Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl

1-(Arylsulfonyl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-quinolin-4-one derivatives as 5-HT₆ serotonin receptor ligands

Chul Min Park^{a,*}, Jin Il Choi^b, Jung Hwan Choi^a, So Young Kim^a, Woo Kyu Park^c, Churl Min Seong^a

^a Medicinal Chemistry Research Center, Bio-Organic Division, Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, Sinseongno 19, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-600, South Korea ^b Energy Materials Research Center, Advanced Materials Division, Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, Sinseongno 19, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-600, South Korea ^c Pharmacology Research Center, Bio-Organic Division, Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, Sinseongno 19, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-600, South Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 October 2010 Revised 25 November 2010 Accepted 1 December 2010 Available online 8 December 2010

Keywords: 5-HT₆ receptor Antagonist Memory dysfunction Brain penetrant

ABSTRACT

Piperazinyl derivatives of 1-(arylsulfonyl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-quinolin-4-ones have been identified with high binding affinities for 5-HT₆ receptor. In particular, 2-methyl-5-(*N*-methyl-piperazin-1-yl)-1-(naph-thalene-2-sulfonyl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-quinolin-4-one (**8g**) exhibits high binding affinity toward 5-HT₆ (IC₅₀ = 8 nM) receptor with good selectivity over other serotonin and dopamine receptors. © 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The 5-HT₆ receptor, one of the most recently identified serotonin receptors, consists of 440 amino acids with seven transmembrane domains and is positively coupled to the adenylate cyclase secondary messenger system.¹ It is almost exclusively expressed within the brain and located in areas important for memory formation and habituation.² Binding studies have disclosed that certain tricyclic antipsychotic drugs and antidepressants have significant affinities for 5-HT₆ receptor.³ Initial in vivo experiments showed that administration of antisense oligonucleotides (AOs), directed at 5-HT₆ receptor mRNA, elicited a behavioral syndrome in rats consisting of yawning, stretching, and chewing, which could be dose dependently blocked by the muscarinic antagonist atropine.^{4,5} This study implies that 5-HT₆ receptor smodulate cholinergic neurotransmission and hence 5-HT₆ receptor antagonists may be useful for the treatment of memory dysfunction.

As the result of recent researches, several selective 5-HT₆ receptor antagonists have been reported including RO-04-6790 (**1a**, $pK_i = 7.3$), RO-63-0563 (**1b**, $pK_i = 7.8$),⁶ SB-271046 (**2a**, $pK_i = 8.9$), **2b** ($pK_i = 9.2$),⁷ MS-245 (**3**, $pK_i = 8.6$),⁸ and RO-65-7674 (**4**, $pK_i = 8.6$)⁹ as shown in Figure 1. According to the published pharmacophore models,^{10,11} four common features were identified: two hydrophobic aromatic scaffolds, double electron acceptor functional group (commonly a sulfonamide), and basic side chain (protonated at physiological pH). Compounds **1a–2b** possess a sulfonamide moiety, and compound **3**

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: parkcm@krict.re.kr (C.M. Park). has a tryptamine derivative. Compounds 1a (Ro 04-6790) and 1b (Ro 63-0563) have only moderate potency at the rat 5-HT₆ receptor and were found to be poorly brain penetrant. Compound 2a (SB-271046), a potent and selective 5-HT₆ receptor antagonist which later entered into clinical trials, has excellent bioavailability, but its brain penetration is a little low (B/P = 0.1). As a trial to improve brain penetration, Roche researchers afforded **4**,^{9,12} lacking the sulfonamide NH hydrogen bond donors of 1a-2b. We also postulated that an insertion of an additional ring system to piperazinyl aromatic ring of 2a would increase rigidity and lipophilicity to enhance its brain penetration, and found that quinolin-4-one moiety showed the improvement of the brain penetration (Fig. 2). This paper describes the synthesis and structure-activity relationship of piperazinyl derivatives of 1-(arylsulfonyl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-quinolin-4-one derivatives for 5-HT₆ receptor antagonists.

Scheme 1 outlines synthetic routes toward 2,3-dihydro-1*H*-quinolin-4-one derivatives substituted at 5- or 7-position with piperazine analogues. 3-Phenylamino-propionic acid analogues **5** were required using three different methods. First, coupling with methyl acrylate, followed by hydrolysis of the resulting ester, produced the intermediate **5** (Method A). Second, direct coupling with acrylic acid under reflux condition was used (Method B). Third, reductive amination with methyl acetoacetates using NaBH₃CN in MeOH, followed by hydrolysis, afforded propionic acid analogues **5** (Method C). Treatment of **5** with polyphosphoric acid provided quinolin-4-one **6**, which was substituted with piperazine derivatives to afford (piperazin-1-yl)-quinolin-4-one **7**. Sulfonamide substituted quino-





1a, X = N, RO-04-6790 (pKi = 7.3)

1b, X = CH, RO-63-0563 (pKi = 7.8)

2a, **R** = **H**, SB-271046 (pKi = 8.9; B/P = 0.10) **2b**, **R** = **Me**, (pKi = 9.2; B/P = 0.18)



Figure 1. Structures of 5-HT₆ receptor antagonists.



Figure 2. Design of 2,3-dihydro-1*H*-quinolin-4-one derivatives as a 5-HT₆ ligand.

lin-4-one **8** was provided by sulfonylation of **7** with arylsulfonyl chloride in pyridine.

The synthetic approach to 2,3-dihydro-1*H*-quinolin-4-one derivatives substituted at 6-position with *N*-methylpiperazine is described in Scheme 2. 4-(*N*-Methyl-piperazin-1-yl)-phenylamine **9** was synthesized from 1-bromo-4-nitrobenzene by reacting with excess *N*-methylpiperazine at 80 °C, followed by reduction of the nitro functionality with catalytic hydrogenation. Phenylamine **9** was coupled with ethyl acrylate in the presence of acetic acid, hydrolyzed with NaOH in MeOH, and then cyclized to afford quinolin-4-one **10** by treatment with polyphosphoric acid at 120 °C. Functionalization of **10** could then be done with 2-naphthalenesulfonyl chloride to afford sulfonamide substituted quinolin-4-one **8a**.

The affinities of the compounds for the $5-HT_6$ receptor were measured by means of radioligand binding studies conducted with a human recombinant $5-HT_6$ receptor, expressed by HEK293 cell line using [³H]-lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD) as radioligand.¹³

The binding affinities of the synthesized compounds are shown in Table 1. Initially, the effects of *N*-methylpiperazin-1-yl groups substituted at position 5, 6, or 7 of 1-(2-naphthalenesulfonyl)-2, 3-dihydro-1*H*-quinoline-4-one (**8a**-**c**) were investigated. Gratifyingly, quinolone nucleus **8b** and **8c** substituted at 5-or 7-position



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) R¹CH=CHCOOMe, AcOH, 80 °C; (b) NaOH, MeOH, rt; (c) R¹CH=CHCOOH, 110 °C; (d) R¹C(=0)CH₂COOMe, NaBH₃CN, MeOH, rt; (e) polyphosphoric acid, 120 °C; (f) *N*-methylpiperazine, 80 °C; (g) piperazine or *N*-methylpiperazine, CH₃CN, 80 °C; (h) ArSO₂Cl, pyridine, rt.



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) *N*-methylpiperazine, 80 °C; (b) H₂, Pd/C, EtOH, rt; (c) ethyl acrylate, AcOH, reflux; (d) NaOH, MeOH, rt; (e) polyphosphoric acid, 120 °C; (f) 2-naphthalenesulfonyl chloride, pyridine, rt.

Table 1

5-HT₆ receptor binding properties of 2,3-dihydro-l/f-quinolin-4-one derivatives^a



8a–n						
Compound	Ar	R ²	R ⁵	R ⁶	R ⁷	$IC_{50}^{b}(nM)$
8a	2-Naphthyl	Н	Н	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	Н	311
8b	2-Naphthyl	Н	Н	Н	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	26
8c	2-Naphthyl	Н	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	Н	Н	61
8d	2-Naphthyl	Me	Н	Н	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	28

Table 1 (continued)

Compound	Ar	R ²	R ⁵	R ⁶	R ⁷	$IC_{50}^{b}(nM)$
8e	2-Naphthyl	Н	Н	OMe	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	165
8f	Me ₹−CI	Н	Н	Н	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	419
8g	2-Naphthyl	Me	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	Н	Н	8
8h	2-Naphthy	Et	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	Н	Н	9
8i	2-Naphthy	Me	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	С	Н	15
8j	2-Naphthy	Me	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	Н	С	11
8k	4-Me-Ph	Н	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	Н	Н	129
81	2-Naphthy	Н	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	Н	С	47
8m	2-Naphthy	Н	MeN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	Н	Br	58
8n	2-Naphthy	Me	HN(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ N-	Н	Н	42

^a Displacement of [³H]-LSD binding to cloned human 5-HT₆ receptors expressed in HEK293 cell line.

^b All values are means of three separate competition experiments.

gave moderate binding affinities ($IC_{50} = 26$ nM and 61 nM, respectively) for 5-HT₆ receptor, which provided consistent results to support our rational design of potent 5-HT₆ receptor ligands. Further optimizations of **8b** were carried out by substitution at various positions. Compound **8d** ($IC_{50} = 28$ nM) containing methyl group at C2 position of 7-(*N*-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-substituted quinolone **8b**

showed similar binding affinity to **8b**. Introduction of OMe substitute on C6 position in compound **8e** ($IC_{50} = 165 \text{ nM}$) or substitution of 3-methyl-2-benzothiophenesulfonyl group in compound **8f** ($IC_{50} = 419 \text{ nM}$) reduced binding affinities.

Next, the effects of substituents of 5-(*N*-methylpiperazin-1-yl)substituted quinolone series **8c** were also observed. Replacement



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) *p*-TsOH, cyclohexane, Dean–Stark, reflux; (b) 2-naphthalenesulfonyl chloride, pyridine, 80 °C; chromatographic separation; (c) 25% H₂SO₄, EtOH, rt; (d) *N*-methylpipeazine, CH₃CN, reflux.



Figure 3. ORTEP representation of 13a.

Table 2 $5-HT_6$ receptor binding properties of stereoisomers of 8dand $8g^a$

Compound	$IC_{50}^{b}(nM)$
8d	28
8d -(<i>R</i>)	43
8d -(<i>S</i>)	17
8g	8
8g -(<i>R</i>)	353
8g -(<i>S</i>)	7

^a Displacement of [³H]-LSD binding to cloned human 5-HT₆ receptors expressed in HEK293 cell line.

^b All values are means of three separate competition

experiments.

of 2-naphthalenesulfonyl group with *p*-toluenesulfonyl group (**8k**) gave lower binding affinity ($IC_{50} = 129 \text{ nM}$). Substitution of aromatic ring of quinolone core by chlorine or bromine in 7-position (**8l** and **8m**) had little effect ($IC_{50} = 47$ and 58 nM). Alkyl substitution at 2- positions (i.e., **8g–j**; $IC_{50} = 8-15 \text{ nM}$) gave higher binding affinity than **8c**. Replacement of *N*-methylpiperazin-1-yl group of

8g with piperazin-1-yl group decreased affinity (i.e., **8n**; $IC_{50} = 42 \text{ nM}$).

To elucidate the optimal configuration in binding for $5-HT_6$ receptor, chiral separation method using (S)-(-)-5-(α -phenylethyl)-semioxamazide 11 was employed as shown in Scheme 3.^{14–16} Fluoro-2-methyl-quinolin-4-ones (**6a** and **6b**) were condensed under Dean–Stark condition with (S)-(–)-5-(α -phenyl– ethyl)-semioxamazide 11 to afford semioxamazones 12a and 12b, which were sulfonylated with 2-naphthylsulfonyl chloride in pyridine to give sulfonamide-substituted quinolin-4-ones (13a and 14a) and (13b and 14b). Diastereomeric mixture (13a and 14a) was separated by column chromatography to provide 13a and 14a, which were hydrolyzed to afford optically pure enantiomer 15a-(R) and 16a-(S), respectively. The absolute configuration of 13a was determined by X-ray analysis as shown in Figure 3. In the same way, chiral resolution of mixture (13b and 14b) was also carried out. (S)-Isomer **8d**-(S) (IC₅₀ = 17 nM) showed higher binding affinity than (*R*)-isomer **8d**-(*R*) ($IC_{50} = 43 \text{ nM}$) and its racemate **8d** (IC₅₀ = 28 nM). (S)-Isomer of **8g** also showed higher binding affinity, which implies that (S)-configuration on C2 in the quinolin-4-one nucleus is preferable in binding for 5-HT₆ receptor as shown in Table 2.

Table 3	
Binding affinity at serotonin (5-HT _{1A} –5-HT ₇) and dopamine (D_2 – D_4) receptor subt	ype

Compound	$IC_{50}^{b}(nM)$							
	5-HT ₆	5-HT _{1A}	5-HT _{2A}	5-HT _{2C}	5-HT ₇	D_2	D ₃	D ₄
8b	26	672	366	5187	143	>10,000	1300	3613
8c	61	333	760	438	620	>10,000	2922	1789
8d	28	1598	1462	558	>10,000	136	1520	>10,000
8g	8	1210	48	30	2007	>10,000	482	5522
8h	9	999	455	4	>10,000	>1000	623	>10,000
8j	11	1226	704	19	>10,000	1784	180	>10,000

^a Receptors were all cloned human receptors expressed in CHO, HEK293, or SF9 cells, and [³H] radioligands were as follows: 8-OH-DPAT (5-HT_{1A}), ketanserin (5-HT_{2A}), mesulergine (5-HT_{2C}), LSD (5-HT₆ and 5-HT₇), and spiperone (D₂-D₄).

^b All values are means of two or three separate competition experiments.

The functional efficacy of compound **8b** was evaluated by measuring 5-HT-stimulated cAMP accumulation using HeLa cell line expressing the cloned human 5-HT₆ receptor.¹⁷ Compound **8b** significantly inhibited 5-HT-stimulated cAMP accumulation $(IC_{50} = 188 \text{ nM})$, indicating that compound **8b** is a potent 5-HT₆ receptor antagonist.

Pharmacokinetic studies demonstrated that 8d and 8g were more brain penetrant (B/P = 0.41 and 0.50, respectively) than 2b(B/P = 0.18). This improvement may be due to the reduction of the number of H-donors of 8d and 8g, and also due to the higher lipophilicity of **8d** (log D = 3.2; polar surface area (PSA) = 69.3) and **8g** $(\log D = 3.6; PSA = 69.3)$ than **2b** $(\log D = 2.99;$ PSA = 100.6).¹⁸

Compounds 8b-d, 8g, 8h, and 8j were examined further for binding affinity toward several serotonergic and dopaminergic receptors (Table 3). All compounds displayed higher affinity for the serotonin 5-HT₆ receptor than for the serotonin 5-HT₁₄ or dopamine (D_2-D_4) receptors. Compound **8g** produced moderate binding affinity for the 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT_{2C} receptors showing 48 and 30 nM of IC₅₀ values, respectively. Meanwhile, compounds **8h** and **8j** expressed potent binding affinities for the 5-HT_{2C} receptor, with a severe decrease of the affinity for the 5-HT_{2A} receptor.

In conclusion, we report the synthesis and biological profiles of a series of piperazinyl derivatives of 1-(arylsulfonyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-quinolin-4-ones. Compounds 8d and 8g showed improved brain penetration, which indicated quinolone core is a suitable scaffold for the further development for serotonin 5-HT₆ receptor ligands. Compounds 8h and 8j showed high binding affinity toward both 5-HT₆ and 5-HT_{2C} receptors and good selectivity over other related serotonergic and dopaminergic receptor subtypes. Additional SAR and pharmacological investigation of such compounds are now in progress.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.12.007.

References and notes

- Kohen, R.; Metcalf, M. A.; Kahn, N.; Druck, T.; Huebner, K.; Lachowicz, J. E.; 1. Meltzer, H. Y.; Sibley, D. R.; Roth, B. L.; Hamblin, M. W. J. Neurochem. 1996, 66, 47.
- 2 Roberts, J. C.; Reavill, C.; East, S. Z.; Harrison, P. J.; Patel, S.; Routledge, C.; Leslie, R. A. Brain Res. 2002, 934, 49.
- Roth, B. L.; Craig, S. C.; Choudhary, M. S.; Uluer, A.; Monsma, F. J.; Shen, Y.; Meltzer, H. Y.; Sibley, D. R. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1994, 268, 1403.
- 4 Bourson, A.; Borroni, E.; Austin, R. H.; Monsma, F. J.; Sleight, A. J. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1995, 274, 173.
- Sleight, A. J.; Monsma, F. J.; Borroni, E.; Austin, R. H.; Bourson, A. Behav. Brain 5 Res 1996 73 245
- 6. Sleight, A. J.; Boess, F. G.; Bos, M.; Levet-Trafit, B.; Riemer, C.; Bourson, A. Br. J. Pharmacol. 1998, 124, 556.
- Bromidge, S. M.; Brown, A. M.; Clarke, S. E.; Dodgson, K.; Gager, T.; Grassam, H. L.; Jeffrey, P. M.; Joiner, G. F.; King, F. D.; Middlemiss, D. N.; Moss, S. F.; Ley Jerriey, H., Sinky, G., Routledge, C.; Wyman, P. J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 202. Tsai, Y.; Dukat, M.; Slassi, A.; MacLean, N.; Demchyshyn, L.; Savage, J. E.; Roth,
- B. L.; Hufesein, S.; Lee, M.; Glennon, R. A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2000, 10, 2295.
- Boes, M.; Riemer, C.; Stadler, H. Eur, Pat, Appl. EP941994, 1999.
- López-Rodríguez, M. L.; Benhamú, B.; Fuente, T.; Sanz, A.; Pardo, L.; Campillo, 10. M I Med Chem 2005 48 4216
- 11. Holenz, J.; Mercè, R.; Díaz, J. L.; Guitart, X.; Codony, X.; Dordal, A.; Romero, G.; Torrens, A.; Mas, J.; Andaluz, B.; Hernández, S.; Monroy, X.; Sánchez, E.; Hernández, E.; Pérez, R.; Cubí, R.; Sanfeliu, O.; Buschmann, H. J. Med. Chem. 2005. 48. 1781.
- 12 Zhao, S.; Berger, I.; Clark, R. D.; Sethofer, S. G.; Krauss, N. E.; Brothers, I. M.; Martin, R. S.: Misner, D. L.: Schwab, D.: Alexandrova, L. Bioorg, Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 3504.
- 13. (a) Drummond, A. H.; Bucher, F.; Levitan, I. B. Brain Res. 1980, 184, 163; (b) Drummond, A. H.; Bucher, F.; Levitan, I. B. J. Biol. Chem. 1980, 255, 6679.
- 14. Leonard, N. L.; Boyer, J. H. J. Org. Chem. 1950, 15, 42.
- Data for **13a**: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.18 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, NHCHCH₃), 15. 1.58 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, PhCHCH₃), 2.21–2.43 (m, 2H, CH₂), 4.81 (m, 1H, NCH), 4.99 (m, 1H, PhCH), 7.07 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.26-7.62 (m, 11H, ArH), 7.83-7.92 (m, 3H, ArH + NH), 8.27 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 9.45 (br s, 1H, NNH); mp 183-186 °C; MS (EI) m/e 410 (M⁺-148), 191, 127, 105; Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structure in this paper have been deposited with Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC) as supplementary publication numbers CCDC 796561.
- 16. Data for **8g**-(\vec{R}): ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.29 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 2.17 (dd, J = 17.7 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H, COCHH), 2.31 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.40-2.57 (m, 5H, COCHH and 2NCH₂), 2.93 (m, 4H, 2NCH₂), 4.87 (m, 1H, CH), 6.94 (dd, J = 7.7 Hz, 1.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.38-7.66 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.81-7.85 (m, 3H, ArH), 8.18 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H, ArH); mp 65–70 °C; MS (EI) m/e 449 (M⁺), 434, 405; HRMS m/e calcd for C25H27N3O3S 449.1773; found 449.1771.
- 17. Routledge, C.; Bromidge, S. M.; Moss, S. F.; Price, G. W.; Hirst, W.; Newman, H.; Riley, G.; Gager, T.; Stean, T.; Upton, N.; Clarke, S. E.; Brown, A. M.; Middlemiss, D. N. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2000, 130, 1606.
- 18. Pardridge, W. M. Adv. Drug Delivery Rev. 1995, 15, 5.