The Discovery and Structure–Activity Relationships of 1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-phenyl-1-[(arylcyclohexenyl)alkyl]pyridines. Dopamine Autoreceptor Agonists and Potential Antipsychotic Agents

Jon L. Wright,^{*} Bradley W. Caprathe, Dennis M. Downing, Shelly A. Glase, Thomas G. Heffner, Juan C. Jaen, Stephen J. Johnson, Suzanne R. Kesten, Robert G. MacKenzie, Leonard T. Meltzer, Thomas A. Pugsley, Sarah J. Smith, Lawrence D. Wise, and David J. Wustrow

Departments of Chemistry and Pharmacology, Parke-Davis Pharmaceutical Research, Division of Warner-Lambert Company, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105

André Michel

Department of Chemistry, University of Sherbrooke, 2500 Boulevard University, Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada J1K 2R1

Received May 6, 1994[®]

A novel dopamine (DA) autoreceptor agonist, 1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-phenyl-1-[(3-phenyl-3cyclohexen-1-yl)methyl]pyridine (14), was identified. The structure-activity relationships surrounding this compound were studied by synthesis of analogues and evaluation of their dopaminergic activity. The cyclohexene substitution pattern was varied along with the length of the chain connecting the 1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-phenylpyridine to the cyclohexene. Compound 14, having the 1,3-substitution pattern and a single methylene chain, was the most potent. The 1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-phenylpyridine could be replaced by other aryl-cyclic amines with a slight loss in activity. The phenyl group on the cyclohexene ring could be para substituted; electron-donating groups were better tolerated than electron-withdrawing groups. Finally, the enantiomers of 14 were resolved via the 1,1'-binaphthyl-2,2'-diyl hydrogen phosphate salts. Although both isomers were partial DA agonists, the (+)-enantiomer had higher intrinsic activity than the (-)-enantiomer. Syntheses were developed that allowed rapid preparation of analogues. An X-ray crystal structure determination of an intermediate identified the (+)isomer of 14 as having R configuration. This compound, designated CI-1007 (PD 143188), was found to have antipsychotic-like activity in behavioral tests; in particular, it was orally active in the conditioned avoidance test in squirrel monkeys with an ED_{50} of 0.6 mg/kg. The overall profile suggests that (R)-(+)-14 may be a clinically useful antipsychotic agent.

Introduction

The neurotransmitter dopamine (DA) is released upon stimulation of brain DA neurons where it can activate postsynaptic DA receptors. Released DA also stimulates presynaptic DA receptors, causing an inhibition of neuronal firing, synthesis, and release of DA.¹ This is one of several feedback mechanisms that control the level of DA in the synapse and hence the appropriate level of brain neuronal activity. It has been proposed that some of the symptoms of schizophrenia arise from DA neuronal hyperactivity.²⁻⁴ While DA antagonists (e.g., haloperidol) are effective in the treatment of schizophrenia, their use may also be accompanied by serious neurological side effects such as extrapyramidal syndrome (EPS) and tardive dyskinesia (TD).⁵ These side effects may result from excessive attenuation of brain DA neuronal activity.

This rationale has produced several approaches to discovering antipsychotic agents which may lack these side effects.⁶ Stimulation of presynaptic DA autoreceptors should reduce the output of DA from the neuron without causing complete cessation of DA activity. This stimulation would have to be selective for autoreceptors as simultaneous postsynaptic stimulation may be counterproductive.⁷ Indeed, DA agonists acting postsynaptically can exacerbate schizophrenic symptoms in patients.⁸ There is no evidence for a structural difference aptic receptors.⁹ However it appears to be possible to selectively activate DA autoreceptors leading to the postulation that there is a difference in sensitivity between the two receptor sites. It has been proposed that DA autoreceptors have a high receptor reserve.¹⁰ A partial DA D2 agonist may be able to selectively activate the presynaptic autoreceptors, thus modulating the level of DA in the synapse.¹¹ This mechanism could reduce the symptoms of schizophrenia without inducing the side effects associated with the postsynaptic blockade caused by DA antagonists.¹² Various groups have shown that phenyltetrahydro-

between DA D2 presynaptic autoreceptors and postsyn-

Various groups have shown that phenyltetrahydropyridines and arylpiperazines linked by a four-atom chain to an aromatic group (e.g., roxindole (1) and PD 120700 (2) in Figure 1) possesses DA autoreceptor agonist properties.^{13,14} We recently demonstrated that it was possible to incorporate this chain into a more rigid 1,4-cyclohexene system (e.g., 3) and retain DA autoreceptor agonist activity.¹⁵ In our search for novel DA autoreceptor agonists, we have studied additional cyclohexene systems. In this paper, we report the discovery of a 1,3-cyclohexenylmethyl series (4) with DA autoreceptor agonist activity.

Chemistry

The 2-substituted cyclohexene 10 (Table 1) was prepared from commercially available ethyl 2-oxocyclohexanecarboxylate (5) (Scheme 1). In this case, addition of PhMgBr to ketone 9 and elimination of the resulting

[®] Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, September 15, 1994.



Figure 1. Derivation of the 1,3-cyclohexene series.

 Table 1. DA Activity of Cyclohexene Isomers and Methylene-Bridged Homologues of 14



compd	point of attach- ment	n	DA D2 binding IC ₅₀ (nM) ^a	inhibition of mouse LMA ED ₅₀ (mg/kg ip) ^b	% effect on striatal DOPA synthesis after GBL ^c
10	6	1	3936	>30	NT ^d
14	5	1	112	1.1 (0.8-1.6)	-86 ± 7.9
18	4	1	1000	12.1 (9.2-16.0)	-32 ± 5.4
15	3	1	420	2.5(1.5 - 4.2)	-34 ± 11.1
21	5	0	9207	≈30	NT
27	5	2	635	$10.9\ (6.2-19.2)$	-49 ± 6.5

^a [³H]Spiperone; IC₅₀ values were obtained from four to six concentrations, run in triplicate, by a nonlinear regression analysis. ^b LMA = locomotor activity; ED₅₀ (95% confidence range) values were generated from three to six doses; 6–18 animals were used per dose. ^c Animals were administered test compounds (10 mg/kg) 60 min and GBL (750 mg/kg ip), except for the control group, 30 min before sacrifice. All animals were given NSD (100 mg/kg ip) 30 min before sacrifice. Values are expressed as percent reversal of the increase in DOPA induced by GBL ± SEM. For control DOPA levels in vehicle-treated and GBL-treated animals, see the Experimental Section. ^d NT = not tested.

diastereomeric alcohols led exclusively to **10**. The reaction conditions shown were typical of many of the reactions employed to synthesize other analogues.

3-Oxocyclohexanecarboxylic $\operatorname{acid}^{16}(11)$ was used to prepare the 3- and 5-substituted cyclohexenes (Scheme 2). Conversion of **12** to **13** was efficient on a large scale and could be carried out without purifying the intermediates. Overall, a 63% yield of **13** was obtained based on **11**. Addition of PhLi or PhMgBr to **13** followed by elimination of the resulting alcohols gave a mixture of double-bond regioisomers. Separation using medium pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) allowed isolation of the 5-cyclohexene **14** and its 3-isomer, **15**.

The 4-substituted cyclohexene 18 was made via coupling/reduction of the known 4-phenyl-3-cyclohexenecarboxylic acid $(16)^{17}$ with 7 (Scheme 3).

Analogue 21, having the 5-cyclohexene directly linked to the 1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-phenylpyridine, was prepared using the route shown in Scheme 4. Treatment of 20 with PhMgBr or PhLi gave only the retro-Michael addition products. However, with PhLi/CeCl₃, addition to the carbonyl group occurred. The resulting isomeric alcohols were eliminated with CF_3CO_2H to give a 1:1 mixture of isomeric olefins. Compound 21 was isolated from this mixture by chromatography.

3-Phenyl-3-cyclohexenecarboxylic acid (25) was seen as a intermediate useful for the synthesis of many compounds, including an improved synthesis of 14 which avoided the concurrent production of 15. The regiospecific synthesis of 25 is outlined in Scheme 5. δ -Valerolactone (22) could not be alkylated directly with α -bromoacetophenone. However, the sodium anion of lactone 23 reacted smoothly with α -bromoacetophenone. The ester was saponified/decarboxylated via heating with acid to give the cyclization precursor 24. Treatment of 24 with triphenylphosphine hydrobromide opened the lactone, brominated the alcohol, and formed the Wittig salt. This salt was exposed to dimsyl sodium to form the betaine which undergoes intramolecular cyclization to give 25 as the sole double-bond isomer.

Compound 27, the two-methylene analogue of 14, was prepared by homologation of 25 (Scheme 5). Coupling of 25 with the corresponding amines followed by reduction allowed a convenient synthesis of compounds 28– 31 in isomerically pure form (Table 2).

Compound 14 was initially resolved via crystallization of the diastereomeric 1,1'-binaphthyl-2,2'-diyl hydrogen phosphate salts (Scheme 6). Resolution of 11 with brucine to give (\mathbf{R})-(-)-11 had been reported by Numata et al.¹⁸ We repeated the resolution and confirmed the R assignment by single-crystal X-ray structure determination of (-)-11 brucine salt (Figure 2). The use of (\mathbf{R})-11 as starting material for the route shown in Scheme 2 gave (+)-14, identifying it as the R-enantiomer. Resolution of 25 with (S)- α -methylbenzylamine followed by coupling with 7 and reduction also gave (\mathbf{R})-(+)-14. This proved that resolution of 25 with (S)- α methylbenzylamine gave (\mathbf{R})-25 and with (R)- α -methylbenzylamine (S)-25.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 10









Compound (\mathbf{R}) -(-)-11 was used to make compounds **32-36** in Table 3. Processing as described in Scheme 2 gave a mixture of double-bond isomers, from which the 5-isomers could be isolated by chromatography.

Pharmacology

All compounds were tested for their *in vitro* binding affinity for rat striatal DA D2 receptors using [³H]spiperone.¹⁹ Compounds with significant binding affinity were tested for inhibition of exploratory locomotor activity (LMA) in mice and rats as a behavioral measure of DA autoreceptor agonist activity.²⁰ Higher doses of compound were tested to see if stimulation of LMA occurred, signaling postsynaptic DA receptor activation. The effect of test compounds on the γ -butyrolactone (GBL)-induced increase in the rate of L-dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) synthesis in rat corpus striatum²¹ was used as a neurochemical measure of DA autoreceptor efficacy.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 summarizes the effects of varying the position of attachment of the (phenyltetrahydropyridinyl)methyl group to the cyclohexenyl ring. The most active isomer in this series was the compound with the group attached to the 5-position of the cyclohexene ring. The 3-isomer, having some symmetry with the 5-isomer, showed weaker activity. Hence 14 was the most interesting compound from this series, and we explored its SAR further.

In the previously described study of the 1,4-substituted cyclohexenes, the length of the chain linking the

Scheme 5. Syntheses of 25 and 27-31



Table 2. DA Activity of 1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-phenylpyridineReplacements



compd	NR	DA D2 binding IC ₅₀ (nM) ^a	inhibition of mouse LMA ED ₅₀ (mg/kg ip) ^b	% effect on striatal DOPA synthesis after GBL ^c
14		112	1.1 (0.8–1.6)	-86 ± 7.9
28		170	1.5 (0.9-2.5)	NT^d
29		141	0.8 (0.6-1.1)	-61 ± 9
30	-N_N-	424	2.1 (1.2-3.5)	-7.5 ± 12.5
31		354	8.5 (3.3-21.6)	NT

a-d See footnotes for Table 1.

aryl-cyclic amine to the cyclohexene was critical.¹⁵ In that series, we found that compounds containing a single methylene link were inactive but compounds with an ethylene or no link were potent DA agonists. Table 1 summarizes the effects of removing or extending the methylene link of 14. When the nitrogen atom is attached directly to the cyclohexene ring (compound 21), all DA receptor-binding activity was lost. The ethylene isomer 27 shows a drop in DA receptor binding and LMA potency. These results are in contrast to those seen with the 1,4-series. However, 3 and 14 both share a four-carbon link between the aryl-cyclic amine and the cyclohexenyl aryl moiety, further suggesting that this is an important feature for DA autoreceptor activity.

In the 1,4-series, a 2-pyridylpiperazine group attached to the phenylcyclohexene produced potent compounds.¹⁵ We examined this and a number of other aryl-cyclic amine replacements for the 1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-phenylpyridine group of 14. Table 2 shows that the replacements were well tolerated. The parent 14 and the 2-pyridylpiperazine-containing 29 were the most interesting compounds, with 14 having slightly better effects in reversing the GBL-induced increases in brain DOPA synthesis.

We had established so far that the 1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-phenylpyridine was best attached to the 5-position of a phenylcyclohexene unit via a methylene group. At this point we resolved **14** into its enantiomers. Table 3 shows that the DA binding and the effect on rat LMA did not change significantly for the enantiomers versus the racemate. However there were significant changes in the effects on DOPA synthesis. The R-enantiomer and the racemate decreased DOPA synthesis, consistent with DA autoreceptor activation. The S-enantiomer caused a 50% increase in DOPA levels above that seen in GBL-treated controls at 10 mg/kg ip, a DA antagonistlike effect. It was clear from these results that (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 was responsible for the DA agonist activity of (\pm) -14. Therefore the remaining studies were carried out on the *R*-enantiomers.

Table 3 describes the effects of *para* substituents on the phenyl ring of the phenylcyclohexene moiety. Relatively bulky groups (e.g., the methoxy in (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-35) were tolerated, suggesting that the changes were not solely due to steric crowding. In support of this, the chloro analogue (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-33 showed virtually no DA receptor affinity. The fluoro substituent had no effect on the DA binding versus the phenyl parent (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 but caused a significant decrease in potency in LMA in rats. A change in physicochemical characteristics and/ or an effect on metabolism could have adversely affected the bioavailability of this compound. The methyl analogue (\mathbf{R})-(+)-32 showed moderate DA receptor affinity, and the effects in the rat LMA model were similar to those of the phenyl parent (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14. The trifluoromethyl analogue (\mathbf{R})-(+)-36 lost almost all DA receptor

Scheme 6. Resolution of 14 and Confirmation of Stereochemistry





Figure 2. Perspective view of the structure of (-)-11-brucine salt.

affinity. Given that the methyl analogue (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-**32** was active, the strongly electron-withdrawing nature of the CF₃ group is most likely responsible for this loss of activity.

Compound (\mathbf{R})-(+)-14 was selected for further evaluation. A summary of these tests is shown in Table 4 with a DA antagonist, haloperidol, and a full DA agonist, apomorphine, as reference compounds. These compounds were screened for their *in vitro* binding affinity for rat striatal D2 receptors using the DA agonist ligand [³H]-N-propylnorapomorphine,²² for rat striatal D1 receptors using [³H]SCH 23390,²³ and for cloned human D2L, D3, and D4.2 receptors expressed in CHO-K1 cells using [³H]spiperone.²⁴ These studies show that (\mathbf{R})-(+)-14 is selective for the rat striatal DA D2 receptor versus the D1 receptor. Compound (\mathbf{R})-(+)-

Table 3. DA Activity of the Enantiomers and Aryl Analoguesof 14

Ar*_	~/		/=	_
ΥΎ	N	~		1
$\mathbf{\nabla}$	_		_	

compd	config- uration	Ar	$\begin{array}{c} DA \ D2 \\ binding \\ IC_{50} \\ (nM)^a \end{array}$	inhibition of rat LMA ED ₅₀ (mg/kg po) ^b	% effect on striatal DOPA synthesis after GBL ^c
(±)-14	R/S	Ph	112	3.0 (1.8-5.0)	-86 ± 7.9
(+)-14	R	Ph	53 ± 3.0	2.9(2.1 - 4.1)	-66 ± 7.1
(-)-14	S	Ph	113 ± 11.5	3.8 (2.9-5.1)	50 ± 10.4^{e}
32	R	4-MePh	328	5.8 (3.2-10.4)	-42 ± 4.4
33	R	4-ClPh	4634	19.9 (6.9-10.4)	NT^d
34	R	4-FPh	76	17.5(12.5 - 24.7)	-74 ± 5.6
35	R	4-MeOPh	100	5.6 (2.9-10.8)	-47 ± 7.7
36	R	$4-CF_3Ph$	2554	NT	NT

 a^{-d} See footnotes for Table 1. ^{*e*} Increase above controls.

14 also possesses good affinities for human DA D2, D3, and D4.2 receptors expressed in CHO-K1 cells. The DA D3/D4.2 affinities in addition to its D2 affinity may account for some of its pharmacological profile. Compound (**R**)-(+)-14 has weak binding affinities for α_1 (K_i) = 1613 \pm 188 nM) and α_2 (K_i = 413 \pm 95 nM) adrenergic receptors in rat cortex using [3H]prazosin25 and [3H]MK-912,²⁶ respectively. It showed moderate affinity for 5-HT-1A receptors ($K_i = 100 \pm 20$ nM) in rat hippocampus using [3H]-8-OH-DPAT.27 However, biochemical studies showed that (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 did not alter the synthesis of 5-HT in various rat brain areas, indicating lack of any in vivo functional activity on 5-HT neurons. Compounds were tested for their ability to inhibit cAMP accumulation in GH₄C₁ cells transfected with the human DA D2 receptor.²⁸ In this assay, (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 shows a partial agonist profile, with apomorphine showing a full agonist response and haloperidol showing no response. Compound (R)-(+)-14 potently reverses GBLstimulated accumulation of DOPA in rats and strongly

Tab	ole	4.	Pharmacol	logical	Profile	of	(\mathbf{R}))-(+)-1	14
-----	-----	----	-----------	---------	---------	----	----------------	------	-----	----

test	(R)-(+)-14	haloperidol	apomorphine
dopamine receptor binding $(K_i, nM)^{\alpha}$			
rat D1 ([³ H]SCH 23390)	>10000	467 ± 29.9	221 ± 4.41
rat D2 ([³ H]spiperone)	53.0 ± 3.0	1.86 ± 0.20	17.3 ± 3.20
rat D2 ($[^{3}H]$ NPA)	3.30 ± 0.08	NT^b	2.20 ± 0.73
human D2L ([³ H]spiperone)	25.5 ± 1.63	0.50 ± 0.17	28 ± 4.8
human D3 ([³ H]spiperone)	16.6 ± 3.83	0.69 ± 0.14	7.8 ± 1.6
human D4.2 ([³ H]spiperone)	90.9 ± 11.0	NT	NT
intrinsic activity relative to quinpirole ^c	0.53 ± 0.10	0.00	1.00
reversal of DOPA accumulation in rats after GBL			
$(ED_{50}, mg/kg ip)^d$			
striatum	3.0(2.05 - 4.46)	NE^e	0.28(0.14 - 0.59)
mesolimbic	0.3(0.19 - 0.47)	NE	NT
decrease of DA neuron firing rate in rats (2.5 mg/kg ip)	$99\pm4\%$	NE	100% ^f
inhibition of locomotor activity (ED ₅₀ , mg/kg) ^g			
in mice ip	1.3(0.9 - 1.8)	0.3(0.2 - 0.5)	$0.36 (0.14 - 0.90)^{h}$
in rats po	2.9(2.1 - 4.1)	0.25 (0.21-0.30)	$0.03 (0.02 - 0.05)^i$
inhibition of conditioned avoidance in squirrel monkeys	0.6(0.4 - 0.7)	0.5 (0.4-0.6)	$stim^k$
$(ED_{50}, mg/kg po)^{j}$			

^a See footnote a, Table 1. ^b NT = not tested. ^c Maximal response relative to quinpirole in the reversal of forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation in cells transfected with the human DA D2 receptor. ^d See footnote c, Table 1. ^e NE = no effect. ^f 0.25 mg/kg ip. ^g See footnote b, Table 1. ^h Stimulation of LMA occurs at higher doses. ⁱ Administered sc; stimulation occurs at higher doses. ^j ED₅₀ (95% confidence range) values were generated from three doses, eight monkeys were used per dose. ^k Stimulation (increase in response rate) seen at 0.156 and 0.312 mg/kg sc.

inhibits DA neuronal firing in rats. Both these effects are similar to those seen with apomorphine and are consistent with DA autoreceptor activation; haloperidol has no effect in these tests. DA agonists with selectivity for the autoreceptor inhibit spontaneous LMA in rodents. Compound (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 exhibits good potency in this test administered ip in mice and orally in rats. At higher doses, apomorphine causes stimulation of locomotor activity via postsynaptic receptor stimulation. Compound (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 showed no stimulation at doses up to 100 mg/kg in LMA, demonstrating lack of agonist effects at postsynaptic DA receptors. Compound (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 was evaluated in the conditioned avoidance test²⁹ in squirrel monkeys, a primate test which has been correlated with antipsychotic activity in humans.³⁰ We have previously described a number of DA autoreceptor agonists that are efficacious in this test.¹⁴ Compound (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 is very potent (ED₅₀ = 0.6 mg/kg) in this test when administered orally, being equivalent to the clinically effective antipsychotic haloperidol.

Conclusion

This study has identified a novel series of tetrahydro-4-phenyl-[(3-arylcyclohexen-1-yl)methyl]pyridines with DA receptor activity. As with previous series, optimal activity is obtained when the aryl group is attached to the tetrahydro-4-phenylpyridine moiety via a four-atom link. Examination of the SAR of this series has led to the identification of (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 (CI-1007) as a DA autoreceptor agonist and partial DA agonist. Compound (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 binds selectively to human D2 family receptors. It blocks GBL-stimulated brain DA synthesis and inhibits DA neuronal firing in rats, effects consistent with DA autoreceptor activation. Compound (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 is active in inhibition of exploratory locomotor activity in rodents and inhibits conditioned avoidance in monkeys. Thus (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 appears to produce antipsychotic-like effects comparable to the clinically efficacious DA antagonist haloperidol. Because of its novel mechanism, (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 shows potential as an antipsychotic agent with a reduced liability for DA antagonist-like side effects.

Experimental Section

Melting points were determined on a Gallenkamp or a Thomas Hoover capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ¹H NMR spectra were determined for CDCl₃ or DMSO- d_6 solutions on Varian Gemini-200, XL-300, or 400 and Bruker AM 250 spectrometers. Mass spectra were obtained on a Finnigan 4500 or VG Analytical 7070E/HF mass spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet MX-1 FT spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were performed by the Analytical Research Section at Parke-Davis, Ann Arbor, MI. TLC was performed on 0.25 mm silica gel F254 (E. Merck) glass plates. Medium pressure liquid chromatography was performed on silica gel (E. Merck grade 60, 230-400 mesh, 60 Å) or prepacked LOBAR silica gel columns (E. Merck, No. 10401,2). Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (E. Merck grade 60, 230-400 mesh, 60 Å) or TLC grade silica gel (Aldrich Chemical Co., No. 28,851-9). Reversed-phase HPLC was performed on Beckman Ultrasphere $5 \,\mu m$ ODS 4.6 mm \times 25 cm columns eluting at 1.5 mL/min, and compounds were detected using UV detection at 254 nm, pH 3.0 buffer was prepared by mixing 28 mL of Et₃N in 4 L of HPLC grade water and adjusting the pH to 3.0 with phosphoric acid. Chiral HPLC was performed on Daicel OJ 4.6 mm \times 25 cm silica gel columns eluting with hexane/2-propanol mixtures (+1% formic acid for acidic compounds) at 0.5 mL/min. Gas chromatography was performed on a Shimadzu GC-14A chromatograph using a 5 ft 3% SE-30 on 100/120 Gaschrom Q column. Helium carrier was used at 5 mL/min, and column temperature was linearly programmed from 100 to 220 °C over 18 min with flame ionization detection. Ether refers to diethyl ether.

1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-6-oic Acid (6). Ethyl 2-cyclohexanonecarboxylate (5) (96.2 g, 0.565 mol), ethylene glycol (38.6 g, 0.621 mol), and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (1.0 g) were stirred in benzene (300 mL) at reflux overnight in a flask equipped with a Dean-Stark trap. The reaction mixture was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ $(2 \times 100 \text{ mL})$ and water $(2 \times$ 100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to a yellow oil. This oil was dissolved in THF (575 mL) and NaOH (27.1 g, 0.678 mol) in H_2O (100 mL) added. This mixture was stirred at reflux overnight. After cooling, the mixture was acidified to pH 4 with acetic acid. The organic phase was separated and washed with water (3 \times 200 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to leave 72.6 g of a yellow solid. This solid was recrystallized from hexane/EtOAc to give **6** (65.7 g, 63%) as a white solid: mp 101–102.5 °C; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3) \delta 4.05 (s, 4H), 2.60-2.80 (m, 1H), 1.40-2.10 (m, 8H).$ Anal. $(C_9H_{14}O_4)$ C, H.

1-[(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-6-yl)carbonyl]-1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-phenylpyridine (8). Standard Coupling Procedure. A mixture of 6 (10.0 g, 53.7 mmol), 1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-phenylpyridine hydrochloride (7) (12.6 g, 64.4 mmol), dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (13.3 g, 64.4 mmol), 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate (8.71 g, 64.4 mmol), and Et₃N (15 mL, 0.108 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) was stirred overnight at room temperature under N₂. The mixture was filtered and evaporated to a solid. This solid was slurried in EtOAc (200 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was washed with 5% citric acid (150 mL), 2 N Na₂CO₃ (150 mL), and saturated brine (150 mL). After drying over MgSO₄, the mixture was filtered and the filtrate evaporated to leave 8 as a yellow oil (17.5 g): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.20–7.42 (m, 5H), 6.08 (br s, 1H), 3.50–4.30 (m, 8H), 2.92 (m, 1H), 2.43–2.65 (m, 2H), 1.21–2.20 (m, 8H); TLC (50% EtOAc/hexane) $R_f = 0.20$.

2-[(3,6-Dihydro-4-phenyl-1(2H)-pyridinyl)methyl]cyclohexanone (9). Standard Reduction Procedure. A solution of AlCl₃ (2.39 g, 18.0 mmol) in ether (100 mL) was added dropwise to a stirring suspension of LiAlH₄ (2.04 g, 53.7 mmol) in THF (100 mL) at 0 °C under N2. The gray suspension was stirred for 30 min, and a solution of 8 (17.5 g, 53.7 mmol) in THF (100 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and cooled in an ice bath, and the reaction was quenched by dropwise addition of water (2.2 mL) followed by 25% NaOH (9.6 mL). The mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to leave a yellow oil which solidified on standing (16.5 g). The solid (16.5 g, 53.7 mmol) was stirred at reflux in THF (100 mL) and 1 N HCl (100 mL) for 2 h. The mixture was basified with 1 N NaOH and extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 150 mL). The extracts were washed with water (300 mL) and saturated brine (300 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to leave the ketone as a yellow oil (10.5 g). This oil was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (230-400 mesh) eluting with 50% EtOAc/hexanes to give 9 as a white solid (9.21 g, 64% from 6) which was characterized as the hydrochloride: mp 187-188 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 12.37 (br s, 1H), 7.32 (br s, 5H), 5.93 (br s, 1H), 1.30-4.10 (m, 17H). Anal. (C₁₈H₂₃NO•HCl•0.08H₂O) C, H, N, H₂O.

1.2.3.6-Tetrahydro-4-phenyl-1-[(2-phenyl-2-cyclohexen-1-yl)methyl]pyridine (10). Standard Addition and Elimination Procedure. Ketone 9 (4.00 g, 14.8 mmol) in THF (100 mL) was added dropwise over 20 min to PhMgBr (9.86 mL of 3.0 M in ether, 29.6 mmol) in ether (100 mL) at 0 °C under N_2 and stirred for 3 h at 0 °C. Saturated NH₄Cl (200 mL) was added; the organic layer was washed with saturated brine (200 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to leave a yellow foam (5.7 g). The foam was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (230-400 mesh) eluting with 70% EtOAc/hexanes to give the alcohols as a mixture (4.18 g). This mixture (2.22 g) was stirred at reflux in 1,2-dichloromethane (25 mL) and $\bar{C}F_3CO_2H$ (2.44 mL) for 2 h. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was treated with 2 N Na₂CO₃ (50 mL). The mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 50 mL); the extracts were washed with saturated brine (75 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to leave a white solid. This solid was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (TLC grade) eluting with 25% EtOAc/hexane (loading on with a minimum of CH₂Cl₂) and recrystallized from hot EtOH to give 10 as an off-white solid (0.40 g, 25%): mp 93-95 °C; IR (CHCl₃ solution) 2932, 1598, 1493, 1445, 1130, 698 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.10–7.40 (m, 10H), 5.95–6.10 (m, 2H), 2.70-3.30 (m, 4H), 2.35-2.55 (m, 4H), 2.05-2.25 (m, 4H), 1.60-1.80 (m, 3H); HPLC (reversed-phase, 60% pH 3 buffer: 40% CH₃CN) 9.98 min (100%); MS (CI) m/z 331 (27), 330 (100), 172 (77). Anal. (C₂₄H₂₇N) C, H, N.

1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-1-[(3-oxocyclohexyl)carbonyl]-4phenylpyridine (12). A standard coupling between 11 (25.6 g, 0.180 mol) and 7-HCl (39.4 g, 0.200 mol) gave an orange oil (55.9 g, >100%). TLC showed the oil to be one spot with a little base line material. The oil could be used directly in the following reaction or purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with 50% EtOAc/hexane \rightarrow 100% EtOAc to give 12 as a pale yellow oil: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.20-7.50 (m, 5H), 6.07 (d, 1H, J = 14.6 Hz), 4.23 (d, 2H, J = 15.0 Hz), 3.60-3.95 (m, 2H), 3.00-3.25 (m, 1H), 1.60-2.80 (m, 10H).

3-[(3,6-Dihydro-4-phenyl-1(2H)-pyridinyl)methyl]cyclohexanone (13). Crude 12 (55.9 g, 0.200 mol) was stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (150 mL) with 2-methoxy-1,3-dioxolane (40 mL) and MeSO₃H (1 mL) at room temperature for 3 days. The mixture was washed with 2 N Na₂CO₃ (150 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to leave the amide as a yellow oil. A standard reduction of the amide to the amine gave a yellow oil. This oil was heated to reflux in acetone (300 mL) and 2 N HCl (300 mL) for 6 h. The solvent was mostly evaporated, and the remainder was treated with 2 N Na₂CO₃ (500 mL). This mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 300 mL); the extracts were dried over MgSO4, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with 50% EtOAc/hexane to give 13 as a yellow wax (39.4 g, 80% from the acid): IR (KBr) 2826, 1703, 1141, 749, 693, 499 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.15–7.60 (m, 5H), 6.07 (br s, 1H), 3.12 (br s, 2H), 3.00-3.25 (m, 1H), 1.20-2.80 (m, 15H); HPLC (reversed-phase, 80% pH buffer:20% MeCN) 6.36 min (100%); MS (CI) m/z 270 (100), 172 (57). Anal. (C₁₈H₂₃NO) C, H, N.

1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-phenyl-1-[(3-phenyl-2-cyclohexen-1-yl)methyl]pyridine (15) and 1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-phenyl-1-[(3-phenyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl)methyl]pyridine (14). A standard addition/elimination of PhMgBr with 13 gave a mixture of 14 and 15 (14.7 g). This mixture was separated using MPLC on LOBAR silica columns eluting with 5% EtOAc/ hexane (loading on with a minimum of CH₂Cl₂) to give 15 (3.64 g) as a tan solid: mp 124–126 °C; IR (KBr) 2926, 746, 692 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.39–7.42 (m, 4H), 7.18–7.34 (m, 6H), 6.11 (br s, 2H), 3.20 (br s, 2H), 2.72–2.77 (m, 2H), 2.50– 2.59 (m, 3H), 2.43–2.46 (m, 4H), 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.77 (m, 1H), 1.31–1.42 (m, 1H); HPLC (reversed-phase, 40% pH 3.0 buffer:60% MeCN) 11.48 min (100%); TLC (silica gel, 25% EtOAc/hexane) $R_{\rm f}$ 0.55; MS (EI) m/2 330 (3.6), 172 (100). Anal. (C₂₄H₂₇N) C, H, N.

14: 7.04 g as a yellow powder; mp 90–94 °C; IR (KBr) 3434 (br), 2910, 1135, 745, 690 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.15–7.55 (m, 5H), 6.10 (br s, 2H), 3.20 (br s, 2H), 1.75–2.80 (m, 13H), 1.20–1.45 (m, 1H); HPLC (reversed-phase, 40% pH 3.0 buffer: 60% MeCN) 10.22 min (100%); TLC (silica gel, 25% EtOAc/hexane) $R_f = 0.50$; MS (CI) m/z 330 (100), 172 (57). Anal. C₂₄H₂₇N) C, H, N.

1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-phenyl-1-[(4-phenyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl)methyl]pyridine (18). A standard coupling between **16**¹⁷ and **7**HCl followed by a standard reduction gave **18** which was isolated as the hydrochloride salt: mp 213–215 °C; IR (KBr) 2915, 743, 694 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ 7.22–7.56 (m, 10H), 6.15–6.19 (m, 2H), 4.06 (d, 1H, J = 17.1 Hz), 3.78–3.82 (m, 1H), 3.63–3.66 (m, 1H), 3.21–3.30 (m, 1H), 3.10–3.20 (m, 2H), 2.96–3.01 (m, 1H), 2.74 (d, 1H, J = 17.4 Hz), 2.49–2.54 (m, 3H), 1.82–2.22 (m, 3H), 1.41–1.51 (m, 1H); MS (EI) m/z 330 (18), 329 (68), 328 (100), 172 (97). Anal. (C₂₄H₂₇N·HCl) C, H, N.

3-(3,6-Dihydro-4-phenyl-1(2H)-pyridinyl)cyclohexanone (20). 2-Cyclohexenone (9.68 mL, 0.1 mol) and 7 (15.92 g, 0.1 mol) were combined with a catalytic amount of H₂O (2.5 mL). Within minutes the mixture became warm and turned solid. This solid was dissolved in EtOH (250 mL), and the solution was heated to reflux for 4 h. The reaction mixture was cooled, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated to give 20 as an unstable yellow solid (24.0 g, 93%): mp 87-88 °C; IR (KBr) 2947, 1703, 1445, 755, 698 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.23-7.39 (m, 5H), 6.06-6.08 (m, 1H), 3.25-3.38 (m, 2H), 2.76-2.95 (m, 3H), 2.56-2.69 (m, 3H), 2.28-2.49 (m, 3H), 2.09-2.13 (m, 2H), 1.76-1.81 (m, 1H), 1.53-1.68 (m, 1H); MS (EI) m/z 255 (64), 198 (33), 159 (100), 130 (46).

1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-phenyl-1-(3-phenyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl)pyridine (21). CeCl₃ (7.72 g, 31.0 mmol) was dried overnight under high vacuum. Dry THF (80 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. PhLi (17.2 mL of 1.8 M in hexanes, 31.0 mmol) was added dropwise to the slurry at -78 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. Compound 20 (5.0 g, 19.6 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture, and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at -78 °C. Saturated aqueous NH₄Cl was added; the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 mL). The organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (230-400 mesh) eluting with 1% MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂ to give a mixture of the diastereomeric alcohols. Trifluoroacetic acid (1.4 mL, 15 mmol) was added to the alcohols (1.0 g, 3.0 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (30 mL), and the mixture was heated to reflux for 10 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and neutralized with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 50 mL). The organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The 1:1 mixture of double-bond regioisomers was separated by MPLC on a LOBAR silica gel column eluting with 5% EtOAc/hexane to give 21 as a yellow oil which was isolated as the HCl salt (0.31 g, 30%): mp 217-218 °C; IR (KBr) 3420 (br), 2898, 2372, 1445, 749, 696 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (free base in CDCl₃) δ 7.25-7.40 (m, 10H), 6.10-6.13 (br d, 1H), 6.03 (br s, 1H), 4.02-4.20 (m, 1H), $3.22-3.81 \ (m, \ 5H), \ 2.87-3.12 \ (m, \ 2H), \ 2.70-2.78 \ (br \ d, \ 1H),$ 2.35-2.60 (m, 3H), 1.92-2.17 (m, 1H); MS (EI) m/z 315 (25), 185 (100), 115 (36). Anal. $(C_{23}H_{25}NHCl \cdot 0.12H_2O) C, H, N,$ Cl, H_2O

Ethyl Tetrahydro-2-oxo-2H-pyran-3-carboxylate (23). Sodium metal (3.0 g, 0.13 mol) was dissolved in absolute EtOH (60 mL) under N₂, and the solution was concentrated under vacuum. Diethyl carbonate (50 mL, 0.41 mol) and δ -valerolactone (22) (11.5 g, 0.11 mol) were added to the solid sodium ethoxide, and the solution was heated on an oil bath to 130 °C. EtOH was distilled off through a 2 in. Vigreux column at 80-95 °C over 30 min (15 mL collected). The oil bath temperature was then increased to 150 °C, and distillate (11 mL) was collected up to 120 °C. A solid formed in the distillate during the distillation. The reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with ether (100 mL). The mixture was filtered, and the solid residue was washed with ether. The solid residue was stirred with water (80 mL) and AcOH (8 mL), and the mixture was extracted with ether (100 mL). The extract was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated to afford an oil (13.1 g). Short path vacuum distillation gave 23 (9.1 g, 46%): bp 115–118 °C (0.5 mmHg); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.30 (t, 2H), 4.15 (q, 2H), 3.48 (t, 1H), 2.14 (m, 2H), 1.89 (m, 2H), 1.22 (t, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 169.0, 167.5, 69.4, 61.7, 47.2, 22.6, 20.8, 13.9.

Tetrahydro-3-(2-oxo-2-phenylethyl)-2H-pyran-2-one (24). Compound 23 (4.32 g, 25.1 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise to NaH (1.0 g of 60% dispersion in oil, washed with hexane, 25.0 mmol) suspended in THF (10 mL) with stirring under N2. The mixture was stirred until gas evolution almost ceased. α -Bromoacetophenone (4.98 g, Kugelrohr distilled prior to use, 25.0 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added, and the mixture was heated on an oil bath at 65 °C for 2.5 h. (NOTE: exotherm occurred with some material boiling up into the condenser; wait for initial reaction to subside before heating.) The cooled mixture was partitioned between ether (150 mL) and 3% K_2CO_3 (100 mL). The ether layer was washed with 10% K₂CO₃, water, and saturated brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford an orange-red oil (6.58 g). The oil (6.17 g) was stirred with THF (50 mL) and 1 M HCl (50 mL) and heated to reflux on an oil bath at 79 °C for 49 h. The THF was removed under vacuum, and the residue was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 \times 50 mL). The extract was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum to afford an oil (5.70 g) which was crystallized from 1:1 hexane:EtOAc (30 mL). The crystals were collected, washed with 1:1 hexane:EtOAc and hexane, and vacuum-dried to give 24 (2.59 g, 50%) as a white solid: mp 93-94 °C; IR (KBr) 1676, 1740 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.04 (d, 2H), 8.00 (t, 1H), 7.62 (t, 2H), 4.48 (t, 2H), 3.64 (dd, 1H), 3.28 (dd, 1H), 3.18 (m, 1H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 2.02 (m, 2H), 1.71 (m, 1H); MS (EI) m/z 219 (3.4), 218 (1.8), 105 (100). Anal. (C₁₃H₁₄O₃) C, H.

3-Phenyl-3-cyclohexenecarboxylic Acid (25). Ketone **24** (4.05 g, 18.6 mmol) and Ph₃P·HBr (6.38 g, 18.6 mmol) were thoroughly mixed and heated under N_2 with stirring on an oil bath at 170 °C for 2 h. Upon cooling, the glassy solid was

powdered and dissolved in dry DMSO (50 mL), and dry THF (30 mL) was added. The solution was cooled to 10 °C and stirred under N₂ while dimsyl sodium in DMSO (18.6 mL of 2 M, prepared by dissolving NaH in DMSO at 80 °C over 1-2h) was added dropwise at <18 °C. The solution was stirred at 25 °C for 2 h, and the DMSO was distilled off under vacuum at up to 80 °C. The residue was partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL) and water (100 mL) containing K₂CO₃ (2 g). The aqueous layer was washed with CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL), acidified with concentrated HCl, and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 70 mL). The extract was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum to afford an oil (3.76 g). The oil was washed through silica gel (25 g) with 1:1 CHCl_3:EtOAc and concentrated to give a solid (2.56 g). Trituration of this material from a minimum of THF by addition of hexane (30 mL) gave 25 (2.02 g, 54%)as a white solid, mp 111-112 °C. Additional material (0.45 g, mp 107-111 °C) was obtained upon concentrating the supernatant solution to 5 mL: IR (KBr) 1699 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 11.05 (br s, 1H), 7.42 (d, 2H), 7.35 (t, 2H), 7.27 (t, 2H), 6.15 (br s, 1H), 2.83 (m, 3H), 2.38 (m, 2H), 2.18 (m, 1H), 1.83 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 182.3, 141.7, 135.0, 128.3, 126.9, 125.1, 123.9, 39.7, 29.4, 25.0, 24.5; MS (EI) m/z 202 (53), 157 (100). Anal. $(C_{13}H_{14}O_2) C, H.$

3-Phenyl-3-cyclohexeneacetic Acid (26). Acid **25** (2.3 g, 11.4 mmol) was dissolved in THF (100 mL) and treated dropwise with a solution of LiAlH₄ (15 mL of 1 M in THF, 15 mmol) under N₂. The mixture was heated to reflux for 3 h and allowed to cool, and water (2.7 mL in 100 mL of THF) was added dropwise. The slurry was stirred for 1.5 h and filtered through Celite. The filter cake was washed extensively with THF (3×40 mL) and CHCl₃ (50 mL). The filtrate and washings were concentrated *in vacuo*, the residue taken up in CH₂Cl₂, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to provide 3-phenyl-3-cyclohexenemethanol (2.1 g) as a yellow oil: TLC (silica gel, EtOAc) $R_f = 0.70$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.20–7.50 (m, 5H), 6.20 (s, 1H), 3.70 (d, 2H), 1.20–2.70 (m, 9H).

A mixture of 3-phenyl-3-cyclohexenemethanol (2.10 g, 11.1 mmol), triphenylphosphine (3.07 g, 11.7 mmol), imidazole (2.20 g, 35.1 mmol), and carbon tetrachloride (12 mL) was stirred in CH₃CN (10 mL) for 2 h. TLC indicated that some starting material remained, so additional triphenylphosphine (0.3 g) was added and the mixture was stirred for 18 h. The solvents were removed *in vacuo*, and the residue was partitioned between 1 N HCl and CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was separated, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified by MPLC on silica gel eluting with EtOAc to provide [3-(chloromethyl)-1-cyclohexenyl]benzene (1.7 g): TLC (silica gel, EtOAc) $R_f = 0.80$; GC $t_R = 8.80$ min (93%).

[3-(Chloromethyl)-1-cyclohexenyl]benzene (1.70 g, 8.22 mmol) in DMSO (15 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of NaCN (480 mg, 9.87 mmol) in DMSO (10 mL) at 80 °C, and the mixture was heated at 130 °C under N₂ for 1 h. The mixture was cooled, diluted with water (300 mL), and extracted with ether. The ethereal layer was washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated to provide 3-phenyl-3cyclohexeneacetonitrile (1.80 g): TLC (silica gel, 1:4 EtOAc: hexane) $R_f = 0.50$; GC $t_R = 9.53$ min (93%).

A mixture of 3-phenyl-3-cyclohexeneacetonitrile (1.80 g, 9.12 mmol), KOH (10 g), water (20 mL), and ethanol (20 mL) was heated on a steam bath for 8 h. A small amount of solid was removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* and acidified with concentrated HCl and the mixture extracted with ether. The extracts were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to give **26** (1.28 g, 52% from **25**): mp 84–85 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.20–7.40 (m, 5H), 6.10 (t, 1H), 2.60 (d, 2H), 2.40 (d, 2H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 1.80 (d, 2H), 1.30 (m, 2H).

1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-phenyl-1-[2-(3-phenyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl)ethyl]pyridine (27). Acid 26 was coupled to 7 using the standard coupling procedure. The resulting amide was reduced using the standard reduction procedure to give 27 which was isolated as the hydrochloride salt: mp 206-209 °C; IR (CHCl₃ solution) 2932, 1598, 1493, 1445, 1130, 698 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.10-7.40 (m, 10H), 5.95-6.10 (m, 2H), 2.70-3.30 (m, 4H), 2.35-2.55 (m, 4H), 2.05-2.25 (m, 4H), 1.60-1.80 (m, 3H); MS (CI) m/z 331 (27), 330 (100), 172 (77). Anal. (C₂₅H₂₈N·HCl) H, N, Cl; C: calcd, 79.03; found 76.81. The following compounds were made via a standard coupling between **25** and the amine followed by a standard reduction.

1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-(2-thienyl)-1-[(3-phenyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl)methyl]pyridine (28): mp 238-240 °C; IR (KBr) 3412, 2924, 1597, 1497, 1445, 1428, 745, 696 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 10.42 (br s, 1H), 7.49 (m, 3H), 7.33 (m, 2H), 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.07 (t, 1H, J = 5 Hz), 6.21 (br s, 1H), 6.08 (br s, 1H), 4.06 (br d, 1H, J = 16 Hz), 3.90-3.68 (m, 2H), 3.28 (m, 1H), 3.21 (m, 2H), 2.95 (br d, 1H, J = 16 Hz), 3.21-2.81 (m, 2H), 2.39-2.11 (m, 4H), 1.92 (m, 1H), 1.31 (m, 1H); MS (EI) 335 (M⁺). Anal. (C₂₂H₂₅NS·HCl·0.33H₂O) C, H, N, Cl, S, H₂O.

1-[(3-Phenyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl)methyl]-4-(2-pyridinyl)piperazine (29): mp 96–98 °C; IR (KBr) 2916, 1597, 1486, 1440, 1255, 776, 751 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.18 (d, 1H, J = 4.9 Hz), 7.18–7.49 (m, 6H), 6.58–6.65 (m, 2H), 6.11 (br s, 1H), 3.54–3.57 (m, 4H), 2.56–2.58 (m, 5H), 1.87–2.38 (m, 7H), 1.23–1.39 (m, 1H); HPLC (reversed-phase, 70% pH 3.0 buffer: 30% MeCN) 6.80 min (100%); MS (EI) *m/z* 333 (33), 107 (100). Anal. (C₂₂H₂₇N₃) C, H, N.

1-[(3-Phenyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl)methyl]-4-phenylpiperazine (30): mp 212–214 °C; IR (KBr) 3462, 2899 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 7.47 (d, 2H, J = 7 Hz), 7.40–7.20 (m, 5H), 7.02 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz), 6.88 (t, 1H, J = 7 Hz), 6.15 (br s, 1H), 3.78 (br d, 2H, J = 13 Hz), 3.68 (br t, 2H, J = 6 Hz), 3.38 (m, 2H), 3.18 (m, 4H), 2.76 (d, 1H, J = 12 Hz), 2.26 (m, 4H), 1.94 (m, 1H), 1.32 (m, 1H); MS (EI) m/z 332 (M⁺). Anal. (C₂₃H₂₈-N₂·1.5HCl·H₂O) C, H, N, H₂O; Cl; calcd, 13.42; found, 12.48.

1-[(3-Phenyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl)methyl]-4-(2-pyrimidinyl)piperazine (31): mp 68–70 °C; IR (KBr) 2947, 1585, 1548, 1482, 1256, 983 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.29 (d, 2H, J = 5 Hz), 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.24 (m, 1H), 6.46 (t, 1H, J = 5 Hz), 6.12 (br s, 1H), 3.84 (br t, 4H, J = 5 Hz), 2.55 (m, 5H), 2.34 (d, 2H, J = 6 Hz), 2.29 (m, 2H), 2.15 (m, 1H), 2.05 (m, 1H), 1.89 (m, 1H), 1.31 (m, 1H); MS (CI) 335 (M + 1⁺). Anal. (C₂₁H₂₆N₄) C, H, N.

(R)-(+)-1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-phenyl-1-[(3-phenyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl)methyl]pyridine ((R)-(+)-14). Racemic 14 (5.40 g, 16.4 mmol) was dissolved in hot EtOH (500 mL) and added to (R)-(-)-1,1'-binaphthyl-2,2'-diyl hydrogen phosphate (4.28 g, 12.3 mmol) in hot EtOH (500 mL). The mixture was allowed to cool slowly to room temperature and the salt crystallized. It was collected, washed with cold EtOH (200 mL), and dried at 70 °C under high vacuum to give the salt as a white microcrystalline powder (5.60 g). The salt was recrystallized twice from hot EtOH (1 L) to give a white solid (4.00 g). The solid was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (300 mL), washed with 1 N NaOH (300 mL), and filtered. The filtrate was washed with 1 N NaOH (300 mL) and saturated brine (300 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The mixture was filtered and evaporated to leave (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 as a white solid (1.92 g, 36%): mp 96–99 °C; all spectral data were identical to (\pm) -14; HPLC (reversed-phase, 60% pH 3.0 buffer:40% MeCN) 10.98 min (99.4%); chiral HPLC (Daicel Chiral OJ column eluting with 40:60 2-propanol:hexane) $t_{\rm R} = 37.7 \text{ min}, 98\% \text{ ee}; [\alpha]^{20} + 68.2^{\circ}$ $(c = 1.25, CHCl_3)$. Anal. $(C_{24}H_{27}N)$ C, H, N.

(S)-(-)-1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-phenyl-1-[(3-phenyl-3-cyclohexen-1-yl)methyl]pyridine ((S)-(-)-14). An identical procedure to the above using (S)-(+)-1,1'-binaphthyl-2,2'-diyl hydrogen phosphate gave (S)-(-)-14 as an off-white solid: mp 94-100 °C; all spectral data were identical to 14; chiral HPLC (Daicel chiral OJ column eluting with 40:60 2-propanol:hexane) $t_{\rm R} = 14.5$ min, 96.8% ee; $[\alpha]^{20}$ -67.5° (c = 1.39, CHCl₃). Anal. (C₂₄H₂₇N) C, H, N.

(R)-(+)-3-Phenyl-3-cyclohexenecarboxylic Acid ((R)-(+)-25). Racemic 25 (8.10 g, 40.0 mmol) was dissolved in 2-butanone (20 mL) and (S)- α -methylbenzylamine (4.85 g, 40.0 mmol) in 2-butanone (10 mL) added. The salt precipitated; more 2-butanone (200 mL) was added and the mixture heated to dissolve the salt. The salt recrystallized on cooling to 25 °C; it was collected and dried to give 10.02 g of the salt. The salt was recrystallized five times from 2-butanone to give 3.14 g of a white powder. The powder was slurried in EtOAc and washed with 2 N HCl. The EtOAc layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to give (R)-(+)-25 as a white powder: mp 74-76.5 °C; all spectral data were identical to (\pm)-25; chiral HPLC (Daicel chiral OJ column eluting with 97:3 hexane:4% formic acid in 2-propanol) $t_{\rm R} = 12.3$ min, 97% ee; [α]²⁴_D +28.4° (c = 1.14, CHCl₃). Anal. (C₁₃H₁₄O₂) C, H.

(S)-(-)-3-Phenyl-3-cyclohexenecarboxylic Acid ((S)-(-)-25). An identical procedure using (R)- α -methylbenzylamine gave (S)-(-)-25 as a white powder: mp 71-73 °C; all spectral data were identical to (±)-25; chiral HPLC (Daicel chiral OJ column eluting with 97:3 hexane:4% formic acid in 2-propanol) $t_{\rm R} = 10.5$ min, >95% ee; $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D -27.5° (c = 1.02, CHCl₃). Anal. (C₁₃H₁₄O₂) C, H.

The following compounds were made using the route described for (\mathbf{R}) -(+)-14 using (\mathbf{R}) -(-)-11.

(*R*)-(+)-1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-phenyl-1-[[3-(4-methylphenyl)-3-cyclohexen-1-yl]methyl]pyridine ((*R*)-(+)-32): mp 101-103 °C; IR (CHCl₃ solution) 2922, 1512, 1495, 1436, 1366, 1153, 1138, 967, 811, 696 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.10-7.43 (m, 9H), 6.09 (br s, 2H), 3.20 (m, 2H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.80-2.90 (m, 12H), 1.30 (m, 1H); MS (CI) *m/z* 345 (26), 344 (100), 343 (42), 342 (32), 172 (84); $[\alpha]^{20}$ +61.8° (*c* = 1.06, CHCl₃). Anal. (C₂₅H₂₉N) C, H, N.

(*R*)-(+)-1-[[3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-cyclohexen-1-yl]methyl]-1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-phenylpyridine ((*R*)-(+)-33): mp 190–193 °C; IR (KBr) 2956, 2916, 2803, 1493, 1140, 1093, 1009, 814, 747, 694 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (free base in CDCl₃) δ 7.15–7.45 9m, 9H), 6.10 (br s, 2H), 3.19 (br s, 2H), 1.80–2.85 (m, 12H), 1.20–1.50 (m, 1H); HPLC (reversedphase, 60% pH 3.0 buffer:40% MeCN) 17.36 min (100%); MS (CI) *m/z* 366 (35%), 365 (35), 364 (100), 172 (68); [α]²⁰_D +35.0° (*c* = 0.986, CHCl₃). Anal. (C₂₄H₂₆ClN·HCl) C, H, N, Cl.

(*R*)-(+)-1-[[3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-3-cyclohexen-1-yl]methyl]-1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-phenylpyridine ((*R*)-(+)-34): mp 130-132 °C; IR (KBr) 2910, 1597, 1509, 1224, 1135, 821, 814, 746, 692 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.20-7.45 (m, 7H), 6.98 (t, 2H, J = 8.7 Hz), 6.08 (br s, 2H), 3.19 (br s, 2H), 1.80-2.80 (m, 12H), 1.20-1.45 (m, 1H); HPLC (reversedphase, 60% pH 3.0 buffer:40% MeCN) 11.73 min (100%); MS (CI) m/z 349 (28), 348 (100), 347 (47), 346 (33), 172 (85); [α]²⁰_D +60.4° (c = 0.981, CHCl₃). Anal. (C₂₄H₂₆FN) C, H, N, F.

(*R*)-(+)-1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-1-[[3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3cyclohexen-1-yl]methyl]-4-phenylpyridine ((*R*)-(+)-35): mp 201-205 °C; IR (KBr) 2923, 2484 (br), 1606, 1514, 1244, 1034, 824, 745, 695 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (free base in CDCl₃) δ 7.30-7.60 (m, 7H), 6.89 (d, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.19 (s, 1H), 6.10 (s, 1H), 4.05 (m, 1H), 3.60-3.90 (m including s at 3.74, 5H), 3.15-3.40 (m, 4H), 2.97 (br s, 1H), 2.60-2.85 (m, 2H), 2.27 (br s, 4H), 1.93 (m, 1H); HPLC (reversed-phase, 60% pH 3.0 buffer: 40% MeCN) 11.73 min (100%); MS (CI) m/2 360 (100), 172 (36); [a]²⁰D +39.3° (c = 1.018, CHCl₃). Anal. (C₂₅H₂₉NO+HCl) C, H, N, Cl.

(*R*)-(+)-1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-phenyl-1-[[3-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-3-cyclohexen-1-yl]methyl]pyridine ((*R*)-(+)-36): mp 215-220 °C; IR (CHCl₃ solution) 2995, 2454, 2328, 1615, 1464, 1413, 1327, 1241, 1168, 1127, 1070, 828, 696 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.48-7.58 (m, 4H), 7.28-7.38 (m, 5H), 6.21 (br s, 1H), 6.00 (br s, 1H), 4.23 (m, 1H), 3.54-3.65 (m, 2H), 3.00-3.34 (m, 4H), 2.69-2.86 (m, 2H), 2.05-2.43 (m, 5H), 1.48-1.52 (m, 1H); MS (CI) *m*/*z* 399 (28), 398 (100), 397 (27), 378 (50), 172 (67); [α]²⁰_D +41.9° (*c* = 1.05, CHCl₃). Anal. (C₂₅H₂₆F₃N·HCl-0.20H₂O) C, H, N, Cl, F, H₂O.

Pharmacological Methods. Radioligand Binding.³¹ The inhibition of binding of [3H]ligand to each receptor (final concentration), brain area, nonspecific agent (final concentration), and method were carried out as follows: DA D1, [3H]-SCH 23390 (0.2 nM), rat striatum, and (+)-butaclamol (0.1 μ M) by the method of Billard et al.;²³ DA D2, [³H]spiperone (0.2 nM), rat striatum, and (+)-butaclamol $(1.0 \mu M)$ according to the method of Grigoriadis and Seeman;¹⁹ DA D1/D2, [³H]-N-n-propylnorapomorphine (0.35 nM), rat striatum, and (+)butaclamol (2 μM) by the method of Seeman and Grigoriadis; 22 α_1 adrenergic, [³]prazosin (0.1 nM), rat cortex, and phentolamine (10 μ M) by the method of Morrow and Creese;²⁵ α_2 adrenergic, [3H]MK-912 (0.5 nM), rat cortex, and yohimbine $(10 \ \mu M)$ by the method of Pettibone et al.;²⁶ 5-HT-1A, [³H]-8-OH-DPAT (0.4 nM), rat hippocampus, and 8-OH-DPAT (1 μ M) by the method of Peroutka.²⁷ The membrane homogenates of CHO-K1 cells expressing human D2L or D3 receptors were prepared and receptor studies carried out as described²⁴ using

[³H]spiperone (final concentration 0.2 and 0.6 nM for D2L and D3 receptors, respectively) and 1 μ M haloperidol to define nonspecific binding. [3H]Spiperone binding to human D4.2 dopamine receptor subtype in CHO-K1 cells: frozen aliquots of CHO-K1 cells stabily transfected to express the human recombinant dopamine receptor, D4.2 subtype, were purchased from Research Biochemicals International (RBI, Natick, MA). The binding protocol was carried out essentially as described by RBI. Cell pellets were suspended in 8 mL of buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA, 1.5 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM KCl, and 120 mM NaCl) and homogenized in a glass/Teflon homogenizer (20 strokes). The homogenate was centrifuged at 900g for 10 min, and the supernatant fluid was removed and saved. Five milliliters of buffer was added; the pellet was rehomogenized and centrifuged as before. The second supernatant was combined with the first and the pooled supernatant centrifuged at 4000g for 30 min. The final pellet was suspended in the buffer (about 1 mg of protein/mL). Membranes were diluted in buffer to give a final concentration of 28 μ g/mL. To each tube was added 140 μ L of diluted membranes, 20 μ L of [³H]spiperone (final concentration, 0.5 nM), 40 μ L of incubation buffer, or 20 μ L of unlabeled ligand in buffer. Haloperidol (1 μ M) was used to define nonspecific binding which was typically less than 10–15% of total binding. After incubation at 27 $^\circ\mathrm{C}$ for 1 h, the assay was terminated by rapid filtration through Whatmann GF/B filters (soaked for 1 h in 0.1% polyethylenimine) using a Brandel MB-48R cell harvester and rapid washing with 4×5 mL of ice-cold buffer. Filters containing the bound ligand were then counted by liquid scintillation counting

Inhibition of Spontaneous Locomotor Activity.^{20,32} This procedure was carried out according to methods described previously. Mice were treated with compounds administered ip followed immediately by a 1 h test. Rats were treated orally with compounds 1 h prior to a 30 min test. Locomotor activity was measured in darkened cylindrical photobeam chambers. Data were expressed as percentage inhibition of activity relative to vehicle-treated animals and an ED₅₀ calculated from various doses.

Conditioned Avoidance in Squirrel Monkeys. This procedure was carried out according to methods described previously.^{29,30} Inhibition of conditioned avoidance was measured for 6 h after oral administration of compound. Drug effects were expressed as percentage inhibition of avoidance responding relative to control performance during the 4 h of peak effect.

Effects on the Firing Rate of Substantia Nigra DA Neurons.³³ The action potential of zona compacta DA cells was recorded in chloral-anesthetized rats by using standard extracellular recording techniques. DA cells were identified by wave form and firing pattern, and recording sites were verified histologically. Drugs were administered intraperitoneally via an indwelling catheter. Base line firing rate was calculated by averaging the rate over 2 min prior to drug injection. Drug effects were determined by averaging the response during the 1 min period of maximal inhibition. Druginduced inhibition of firing was reversed with the DA antagonist haloperidol to confirm a DA agonist mechanism.

Inhibition of GBL-Stimulated DA Synthesis.²¹ Compounds were administered to male Long-Evans rats (Blue Spruce Farms, Altamont, NY) 1 h before sacrifice, and GBL (750 mg/kg ip) and NSD 1015 (100 mg/kg ip) were administered 30 min before sacrifice. Brain striatal levels of L-dihydroxyphenylalanine were analyzed by HPLC with electrochemical detection.³⁴ DOPA control concentrations were 1.25 \pm 0.07 and 4.11 \pm 0.11 μ g/g \pm SEM for control and GBLtreated animals, respectively (n = 10).

Inhibition of cAMP Accumulation. DA D2 receptor activation is reported by inhibition of forskolin-stimulated cAMP accumulation in GH_4C_1 cells transfected with the human D2 receptor.²⁸ The assay was performed as previously described.^{24,35} The intrinsic activities of test compounds were determined by comparing the maximal response obtained to that of the full DA D2 agonist quinpirole.

X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis. Crystals of (-)-11, brucine salt obtained from the published resolution procedure¹⁸

Table 5. Single-Crystal X-ray Crystallographic Analysis of (-)-11-Brucine Salt

Crystal Data					
formula	C7H10O2C03H96N9O4				
formula weight	536.63				
crystal size (mm)	$0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$				
crystal system	monoclinic				
space group	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$				
molecules/unit cell	4				
unit-cell dimensions: $a(Å)$	13.0022(2)				
$b(\mathbf{A})$	13.8577(2)				
$c(\hat{A})$	14.2864(2)				
unit-cell volume (Å ³)	2574.13(7)				
density (calcd, $g \text{ cm}^{-3}$)	1.387				
linear absorption	0.9				
coefficient (cm ⁻¹)					
Collection Parameters					
radiation	graphite-monochromated				
	Mo Ka ($\lambda = 0.70930$ Å)				
data collected	1936				
unique data	1936				
unique data with	1623				
$\bar{F_o^2} \ge 2.5\sigma(F_o^2)$					
no. of variables	464				
R(F)	0.049				
$R_{\mathbf{w}}(F)$	0.026				
weighting factor, w	σ_F^{-2}				

were used for the determination. Intensity data were collected on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 automatic diffractometer. The crystal data and the data collection details are provided in Table 5. The NRCCAD programs were used for centering, indexing, and data collection. The unit-cell dimensions were obtained by least-squares fit of 24 well-centered reflections in the range $25^{\circ} \leq 20 \leq 35^{\circ}$. Reflections were measured with a constant speed of 2° min⁻¹. During data collection, the intensities of three standard reflections were monitored every 100 reflections. No decay was observed.

The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full matrix least squares using the NRCVAX programs.³⁶ No absorption correction was applied. Hydrogen positions were calculated. The final refinement included anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms. The isotropic thermal parameters for the hydrogen atoms were not refined. An isotropic extinction coefficient was included in the refinement³⁷ to account for secondary extinction effects,³⁸ and its value was 1.30(5). The final discrepancy index R(F) is defined as $R(F) = \langle \Sigma_i ||F_{obs}|_i |F_{calc}|_i \rangle \rangle \Sigma_i |F_{obs}|_i$; the weighted value $R_w(F) = \text{SQRT}$ - $|\Sigma_i [w_i (|F_{obs}|_i - |F_{calc}|_i |) \rangle |^2 |\Sigma_i [w_i (|F_{obs}|_i)^2]$] and the particular weighting factor w_i used are given in Table 5. The residual positive and negative electron densities in the final map were 0.36 and -0.38 eÅ⁻³, respectively.

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank C. L. Christoffersen, A. E. Corbin, S. DeMattos, L. Georgic, D. J. Johnston, F. W. Ninteman, K. A. Serpa, Y.-H. Shih, S. Z. Whetzel, and J. Wiley for biological testing.

Supplementary Material Available: Atomic positional parameters, intramolecular distances and angles, and anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms for the X-ray structure determination of (-)-11-brucine salt (6 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

References

- Roth, R. H. Dopamine Autoreceptors: Pharmacology, Function and Comparison with Postsynaptic Dopamine Receptors. Commun. Psychopharmacol. 1979, 3, 429-445.
- (2) Matthysse, S. Dopamine and the Pharmacology of Schizophrenia: the State of the Evidence. J. Psychiatr. Res. 1974, 11, 107– 113.
- (3) Meltzer, H. Y.; Stahl, S. M. The Dopamine Hypothesis of Schizophrenia: a Review. Schizophr. Bull. 1976, 2, 19-76.
- Seeman, P. Dopamine Receptors and the Dopamine Hypothesis of Schizophrenia. Synapse 1987, 1, 133-152.
 Baldessarini, R. J.; Tarsy, D. Dopamine and the Pathophysiology
- (5) Baldessarini, R. J.; Tarsy, D. Dopamine and the Pathophysiology of Dyskinesias induced by Antipsychotic Drugs. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 1980, 3, 23-41.

- (6) Gerlach, J. New Antipsychotics: Classification, Efficacy, and Adverse Effects. Schizophr. Bull. 1991, 17, 289-309.
- Meltzer, H. Y. Relevance of Dopamine Autoreceptors for Psychiatry: Preclinical and Clinical Studies. Schizophr. Bull. (7)1980, 6, 456-475.
- Tamminga, C. A.; Gotts, M. D.; Thaker, G. K.; Alphs, L. D.; Foster, N. L. Dopamine Agonist Treatment of Schizophrenia with N-Propylnorapomorphine. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 1986, 43, 398-402
- (9) Helmreich, I.; Reimann, W.; Hertting, G.; Starke, K. Are Presynaptic Dopamine Autoreceptors and Postsynaptic Dopa-mine Receptors in the Rabbit Caudate Nucleus Pharmacologically Different? J. Neurosci. 1982, 7, 1559-1566.
- (10)Carlsson, A. Dopamine Receptor Agonists: Intrinsic Activity vs. State of Receptor. J. Neural Transm. **1983**, *57*, 309–315. (11) Wise, L. D.; Jaen, J. C. Design of Orally Active Dopamine
- Autoreceptor Agonists. In Drug Design for Neuroscience; Kozikowski, A. P., Ed.; Raven Press: New York, 1993; p 119.
- Carlsson, A. Dopamine Autoreceptors and Schizophrenia. In Receptors and ligands in psychiatry; Sen, A. K., Lee, T., Eds.; (12)
- Keceptors and ligands in psychiatry; Sen, A. K., Lee, T., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1988; pp 1-10.
 (13) Böttcher, H.; Barnickel, G.; Hausberg, H.-H.; Haase, A. F.; Seyfried, C. A.; Eitermann, V. Synthesis and Dopaminergic Activity of Some 3-(1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-1-pyridylalkyl)indoles. A Novel Conformational Model To Explain Structure-Activity Relationships. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 4020-4026.
 (14) Jaen, J. C.; Wise, L. D.; Heffner, T. G.; Pugsley, T. A.; Meltzer, L. T. Dopamine Autoreceptor Agonists as Potential Antipsy-chotics. 1. (Aminoalkozy)anilines. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31
- chotics. 1. (Aminoalkoxy)anilines. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 1621-1625.
- 1621-1625.
 (15) Jaen, J. C.; Caprathe, B. W.; Wise. L. D.; Meltzer, L. T.; Pugsley, T. A.; Heffner, T. G. Synthesis and Pharmacological Evaluation of the Enantiomers of the Dopamine Autoreceptor Agonist PD 135385. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1993, 3, 639-644.
 (16) Allan, R. D.; Johnston, G. A. R.; Twitchin, B. Synthesis of Analogues of GABA. VI. Stereoisomers of cis-3-Aminocyclohex-concerbarylia Acid. Autor L. Chem. 1991, 24, 2021. 2020.
- anecarboxylic Acid. Aust. J. Chem. **1981**, *34*, 2231–2236. (a) Meek, J. S.; Merrow, R. T.; Ramey, D. E.; Cristol, S. J. Some
- (17)(a) Meek, J. S.; Merrow, R. T., Ralney, D. E.; Cristol, S. J. Solle Diels-Alder Reactions of 2-Phenyl-1,3-butadiene. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 5563-5565. (b) Ghatak, U. R.; Alam, S. K.; Chakraborti, P. C.; Ranu, B. C. Condensed Cyclic and Bridged-ring Systems. Part IV. Stereochemically Controlled Synthesis of Some endo-2-Aryl-6-oxobicyclo[3.2.1]octanes and Related Compounds through Intramolecular Alkylations of $\gamma\delta$ -Unsaturated α'-Diazomethyl Ketones. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1976, 1669-1673.
- (18) Numata, A.; Suzuki, T.; Ohno, K.; Uyeo, S. Synthesis of Pyrolysis Products of Bisdehydrodihydroenmein. II. Synthesis of (-)-6-Methyl-7-oxobicyclo[3.2.1]octane. Yakugaku Zasshi 1968, 88 (10), 1298–1305.
- (19) Grigoriadis, D.; Seeman, P. Complete Conversion of Brain D-2 Dopamine Receptors from the High- to the Low-Affinity State for Dopamine Agonists, using Sodium Ions and Guanine Nucleotide. J. Neurochem. 1985, 44, 1925-1935.
- (20) (a) Strömborn, U. Catecholamine Receptor Agonists. Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol. **1976**, 292, 167–176. (b) Martin, G. E.; Bendesky, R. J. Mouse Locomotor Activity: An in vivo Test for Dopamine Autoreceptor Activation. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1984, 229, 706-711.
 (21) Walters, J. R.; Roth, R. H. Dopaminergic Neurons: Alteration
- in the Sensitivity of Tyrosine Hydroxylase to Inhibition by Endogenous Dopamine after Cessation of Impulse Flow. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1976, 25, 649–654.
- (22) Seeman, P.; Grigoriadis, D. Dopamine Receptors in Brain and Periphery, Neurochem. Int. 1987, 10, 1–25. (23) Billard, W.; Ruperto, V.; Crosby, G.; Iorio, L. C.; Barnett, A.
- Characterization of the Binding of [3H]-SCH 23390, a Selective

D-1 Receptor Antagonist Ligand, in Rat Striatum. Life Sci. 1984, 35, 1885-1893.

- (24) MacKenzie, R. G.; VanLeeuwen, D.; Pugsley, T. A.; Shih, Y.-H.; Demattos, S.; Tang, L.; Todd, R. D.; O'Malley, K. L. Characterization of the Human Dopamine D3 Receptor expressed in Transfected Cell Lines. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1994, 266, 79-85.
- (25) Morrow, A. L.; Creese, I. Characterization of α₁ adrenergic receptor subtypes in rat brain: a reevaluation of [³H]WB 4101 and [³H]prazosin binding. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **1986**, 29, 321-330.
 (26) Pettibone, D. J.; Flagg, S. D.; Totaro, J. A.; Clineschmidt, B. V.; Huff, J. R.; Young, S. D.; Chen, R. [²H]L-657,743 (MK-912): A new high efficite a coloring additional factorial contents.
- new, high affinity, selective radioligand for brain α₂ adrenoceptors. Life Sci. 1989, 44, 459-467.
 (27) Peroutka, S. J. Selective labeling of 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{1B} binding
- sites in bovine brain. *Brain Res.* **1985**, *344*, 167–171. (28) Albert, P. R.; Neve, K. A.; Bunzow, J. R.; Civelli, O. Coupling of
- a Cloned Rat Dopamine-D2 Receptor to Inhibition of Adenylyl Cyclase and Prolactin Secretion. J. Biol. Chem. 1990, 265, 2098 - 2104
- (29) (a) Sidman, M. Two Temporal Parameters of the Maintenance of Avoidance Behavior by the White Rat. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 1953, 46, 253-261. (b) Sidman, M. Avoidance Condiscience 1953, 118, 157–158. (c) Heise, G. A.; Boff, E. Continuous Avoidance as a Base-Line for Measuring Behavioral Effects of
- (30) Heffner, T. G.; Downs, D. A.; Meltzer, L. T.; Wiley, J. N.;
 Williams, A. E. CI-943, a Potential Antipsychotic Agent. I.
 Province Rehericard Effects of Polynomial Province Agent. I. Preclinical Behavioral Effects. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1989, 251.105-112.
- (31) Pugsley, T. A.; Christofferson, C. L.; Corbin, A.; DeWald, H. A.; DeMattos, S.; Meltzer, L. T.; Myers, S. L.; Shih, Y.-H.; Whetzel, S. Z.; Wiley, J. N.; Wise, L. D.; Heffner, T. G. Pharmacological Characterization of PD 118717, a Putative Piperazinyl Benzopyranone Dopamine Autoreceptor Agonist. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1992, 263, 1147-1158.
- (32) Svensson, L.; Ahlenius, S. Suppression of Exploratory Locomotor Activity in the Rat by the Local Application of 3-PPP Enanti-ment into Nucleur Accumbana, Fun J. Pharmanel, 1989. omers into the Nucleus Accumbens. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1983, 88, 393-397.
- (33) (a) Bunney, B. S.; Walters, J. R.; Roth, R. H.; Aghajanian, G. K. Dopaminergic Neurons: Effect of Antipsychotic Drugs and Amphetamine on Single Cell Activity. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1973, 185, 560-571. (b) Bunney, B. S.; Aghajanian, G. K.; Roth, R. H. Comparison of Effects of L-Dopa, Amphetamine and Apomorphine on Firing Rate of Rat Dopaminergic Neurons. Nature (London), New Biol. 1973, 245, 123-125.
- (34) (a) Myers, S.; Pugsley, T. A. Decrease in Rat Striatal Dopamine Synthesis and Metabolism in vivo by Metabolically Stable Adenosine Receptor Agonists. Brain Res. 1986, 375, 193–197. (b) Pugsley, T. A.; Myers, S. M.; Shih, Y.-H. Effects of CI-926 (3-[4-[4-(3-Methylphenyl)-1-piperazinyl]butyl]-2,4-imidazolidinedione), an Antihypertensive Agent, on Rat Brain Catecholamine and Serotonin Turnover. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 1989, 13, 455-464.
- (35) Steffey, M. E.; Snyder, G. L.; Barrett, R. W.; Fink, J. S.; Ackerman, M.; Adams, P.; Bhatt, R.; Gomez, E.; MacKenzie, R. G. Dopamine D₁ Receptor Stimulation of Cyclic AMP Accumulation in COS-1 Cells. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1991**, 207, 311-317.
 (36) Gabe, E. J.; Lee, F. L.; Le Page, Y. The N.R.C. VAX Crystal
- Structure System. In Data Collection, Structure Determination, Proteins and Data Bases; Sheldrick, G. M., Kruger, C., Goddard, R., Eds.; Clarendon Press: Oxford, 1985; Vol. 3, p 167.
- (37) Larson, A. C. Inclusion of Secondary Extinction in Least-Squares Calculations. Acta Crystallogr. 1967, 23, 664. Zachariasen, W. H. The Secondary Extinction Correction. Acta
- (38)Crystallogr. 1963, 16, 1139-1144.