# **Reactions of Silicon Atoms with Methane and Silane in Solid Argon:** A Matrix-Spectroscopic Study\*\*

## Günther Maier,\* Hans Peter Reisenauer, and Jörg Glatthaar<sup>[a]</sup>

**Abstract:** The reaction of silicon atoms with methane (1) and silane (7) in argon at 10 K has been studied. Methane (1) is found to be inert to silicon atoms, but reaction occurs upon photochemical excitation under formation of methylsilylene (2). On the contrary, silane (7) is spontaneously converted into a mixture of silylsilylene (10) and disilene (12). Subsequent irradiation generates the butterfly-type disilyne  $Si_2H_2$  (14), together with a third photoproduct, which we assume to be the doubly bridged  $Si_2H_4$  isomer 13. The structural elucidation of the new species is based on the comparison of the experimental IR and UV/Vis spectra with data from density functional theory calculations. The results are supported by isotopic labeling studies.

#### Introduction

During the past five years, we have studied the reactions of thermally generated silicon atoms with low molecular weight reactants in an argon matrix. The reaction products were identified by IR and UV/Vis spectroscopy, aided by comparison with calculated spectra. The method turned out to be very versatile and successful.<sup>[2]</sup> The selected substrates were molecules with isolated, conjugated, or aromatic  $\pi$  bonds, compounds containing  $\pi$  bonds and at the same time free electron pairs, and molecules possessing n electrons only in combination with  $\sigma$  bonds. These reactions can be understood considering the basic features of atomic silicon. First, it has a triplet ground state and thus a diradical type of reaction can be anticipated. According to the law of spin conservation the primary reaction product should be a triplet molecule. Second, the silicon atom has an empty 3p orbital, and as a consequence strong electrophilic behavior can be expected. No wonder that all molecules with  $\pi$  and n electrons are excellent partners.<sup>[2]</sup> In continuation of our strategy we wanted to learn something about the reactivity of pure  $\sigma$ systems. For instance, which reactions will occur if simple substrate molecules such as methane (1) or silane (7) come in contact with silicon atoms? The answer is given herein.

[a] Prof. Dr. G. Maier, Dr. H. P. Reisenauer, Dr. J. Glatthaar Institut für Organische Chemie Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen Heinrich-Buff-Ring 58, 35392 Giessen (Germany) Fax: (49) 641-99-34309 E-mail: guenther.maier@org.chemie.uni-giessen.de **Keywords:** co-condensation • density functional calculations • matrix isolation • photoisomerization

#### **Results and Discussion**

**Reactions of methane**: The selection of methane (1) has not only an academic aspect but also practical relevance. There are reports that porous silicon can be a dangerous material in the presence of oxygen or nitrogen, depending on the grain size. Lump silicon represents one end of the scale, silicon atoms the other extreme. Silicon powder lies in between.

Applying our standard procedure<sup>[2]</sup> we condensed methane (1) as a gaseous mixture with argon onto a spectroscopic window at 10 K. The concentration varied from pure methane to a methane/argon ratio of 1:1000. The silicon atoms, generated by resistive heating of a silicon rod to a temperature of about 1380 °C, were separately cocondensed at the same time. The relative amount of <sup>3</sup>Si atoms was estimated by using a quartz microbalance. In all cases only the IR spectrum of the starting material could be registered. There was not even an indication for the existence of a complex **4** between methane (1) and a silicon atom. This was in our hands the first case that the substrate molecule did not react with silicon atoms.

If one rationalizes how a reaction can be enforced in such a case, one has to keep in mind that the silicon atom in the gas phase shows a weak UV transition at 220 nm and another, strong absorption at 251 nm. This suggests that irradiation with light of wavelength 254 nm can be a way to activate silicon atoms.

Indeed, irradiation of the co-condensate of methane (1) and silicon atoms with short wavelengths ( $\lambda = 185$  or 254 nm) leads to methylsilylene (2). Evidently, photoexcitation enforces the insertion of a silicon atom into the C–H bond of methane (1). The intensity of the IR bands of 2 arising during

- 4383

<sup>[\*\*]</sup> Hetero  $\pi$  Systems, Part 32: Part 31 see: ref. [2h]. See also ref. [1]



irradiation was relatively weak. Nevertheless, its structural elucidation has to be taken for granted, since it is possible to establish a photoequilibrium between 2 and the isomeric silaethene (3). With  $\lambda > 400$  nm the equilibrium is shifted to silaethene (3), with  $\lambda = 254$  nm to the side of methylsilylene (2). The IR spectra of 2 and 3 and the mutual interconversion have been studied by us before.<sup>[3]</sup>

A thermal equilibrium between 2 and 3 should be on the side of silaethene (3). According to calculations (B3LYP/6- $311 + G^{**}$ ), carried out in comparison to the silane system (see below), methylsilylene (2) should be 2.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher in energy (Scheme 1; Table 1). The connecting transition state



G. Maier et al.

Table 1. Theoretical (B3LYP/ $6-311++G^{**}$ ) IR absorptions (unscaled; wavenumbers in cm<sup>-1</sup>) of CH<sub>4</sub>Si isomers **2**, **3**, **5**, **6**, and connecting transition state **TS2**,**3**. Absolute intensities [kmmol<sup>-1</sup>] are given in parentheses.

Species	Point group	State	IR Absorptions (Intensity), Symmetry
2	Cs	<sup>1</sup> A′	152.4 (1) a'', 572.5 (5) a'', 628.9 (10) a', 662.5 (57) a', 950.7 (84) a', 1264.3 (27) a', 1434.4 (6) a', 1449.2 (15) a'', 2009.3 (332) a', 2990.5 (4) a', 3042.5 (15) a'', 3103.1 (13) a'
3	$C_{2v}$	$^{1}A_{1}$	454.1 (25) $b_1$ , 485.1 (6) $b_2$ , 729.1 (0) $a_2$ , 780.6 (59) $b_1$ , 841.9 (81) $b_2$ , 941.6 (26) $a_1$ , 994.2 (29) $a_1$ , 1399.1 (10) $a_1$ , 2270.0 (32) $a_1$ , 2293.2 (96) $b_2$ , 3142.1 (0) $a_2$ , 3230.6 (0) $b_3$
5	Cs	<sup>3</sup> A″	117.9 (0) a'', 555.8 (8) a', 659.2 (12) a', 791.5 (13) a'', 881.4 (71) a', 1267.9 (0) a', 1449.9 (10) a', 1453.8 (8) a'', 2164.1 (82) a', 3019.8 (10) a', 3091.9 (1) a''
6	C <sub>s</sub>	<sup>3</sup> A″	(1) a', 5100.5 (4) a' 116.1 (41) a'', 329.5 (32) a', 632.0 (46) a'', 640.3 (48) a', 796.3 (29) a', 943.5 (66) a', 946.1 (52) a'', 948.2 (210) a', 2196.2 (118) a'', 2199.4 (79) a', 2224.2 (82) a', 3261.8 (2) a'
TS2,3	<i>C</i> <sub>1</sub>	${}^{1}A_{1}$	$\begin{array}{l} -1101.2 \ (155) \ a_1, 593.3 \ (3) \ a_1, 788.7 \ (37) \ a_1, \\ 808.9 \ (7) \ a_1, 892.6 \ (46) \ a_1, 994.6 \ (60) \ a_1, 1008.6 \\ (94) \ a_1, 1438.6 \ (5) \ a_1, 1891.6 \ (10) \ a_1, 2097.4 \ (208) \\ a_1, 3070.7 \ (16) \ a_1, 3164.7 \ (3) \ a_1 \end{array}$

**TS2,3** is  $36.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  above silaethene (3). The starting components <sup>3</sup>Si and methane (1) lie  $36.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  higher than silaethene (3). The singlet/triplet gap of the two silylenes

2 and 5 is calculated to be  $22.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ . Triplet silylcarbene (6) is even higher in energy than the starting compounds <sup>3</sup>Si atoms plus methane (1) and therefore cannot be expected as a product. Attempts to calculate a triplet complex 4 between a silicon atom and methane (1) failed.

#### **Reactions of silane**

Prediction: On the basis of two arguments it is possible to predict that the behavior of silane (5) might be completely different from that of methane (1). On the one hand Skell and Owen described already in 1967<sup>[4]</sup> that thermally vaporized silicon atoms insert into the Si-H bond of trimethylsilane, which has been deposited on a liquid nitrogen cooled wall. On the other hand it can be shown by calculation that methane (1) and silane (5) behave quite differently when attacked by a silicon atom. Gordon et al.[5] calculated in 1989 that the insertion of 3Si atoms into a Si-H bond of silane needs only a

Scheme 1. Calculated (B3LYP/6–311 + G\*\*) relative energies and geometries of some stationary points on the CH<sub>4</sub>Si potential energy surface (PES); zero-point vibrational energies included. Distances are given in Å and angles in degrees.

4384 —

© 2002 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim 0947-6539/02/0819-4384 \$ 20.00+.50/0 Chem. Eur. J. 2002, 8, No. 19

small barrier. For the corresponding reaction with methane (1) no clear answer could be given. Our own calculations substantiate the big difference in reactivity between silane (7) and methane (1) (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Calculated changes of the potential energy during the approach of a ground state Si atom (triplet) to a methane molecule (1; upper curve) or a silane molecule (7; lower curve);  $B3LYP/6-311+G^{**}$ ; full optimization at each step.

If a silicon atom in its triplet ground state approaches methane (1) the energy is continuously raised. There is no indication of any bonding interaction. On the contrary, the reaction coordinate for the approach between a  ${}^{3}Si$  atom and silane (7) descends steadily until complex 8 is reached.

**Background**: Simple silicon hydrides are of great interest for several reasons. They play an important role in silicon chemical vapor deposition (CVD) processes, which are of significance to the semiconductor industry. A detailed study on the reaction of silicon atoms with silane (7) will help to understand the mechanisms of these reactions. Another appeal is that starting with the first isolation of a disilene by West et al.<sup>[6]</sup> a new chapter in silicon chemistry was opened. But the isolation and identification of the parent disilene is still missing. Last but not least silicon hydrides are excellent target molecules to demonstrate the unique bonding characteristics of silicon compared to carbon, resulting very often in surprising "bridged" structures. These fascinating aspects explain the numerous experimental<sup>[7]</sup> and theoretical<sup>[8]</sup> studies covering silicon hydrides SiH<sub>n</sub> and Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>n</sub>.

**Calculations**: For the structural identification of the expected species it was necessary to obtain the calculated vibrational spectra. In addition to the earlier theoretical treatments<sup>[8]</sup> we carried out some calculations on our own using the Gaussian package of programs.<sup>[9]</sup> To get an overview of the Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> potential energy surface (PES), several stationary points

together with the corresponding vibrational spectra were calculated with the  $6-311+G^{**}$  basis set and the B3LYP functional. The results are presented in Table 2. Scheme 2 shows the calculated relative energies of some minima and the connecting transition states.

Table 2. Theoretical  $(B3LYP/6-311+G^{**})$  IR absorptions (unscaled; wavenumbers in cm<sup>-1</sup>) of Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> isomers **8–13**, disilyne (**14**), silylene (**15**), and connecting transition states. Absolute intensities [km mol<sup>-1</sup>] are given in parentheses.

Species	Point group	State	IR Absorptions (Intensity), Symmetry
8	<i>C</i> <sub>1</sub>	<sup>3</sup> A <sub>1</sub>	99.2 (1) a <sub>1</sub> , 109.8 (0) a <sub>1</sub> , 400.1 (16) a <sub>1</sub> , 777.6 (27) a <sub>1</sub> , 867.4 (497) a <sub>1</sub> , 927.6 (69) a <sub>1</sub> , 945.2 (60) a <sub>1</sub> , 1069.6 (88) a <sub>1</sub> , 1827.6 (280) a <sub>1</sub> , 2238.3 (79) a <sub>1</sub> , 2267.3 (44) a <sub>2</sub> , 2282.2 (63) a <sub>2</sub> .
9	C <sub>s</sub>	<sup>3</sup> A″	108.0 (0) a", 416.2 (4) a', 428.2 (21) a', 524.4 (8) a", 648.8 (18) a', 887.6 (358) a', 937.8 (50) a", 949.4 (63) a', 2162.1 (87) a', 2199.9 (79) a', 2206.1 (91) a", 2234.6 (90) a'
10	Cs	$^{1}A'$	67.9 (12) a", 369.1 (9) a', 389.0 (33) a'', 428.9 (27) a', 717.1 (63) a', 868.1 (224) a', 932.8 (73) a', 957.2 (36) a'', 2031.3 (208) a', 2180.5 (78) a', 2189.5 (112) a'' 2217 5 (119) a'
11	<i>C</i> <sub>1</sub>	$^{1}A_{1}$	$\begin{array}{l} 397.4 (7) a_1, 447.4 (3) a_1, 477.7 (27) a_1, 636.2 (7) \\ a_1, 703.1 (37) a_1, 858.5 (94) a_1, 961.4 (40) a_1, \\ 1009.9 (350) a_1, 1625.7 (113) a_1, 2042.7 (182) a_1, \\ 2195.2 (148) a_1, 2220.6 (126) a_1 \end{array}$
12	$C_{2\mathrm{h}}$	${}^{1}\mathbf{A}_{g}$	324.2 (0) a <sub>g</sub> , 348.0 (23) a <sub>u</sub> , 446.1 (32) b <sub>u</sub> , 524.1 (0) a <sub>u</sub> , 562.1 (0) a <sub>g</sub> , 615.7 (0) b <sub>g</sub> , 919.5 (182) b <sub>u</sub> , 955.8 (0) a <sub>g</sub> , 2224.5 (114) b <sub>u</sub> , 2228.9 (0) a <sub>g</sub> , 2245.7 (0) b <sub>g</sub> , 2257.6 (150) a <sub>u</sub>
13	$C_{2\mathrm{h}}$	${}^{1}\mathbf{A}_{g}$	281.5 (3) b <sub>u</sub> , 376.7 (0) a <sub>g</sub> , 708.3 (17) a <sub>u</sub> , 833.1 (156) b <sub>u</sub> , 845.1 (0) a <sub>g</sub> , 849.6 (0) b <sub>g</sub> , 1287.7 (32) a <sub>u</sub> , 1428.6 (0) b <sub>g</sub> , 1453.1 (978) b <sub>u</sub> , 1633.0 (0) a <sub>g</sub> , 2043.6 (0) a <sub>g</sub> , 2060.9 (454) b <sub>u</sub>
14	$C_{2v}$	$^{1}A_{1}$	522.7 (1) a <sub>1</sub> , 961.0 (50) a <sub>1</sub> , 1081.8 (0) a <sub>2</sub> , 1172.5 (382) b <sub>2</sub> , 1535.4 (10) b <sub>1</sub> , 1617.2 (5) a <sub>1</sub>
15	$C_{2v}$	${}^{1}A_{1}$	1024.4 (110) $a_1$ , 2041.8 (303) $b_2$ , 2042.5 (291) $a_1$
TS8,9	C <sub>1</sub>	<sup>3</sup> A <sub>1</sub>	- 1020.8 (33) a <sub>1</sub> , 272.3 (0) a <sub>1</sub> , 291.7 (28) a <sub>1</sub> , 501.9 (11) a <sub>1</sub> , 542.0 (4) a <sub>1</sub> , 884.2 (356) a <sub>1</sub> , 936.9 (53) a <sub>1</sub> , 939.7 (107) a <sub>1</sub> , 1792.5 (242) a <sub>1</sub> , 2183.4 (57) a <sub>1</sub> , 2236.1 (73) a <sub>1</sub> , 2248.5 (76) a <sub>1</sub>
TS10,11	<i>C</i> <sub>1</sub>	${}^{1}\mathbf{A}_{1}$	- 320.1 (35) a <sub>1</sub> , 406.9 (13) a <sub>1</sub> , 457.1 (8) a <sub>1</sub> , 521.0 (2) a <sub>1</sub> , 703.9 (54) a <sub>1</sub> , 832.1 (178) a <sub>1</sub> , 877.6 (40) a <sub>1</sub> , 959.1 (96) a <sub>1</sub> , 1946.1 (72) a <sub>1</sub> , 2042.7 (191) a <sub>1</sub> , 2211.7 (114) a <sub>1</sub> , 2231.0 (118) a <sub>1</sub>
TS11,12	<i>C</i> <sub>1</sub>	$^{1}A_{1}$	$\begin{array}{l} - \ 630.9 \ (4) \ a_1, \ 331.8 \ (11) \ a_1, \ 457.2 \ (3) \ a_1, \ 508.2 \\ (11) \ a_1, \ 651.3 \ (8) \ a_1, \ 682.9 \ (15) \ a_1, \ 940.7 \ (87) \ a_1, \\ 1001.9 \ (204) \ a_1, \ 1854.3 \ (94) \ a_1, \ 2070.1 \ (140) \ a_1, \\ 2153.8 \ (171) \ a_1, \ 2203.1 \ (128) \ a_1 \end{array}$

The global minimum is disilene (12). Already twenty years ago<sup>[80,p]</sup> the *trans*-bent geometry was suggested. The stabilization energy compared with the two components triplet silicon atom and silane (7) is 43.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Besides 12 three other isomers 10, 11, and 13, which should be formed in exothermic reactions from <sup>3</sup>Si atoms and 7, can be expected as reaction products (Scheme 2). In the earlier papers the discussion was concentrated on disilene (12) and silylsilylene (10). Dibridged isomers of type 13 were for the first time mentioned by Trinquier.<sup>[81]</sup> According to our calculations the isomer 11 with a monobridged structure has also to be taken into account. On the triplet energy hypersurface the starting components form without an activation barrier a loose complex 8. Its stabiliza-

- 4385



Scheme 2. Calculated (B3LYP/ $6-311+G^{**}$ ) relative energies and geometries of some stationary points on the H<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> PES; zero-point vibrational energies included. Distances are given in Å and angles in degrees.

tion energy amounts to 5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. In a subsequent step the primary complex **8** is transformed (activation energy of 5.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) into triplet silylsilylene (**9**), followed by intersystem crossing to singlet silylsilylene (**10**) (T/S gap 14.1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). On the singlet energy hypersurface now isomerization of **10** to the thermodynamically more stable ( $\Delta E = 5.7$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) **12** takes place. The monobridged isomer **11** is only 1.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher in energy than **10** and

13.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> more stable than the dibridged species 13. Two calculated transition structures **TS10,11** and **TS11,12** are found on the isomerization of 10 to 12. The barriers leading from 10 to the monobridged species 11 ( $1.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ ) and from 11 to 12 ( $6.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ ) are remarkably low. For comparison: In case of the transformation of 2 to 3 the calculated barrier amounts to 33.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.



Matrix experiments: A gaseous mixture of silane (7) and argon was deposited together with silicon atoms, generated by resistive heating of a silicon rod to a temperature of about 1380°C, onto a CsI window at 10 K. FTIR and UV/Vis spectra were taken of the matrices. Besides the very intense absorptions of unreacted SiH4 and small bands of unavoidable side products  $(SiN_2,^{[2h]} SiH_2 (15), Si_2H_2$ (14),<sup>[2a]</sup> Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) additional absorptions in the spectral range around 900 and 1950 - $2200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ were registered. Some of these bands overlapped with the strong absorptions of the starting material SiH<sub>4</sub>. The additional new bands could

© 2002 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

be revealed by producing difference spectra during subsequent irradiation of the matrices with different wavelengths (Figures 2 and 3). The analysis of the spectra (see below) shows that the reaction of silicon atoms with silane (7) leads to a mixture of silvlsilvlene (10) and disilene (12). Upon irradiation of the matrix with long wavelengths ( $\lambda > 570$  nm) 10 is isomerized to 12. The back-reaction can be enforced by using shorter wavelengths. With  $\lambda = 334$  nm 12 regenerates 10. Parallel to this isomerization partial dehydrogenation of 12 is initiated and one observes the bands of disilyne (14)<sup>[2a]</sup> with its typical butterfly-type geometry.<sup>[10]</sup> In addition a single band at 1355.4 cm<sup>-1</sup> appears, which we tentatively attribute to the dibridged isomer 13. If wavelengths longer than 310 nm (especially 505 nm) are used the hydrogen attached to disilyne (14) in the same matrix cage is recaptured again (as products could be identified 12 (UV spectroscopy) and 10 (IR spectroscopy)).

Based on the experimental and theoretical findings the mechanism of the reaction of  ${}^{3}Si$  atoms with silane (7) can be summarized as follows: Via the triplet complex 8 triplet silylsilylene (9) is formed. Both are too short-lived to be detected. Intersystem crossing gives singlet silylsilylene (10). The reaction leading to 10 delivers enough energy to surpass the two barriers on the pathway from 10 to 12 even at 10 K. Dependent on the wavelength mutual interconversions with the third Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> isomer 13 and disilyne (14) can be photo-initiated.

Another observation is of importance in relation to the standard procedure to prepare highly hindered disilenes, that is the dimerization of the corresponding silylenes.<sup>[6]</sup> We found no indication that the parent silylene (**13**), which is present in the matrix—or can be generated independently in high yield by cocondensation of silicon atoms with hydrogen—dimerizes upon annealing of the matrix (30-35 K) to disilene (**12**).

**Spectroscopic identification**: Recent efforts in the experimental search for Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> species resulted in the observation that compounds of this elemental composition can exist. Neutral Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> was first detected as a transient species in photoionization mass spectrometric studies.<sup>[7d]</sup> Quite recently Stafast et al.<sup>[7b]</sup> showed that Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> compounds are intermediates in the laser chemical vapor deposition of silane. Koshi et al.<sup>[7a]</sup> were able to observe Si<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> species produced in the thermal decomposition of silanes by using time-of-flight mass spectrometry coupled with VUV laser photoionization.

In our opinion the structural elucidation of disilene **12** and/ or its isomers was still missing. Matrix isolation spectroscopy is the method of choice to fill this gap.

Tables 3–8—together with Figures 2 and 3—summarize the calculated and experimental IR spectra of the isomers **10**, **12**, and **13**.

Because of the  $C_{2h}$  symmetry of disilene **12** only three IR bands with a sufficient intensity can be expected (Table 3) to be detectable, two SiH stretching vibrations and one SiH<sub>2</sub> wagging vibration. All three can be detected in the difference spectrum (Figure 2). The agreement between the calculated and experimental spectra is acceptable. The two SiH stretches are additionally split by matrix effects. There are at least two different matrix sites. Similar phenomena have been observed

Table 3. Theoretical  $(B3LYP/6-311+G^{**})$  and experimental (Ar, 10 K) IR absorptions of disilene (12).

	Symmetry	Mode	$\nu_{\rm caled}$ [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	I <sub>calcd</sub> [km mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\nu_{\rm exp}$ [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	
$v_4$	ag	$\delta_s$ bend	324.2	(0.0)	-	-
$v_7$	au	$\delta_{as}$ rock	348.0	(22.9)	-	-
$v_{12}$	b <sub>u</sub>	$\delta_{as}$ bend	446.1	(31.6)	-	-
$\nu_6$	a <sub>u</sub>	$\delta_{as}$ twist	524.1	(0.2)	-	-
ν <sub>3</sub>	ag	v <sub>s</sub> Si-Si str.	562.1	(0.0)	-	-
<b>v</b> 9	bg	$\delta_{as}$ rock	615.7	(0.0)	-	-
$v_{11}$	b <sub>u</sub>	$\delta_{as}$ scissor	919.5	(182.2)	904.3	(s)
<b>v</b> <sub>2</sub>	ag	$\delta_s$ scissor	955.8	(0.0)	-	-
$v_{10}$	b <sub>u</sub>	$v_{as}$ SiH str.	2224.5	(113.5)	2180.2	$(w)^{[a]}$
$\nu_1$	ag	ν <sub>s</sub> SiH str.	2228.9	(0.0)	-	-
$\nu_8$	bg	v <sub>as</sub> SiH str.	2245.7	(0.0)	-	-
$v_5$	a <sub>u</sub>	$\nu_{as}$ SiH str.	2257.6	(150.0)	2207.8	(w) <sup>[a]</sup>

[a] Additional IR absorptions at 2163.2, 2191.0, and 2212.3  $cm^{-1}$  of a second matrix site were also observed.

by us before for the SiH stretching vibrations of  $3^{[3c]}$ . Additional structural proof for 12 stems from the measured isotopic shifts in the tetradeuterated isotopomer [D<sub>4</sub>]12 (Table 4, Figure 3). An even better help in the elucidation of

Table 4. Theoretical  $(B3LYP/6-311+G^{**})$  and experimental (Ar, 10 K) IR absorptions of  $[D_4]$ disilene  $([D_4]12)$ .

	Symmetry	Mode	$\nu_{\rm calcd}$ [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	I <sub>calcd</sub> [km mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	$ u_{\mathrm{exp}} $ [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	
$v_7$	au	$\delta_{as}$ rock	248.5	(11.6)	_	_
$\nu_4$	ag	$\delta_s$ bend	255.8	(0.0)	_	-
$v_{12}$	b <sub>u</sub>	$\delta_{as}$ bend	326.9	(16.7)	_	-
$\nu_6$	a <sub>u</sub>	$\delta_{as}$ twist	371.5	(0.1)	-	-
$\nu_9$	bg	$\delta_{as}$ rock	468.9	(0.0)	-	-
$\nu_3$	ag	v <sub>s</sub> Si-Si str.	513.4	(0.0)	-	-
$\mathbf{v}_{11}$	b <sub>u</sub>	$\delta_{as}$ scissor	665.0	(94.6)	658.3	(s)
$\nu_2$	$a_g$	$\delta_s$ scisssor	713.2	(0.0)	-	-
$\mathbf{v}_{10}$	b <sub>u</sub>	v <sub>as</sub> SiD str.	1594.3	(63.3)	1573.4	(m)
$\boldsymbol{v}_1$	ag	v <sub>s</sub> SiD str.	1600.2	(0.0)	-	-
$\nu_8$	bg	$v_{as}$ SiD str.	1629.2	(0.0)	-	-
$\nu_5$	au	$\nu_{as}SiD$ str.	1638.4	(80.5)	1614.7	(m)

disilene (12) can be expected from a study of the less symmetrical trideuterated isotopomer  $[D_3]12$ , in which even the band for the Si-Si stretching vibration should be IR-active (Table 5). Effectively, this band was too low in intensity to be identified in the complex experimental spectrum.

In the spectrum of silylsilylene (**10**) all vibrations are IRactive (Table 6). A characteristic feature of silylenes with a hydrogen atom at the divalent silicon is the low frequency (1900–2010 cm<sup>-1</sup>) of the SiH stretching vibration.<sup>[3a]</sup> The sensitivity of these species to matrix effects is notorious. For instance, methylsilylene (**2**) shows remarkable splittings in the SiH stretching range. Analogously, silylsilylene (**10**) reveals three SiH stretches at 1963, 1974, and 2013 cm<sup>-1</sup>(Table 6, Figure 2), originating from different matrix sites. The normal SiH valence stretch vibrations of the SiH<sub>3</sub> group could not be registered as nicely, since they overlapped with the strong absorptions of unreacted silane (**7**). A very good correlation between the calculated and experimental value exists for the second dominant band, which has to be assigned to the SiH<sub>3</sub> deformation vibration (calcd 868.1, exp 860.6 cm<sup>-1</sup>). An



Figure 2. IR spectra of disilene (12) and silylsilylene (10): Top and bottom: Calculated at the B3LYP/6-311 + G\*\* level of theory. Middle: Difference spectrum of the photoisomerization  $12 \rightarrow 10$  in argon at 10 K. Positive bands increase, negative decrease during the irradiation with light of wavelength  $\lambda = 334$  nm.

analogous analysis is also possible for the isotopomer  $[D_4]10$  (Table 7, Figure 3). In case of  $[D_3]10$  with its C<sub>s</sub> symmetry three different positional isomers can be anticipated. Accordingly, the experimental spectrum of  $[D_3]10$  was very complicated and gave no additional information.

According to calculation (Table 8) the dibridged isomer **13** should show an intense asymmetric  $Si_2H$  stretching vibration at 1453.1 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In the experiment a corresponding band can be observed at 1355.4 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 2). We are aware of the fact that it is dangerous to argue on the basis of a single band.



Figure 3. IR spectra of  $[D_4]$ disilene  $[D_4]$ **12** and  $[D_4]$ silylsilylene  $[D_4]$ **10**: Top and bottom: Calculated at the B3LYP/6-311+G\*\* level of theory. Middle: Difference spectrum of the photoisomerization  $[D_4]$ **12**  $\rightarrow$   $[D_4]$ **10** in argon at 10 K. Positive bands increase, negative decrease during the irradiation with light of wavelength  $\lambda = 334$  nm. x: Hydroxysilylene.

4388 -

© 2002 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim 0947-6539/02/0819-4388 \$ 20.00+.50/0 Chem. Eur. J. 2002, 8, No. 19

Table 5. Theoretical  $(B3LYP/6-311+G^{**})$  and experimental (Ar, 10 K) IR absorptions of  $[D_3]$ disilene  $([D_3]12)$ .

	Symmetry	Mode	$\nu_{ m calcd}$ [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	I <sub>calcd</sub> [km mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\nu_{\rm exp}$ [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	
<b>v</b> <sub>12</sub>	<b>a</b> <sub>1</sub>	$\delta_s$ rock	262.8	(8.0)	_	_
$v_{11}$	$a_1$	$\delta_s$ bend	267.5	(5.3)	-	-
$v_{10}$	$a_1$	$\delta_{as}$ bend	341.0	(14.5)	_	_
$\nu_9$	$\mathbf{a}_1$	$\delta_s$ twist	425.1	(2.5)	-	_
$v_8$	$a_1$	$\delta_{as}$ rock	490.4	(1.0)	_	_
$v_7$	$a_1$	v <sub>s</sub> Si-Si str.	545.2	(5.5)	_	_
$\nu_6$	$\mathbf{a}_1$	$\delta_{as}$ scissor	688.9	(64.2)	681.8	(s)
$v_5$	$\mathbf{a}_1$	$\delta_s$ scisssor	833.3	(50.4)	827.5	(m)
$v_4$	$a_1$	v <sub>as</sub> SiD str.	1597.0	(36.8)	1575.5	(w)
ν <sub>3</sub>	$\mathbf{a}_1$	v <sub>s</sub> SiD str.	1615.0	(21.1)	1591.5	(w)
<b>v</b> <sub>2</sub>	$a_1$	v <sub>as</sub> SiD str.	1634.3	(48.4)	1609.9	(w)
$\nu_1$	a <sub>1</sub>	$\nu_s$ SiH str.	2245.8	(67.3)	2191.9	(m)

Table 6. Theoretical  $(B3LYP/6-311+G^{**})$  and experimental (Ar, 10 K) IR absorptions of silylsilylene (10).

	Symmetry	Mode	$\nu_{\rm calcd}$ [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	I <sub>calcd</sub> [km mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\nu_{\rm exp}$ [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	
ν <sub>12</sub>	a″	$\delta_{as}$ twist	67.9	(12.0)	-	_
$\nu_8$	a'	v <sub>s</sub> Si-Si str.	369.1	(8.5)	-	-
$v_{11}$	a‴	δ <sub>as</sub> SiH def.	389.0	(33.1)	_	_
$v_7$	a′	$\delta_s$ SiH def.	428.9	(27.1)	-	-
$\nu_6$	a'	$\delta_s$ SiH def.	717.1	(63.4)	707.3	(w)
$v_5$	a'	$\delta_s$ SiH <sub>3</sub> def.	868.1	(224.1)	860.6	(s) <sup>[a]</sup>
$\nu_4$	a'	$\delta_s$ SiH <sub>3</sub> def.	932.8	(73.3)	927.2	(w)
$v_{10}$	a″	$\delta_{as}$ SiH <sub>3</sub> def.	957.2	(36.2)	947.5	(w)
$\nu_3$	a'	$\nu_s$ SiH str.	2031.3	(208.3)	1963.3	(s) <sup>[b]</sup>
$\nu_2$	a'	$v_s$ SiH str.	2180.5	(77.6)	2111.9	(m)
$v_9$	a″	ν <sub>as</sub> SiH str.	2189.5	(112.4)	2153.2	(m)
$\boldsymbol{\nu}_1$	a′	$\nu_s$ SiH str.	2217.5	(219.4)	2166.7	(m)

[a] Additional IR absorptions (other matrix sites) were observed at 868.8 (m) and 879.5 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. [b] Additional IR absorptions (other matrix sites) were observed at 1974.5 (m) and 2013.2 (w) cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Table 7. Theoretical  $(B3LYP/6-311+G^{**})$  and experimental (Ar, 10 K) IR absorptions of  $[D_4]$ silylsilylene ( $[D_4]10$ ).

	Symmetry	Mode	$\nu_{\rm calcd}$ [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	I <sub>calcd</sub> [km mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\nu_{exp}$ [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	
ν <sub>12</sub>	a″	$\delta_{as}$ twist	49.5	(6.2)	-	_
$v_{11}$	a″	$\delta_{as}$ SiD def.	282.7	(17.8)	-	_
$\nu_8$	a′	$\delta_s$ SiD def.	290.4	(10.0)	-	_
$\nu_7$	a'	v <sub>s</sub> Si-Si str.	378.3	(16.4)	-	-
$\nu_6$	a′	$\delta_s$ SiD def.	533.3	(43.3)	526.7	(w)
$v_5$	a′	$\delta_s$ SiD <sub>3</sub> def.	641.7	(76.9)	642.4	(s)
$\nu_4$	a′	$\delta_s$ SiD <sub>3</sub> def.	671.3	(62.7)	_[a]	-
$v_{10}$	a″	$\delta_{as}$ SiD <sub>3</sub> def.	686.2	(20.1)	681.3	(w)
$\nu_3$	a′	$v_s$ SiD str.	1461.2	(106.9)	1427.7	(s) <sup>[b]</sup>
$v_2$	a′	$v_s$ SiD str.	1554.6	(40.3)	1529.5	(w)
$\nu_9$	a″	v <sub>as</sub> SiD str.	1581.2	(65.5)	1552.1	(w) <sup>[c]</sup>
$\nu_1$	a′	$v_s$ SiD str.	1599.1	(75.6)	1581.2	(w) <sup>[c]</sup>

[a] Hidden underneath SiD<sub>4</sub> def. absorptions. [b] Additional absorptions (matrix effect) were observed at 1434.0, 1436.0, 1448.0, and 1462.3 cm<sup>-1</sup>. [c] Broad signal.

However, for  $[D_4]$ **13** the same kind of band with the expected isotopic shift (calcd 1041.9, exp 999.9 cm<sup>-1</sup>) is observed (Table 8, Figure 3). This observation can be taken as an indication that the structural assignment might be correct. For  $[D_3]$ **13** both types of absorption should occur. But owing to the small intensities of these bands an experimental verification was not possible.

Table 8. Theoretical  $(B3LYP/6-311+G^{**})$  and experimental (Ar, 10 K) IR absorptions of dibridged isomer **13** and  $[D_4]$ **13**.

	Symmetry	Mode	Isotop- omer	$ u_{calcd} $ [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	I <sub>calcd</sub> [km mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	$v_{exp}$ [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	
$v_{12}$	b <sub>u</sub>	$\delta_{as}SiH$		281.5	(3.4)	-	_
			$D_4$	202.0	(1.7)	-	-
$\nu_4$	ag	$v_s$ Si-Si str.		376.7	(0.0)	-	-
			$D_4$	367.0	(0.0)	-	-
$\nu_6$	a <sub>u</sub>	δ <sub>s</sub> oop SiH		708.3	(16.8)	-	-
			$D_4$	508.6	(8.3)	-	_
$\mathbf{v}_{11}$	b <sub>u</sub>	$\delta_{as}$ SiH		833.1	(155.5)	-	-
			$D_4$	601.5	(77.1)	-	_
$\nu_3$	ag	$\delta_s$ SiH		845.1	(0.0)	-	-
	0		$D_4$	623.9	(0.0)	-	_
$\nu_8$	bg	δ <sub>s</sub> oop Si <sub>2</sub> H		849.6	(0.0)	-	_
	0		$D_4$	605.8	(0.0)	-	_
$v_5$	a <sub>u</sub>	$v_s$ Si <sub>2</sub> H str.		1287.7	(32.3)	-	_
			$D_4$	926.9	(17.9)	-	_
$\mathbf{v}_7$	bg	$v_s$ Si <sub>2</sub> H str.		1428.6	(0.0)	-	_
	0		$D_4$	1018.2	(0.0)	-	_
$\mathbf{v}_{10}$	b <sub>u</sub>	$v_{as}$ Si <sub>2</sub> H str.		1453.1	(987.2)	1355.4	(vw)
			$D_4$	1041.9	(513.7)	999.9	(vw)
$\mathbf{v}_2$	ag	$v_s$ Si <sub>2</sub> H str.		1633.0	(0.0)	-	_
	5		$D_4$	1157.8	(0.0)	_	_
$\mathbf{v}_1$	ag	v <sub>s</sub> SiH str.		2043.6	(0.0)	_	_
	0		$D_4$	1470.3	(0.0)	_	_
$v_9$	b <sub>u</sub>	vas SiH str.		2060.9	(453.7)	_	_
,	-		$D_4$	1482.3	(239.5)	-	-

Additional support for the structural identification of 10 and 12 comes from the UV-spectroscopic measurements. Calculations predict for 12 a very strong UV transition ( ${}^{1}B_{u} \leftarrow$  $^{1}A_{g}$ ) at 322 nm. The experimental value is 329 nm and fits very well to that of other disilenes substituted by four small alkyl groups (Me or Et: 345 nm<sup>[6b]</sup>). As expected, the characteristic feature for 10 is a long wavelength absorption of low intensity. The difference spectrum taken during the photoisomerization  $[D_4]10 \rightarrow [D_4]12$  with light of wavelenth  $\lambda > 570$  nm (Figure 4) is in accordance (( ${}^{1}A'' \leftarrow {}^{1}A'$ );  $\lambda = 582 \text{ nm}$ ) with theory ( $\lambda =$ 669 nm). In a similar manner the difference spectrum of the photoisomerization  $14~+~H_2\!\rightarrow\!12~+~10$  with light of the wavelength  $\lambda = 405$  nm (Figure 5) can be regarded as a strong hint for the postulated reaction. The agreement between the calculated (408 nm) and experimental (409 nm) absorption of disilyne (14) is remarkable.

### Conclusion

The reactions of silicon atoms in an argon matrix at 10 K with methane (1) and silane (7) belong to two completely different worlds. With methane (1) no thermal reaction occurs and the insertion of the <sup>3</sup>Si atoms into the C–H bond needs photo-activation. In contrast, insertion into the Si–H bond of silane (7) takes place without an activation barrier and a mixture of silylsilylene (10) and disilene (12) can be detected.

#### **Experimental Section**

The cryostat for matrix isolation was a closed-cycle compressor unit RW2 with coldhead base unit 210 and extension module ROK from Leybold. The matrix window was CsI and the spectrometer was an IFS85 FTIR



Figure 4. UV/Vis spectra of  $[D_4]$ disilene ( $[D_4]$ **12**) and  $[D_4]$ silylsilylene ( $[D_4]$ **10**): Difference spectrum of the photoisomerization  $[D_4]$ **10**  $\rightarrow [D_4]$ **12** in argon at 10 K. Positive bands increase, negative bands decrease during the irradiation with light of wavelength  $\lambda > 570$  nm.



Figure 5. UV/Vis spectra of disilene (12) and disilyne (14): Difference spectrum of the photoisomerization 14 +  $H_2 \rightarrow 12 + 10$  in argon at 10 K. Positive bands increase, negative bands decrease during the irradiation with light of wavelength  $\lambda = 405$  nm.

ces used were a mercury high-pressure lamp (HBO200 from Osram) with a monochromator (Bausch and Lomb) and a mercury low-pressure spiral lamp with a Vycor filter (Gräntzel). For the production of silicon atoms a rod

instrument from Bruker. The light sour-

For the production of shicon atoms a rod with the dimensions  $0.7 \times 2 \times 22$  mm was cut out from a highly doped silicon wafer and heated resistively by using a current of 10 A at 10 V. Under these conditions the surface temperature amounted to 1350–1380 °C.

Silane (7) was purchased from Aldrich (puriss. 99.99%) and was used without further purification.

 $[D_4]$ Silane ( $[D_4]$ 7) was prepared by treatment of tetrachlorosilane with lithium aluminum tetradeuteride by using a slightly modified version of the procedure described in literature<sup>[11]</sup>: A Schlenk tube, filled with a solution of LiAlD<sub>4</sub> (210 mg, 5.00 mmol; Aldrich 98%-D) in dry methyl tert-butyl ether (25 mL) was connected to a vacuum line and degassed by four freeze-pumpthaw cycles. The degassed solution was frozen (-196°C) again, and freshly distilled and degassed SiCl<sub>4</sub> (850 mg 5.00 mmol) was condensed onto it. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up slowly. At about 0°C a lively evolution of gas was observed. The reaction products were condensed into a second trap (-196 °C). The trap, containing the crude  $[D_4]$ silane, was then warmed up to  $-120\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and the silane was condensed into a third trap at -196 °C to remove small traces of the solvent. By repeating this procedure two times, pure SiD<sub>4</sub> ([D<sub>4</sub>]7) (110 mL, 180 mg, 4.98 mmol) was isolated (99% yield).

In the same manner  $[D_3]$ silane  $([D_3]7)$  was prepared by treatment of trichlorosilane with lithium aluminum tetradeuteride: HSiD<sub>3</sub> (175 mg, 4.98 mmol, 99 % yield) was isolated from the reaction of HSiCl<sub>3</sub> (670 mg, 5.00 mmol) with LiAlD<sub>4</sub> (210 mg) in methyl *tert*-butyl ether (25 mL).

#### Acknowledgements

Support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged. We thank the Wacker-Chemie GmbH, Burghausen, for a gift of silicon wafers.

[2] a) G. Maier, H. P. Reisenauer, A. Meudt, H. Egenolf, *Chem. Ber./ Recueil* 1997, 130, 1043-1046;
b) G. Maier, H. P. Reisenauer, H. Egenolf in *Organosilicon Chemis*-

<u>4390</u> —

© 2002 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim 094

Presented as a Poster during the European Silicon Days in Munich, September 6-7, 2001.

try III :From Molecules to Materials (Eds.: N. Auner, J. Weis), VCH, Weinheim, 1998, pp. 31-35; c) G. Maier, H. P. Reisenauer, H. Egenolf, J. Glatthaar, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 1307-1311; d) G. Maier, H. P. Reisenauer, H. Egenolf, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 1313-1317; e) G. Maier, H. P. Reisenauer, H. Egenolf, Monatshefte für Chemie 1999, 130, 227 - 235; f) G. Maier, H. P. Reisenauer, H. Egenolf, Organometallics 1999, 18, 2155-2161; g) G. Maier, H. P. Reisenauer, H. Egenolf in Organosilicon Chemistry IV :From Molecules to Materials (Eds.: N. Auner, J. Weis), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000, pp. 64-69; h) G. Maier, H. P. Reisenauer, J.Glatthaar, Organometallics 2000, 19, 4775-4783; i) summary: G. Maier, A. Meudt, J. Jung, H. Pacl in The chemistry of organic silicon compounds, Vol. 2 (Eds.: Z. Rappoport, Y. Apeloig), Wiley, New York, 1998, Chapter 19, pp. 1143-1185; k) G. Maier, H. P. Reisenauer, H. Egenolf, J. Glatthaar in Organosilicon Chemistry V : From Molecules to Materials, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, in press.

- [3] a) G. Maier, G. Mihm, H. P. Reisenauer, D. Littmann, *Chem, Ber.* 1984, *117*, 2369–2381 (in Table 1 the wavenumbers 2035 and 2014 cm<sup>-1</sup> for silaethene in a N<sub>2</sub> matrix have to be corrected to 2235 and 2214 cm<sup>-1</sup>); b) G. Maier, G. Mihm, H. P. Reisenauer, *Chem. Ber.* 1984, *117*, 2351–2368; c) R. Zetzmann, Dissertation, Universität Giessen, 2000.
- [4] P. S. Skell, P. W. Owen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 3933-3934.
- [5] S. Sakai, J. Deisz, M. S. Gordon, J. Phys. Chem., 1989, 93, 1888-1893.
- [6] a) R. West, J. Fink, J. Michl, Science 1981, 214, 1343; b) summary: G. Raabe, J. Michl in *The Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds* (Eds.: S. Patai, Z. Rappoport), Wiley, New York, 1989, Part 2, pp. 1015-1142.
- [7] a) K. Tonokura, T. Murasaki, M. Koshi, *Chemical Physics Lett.* 2000, 319, 507-511; b) F. Falk, G. Mollekopf, H. Stafast, *Appl. Phys. A*, 1998, 67, 507-512; c) B. Ruscic, J. Berkowitz, *J. Chem. Phys.* 1991, 95, 2407-2415; d) B. Ruscic, J. Berkowitz, *J. Chem. Phys.* 1991, 95, 2416-2432.
- [8] a) C. Pak, J. C. Rienstra-Kiracofe, H. F. Schaefer, III, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2000, 104, 11232-11242; b) M. T. Swihart, R. W. Carr, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 785-792; c) G. Katzer, M. C. Ernst, A. F. Sax, J. Kalcher, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1997, 101, 3942-3958; d) Y. Apeloig, T.

- Müller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5363-5364; e) M C. Ernst, A. F. Sax, J. Kalcher, Chemical Physics Lett. 1993, 216, 189-193; f) L. A. Curtiss, K. Raghavachari, P. W. Deutsch, J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys. 1991, 95, 2433-2444; g) A. F. Sax, J. Kalcher, J. Phys. Chem. 1991, 95, 1768-1783; h) J. A. Boatz, M. S. Gordon, J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 7331-7323; i) G. Trinquier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 2130-2137; j) J.-P. Malrieu, G. Trinquier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5916-5921; k) G. Trinquier, J. P. Malrieu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5916-5921; k) G. Trinquier, J. P. Malrieu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5303-5315; l) M. S. Gordon, T. N. Truong, E. K. Bonderson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1421-1427; m) P. Ho, M. E. Coltrin, J. S. Binkley, C. F. Melius, J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 3399-3406; n) H. J. Köhler, H. Lischka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5884-5889; o) H. Lischka, H.-J. Köhler, Chemical Physics Lett. 1982, 85, 467-471; p) R. A. Poirier, J. D. Goddard, Chemical Physics Lett. 1981, 80, 37-41.
- [9] Gaussian 98, Revision A. 7, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., R. E. Stratmann, J. C. Burant, S. Dapprich, J. M. Millam, A. D. Daniels, K. N. Kudin, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, M. Cossi, R. Cammi, B. Mennucci, C. Pomelli, C. Adamo, S. Clifford, J. Ochterski, G. A. Petersson, P. Y. Ayala, Q. Cui, K. Morokuma, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, J. V. Ortiz, A. G. Baboul, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, C. Gonzalez, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, C. Gonzalez, M. Head-Gordon, E. S. Replogle, J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh PA, **1998**.
- [10] M. Bogey, H. Bolvin, C. Demuynck, J.-L. Destombes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1991, 66, 413–416.
- [11] a) D. Norman, W. A. Yolly, J R. Webster, *Inorg. Synth.* 1968, 11, 170;
  b) N. Auner, U. Klingebiel in *Synthetic Methods of Organometallic and Inorganic Chemistry* (Ed.: W. A. Herrmann), Thieme, Stuttgart, 1996, pp. 144–145.

Received: February 22, 2002 [F3898]