(Chem. Pharm. Bull.) 29(11)3112—3117(1981)

# Uracil Derivatives. II.<sup>1)</sup> Syntheses and Growth-inhibitory Activity against L-1210 Cells of 5-(4-Substituted-phenylthiomethyl)-6-carbamoyluracils

JUTARO OKADA,\* KOICHI NAKANO, HIROSHI MIYAKE, and SHOJI YASUFUKU

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyoto University, Yoshida-Shimoadachi-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606, Japan

(Received April 11, 1981)

5-(Substituted-thiomethyl)-6-carbamoyluracils (IIIa—f and VIa—c) were prepared in two steps from 5-chloromethyl-6-ethoxycarbonyluracil (I). Oxidation of IIIa—c gave the corresponding sulfones (IVa—c).

The compounds thus prepared were examined for growth inhibition of L-1210 cells in vitro and some of them exhibited high activity.

**Keywords**—4-substituted-benzenethiol; nucleophilic substitution; 6-carbamoyluracil; growth inhibition of L-1210 cells; P-388 cells

Over the years there has been a continuous interest in analogs of uracil. Thus, compounds such as 5-fluorouracil, 5-trifluoromethyluracil and 5-mercaptomethyluracil are effective as inhibitors of cell growth. As part of our studies on related compounds, we synthesized various 5-(substituted-methyl)-6-carbamoyluracils, and found that among them 5-(4-chlorophenylthiomethyl)-6-carbamoyluracil (IIIa) inhibited the growth of L-1210 cells in vitro. 1)

As the inhibition of IIIa was weak, we attempted to prepare more active compounds by structural modifications of IIIa as follows: (1) replacement of chlorine by fluorine, bromine, iodine, methyl and methoxyl, (2) oxidation of sulfide, (3) introduction of a methylene group between the sulfur atom and the benzene ring.

### Chemistry

We have already reported a general procedure for the preparation of 5-(substituted-thiomethyl)-6-carbamoyluracils.<sup>1)</sup> According to this procedure, the first series of target compounds, 5-(4-substituted-phenylthiomethyl)-6-carbamoyluracils (IIIb—f) were prepared by the reaction of 5-chloromethyl-6-ethoxycarbonyluracil (I)<sup>1)</sup> with sodium 4-substituted-phenylthiolates followed by treatment with ammonia water (Chart 1).

a: R=CI, b: R=F, c: R=Br, d: R=I, e:  $R=CH_3$ , f:  $R=OCH_3$ Chart 1

The second series of compounds is 5-(4-substituted-phenylsulfonylmethyl)-6-carbamoyluracils (IVa—c). The sulfones (IVa—c) were prepared by oxidation of the corresponding sulfides (IIIa—c) in an excess of hydrogen peroxide in acetic acid at 80—90°C for 1 h (Chart 2). The infrared (IR) spectra exhibited absorption bands near 1310 and 1145 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to sulfone stretching vibrations.

The final target compounds, 5-(4-substituted-benzylthiomethyl)-6-carbamoyluracils (VIa—c) were prepared in two steps *via* the reaction of I with the corresponding sodium thiolates followed by treatment with ammonia water (Chart 3).

$$\begin{array}{c} H_2O_2 \\ \hline \\ HN \\ \hline \\ CH_2SO_2 \\ \hline \\ HN \\ \hline \\ CH_2SO_2 \\ \hline \\ R \\ \hline \\ CH_2SO_2 \\ \hline \\ R \\ \hline \\ CH_2SO_2 \\ \hline \\ R \\ \hline \\ CN \\ CONH_2 \\ \hline \\ R \\ \hline \\ CH_2SCH_2 \\ \hline \\ R \\ \hline \\ R \\ \hline \\ CH_2SCH_2 \\ \hline \\ R \\ \\ \\ R \\ \\ R \\ \\ R \\ \\ R \\ \\ \\ R \\$$

## Pharmacological Results

 $\mathbb{I}_{f}$ 

Πa

Шb

Шc

IId

Шe

Πf

IVa

IVb

IVc

Va

٧b

 ${\tt Vc}$ 

VIa

VIb

VIc

6-MPR

The uracil derivatives described above were tested for growth inhibition of L-1210 cells in vitro. The results are listed in Table I.

Compd.	Concentration ( $\mu$ g/ml)				
No.	0.3	3	10		
IIa			1		
Пь	15	17	11		
ΙΙc	42	37	49		
IId	12	10	15		

TABLE I. Growth Inhibition of L-1210 Cells in Vitro, % Inhibition

Almost all the tested compounds showed activity, but their activity was independent of concentration, due to poor solubility. Replacement of chlorine of IIIa by fluorine or bromine resulted in a marked increase in activity at 0.3 µg/ml (IIIb, c), and replacement by iodine, methyl or methoxyl resulted in a slight increase (IIId, e, f). 5-(4-Bromophenylthiomethyl)-6-ethoxycarbonyluracil (IIc) also exhibited high activity. However, in general, the esters (IIIa—f) showed low activity compared with the amides (IIIa—f). The activity of the sulfones (IVa—c) was weak compared with that of the amides (IIIa—c). Introduction of a methylene

group between the sulfur atom and the benzene ring of IIa or IIIa resulted in a marked increase in activity (Va, VIa). However introduction of methylene group in IIb, c or IIIb, c resulted in a slight decrease or almost no change in activity (Vb, c VIb, c).

Compound IIc, which has high activity against L-1210 cells in vitro at 0.3 µg/ml, was tested for antitumor activity against P-388 in vivo, according to the NIH protocol, 8) but showed no activity.

#### Experimental

Melting points were determined with a Yanagimote micro melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were measured with a Hitachi 260-10 spectrometer. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup>H-NMR) spectra were taken at 60 MHz with a JEOL JNM-PMX 60 spectrometer using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)- $d_6$ . Chemical shifts are expressed as  $\delta$  (ppm) downfield from TMS. The following abbreviations are used: s=singlet, d=doublet, t=triplet, q=quartet, m= multiplet and br.=broad. Mass spectra (MS) were measured with a JEOL JMS-01SG-2 mass spectrometer. 4-Iodobenzenethiol was prepared from 4-iodobenzene sulfonyl chloride. <sup>5)</sup> According to the method of Pan and Fletcher, <sup>6)</sup> 4-fluoro-<sup>7)</sup> and 4-bromophenylmethanethiol were prepared from 4-fluorobenzyl chloride and 4-bromobenzyl bromide, respectively.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 5-(Substituted-thiomethyl)-6-ethoxycarbonyluracils (IIb—f and Va—c): A Typical Example—5-(4-Bromophenylthiomethyl)-6-ethoxycarbonyluracil (IIc): A solution of sodium (0.49 g, 21.3 mmol) and 4-bromobenzenethiol (4.05 g, 21.5 mmol) in ethanol (300 ml) was refluxed for 1 h. Compound I (5.0 g, 21.5 mmol) was added to the solution after it had cooled, and the mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. The product was filtered off and recrystallized from ethanol to give 7.7 g (93.0%) of IIc: mp 237—240°C. IR  $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1730, 1700, 1655, 1260. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 1.28 (3H, t, J=7 Hz), 4.05 (2H, s), 4.16 (2H, q, J=7 Hz), 7.28 (2H, d, J=8 Hz), 7.47 (2H, d, J=8 Hz), 10.93 (1H, br s), 11.51 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 386 (M++2), 384 (M+).

Data for the esters IIb—f and Va—c prepared as described above are listed in Tables II and III, respectively. IR, NMR and mass spectral data are given below.

6-Ethoxycarbonyl-5-(4-fluorophenylthiomethyl)uracil (IIb): IR  $v_{\max}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1730, 1700, 1655, 1260. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 1.23 (3H, t, J=7 Hz), 4.01 (2H, s), 4.13 (2H, q, J=7 Hz), 6.8—7.6 (4H, m), 10.86 (1H, br s), 11.49 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 324 (M<sup>+</sup>).

6-Ethoxycarbonyl-5-(4-iodophenylthiomethyl)uracil (IId): IR  $v_{\max}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1730, 1700, 1655, 1260. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 1.25 (3H, t, J=7 Hz), 4.05 (2H, s), 4.16 (2H, q, J=7 Hz), 7.11 (2H, d, J=8 Hz), 7.59 (2H, d, J=8 Hz), 10.97 (1H, br s), 11.55 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 432 (M<sup>+</sup>).

6-Ethoxycarbonyl-5-(4-tolylthiomethyl)uracil (IIe): IR  $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1730, 1700, 1660, 1265. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 1.23 (3H, t, J=7 Hz), 2.28 (3H, s), 4.03 (2H, s), 4.12 (2H, q, J=7 Hz), 7.14 (2H, d, J=8.5 Hz), 7.24 (2H, d, J=8.5 Hz), 10.0—11.7 (2H, br.). MS m/e: 320 (M<sup>+</sup>).

Compd. No.	R	Yield (%)	mp (°C)	Recryst.	Formula	Analysis Calco (Foun C H	i ´´´
Пь	F	79.0	245—248	EtOH	$C_{14}H_{13}FN_2O_4S$	51.85 4.04 (51.74 4.27	
Iс	Br	93.0	237—240	EtOH	$C_{14}H_{13}BrN_2O_4S$	43.65 3.40 (43.69 3.62	
IId	I	89.8	243—246	EtOH	$\mathrm{C_{14}H_{13}IN_2O_4S}$	38.90 3.03 (38.63 2.94	
IIe	$CH_3$	92.0	240—242.5	EtOH	$\mathrm{C_{15}H_{16}N_2O_4S}$	56.24 5.30 (55.98 5.17	
IIf	OCH <sub>3</sub>	64.4	238—242	EtOH	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{16}\mathrm{N}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{5}\mathrm{S}$	53.56 4.79 (53.81 4.64	

Compd. No.	R	Yield (%)	mp (°C)	Recryst.	Formula	Analysis (%) Calcd (Found) CHN
Va	C1	73.7	213—215	EtOH	$C_{15}H_{15}ClN_2O_4S$	50.78 4.26 7.90 (50.85 4.23 8.03)
Vb	F	41.0	221—223	EtOH	$\mathrm{C_{15}H_{15}FN_2O_4S}$	53.25 4.47 8.28 (53.21 4.45 8.43)
$V_{\mathbf{c}}$	Br	34.9	222—226	EtOH	$\mathrm{C_{15}H_{15}BrN_2O_4S}$	45.12 3.79 7.02 (45.41 3.86 7.21)

6-Ethoxycarbonyl-5-(4-methoxyphenylthiomethyl)uracil (IIf): IR  $v_{\max}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1738, 1700, 1660, 1265. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 1.20 (3H, t, J=7 Hz), 3.71 (3H, s), 3.93 (2H, s), 4.03 (2H, q, J=7 Hz), 6.83 (2H, d, J=8.6 Hz), 7.22 (2H, d, J=8.6 Hz), 10.77 (1H, br.s), 11.44 (1H, br.s). MS m/e: 336 (M<sup>+</sup>).

5-(4-Chlorobenzylthiomethyl)-6-ethoxycarbonyluracil (Va): IR  $v_{\max}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1725, 1700, 1655, 1260. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 1.28 (3H, t, J=7 Hz), 3.60 (2H, s), 3.75 (2H, s), 4.27 (2H, q, J=7 Hz), 7.30 (4H, s), 10.93 (1H, br s), 11.40 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 356 (M<sup>+</sup> +2), 354 (M<sup>+</sup>).

6-Ethoxycarbonyl-5-(4-fluorobenzylthiomethyl)uracil (Vb): IR  $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1740, 1720, 1660, 1260. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 1.29 (3H, t, J=7 Hz), 3.61 (2H, s), 3.77 (2H, s), 4.27 (2H, q, J=7 Hz), 6.9—7.5 (4H, m), 10.90 (1H, br.s), 11.44 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 338 (M<sup>+</sup>).

5-(4-Bromobenzylthiomethyl)-6-ethoxycarbonyluracil (Vc): IR  $v_{\max}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1740, 1720, 1660, 1260. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 1.32 (3H, t, J=7 Hz), 3.60 (2H, s), 3.73 (2H, s), 4.27 (2H, q, J=7 Hz), 7.26 (2H, d, J=9 Hz), 7.43 (2H, d, J=9 Hz), 10.92 (1H, br s), 11.48 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 400 (M<sup>+</sup> +2), 398 (M<sup>+</sup>).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 5-(Substituted-thiomethyl)-6-carbamoyluracils (IIIb—f and VIa—c): A Typical Example——5-(4-Bromophenylthiomethyl)-6-carbamoyluracil (IIIc): A mixture of IIc (3.0 g, 7.8 mmol) and ammonia water (220 ml) was stirred for 2 d at room temperature. Small amounts of insoluble compounds were filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The precipitates were filtered off and recrystallized from MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O to give 2.4 g (86.5%) of IIc: mp 249°C (dec.). IR  $v_{\rm max}^{\rm Nujol}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 3350, 1730, 1680, 1660. NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.91 (2H, s), 7.29 (2H, d, J=8 Hz), 7.44 (2H, d, J=8 Hz), 7.97 (1H, br s), 8.14 (1H, br s), 11.15 (1H, br s), 11.29 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 357 (M<sup>+</sup> + 2), 355 (M<sup>+</sup>).

Data for the amides IIIb—f and VIa—c prepared as described above are listed in Tables IV and V, respectively. IR, NMR and mass spectral data are given below.

Compd. I	R	Yield (%)		Recryst.	Formula	Analysis (%) Calcd (Found)		
						c	H	N
Шь	F	85.3	221	MeOH-H <sub>2</sub> O	$C_{12}H_{10}FN_3O_3S$	48.81 (48.85	3.41 3.32	14.23 14.34)
Шс	Br	86.5	249	${ m MeOH-H_2O}$	$\mathrm{C_{12}H_{10}BrN_3O_3S}$	40.46 (40.25	2.83 3.06	11.80 11.52)
Шd	I	86.0	254	MeOH-H <sub>2</sub> O	$C_{12}H_{10}IN_3O_3S$	35.75 (35.89	2.50 2.57	10.42 10.51)
Ше	$CH_3$	79.9	241	$MeOH-H_2O$	$\mathrm{C_{13}H_{13}N_3O_3S}$	53.60 (53.47	4.50 4.61	14.42 14.62)
Шf	OCH <sub>3</sub>	62.7	255	MeOH-H <sub>2</sub> O	$C_{13}H_{13}N_3O_4S$	50.81 (50.81	4.26 4.15	13.67 13.63)

Compd. No.	R	Yield (%)	mp (°C) (dec.)	Recryst. solv.	Formula		Alysis Calcd Found H	,, -,
VIa	Cl	65.8	238	MeOH-H <sub>2</sub> O	$C_{13}H_{12}ClN_3O_3S$	47.93 (48.14	3.71 3.57	12.90 12.62)
VIb	F	69.1	226	${ m MeOH-H_2O}$	$\mathrm{C_{13}H_{12}FN_3O_3S}$	50.47 (50.43	3.91 4.11	13.59 13.39)
VIc	$\operatorname{Br}$	49.7	256	MeOH-H <sub>2</sub> O	$\mathrm{C_{13}H_{12}BrN_3O_3S}$	42.17 (42.43	3.27 3.16	11.35 11.35)

6-Carbamoyl-5-(4-fluorophenylthiomethyl)uracil (IIIb): IR  $v_{\rm max}^{\rm Nujol}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3420, 3350, 1735, 1690, 1660. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 3.87 (2H, s), 6.8—7.6 (4H, m), 7.92 (1H, br s), 8.09 (1H, br s), 11.10 (1H, br s), 11.25 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 295 (M<sup>+</sup>).

6-Carbamoyl-5-(4-iodophenylthiomethyl)uracil (IIId): IR  $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 3350, 1730, 1680, 1660. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 3.90 (2H, s), 7.13 (2H, d, J=8 Hz), 7.57 (2H, d, J=8 Hz), 7.97 (1H, br s), 8.15 (1H, br s), 11.16 (1H, br s), 11.28 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 403 (M<sup>+</sup>).

6-Carbamoyl-5-(4-tolylthiomethyl)uracil (IIIe): IR  $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400—3300, 1740, 1680, 1650. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 2.26 (3H, s), 3.91 (2H, s), 7.13 (2H, d, J=8.5 Hz), 7.28 (2H, d, J=8.5 Hz), 7.97 (1H, br s), 8.15 (1H, br s), 11.17 (1H, br s), 11.31 (1H, br s), MS m/e: 291 (M<sup>+</sup>).

6-Carbamoyl-5-(4-methoxyphenylthiomethyl)uracil (IIIf): IR  $\nu_{\max}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 3300, 1758, 1715, 1665. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 3.71 (3H, s), 3.79 (2H, s), 6.92 (2H, d, J=8.6 Hz), 7.29 (2H, d, J=8.6 Hz), 7.89 (1H, br s), 8.01 (1H, br s), 10.97 (1H, br s), 11.15 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 307 (M<sup>+</sup>).

6-Carbamoyl-5-(4-chlorobenzylthiomethyl)uracil (VIa): IR  $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3350, 1760, 1690, 1650. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 3.38 (2H, s), 3.73 (2H, s), 7.30 (4H, s), 7.97 (1H, br s), 8.15 (1H, br s), 11.07 (1H, br s), 11.22 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 327 (M<sup>+</sup> +2), 325 (M<sup>+</sup>).

6-Carbamoyl-5-(4-fluorobenzylthiomethyl)uracil (VIb): IR  $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{Nuiol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3350, 1760, 1700, 1660. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 3.39 (2H, s), 3.74 (2H, s), 6.9—7.5 (4H, m), 8.00 (1H, br s), 8.18 (1H, br s), 11.09 (1H, br s), 11.23 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 309 (M+).

5-(4-Bromobenzylthiomethyl)-6-carbamoyluracil (VIc): IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Nujol}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3350, 1760, 1690, 1650. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 3.38 (2H, s), 3.72 (2H, s), 7.27 (2H, d, J=9 Hz), 7.46 (2H, d, J=9 Hz), 8.00 (1H, br s), 8.17 (1H, br s), 11.10 (1H, br s), 11.23 (1H, br s). MS m/e: 371 (M<sup>+</sup> +2), 369 (M<sup>+</sup>).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 5-(4-Substituted-phenylsulfonylmethyl)-6-carbamoyluracils (IVa—c): A Typical Example—5-(4-Bromophenylsulfonylmethyl)-6-carbamoyluracil (IVc): A mixture of IIIc (0.9 g, 2.5 mmol) and 30% hydrogen peroxide (4.0 g, 35 mmol) in acetic acid (7 ml) was heated at 80—90°C for 1 h, then cooled. The product was filtered off and recrystallized from DMSO-MeOH to give

Compd. No.	R Yield (%)		mp (°C)	Recryst. solv.	Formula	Analysis (%) Calcd (Found)		
110.		(767				ć	H	N
IVa	Cl	67.1	>300	DMSO-MeOH	$\mathrm{C_{12}H_{10}ClN_3O_5S}$	41.93 (41.99	2.93 3.03	12.22 12.01)
IVb	F	57.2	>300	DMSO-MeOH	$\mathrm{C_{12}H_{10}FN_3O_5S}$	44.04 (43.95	$\frac{3.08}{2.95}$	12.84 12.66)
IVc	Br	74.2	>300	DMSO-MeOH	$C_{12}H_{10}BrN_3O_5S$	37.13 (36.92	2.60	10.82 10.64)

0.72 g (74.2%) of IVc: mp>300°C. IR  $\nu_{\max}^{\text{KBr}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3460, 1703, 1680, 1305, 1140. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 4.38 (2H, s), 7.69 (4H, s), 7.97 (1H, br s), 8.12 (1H, br s), 11.19 (2H, br s). MS m/e: 389 (M<sup>+</sup> +2), 387 (M<sup>+</sup>).

Data for the sulfones IVa—c prepared as described above are listed in Table VI. IR, NMR and mass spectral data are given below.

5-(4-Chlorophenylsulfonylmethyl)-6-carbamoyluracil (IVa): IR  $\nu_{\max}^{\text{KBr}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3460, 1705, 1690—1675, 1310, 1145. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 4.43 (2H, s), 7.63 (2H, d, J=8.4 Hz), 7.75 (2H, d, J=8.4 Hz), 8.02 (1H, brs), 8.16 (1H, br s), 11.29 (2H, br s). MS m/e: 345 (M<sup>+</sup> +2), 343 (M<sup>+</sup>).

6-Carbamoyl-5-(4-fluorophenylsulfonylmethyl)uracil (IVb): IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3440, 1705, 1690—1675, 1310, 1145. NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 4.42 (2H, s), 7.2—8.0 (4H, m), 8.02 (1H, br s), 8.19 (1H, br s), 11.27 (2H, br s). MS m/e: 327 (M<sup>+</sup>).

Leukemia L-1210 in Vitro Screening—Kuwano et al. determined the synergistic effect of the combination of 6-methylthioinosine and amphotericin B on deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) synthesis by measuring the incorporation of <sup>3</sup>H-thymidine. <sup>9</sup> It is also well known that 5-[<sup>125</sup>I]iodo-2'-deoxyuridine (<sup>125</sup>I-dUrd) is incorporated into DNA in the place of thymidine. <sup>10</sup> On the other hand, <sup>3</sup>H-thymidine must be measured by the use of a liquid scintillation counter but <sup>125</sup>I-dUrd can be measured directly with a gamma-counter. Cohen et al. measured cell-mediated cytotoxicity by using <sup>125</sup>I-dUrd. <sup>11</sup> Accordingly, we determined the effect of the newly synthesized compounds on the growth of L-1210 cells by measuring the incorporation of <sup>125</sup>I-dUrd. <sup>1</sup>

L-1210 cells were obtained from the Central Research Laboratories of Yamanouchi Co., Ltd. Three samples at concentrations of 3, 30 and 100 µg/ml were prepared in 97.5% saline–2.5% ethanol, and an aliquot of each sample (20 µl) was added to L-1210 cells ( $1\times10^5$  cells/180 µl in 10% horse serum supplemented RPMI 1640). 6-Mercaptopurine riboside (6-MPR) was used as a standard. After incubation of the medium in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub>–95% air for 18 h at 37°C, <sup>125</sup>I-dUrd in aqueous solution (0.2 µCi/20 µl) was added. The medium was incubated for a further 6 h, then L-1210 cells were collected with an automatic cell harvester and the radioactivity (cpm) was measured with an autogamma scintillation spectrometer. The incorporation of <sup>125</sup>I-dUrd into the cells was measured and the percent growth inhibition was calculated by means of the following equation:

% growth inhibition=
$$\left(1 - \frac{\text{cpm}_T}{\text{cpm}_C}\right) \times 100$$

where cpm<sub>T</sub> is the <sup>125</sup>I-dUrd radioactivity incorporated in the tested cells and cpm<sub>C</sub> is that of the control.

Acknowledgement The authors are grateful to the staff of the Microanalytical Center of Kyoto University for elemental analyses, and to Dr. K. Akimoto for measurements of mass spectra. Thanks are also due to Mr. K. Yano, Mr. T. Matuo and Dr. T. Kishigawa, Biological Research Laboratories of Morishita Co., Ltd., for biological assay.

#### References and Notes

- 1) Part I: J. Okada, K. Nakano, and H. Miyake, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 29, 667 (1981).
- 2) C. Heidelberger and F.J. Ansfield, Cancer Res., 23, 1226 (1963).
- 3) C. Heidelberger, D.G. Parsons, and D.C. Remy, J. Med. Chem., 7, 1 (1964).
- 4) A. Giner-Sorolla and L. Medrek, J. Med. Chem., 9, 97 (1966).
- 5) E.D. Amstutz, E.A. Fehnel, and J.W. Woods, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 69, 1922 (1947).
- 6) H.L. Pan and T.L. Fletcher, Chem. Ind. (London), 1968, 546.
- 7) L.A. Paquette, L.S. Wittenbrook, and K. Schreiber, J. Org. Chem., 33, 1080 (1968).
- 8) R.I. Geran, N.H. Greenberg, M.M. Macdonald, A.M. Schumacher, and B.J. Abbott, Cancer Chemother. Rep., Part 3, 3, 9 (1972).
- 9) M. Kuwano, K. Matsui, T. Nakashima, H. Endo, S. Komiyama, and M. Saneyoshi, Gann, 66, 655 (1975).
- 10) W.L. Hughes, S.L. Comerford, D. Gitlin, R.C. Krueger, B. Schultze, V. Shah, and P. Keilly, Fed. Proc., 23, 640 (1964).
- 11) A.M. Cohen, J.F. Burdick, and A.S. Ketcham, J. Immunol., 107, 895 (1971).