions of Multiple Bonds in Water: Photochemical Initiation. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* DOI: 10.1002/poc.1732. Published Online: July 15, 2010.

(39) Shimizu, R.; Yoneda, E.; Fuchikami, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 5557–5560.

(40) Darses, S.; Pucheault, M.; Genet, J.-P. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *6*, 1121–1128.

(41) (a) Avila, D. V.; Ingold, K. U.; Luszyk, J.; Dolbier, W. R.; Pan, H.-Q.; Muir, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 99–104. (b) Herve, A.; Rodriguez, A. L.; Fouquet, E. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 1953–1956.

(42) (a) Tedder, J. M. *Tetrahedron* **1982**, *38*, 313–329. (b) Broniatowski, M.; Macho, I. S.; Minones, J.; Dynarowicz-Latka, P. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 13403–13411. (c) Broniatowski, M.; Dynarowicz-Latka, P.; Witko, W. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2005**, *126*, 79–86.

ppm (400.13 MHz, CDCl_3) 1.34 (d, 3H, Me), 2.69 (complex m, 3 H, CH and CH_2), 9.51 (s, 1 H, CHO). ^{13}C NMR $\delta_{13\text{C}}$ ppm (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) 11.3, 39.7, 43.0, 100–130 (^{13}C – ^{19}F coupling from $(\text{CF}_2)_6$), 203.4.

8a (methyl 4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,9,9,9-tridecafluorononanoate): colorless oil. GC/MS EI, m/z (%) 406 (2), 391 (15), 373 (11), 354 (21), 347 (25), 319 (100), 87 (67). EI-HRMS Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_7\text{F}_{13}\text{O}_2$ 406.0238, found 406.0240. Elemental analyses. Found: C (29.54%), H (1.75%), O (7.81%). Calcd for $\text{C}_9\text{H}_5\text{F}_{13}\text{O}_2$: C (29.57%), H (1.74%), F (60.81%), O (7.88%). FT-IR (ν , cm^{-1}) 1800 ($\text{C}=\text{O}$), 1527, 1302, 1209, 1143. ^1H NMR δ_{H} ppm (400.13 MHz, CDCl_3) 2.24 (complex m, 2 H, CH_2 – R_f), 2.61 (complex m, 2 H, CH_2 – COOMe), 3.62 (s, 3 H, CH_3). ^{13}C NMR $\delta_{13\text{C}}$ ppm (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) 26.2 (br. s, CH_2 – COOMe), 27.5 (m, CH_2 – R_f), 51.0, 100–130 (^{13}C – ^{19}F coupling from $(\text{CF}_2)_6$), 176.0 (CO).

1c (1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4-octafluoro-1-iododecane): pinkish oil. GC/MS EI, m/z (%) 413 (10), 412 (4), 335 (58), 327 (43), 285 (100), 185 (45). EI-HRMS Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{13}\text{F}_8\text{I}$ 411.9934, found 411.9940. Elemental analyses. Found: C (29.24%), H (3.92%). Calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{13}\text{F}_8\text{I}$: C (29.14%), H (3.81%), F (36.86%), I (30.79%). ^1H NMR δ_{H} ppm (400.13 MHz, CDCl_3) 0.79 (t, 3 H, $J = 7$ Hz, CH_3), 1.32 (complex m, 2 H, CH_2 – CH_3), 1.39 (complex m, 2 H), 1.60 (complex m, 1 H, CH – HR_f), 1.90 (complex m, 1 H, CH – HR_f). ^{13}C NMR $\delta_{13\text{C}}$ ppm (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) 13.9, 20.6, 22.1, 28.9, 30.3, 31.7, 80–120 (^{13}C – ^{19}F coupling from $(\text{CF}_2)_4$).

7d (4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,9,9,10,10,11,11,11-heptadecafluoro-3-methylundecanal): yellowish oil. GC/MS EI, m/z (%) 490 (12), 471 (23), 462 (100), 420 (100), 371 (55), 271 (87). EI-HRMS Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_7\text{F}_{17}\text{O}$ 490.0225, found 490.0220. Elemental analyses. Found: C (29.10%), H (1.49%), O (3.33%). Calcd for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_7\text{F}_{17}\text{O}$: C (29.40%), H (1.44%), F (65.89%), O (3.26%). FT-IR (ν , cm^{-1}) 1798 ($\text{C}=\text{O}$), 1689, 1209, 1145. ^1H NMR δ_{H} ppm (400.13 MHz, CDCl_3) 1.30 (t, 3 H, $J = 6.7$ Hz, CH_3), 2.80 (complex m, 3 H, CH – R_f and CH_2 – CHO), 9.61 (s, CHO). ^{13}C NMR $\delta_{13\text{C}}$ ppm (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) 12.0, 40.3, 41.6, 100–135 (^{13}C – ^{19}F coupling from $(\text{CF}_2)_8$), 200.5 (CHO).

5e (1-(3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,9,9,10,10,11,11,12,12,12-henicosafuorododecyl)-4-methylbenzene): colorless oil. GC/MS EI, m/z (%) 638 (5), 623 (41), 619 (21), 519 (100), 419 (23), 319 (33), 92 (54), 77 (51), 65 (11). EI-HRMS Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{11}\text{F}_{21}$

638.0525, found 638.0534. Elemental analyses. Found: C (35.55%), H (1.88%). Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{11}\text{F}_{21}$: C (35.75%), H (1.74%), F (62.51%). ^1H NMR δ_{H} ppm (400.13 MHz, CDCl_3) 2.07 (s, 3 H, CH_3 –Ph), 2.40 (complex m, 2 H, CH_2), 2.96 (complex m, 2 H, CH_2 – R_f), 7.01 (m, 2 H, Ph), 7.23 (m, 2 H, Ph). ^{13}C NMR $\delta_{13\text{C}}$ ppm (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) 21.1, 27.0, 33.1, 100–120 (^{13}C – ^{19}F coupling from $(\text{CF}_2)_{10}$), 128.45, 128.11, 135.11, 137.51.

7e (4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,9,9,10,10,11,11,12,12,13,13,13-henicosafuoro-3-methyltridecanal): yellowish oil. GC/MS EI, m/z (%) 590 (5), 575 (32), 571 (12), 562 (59), 519 (55), 471 (100). EI-HRMS Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_7\text{F}_{21}\text{O}$ 590.0162, found 590.0156. Elemental analyses. Found: C (27.29%), H (1.29%), O (2.65%). Calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_7\text{F}_{21}\text{O}$: C (28.49%), H (1.20%), F (67.60%), O (2.71%). FT-IR (ν , cm^{-1}) 1800 ($\text{C}=\text{O}$), 1206, 1143. ^1H NMR δ_{H} ppm (400.13 MHz, CDCl_3) 1.33 (d, 3 H, $J = 7$ Hz, CH_3), 2.65 (complex m, 3 H, CH – R_f and CH_2 – CHO), 9.11 (s, CHO). ^{13}C NMR $\delta_{13\text{C}}$ ppm (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) 11.2, 40.4, 44.5, 95–135 (^{13}C – ^{19}F coupling from $(\text{CF}_2)_{10}$), 200.21 (CHO).

8e (methyl 4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,9,9,10,10,11,11,12,12,13,13,13-henicosafuorotridecanoate): colorless oil. GC/MS EI, m/z (%) 606 (5), 591 (35), 587 (13), 578 (54), 575 (12), 519 (51), 487 (100), 387 (21). EI-HRMS Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_7\text{F}_{21}\text{O}_2$ 606.0111, found 606.0103. Elemental analyses. Found: C (26.94%), H (1.07%), O (5.39%). Calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_7\text{F}_{21}\text{O}_2$: C (27.74%), H (1.16%), F (65.82%), O (5.28%). FT-IR (ν , cm^{-1}) 1798 ($\text{C}=\text{O}$), 1717, 1446, 1209, 1143. ^1H NMR δ_{H} ppm (400.13 MHz, CDCl_3) 2.15 (complex m, 2 H, CH_2 – R_f), 2.55 (complex m, 2 H, CH_2 – COOMe), 3.56 (s, 3 H, CH_3 – COO). ^{13}C NMR $\delta_{13\text{C}}$ ppm (100.6 MHz, CDCl_3) 26.2, 27.2, 52.6, 100–130 (^{13}C – ^{19}F coupling from $(\text{CF}_2)_{10}$), 176.55.

Acknowledgment. Thanks are given to financial agencies such as Conicet-Argentina, and Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Técnica, Argentina, PICTO-CRUP 30891 is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: ^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR spectra of compounds **1a** through **9a**, as well as spectral characterization of **1b**, **1c**, compounds **1d** through **9d**, and compounds **1e** through **9e**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.