# Scanning Tunneling Microscopy and Scanning Electron Microscopy Observations of the Early Stage of Silver Deposition on Graphite Single Crystal Electrodes

L. Vázquez,<sup>†</sup> A. Hernández Creus,<sup>‡</sup> P. Carro,<sup>‡</sup> P. Ocón,<sup>§</sup> P. Herrasti,<sup>§</sup> C. Palacio,<sup>†</sup> J. M. Vara,<sup>§</sup> R. C. Salvarezza,<sup>||</sup> and A. J. Arvia<sup>\*,||</sup>

Departamento de Física Aplicada C-XII, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales, sede B, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain, Departamento de Química-Física, Universidad de La Laguna, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain, Departamento de Química-Física Aplicada C-II, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain, Instituto de Investigaciones Fisicoquímicas Teóricas y Aplicadas (INIFTA), Casilla de Correo 16, Sucursal 4, 1900 La Plata, Argentina (Received: May 14, 1992; In Final Form: August 19, 1992)

The early stages of Ag overpotential deposition on highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) from Ag<sup>+</sup>-ion-containing acid solutions have been studied by ex situ scanning tunneling microscopy and scanning electron microscopy imaging complemented with electrochemical, energy dispersive X-ray analysis, and Auger electron spectroscopy data. Nucleation and 3D Ag growth initiate at surface defects. Unstructured 3D Ag nuclei decorating HOPG steps and flat geometric Ag islands are formed around the steps. The island structure is compatible with a local layer-by-layer growth. The entire morphology of the Ag deposit is consistent with a growth mechanism involving Ag atom diffusion from 3D nuclei at step edges toward Ag flat domains. Images with atomic resolution reveal large uncovered HOPG areas and Ag submonolayer domains with the nearest-neighbor distance  $d = 0.33 \pm 0.02$  nm, whereas flat Ag islands exhibit  $d = 0.29 \pm 0.02$  nm as expected for the nearest-neighbor distance in the Ag lattice. A model for these structures is discussed.

### 1. Introduction

The interest in the very early stages of metal electrodeposition both in the underpotential (upd) and overpotential (opd) regions encourages further investigations to demonstrate the validity of growth models and phase transitions operating at different stages of the process.<sup>1</sup> The rapid development in the application of scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) to electrochemical systems involving well-defined substrates under different operating conditions allows the examination of growth processes from the early formation of a single monolayer to deposition equivalent to several atomic layers.

Despite the fact that there are still no universally accepted criteria concerning the optimum STM operating mode in electrochemistry, a number of very interesting results and conclusions concerning the electrocrystallization of metals have been reported in recent years.<sup>2-8</sup> Investigations of the upd of metals with atomic resolution<sup>3-6</sup> and the early stages of Cu opd on Au single-crystal electrodes have been made using STM.<sup>7</sup>

For upd of metals, ordered overlayers have been reported from STM and AFM imaging.<sup>3-6</sup> These overlayers exhibit open structures with packaging densities which depend on the anion present in the electrolyte solution. A partial charge transfer from anions to metal adatoms has been proposed to explain the experimental results. It should be noted that due to the difference in electronegativities between substrate and metal adatoms, the latter remains with a small positive charge.<sup>5</sup>

STM observations indicate that the initial opd of metals occurs at step edges, dislocations, and defects in the substrate surface. Subsequently, the deposition of metals takes place on flat substrate terraces, the rate of growth of the deposit being far faster in the x- and y-directions than it is in the z-direction.<sup>7</sup> For Cu electrodeposition on Pt, it has been concluded that a 2D layer-by-layer growth mechanism does not account for the process, although in this case the shape of Cu crystallites is not well-defined at the early stages of growth, it changes into a better defined morphology at later stages. The opd of Ag on graphite surfaces has also been studied from in situ STM.<sup>8-10</sup> At the rest potential, the STM images revealed large features attributed to Ag electrodeposits and relatively large uncovered domains of graphite even for Ag electrodeposits equivalent to about 300 Ag monolayers.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, only unstructured 3D Ag deposits were observed from in situ STM imaging of opd Ag under potentiostatic control.<sup>9,10</sup> These results were interpreted through a pure island rather than a combined island-and-layer growth mechanism.

The present work refers to the early stage of Ag electodeposition from Ag<sup>+</sup>-ion-containing acid solutions on highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) followed by ex situ STM and SEM imaging complemented with EDAX, AES, and additional data derived from electrochemical measurements. The ex situ STM technique was used to avoid any modification of the local potential and diffusion fields at the working electrode surface which can be induced by the tip for in situ operations.<sup>7</sup> These effects could produce important changes in the growth mode of the Ag deposit.

For the first time structures of Ag deposits from the submonolayer level to large geometric crystals of thicknesses equivalent to several monolayers are observed. The Ag submonolayer domains exhibit an open structure with nearest-neighbor distances  $d = 0.33 \pm 0.02$  nm, i.e., a value of d larger than that corresponding to the nearest-neighbor distance in the Ag lattice. A mechanism involving nucleation and 3D growth at substrate steps followed by surface diffusion of atoms from these nuclei inward to form flat domains is presented. In this way the Ag coverage of the HOPG substrate can be explained through the formation of flat terraces which behave as precursors of Ag crystal faces.

# 2. Experimental Section

The Ag electrodeposition on HOPG from acid solutions was studied by using conventional electrochemical techniques combined with SEM, EDAX, AES, and STM.

Current-potential profiles related to the Ag electrodeposition/anodic stripping on HOPG were obtained in a conventional electrochemical cell with a HOPG working electrode, a Pt polycrystalline counterelectrode, and a Hg/Hg<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> electrode (MSE) as reference;  $E_r$ (Hg/Hg<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) = 0.615 V vs normal hydrogen electrode. Potentials in the text are given in the MSE scale. Each current-potential curve was run with a freshly exfoliated HOPG surface immersed in  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  M Ag<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> +  $10^{-2}$  M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution at 0.02 V s<sup>-1</sup> between 0 V and -0.480 V. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Departamento de Fisica Aplicada C-XII, Universidad Autônoma de Madrid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Departamento de Química-Física, Universidad de La Laguna. <sup>1</sup>Departamento de Química-Física Aplicada C-II, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid.

INIFTA.



Figure 1. Current-potential profile recorded at 0.02 V/s for HOPG in  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  M Ag<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> +  $10^{-2}$  M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 25 °C.



Figure 2. AES spectrum obtained for a Ag electrodeposit grown on HOPG after 6 h of exposure to the atmosphere.

composition of the solution was selected on the basis of previous data related to the Ag opd on a polyfaceted Pt single crystal sphere.<sup>11</sup> The solutions were prepared with A.R. chemicals and were deaerated by bubbling purified  $N_2$  prior to the electrochemical runs.

For SEM and STM imaging the Ag electrodeposition was made at a constant cathodic overpotential in the  $-0.05 \text{ V} \le \eta_c \le 0 \text{ V}$ range;  $\eta_c = E - E_r(Ag/Ag^+)$ , where E is the applied electric potential and  $E_r(Ag/Ag^+) = 0.015 \text{ V}$  is the reversible potential of the Ag/Ag<sup>+</sup> (10<sup>-2</sup> M) after ionic strength correction. The apparent charge density related to the Ag electrodeposits was in the 1-2 mC cm<sup>-2</sup> range, and the electrodeposition time was varied between 10 and 30 s. These results were checked through the anodic stripping voltammogram of each Ag electrodeposit run after the corresponding STM imaging.

The Ag deposit topography was studied in the micrometer and the nanometer range by using SEM complemented by EDAX and ex situ STM, respectively. SEM and STM imaging were made after removing the working electrode from the electrochemical cell, rinsing in water by successive smooth immersions, and finally drying under  $N_2$  at room temperature.



**Figure 3.** SEM micrographs of Ag electrodeposits on HOPG at  $\eta_c = -0.025$  V; (a, top)  $q_c = 2$  mC cm<sup>-2</sup>, (b, bottom),  $q_c = 1$  mC cm<sup>-2</sup>. The horizontal bars indicate (a) 10  $\mu$ m and (b) 1  $\mu$ m.



**Figure 4.** 3D STM image (983 × 983 nm<sup>2</sup>) of HOPG after Ag electrodeposition at  $\eta_c = -0.025$  V,  $q_c = 1$  mC cm<sup>-2</sup>. Total height difference,  $\Delta h = 81.2$  nm.

The STM images were obtained within the first 6 h after the sample removal from the cell by using a piezo-tube STM operating in air. The interference of possible contamination of samples coming from the atmosphere during ex situ STM imaging was tested using Auger spectroscopy analysis (AES).



Figure 5. STM images of HOPG after Ag electrodeposition at  $\eta_c = -0.025$  V and  $q_c = 1$  mC cm<sup>-2</sup>; (a, top left)  $410 \times 410$  nm<sup>2</sup> (top view),  $\Delta h = 8.8$  nm; (b, top middle) 176 × 176 nm<sup>2</sup> (3D),  $\Delta h = 6.5$  nm; (c, top right) 300 × 300 nm<sup>2</sup> (top view),  $\Delta h = 3.1$  nm; (d-f, bottom, left to right) cuts of the triangular Ag crystallite shown in Figure 5c following the directions  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ , respectively.

AES analysis was carried out in an ultrahigh vacuum chamber at a base pressure better than  $10^{-9}$  Torr using a cylindrical mirror analyzer (CMA) with a resolution of 0.25%. A modulation voltage of 1 V<sub>pp</sub> was applied to the CMA. A constant primary electron beam current of 0.5  $\mu$ A at 3 kV was used.

The platinum tips used for STM imaging were made by cutting 0.5 mm diameter Pt wires. The images were obtained by applying a 0.05-V bias voltage with the tip positive at 1-2 nA constant current. The precision of the STM distances measured at the atomic scale is usually affected by two main causes: the hysteresis and the thermal drift of the piezoelectric tube. We have used different experimental ways to minimize drift effects. Thus, atomic distances were measured along the x-axis where the atomic corrugation is imaged faster at ca. 0.05 s scan<sup>-1</sup>. In addition, the current mode was used for atomic resolution imaging (for conventional topographic imaging the constant current mode was employed) as it allows the use of high scan rates (total collection time  $\approx 10$  s). However, although the drift effects have been reduced, the error bar of the distances obtained from the STM images is estimated as  $\pm 10\%$ . Also, the angles measured from the STM images have an error of  $\pm 10\%$ .

The STM calibration was performed through the HOPG surface lattice. These images (typically 900  $\times$  900 nm<sup>2</sup>) exhibit flat surface areas with some steps. At the highest resolution (40  $\times$  40 nm<sup>2</sup>) the typical hexagonal array of C atoms where one images only three of the six C atoms in the graphite lattice leading to nearest-neighbor distances of 0.24  $\pm$  0.02 nm can be observed.<sup>12</sup> In addition, the honeycomb structure with the C–C distance of 0.14  $\pm$  0.02 nm has been also resolved. These data were used to confirm the 10% error bar in the measurement of the distances.

Data were acquired in a fully automated workstation and stored as digitized images with  $200 \times 200$  or  $400 \times 400$  pixels. The scan rate was 300 nm s<sup>-1</sup>. The atomic distances given in this work have been obtained from raw data images, although they are shown slightly filtered in the corresponding figures.

#### 3. Results and Interpretation

3.1. Electrochemical Data. The cyclovoltammograms corresponding to the Ag electrodeposition/electrodissolution on HOPG were recorded at 0.02 V s<sup>-1</sup> in  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  M Ag<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> +  $10^{-2}$  M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (Figure 1) by setting the anodic

switching potential as low as possible to avoid the HOPG substrate electrooxidation. The voltammogram shows a cathodic current which initiates at a few millivolts below  $E_r(Ag/Ag^+)$  and extends down to the cathodic switching potential value. The reverse scan exhibits a hysteresis loop involving a cathodic current greater than that recorded in the preceding negative potential going scan. This loop is related to the nucleation and 3D growth of Ag on HOPG.<sup>1,11</sup> As the potential scan exceeds  $E_r(Ag/Ag^+)$ , an anodic current peak at 0.075 V which is related to the stripping of the Ag electrodeposit can be observed.

The arrows labeled A ( $\eta_c = 0.025$  V) and B ( $\eta_c = 0.05$  V) in the voltammogram indicate the potentials at which the Ag electrodeposits were grown for ex situ SEM and STM imaging.

3.2. AES Results. The Auger spectrum of Ag electrodeposits grown on HOPG by the procedure described above after 6 h exposure to the atmosphere (Figure 2) is characterized by three peaks at 271, 350, and 356 eV. The peak at 271 eV is assigned to  $KL_{23}L_{23}$  Auger transition of C and presents the typical graphitic shape. The peaks at 350 and 356 eV are due to the characteristic  $M_{45}N_{45}N_{45}$  doublet of Ag, the separation being that between  $M_4$ and  $M_5$  levels. Although AES is not extremely sensitive to oxygen, no reliable oxygen feature can be detected in the noise of the spectrum near 500 eV. Even assuming that this noise corresponds to the oxygen peak (arrow in the spectrum), the oxygen coverage should be  $\leq^1/_3$  monolayer. Besides, the fact that no shift in the  $M_5N_{45}N_{45}$  and  $M_4N_{45}N_{45}$  transitions can be observed confirms that practically no oxide is present on the Ag surface.

**3.3.** SEM Imaging. SEM images of opd Åg electrodeposits produced at  $\eta_c = -0.025$  V (arrow A, Figure 1) for an apparent charge density (charge/HOPG geometric area)  $q_c \simeq 2$  mC cm<sup>-2</sup> (Figure 3a), show flat HOPG domains with isolated geometric Ag crystals which are aligned in well-defined directions. The latter are compatible with the possible directions of HOPG steps. By reduction of the charge to  $q_c \simeq 1$  mC cm<sup>-2</sup>, small agglomerates (bright spots in the micrograph) which are associated with substrate irregularities can be observed (Figure 3b). These agglomerates are Ag deposits, as revealed by EDAX.

**3.4.** STM Imaging. The STM images  $(983 \times 983 \text{ nm}^2)$  of a Ag electrodeposit (Figure 4), which was prepared as described above in section 3.3 (Figure 3b), show Ag agglomerates surrounding HOPG steps. These patterns result from nucleation and growth phenomena at solid substrate defects as it has been already

#### Ag Deposition on Graphite Electrodes

reported for the growth of new phases at solid/gas<sup>13</sup> and solid/ electrolyte<sup>7</sup> interfaces.

In principle, the Ag deposits exhibit two distinguishable morphologies, namely, unstructured 3D grains and geometric crystallites with well-defined angles. The latter show up a flat morphology often surrounded by a certain number of small 3D Ag nuclei (Figure 5a). The root mean square (rms) roughness resulting for the overall image is close to 1.3 nm. The 3D nuclei 0.6 nm height and 20 nm diameter average dimensions are preferentially aligned very likely following the HOPG step directions. It should be noted that fine structured steps with a periodicity ranging from 2 to 8 nm size have been observed on HOPG without deposit.<sup>14</sup> These figures are certainly much smaller than the 20-nm distance between contacting 3D nuclei, so that the 3D nuclei patterns can be described as that of Ag decorated HOPG steps.

The Ag deposit also exhibits triangular Ag crystallites with flat terraces (0.3 nm rms) involving 3D Ag nuclei at Ag terrace edges following the same preferred direction (Figure 5a). The STM images demonstrate that at Ag crystal edges the degree of disorder becomes relatively larger than at Ag terrace domains. Thus, the height of 3D nuclei formed at the edge of Ag crystallites (Figure 5a, top left, see arrows) exceeds the height at the central part of the Ag terrace (rms < 0.3 nm) (Figure 5b). The picture also shows a number of Ag crystals resulting from the overlapping of several growing Ag subterraces of different heights. This Ag growth pattern is comparable to that recently reported for opd Pb terraces are  $60 \pm 10^{\circ}$  and  $30 \pm 10^{\circ}$  for the highest and the lowest terraces, respectively. Likewise, isolated Ag crystals are usually found surrounding Ag agglomerates.

A more detailed inspection of the Ag crystals (Figure 5c) provides valuable information about the likely Ag growth mechanism. Thus, the edges of the partially developed Ag triangularly-shaped crystal shown in Figure 5c determine a 30  $\pm$ 10° angle, but the right-hand-side (rhs) crystal edge becomes higher (0.3 nm) than the left-hand-side (lhs) Ag crystal edge (0.3 nm) (Figure 5d). The latter is constituted by parallel steps which are decorated by small Ag nuclei of about 10 nm size (Figure 5e). It is evident that the Ag terrace imaged in Figure 5c is not completed and formed by two layers involving a 0.7 nm height difference (Figure 5f). The growth of the Ag terrace takes place in two well-defined directions, namely,  $30 \pm 10^{\circ}$  and  $90 \pm 10^{\circ}$ , with respect to the largest edge of the triangular crystallite. Furthermore, well-defined angles of  $20 \pm 10^{\circ}$ ,  $40 \pm 10^{\circ}$ , and 100 $\pm$  10° are formed along the edge of the large terrace depicted at the lower left-hand corner in Figure 5c.

The preceding morphological description, which covers different stages of growth of Ag crystallites, is consistent with a growth process involving the surface diffusion of Ag atoms from unstructured 3D nuclei to flat terraces. This mechanism can be easily supported by the high mobility of Ag surface atoms on Ag at the solid/solution interface at room temperature.<sup>16</sup>

Atomic resolution imaging was attempted on the top of Ag terrace domains and on flat areas surrounding Ag crystals, by using the current mode to minimize drift effects. Figure 6a corresponds to a STM image  $(4.2 \times 4.2 \text{ nm}^2)$  obtained on the flat area located about 20 nm from a Ag crystal edge. It shows a hexagonal array of atoms with  $d = 0.24 \pm 0.03$  nm which, as already mentioned, corresponds to STM images of HOPG when only three of the six C atoms in the graphite lattice are observed. These results prove that at the early stages of 3D Ag nuclei growth the Ag monolayer is still not completed. Therefore, under nonequilibrium Ag electrodeposition conditions ( $\eta_c = -0.025$  V) the 3D Ag phase appears to be more stable than the 2D Ag monolayer. This conclusion is similar to that previously derived for the same system from in situ STM<sup>8</sup> and Ag opd on Pt.<sup>17</sup> It should be noted that the rearrangement of metal monolayers to form 3D metal nuclei and uncovered substrate domains in the overpotential region was firstly found for Cu electrodeposition on polycrystalline Pt.18 Hence, it appears that the  $2D \Rightarrow 3D$  rearrangement plays an important role in the early stages of metal electrodeposition processes.



**Figure 6.** (a, top) STM image  $(4.2 \times 4.2 \text{ nm}^2)$  taken 20 nm from the Ag electrodeposit,  $d = 0.24 \pm 0.03$  nm; (b, bottom) STM image  $(3.1 \times 3.1 \text{ nm}^2)$  of a flat geometric Ag crystal terrace,  $d = 0.29 \pm 0.03$  nm.

The atomic resolution STM image  $(3.1 \times 3.1 \text{ nm}^2)$  taken on a Ag terrace (2 nm height) (Figure 6b) displays also regular hexagonal lattice domains. This surface structure is in accordance with a Ag{111} crystallographic orientation. It should be noted that atomic resolution at metal surfaces is more difficult,<sup>19</sup> and consequently, STM images are of lower quality as compared to those obtained on uncovered HOPG domains (Figure 6a). However, despite this drawback, the distance between nearest neighbor atoms along the x-direction derived from Figure 6b results  $d = 0.29 \pm 0.03$  nm, which is very close to d = 0.288 nm in the Ag silver lattice. This result shows that, after a relatively short exposure time to the ambient atmosphere, the surface of the small and thin Ag crystallites grown on HOPG is not modified by oxygen species, a conclusion which is consistent with the AES results shown in Figure 2. The formation of a Ag surface oxide should involve a more prolonged exposure to air and it is characterized in the STM images by nearest-neighbor distances exceeding d = 0.288 nm.<sup>20</sup> This fact suggests that ex situ STM techniques can be applied to the investigation of the Ag/HOPG system provided that a prolonged contact with air is avoided.

Attention was paid to discover atomic features at flat domains located about 5 nm from the Ag crystal edges, i.e., at regions where one should expect the transition between uncovered and Ag-



Figure 7. (a, top left) STM image ( $82.4 \times 82.4$  nm<sup>2</sup>) top view,  $\Delta h = 1.8$  nm) of a large Ag terrace. A small Ag crystal at the step and a strip parallel to the step are observed. (b, top right) STM image ( $7.3 \times 7.3$  nm<sup>2</sup>, raw data) obtained by zooming in the strip zone of part a. (c, bottom left) STM image ( $3.3 \times 3.3$  nm<sup>2</sup>) of the rhs portion in part b,  $d = 0.24 \pm 0.03$  nm. (d, bottom right) STM image ( $2.3 \times 2.3$  nm<sup>2</sup>) of the lhs portion in part b,  $d = 0.33 \pm 0.03$  nm.

covered HOPG domains. A detailed inspection of a large terrace with a 0.8-nm step height involving the formation of a small Ag crystallite (Figure 7a) reveals a very narrow strip (5 nm width, 0.3 nm height) (see arrow in Figure 7a) emerging from the small Ag crystal and running parallel to the step. A raw data image taken by zooming in on the strip zone (Figure 7b) exhibits three distinguishable regions. The first region which is seen in Figure 7b (top rhs) corresponds to some irregularities (peaked structure). According to a recent interpretation,<sup>21</sup> this structure could be related to small Ag clusters. The second region occupying the largest portion of the picture lies at the rhs of Figure 7b. It shows parallel rows of particles forming  $70 \pm 10^{\circ}$  angles with respect to the horizontal direction taken as reference and some hexagonal cells with  $d = 0.24 \pm 0.02$  nm. A zoomed part of this region is displayed in Figure 7c. The third region, which is located at the lhs in Figure 7b (zoomed part showed in Figure 7d), displays a regular hexagonal lattice with  $d = 0.33 \pm 0.03$  nm. Although some distortion is evident, it can be seen that this lattice is slightly rotated by  $10 \pm 10^{\circ}$  average with respect to the lattice structure shown at the rhs in Figure 7b.

The values of d derived for the second and third regions are confirmed after standard Fourier transform. These results suggest, therefore, that the region involving the smallest periodicity corresponds to HOPG, whereas the region involving the greatest one can be assigned to an Ag overlayer at the submonolayer level, the nearest-neighbor distance of the latter being larger than that expected for the Ag lattice.

The structural parameters determined through the STM images for the first layer of Ag atoms on the substrate, namely  $d = 0.33 \pm 0.03$  nm and the  $10 \pm 10^{\circ}$  rotation, can be accounted for



Figure 8. (a, top) Scheme of the  $(\sqrt{7/2x}\sqrt{7/2})R19^\circ$  lattice structure for Ag on HOPG. Open circles represent the HOPG surface; full circles correspond to Ag atoms. (b, bottom) Scheme of the  $(\sqrt{3/2x}\sqrt{3/2})$ - $R30^\circ$  lattice structure of Ag on the Ag overlayer shown in part a. The open circles represent the HOPG lattice; small full circles stand for the first overlayer of Ag atoms; large full circles denote the second layer of Ag atoms. In both schemes the corresponding unit cells are drawn.

through a model consisting of a  $(\sqrt{7/2} \times \sqrt{7/2})R19^\circ$  lattice structure (Figure 8a). This model also explains the 20° angle formed by the edges of flat Ag terraces involving a corrugation which does not exceed 0.2 nm (Figure 5c).

Otherwise, the growth of the Ag deposits on the Ag-covered flat terraces would continue producing a  $(\sqrt{3}/2 \times \sqrt{3}/2)R30^\circ$  lattice structure (Figure 8b) leading to d = 0.282 nm, a figure which is very close to d = 0.288 nm for the Ag lattice. Afterward the formation of the Ag phase would proceed with d = 0.288 nm.

On the other hand, the appearance of an open Ag structure on HOPG at the submonolayer level (Figure 7b) would imply that repulsive interactions between Ag adatoms prevail at the initial stages of the Ag overlayer growth as concluded from recently reported in situ AFM and STM observations on Cu upd and Ag upd on Au{111}.22-24 Accordingly, the value of d depends markedly on the anion present in the electrolyte solution.<sup>22,23</sup> Thus, in  $SO_4^2$ -/HSO<sub>4</sub>-containing solutions the 3 × 3 and ( $\sqrt{3}$ × $\sqrt{3}$ )R30° structures were observed for Ag upd and Cu upd on Au{111}, respectively. The appearance of these structures has been attributed to the SO42-/HSO4 adsorption atop the Ag atoms.22,23 However, as the value  $d = 0.33 \pm 0.03$  nm is smaller than the SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>/HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> anion average diameter (0.488 nm), an explanation based on anion adsorption appears to be insufficient to account for the Ag overlayer structure. It should be noted that in the Ag opd potential range the existence of a residual positive charge at Ag electrodeposited atoms becomes unlikely. This fact can explain why the values of d reported in this work are in good agreement with those obtained from STM imaging of 2D small Ag islands grown in vacuum on HOPG ( $d = 0.34 \pm 0.02$  nm and  $0.31 \pm 0.02$ nm).21

The structure of Ag electrodeposits grown at a high overpotential ( $\eta_c = 0.05$  V, arrow B Figure 2), i.e., at high electrode-



Figure 9. 3D STM image (72.2 × 72.2 nm<sup>2</sup>,  $\Delta h = 6$  nm) of a Ag electrodeposit formed at  $\eta_c = -0.05$  V.

position rates (Figure 9), appears to be extremely disordered with 3D unstructured nuclei of about 10-20 nm average size. In contrast to results obtained at lower overpotentials, in this case no geometrical flat Ag crystals can be seen. These results confirm that the proper Ag deposition rate plays a relevant role in determining the growth mode of the Ag overlayer formed on a foreign substrate.<sup>25</sup>

Finally, it should be noted that after the anodic stripping of the Ag electrodeposits, the typical image of HOPG exhibiting flat surfaces limited by steps can be systematically recovered.

# 4. Conclusions

(i) Nucleation and 3D growth of Ag electrodeposits on HOPG initiates at surface defects, particularly at HOPG step edges.

(ii) HOPG defects are enhanced throughout decoration by 3D Ag nuclei. At flat HOPG domains the Ag nuclei are not observed.

(iii) Flat triangular Ag crystals with depressions at their centers are formed surrounding the Ag decorated HOPG steps. The structure of these crystals is consistent with a growth mechanism involving surface atom diffusion from 3D Ag nuclei to Ag flat terraces. Atomic resolution on flat Ag terraces was achieved, the corresponding nearest-neighbor distance being  $0.29 \pm 0.03$  nm.

(iv) Large uncovered HOPG areas and Ag submonolayers domains, compatible with a  $(\sqrt{7/2} \times \sqrt{7/2})R19^{\circ}$  structure, involving a nearest-neighbor distance greater than that expected for the Ag lattice have been distinguished. This indicates that the Ag monolayer becomes unstable under opd conditions leading to a  $2D \rightarrow 3D$  spontaneous change at the Ag overlayer.

(v) A lattice model is advanced which accounts for the transition between the nearest-neighbor distance values in going from the first Ag layer to the Ag phase.

(vi) Marked changes in the deposit topography are observed by increasing the overpotential for Ag electrodeposition.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by CICYT (Spain) under project No. Mat89-0204. R.C.S. and A.J.A. thank CON-ICET (Argentina) for financial support.

#### **References and Notes**

(1) Budevski, E. B. In Comprehensive Treatise of Electrochemistry; Conway, B. E., Bockris, J. O'M., Yaeger, E., Khan, S. U. M., White, R. E., Eds.; Plenum Press: New York, 1983; Vol. 7, p 399.

(2) Green, M. P.; Hanson, K. J.; Carr, R.; Lindau, I. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1990, 137, 3493.

(3) Magnussen, O. M.; Hotlos, J.; Nichols, R. J.; Kolb, D. M.; Behm, R. J. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1990, 64, 2929.

(4) Yau, S. L.; Vitus, C. M.; Schard, B. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3677.

(5) Magnussen, O. M.; Hotlos, J.; Beitel, G.; Kolb, D. M.; Behm, R. J. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 1991, 9, 969.

- (6) Szklarczyk, M.; Bockris, J. O.'M. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1990, 137, 452. (7) Nichols, R. J.; Kolb, D. M.; Behm, R. J. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1991, 313, 109.
  - (8) Sonnenfeld, R.; Schardt, B. C. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1986, 49, 1172.
  - (9) Itaya, K.; Tomita, E. Surf. Sci. 1988, 201, L507.
    (10) Robinson, R. S. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 1990, 8, 511.
  - (11) Hernández-Creus, A.; Carro, P.; González, S.; Salvarezza, R. C.;
- Arvia, A. J. Electrochim. Acta 1992, 37, 2215. (12) Binning, G.; Fuchs, H.; Gerber, Ch.; Rohrer, H.; Stoll, E.; Tosatti,
- E., Europhys. Lett. 1986, 1, 31.
- (13) Ganz, E.; Sattler, K.; Clarke, J. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 1988, 6, 419. (14) Salmeron, M.; Beebe, T.; Odriozola, J.; Wilson, T.; Ogletree, D. F.; Siekhaus, W. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 1990, 8, 635.
- (15) Lorenz, W. J.; Gassa, L. M.; Schmidt, U.; Obretenov, W.; Staikov, G.; Bostanov, V.; Budevski, E. Electrochim. Acta 1992, 37, 2173.
- (16) Salvarezza, R. C.; Vara, J. M.; Arvia, A. J. Langmuir, in press.

(17) Alonzo, D.; Scharifker, B. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1989, 274, 167. (18) Margheritis, D.; Salvarezza, R. C.; Giordano, M. C.; Arvia, A. J. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1987, 229, 327.

- (19) Behm, R. J. Scanning Tunneling Microscopy and Related Methods; Behm, R. J., Garcia, N., Rohrer, H., Eds.; NATO ASI Series 184; Plenum: New York, 1989, p 173.
- (20) Obretenov, W.; Höpfner, M.; Lorenz, W. J.; Budevski, E.; Staikov, G.; Siegenthaler, H. Surf. Sci. 1992, 271, 191.
  - (21) Ganz, E.; Sattler, K.; Clarke, J. Surf. Sci. 1989, 219, 33.
- (22) Manne, S.; Hansma, P. K.; Massie, J.; Elings, V. B.; Gewirth, A. A. Science 1991, 251, 183.
- (23) Chen, Chun-hsien; Vesecky, S.; Gewirth, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 451.
- (24) Hachiya, T.; Honbo, H.; Itaya, K. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1991, 315, 275
- (25) Vázquez, L.; Bartolomé, A.; Baró, A. M.; Alonso, C.; Salvarezza, R. C.; Arvia, A. J. Surf. Sci. 1989, 215, 171.

# Modeling of AIPO<sub>4</sub>-8, VPI-5, and Related Structures

# A. J. M. de Man, R. A. van Santen,\*

Schuit Institute of Catalysis, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

# and E. T. C. Vogt

AKZO Chemicals BV, Research Centre Amsterdam, P.O. Box 26223, 1002 GE Amsterdam, The Netherlands (Received: October 14, 1991; In Final Form: June 25, 1992)

The structure and infrared spectrum of AIPO<sub>4</sub>-8, the 18-ring aluminophosphate VPI-5, and related structures are simulated using atomistic potentials and a generalized valence force field. The various topologies theoretically possible for the VPI-5 structure indicate that hexagonal prisms and cubes in the structure increase its lattice energy, thus decreasing the plausibility of the structure. This is not the only energy-determining feature; the relative orientation of neighboring tetrahedra also has an effect. The calculated differences in lattice energy between the 14-ring structure AlPO<sub>4</sub>-8, the 18-ring structure VPI-5, and a hypothetical 24-ring structure are mainly due to density differences; no direct effect of the ring size on the lattice energy has been observed. Both VPI-5 and AIPO<sub>4</sub>-8 show a shift of layers when their lattice energy is minimized by optimizing the crystallographic coordinates and unit cell parameters. The hypothetical 24-ring structure does not show such a shift. This is probably due to the flexibility of the 24-rings that can completely accommodate strains in the initial structure. This is also indicated by the large loss of symmetry of the structure. The simulated infrared spectra reproduce essential differences between the experimental spectra of AIPO<sub>4</sub>-8 and VPI-5. The highest frequency modes, however, are calculated to be too high. Spectra calculated with the structures obtained by lattice energy minimization agree better with experiment than those calculated with experimental structures. This can be explained by the presence of more physically acceptable angles in the relaxed structures compared with the experimental structures. The calculated spectrum of the hypothetical 24-ring structure is clearly distinguishable from that of VPI-5.

# Introduction

One of the most spectacular zeolitic structures known today is VPI-5. This AlPO<sub>4</sub> material has pores with rings existing of 18 tetrahedra (called 18-rings), thus causing a pore diameter that is greater than 12 Å. The first reports of this structure,<sup>1,2</sup> in 1988, received much interest because of the need for large-micropore, well-defined catalytic materials. The XRD pattern of VPI-5 is very similar<sup>3</sup> to that of the SAPO structure MCM-9, which was patented in 1987.<sup>4</sup> Analogies between VPI-5 and AlPO<sub>4</sub>-8, which was first made in 1982,<sup>5</sup> have been noted<sup>3</sup> as well. At that time, it was suggested that MCM-9 and AlPO<sub>4</sub>-8 also contain 18membered rings. MCM-9 is a mixture of Si-VPI-5 and the 10-ring-containing structure SAPO-11.<sup>3,6</sup> AlPO<sub>4</sub>-8 has also been suggested to consist of 18-membered rings in layers that are shifted relative to each other<sup>7</sup> (Figure 1), thus explaining its lower adsorption capacity.<sup>3</sup> Although by now the sizes of the rings in AlPO<sub>4</sub>-8 and VPI-5 are beyond discussion, the details of their structures are still uncertain. Experimental structure refinements have shown that AlPO<sub>4</sub>-8 actually contains 14-rings,<sup>8</sup> as was suggested for an early DLS structure.<sup>9</sup> The observed transformation<sup>10</sup> of VPI-5 to AlPO<sub>4</sub>-8, be it reversible or not, also indicates a close relation between the two structures. Vinje et al.<sup>11</sup> observed that rather large conversions of VPI-5 to AlPO<sub>4</sub>-8 result in minor changes in X-ray diffractograms, even though the pure VPI-5 and AlPO<sub>4</sub>-8 structures each show some unique features. They hypothesize from powder XRD and HR-TEM the general existence of unrearranged VPI-5 in AlPO<sub>4</sub>-8, which of course obscures the structure determination of the latter. This apparently contradicts the conclusions of Davis et al.<sup>3</sup> based on a combination of argon adsorption isotherms and powder XRD experiments that AlPO<sub>4</sub>-8 does not contain extra large pores. The experimental data can also be explained by pore blocking. Another impurity often hindering the structure refinement of VPI-5 and AlPO<sub>4</sub>-8 is the AlPO<sub>4</sub> hydrate H3.<sup>9,12,13</sup> Theoretically, modeling of AlPO<sub>4</sub> structures can help to solve these problems.

The VPI-5 topology is part of an infinite range of hexagonal (4;2) networks with increasing pore size designated 81, 81(1), and 81(2)<sup>14,15</sup> (Figure 2). Net 81 corresponds to the 12-ring structure