

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 13 (2003) 3293-3296

BIOORGANIC & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY LETTERS

Stereocontrolled Dopamine Receptor Binding and Subtype Selectivity of Clebopride Analogues Synthesized from Aspartic Acid

Jürgen Einsiedel, Klaus Weber, Christoph Thomas, Thomas Lehmann, Harald Hübner and Peter Gmeiner*

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Emil Fischer Center, Friedrich Alexander University, Schuhstraße 19, D-91052 Erlangen, Germany

Received 21 March 2003; revised 14 May 2003; accepted 10 June 2003

Abstract—Employing the achiral 4-aminopiperidine derivative clebopride as a lead compound, chiral analogues were developed displaying dopamine receptor binding profiles that proved to be strongly dependent on the stereochemistry. Compared to the D1 receptor, the test compounds showed high selectivity for the D2-like subtypes including $D2_{long}$, $D2_{short}$, D3 and D4. The highest D4 and D3 affinities were observed for the *cis*-3-amino-4-methylpyrrolidines **3e** and the enantiomer **ent3e** resulting in K_i values of 0.23 and 1.8 nM, respectively. The benzamides of type **3** and **5** were synthesized in enantiopure form starting from (*S*)-aspartic acid and its unnatural optical antipode. \bigcirc 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

In connection with our program on peptide promoted receptor modulation, we reported on a practical synthesis of the enantiopure aminolactams 1 and 2, which proved to be valuable scaffolds for the design of biologically active peptide mimetics. Compounds of this type, which were accessible from (S)-aspartic acid, proved to adopt β -turn like conformations and exhibited pharmacological effects comparable to the genuine dopamine receptor modulating peptide PLG.¹ As a consequence of our very recent findings indicating that a modification of the relative topicity of the pharmacophoric benzamide and the basic amine function of nemonapride derivatives strongly influences the binding preferences towards the subtypes of the D2 receptor family,² we were intrigued by the question, whether the building blocks 1 and 2 could also give access to novel chiral dopamine receptor ligands to serve as new atypical neuroleptics. Thus, we chose the 4-aminopiperidine clebopride (4) as a further pharmacological lead.³ Clebopride displays a dual D2 and D4 affinity.⁴ On the other hand, benzamide derivatives such as sulpiride⁵ and amisulpride,⁶ being therapeutically used as antipsychotic drugs, additionally exhibit a significant D3 affinity. In order to design pharmacological tools that might help to figure out the in vivo consequences of the fine tuning of subtype profiles, clebopride analogues of type 3 and the regioisomeric 3-aminopiperidines of type 5 should be investigated. Systematic SAR studies involving the modification of the heterocyclic core structure

0960-894X/\$ - see front matter \odot 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/S0960-894X(03)00678-4

and the benzamide unit should help to find a potentially antipsychotic drug candidate with a well-balanced dopamine receptor binding profile. Modifications of the piperidine should be realized by the introduction of a methyl group into the position 3 or by formally rearranging an endocyclic sp³-carbon into an exocyclic position leading to a methylpyrrolidine substructure. SAR studies in the benzamide moiety should involve suitably substituted methoxybenzene and methoxynaphthalene derivatives. The synthesis of the clebopride regioisomer of type **5** should proceed through the key intermediate **2**. To study whether the receptor binding and selectivity proceeds in a stereocontrolled way, the stereoisomers of the target



^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-9131-8529-383; fax: +49-9131-8522-585; e-mail: gmeiner@pharmazie.uni-erlangen.de

compounds should be prepared in both enantiomeric forms starting from (S)-aspartic acid and its unnatural antipode as the respective precursors.

Starting from the chiral building blocks 1 and 2 being readily available by chemo- and regioselective transformations of natural aspartic acid, efficient N- and Calkylations gave the chiral intermediates 7 (via 6) and 10, according to our previously reported protocol (Scheme 1).¹ The preparation of the aminopiperidine building blocks 9 and 12 was planned by hydrogenolytic debenzylation of the tertiary amine and reduction of the lactam functionality. Applying Perlman's catalyst and an atmospheric pressure of hydrogen, we were able to perform a chemoselective deprotection of the exocyclic nitrogen to give the primary amine derivative 8 in 97% yield. Subsequently, LiAlH₄ promoted reduction was performed to furnish the diamine 9 as an acylation precursor. Starting from the regioisomer 10, hydrogenolytic deprotection gave access to the primary amine 11. Subsequent hydride mediated reduction of the lactame functionality afforded the regioisomeric piperidine derivative 12. The enantiomers ent9 and ent12 were prepared analogously starting from (R)-aspartic acid.



Scheme 1. (a) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/C, MeOH, rt, 15 h (8: 97%, 11: 96%); (b) LiAlH₄, THF, reflux, 15 min, then, rt, 12 h (9: 88%, 12: 61%).

The 3-amino-4-methyl-pyrrolidines **19b.c** were synthesized by exploiting a short and effective reaction sequence, that has been developed in our laboratories giving access to the enantiopure mesyloxypyrrolidinium methanesulfonates 16a,b starting from (R)-aspartic acid (Scheme 2). In contrast to our previously reported protocol,² we conveniently prepared 16a,b as a mixture of diastereomers, which was subjected to a reductive debenzylation, neutralized with NEt₃ and subsequently separated by flash chromatography to give the pure mesyloxypyrrolidines 20 and 22 in acceptable overall yields. S_N2 reaction using NaN₃/DMSO proceeded under complete inversion giving access to the diastereomers 21 and 23, respectively.⁷ Subsequent LiAlH₄ reduction furnished the corresponding primary amine derivatives 19b,c. Starting from the mesyloxypyrrolidinium salt 15, which was hydrogenated to give the monobenzyl derivative 17, the diamines 13, 14 and 19a (via 18) were prepared as described recently.^{2,8-10} The corresponding enantiomers ent19a,b,c were synthesized starting from (S)-aspartic acid.

Considering the 4-cyanonaphthamide nafadotride¹¹ and bromonaphthamide analogues¹² as promising pharmaco-



Scheme 2. (a) NaN₃, DMSO, $60 \,^{\circ}$ C, 18: 5 h, 21 and 23: 14 h (18: 78%, 21: 78%, 23: 81%); (b) LiAlH₄, Et₂O, 0 $^{\circ}$ C to rt, 19a: 0.5 h, 19b,c: 3 h (19a: 92%; 19b,c: crude); (c) (1) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/C, EtOH, rt, H₂-consumption monitored; (2) NEt₃, CHCl₃, rt (20: 38%, 22: 18%).

logical leads for the development of D3 active drugs, we decided to synthesize the corresponding 4-ethynyl and 4-phenylethynyl surrogates as well as iodo-substituted naph-thoic acid derivatives **30** and **31** as promising building blocks.

Starting from the readily available 1-methoxy-2-naphthoic acid methyl ester 24,¹³ the 4-iodo derivative 25 was accessible in 83% yield by the reaction with ICl (Scheme 3). Sonogashira-reaction¹⁴ allowed the introduction of TMS-acetylene and phenylacetylene to afford the respective ethynyl derivatives 27 and 28 in high yield. Desilylation of 27 by NBu₄F furnished the corresponding ethynyl derivative 29. Finally, we performed a smooth saponification of the carboxylic esters 25, 28 and 29 using KHCO₃/MeOH to give the carboxylic acid derivatives 26, 30 and 31.



Scheme 3. (a) ICl, HOAc, rt, 1 h, 80 °C, 2 h (83%); (b) KHCO₃, MeOH, reflux, 3 h (26: 89%, 30: 98%, 31: 99%); (c) 25, TMS-acetylene or phenylacetylene, $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$ 2 mol%, CuI 4 mol%, THF, rt, 18 h (27: 83%, 28: 84%); (d) Bu₄NF, THF, rt, 30 min (94%).

The chiral diamines 9,12,13,14,19a,b,c and their enantiomers were acylated using the aminobenzoic acid 32and its *N*-methyl derivative 33 as well as the naphthoic acids 26,30,31 and the 4-bromo analogue 34^{15} applying DCC/HOBt as the coupling reagent to give the corresponding amides 3a–l, 5a,b and the optical antipodes ent3a-l, ent5a,b (Scheme 4, Table 1).^{16–18}



The test compounds **3a–I**, clebopride (**4**) and **5a,b** and the optical antipodes **ent3a-I** and **ent5a,b** as well as *N*-methyl-clebopride¹⁸ were evaluated in vitro for their abilities to displace [³H]spiperone from the cloned human dopamine receptors $D2_{long}$, $D2_{short}$, ¹⁹ $D3^{20}$ and $D4.4^{21}$ being stably expressed in CHO cells (Table 1).²²

D1 affinity was determined by employing bovine striatal membrane preparations and the D1 selective antagonist [³H]SCH 23390.²²

Generally the test compounds showed weak D1 binding. Compared to clebopride (4) and N-methylclebopride, the formal migration of the basic nitrogen within the chiral regioisomers 5a,b and ent5a,b led to a significant decrease of the dopamine receptor recognition. On the other hand, the trans-configured 3-methyl-4-aminopiperidine derivatives ent3a and ent3b showed substantial D2, D3 and D4 affinities resulting in K_i values of 20 and 13 nM, respectively, for D3 as well as 7.4 and 1.6 nM, respectively, for D4. Thus, the introduction of the methyl substituent resulted in a significant increase of D3 affinity for the (3R,4R)-isomers ent3a,b and in a decrease of D3 binding for the (3S, 4S)-enantiomers **3a,b.** Investigating the methylpyrrolidine derivative ent3c with the same spatial orientation of the substituents as for ent3a,b, substantial D3 affinity could be observed as well ($K_i = 27$). For the enantiomer **3c**, strong and selective D4 binding was observed ($K_i = 0.77$ nM). For the cis-isomer ent3e, the highest D3 affinity was measured ($K_i = 1.8 \text{ nM}$), leading to the observation, that both the absolute configuration at the attachment posi-

Table 1. Chemical reaction and receptor binding data [K_i values ^a (nM) based on the means of 2–4 experiments performed in triplicate at eight concentrations]

Product	-X	ArCO ₂ H	R	R′	Yield (%)	D1	D2 _{long}	D2 _{short}	D3	D4
3a ent3a 3b ent3b	CH ₃	32 32 33 33	H H Me Me		94 94	19,000 7100 8200 4400	470 8.6 54 8	340 7.4 39 6.4	2200 20 510 13	35 7.4 4.7 1.6
3c ent3c 3d ent3d	,Bn	33 33 34 34	Me 	Br Br	63 (two steps) 66 (two steps)	12,000 5300 8400 4200	21 15 1000 490	19 11 700 260	220 27 740 140	0.77 4.3 17 59
3e ent3e 3f ent3f		33 33 34 34	Me 	Br Br	64 (two steps) 69 (two steps)	4100 4700 4700 4000	3.7 2.3 200 290	3 2 135 170	100 1.8 390 130	0.23 0.84 2.7 27
3g ent3g 3h ent3 h 3i ent3i 3j ent3j	- N ^{Bn}	34 34 26 26 31 31 30 30		Br Br I Ethynyl Ethynyl Phenylethynyl Phenylethynyl	80 70 85 77	7200 7400 3000 6100 3300 6100 2800 3300	180 150 60 190 190 500 6400 7700	130 150 23 70 82 250 4000 5500	250 84 34 33 86 79 1000 1000	5 21 1.2 3.3 2.5 7 500 1500
3k ent3k	, Bn	34 34		Br Br	69	2100 2900	640 1300	420 870	410 1000	130 78
3l ent3l	N ^{Bn}	34 34		Br Br	89	1700 2100	2000 2500	1900 2800	580 1000	500 610
Clebopride (4) <i>N</i> -Methyl-clebopride	N ^{Bn}	32 33	H Me	_	95	6000 6800	18 24	12 15	170 160	3.2 8.3
5a ent5a 5b ent5b	N. Bn	32 32 33 33	H H Me Me	 	85 86	17,000 13,000 10,000 5600	1600 7900 170 250	1100 4200 130 210	2900 8700 560 1500	200 530 25 64

^aD1 binding was determined with the radioligand [³H]SCH23390 (K_D =0.35 nM) at 0.3 nM; D2, D3 and D4 data were derived from experiments employing [³H]spiperone at a concentration of 0.5 nM and were calculated with K_D values of 0.1 nM, 0.2–0.45 nM and 0.1–0.45 nM, respectively.

tion of the amine and the spatial disposition of the methyl substituent strongly controls D3 binding. On the other hand, comparable D2 and D4 affinities were observed for 3e and ent3e. Interestingly, 3e turned out to be one of the most potent D4 ligands reported $(K_i = 0.23 \text{ nM})$. The 4-bromonaphthamide analogues 3d, ent3d and ent3f exhibited significantly diminished dopamine receptor affinities. On the other hand, 3f displayed a strong and quite selective D4 binding ($K_i = 2.7$ nM; $D2_{long}/D4 = 74$, $D2_{short}/D4 = 50$, D3/D4 = 144). Within our assay, the binding profiles of the recently described aminopyrrolidines 3g and ent3g¹² renouncing the C-methyl substitution were comparable to those of 3f and ent3f. Interestingly, the 4-iodo and 4-ethynyl derivatives **3h**,**i**/**ent3h**,**i** displayed only poor stereodifferentiation. The phenylethynyl derivatives 3j, ent3j only exhibited weak receptor recognition, obviously due to unfavorable interactions in the binding pocket of the receptor. This effect could also be observed, when we investigated the homologous pyrrolidine derivatives 3k,l and ent3k,l.

In conclusion, SAR studies on novel chiral benzamide derivatives revealed insights into the three-dimensional structural requirements of subtype specific binding. Within future studies, a series of pharmacological tools with graduated receptor binding profiles might help to establish relationships between the balance of subtype recognition and atypical antipsychotic properties.

Acknowledgements

The BMBF and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is acknowledged for financial support. We thank Dr. H. H. M. Van Tol (Clarke Institute of Psychiatry, Toronto), Dr. J.-C. Schwartz and Dr. P. Sokoloff (INSERM, Paris) and Dr. J. Shine (The Garvan Institute of Medical Research, Sydney) for providing D4.4, D3 and D2 receptor expressing cell lines.

References and Notes

1. Weber, K.; Ohnmacht, U.; Gmeiner, P. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 7406.

2. Thomas, C.; Hübner, H.; Gmeiner, P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, 9, 841.

3. Prieto, J.; Moragues, J.; Spickett, R. G.; Vega, A.; Colombo, M.; Salazar, W.; Roberts, D. J. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. **1977**, *29*, 147.

4. The dopamine receptor binding profile was evaluated in our laboratories (Table 1).

5. O'Connor, S. E.; Brown, R. A. Gen. Pharmacol. 1982, 13, 185.

6. Schoemaker, H.; Claustre, Y.; Fage, D.; Rouquier, L.; Chergui, K.; Curet, O.; Oblin, A.; Gonon, F.; Carter, C.; Benavides, J.; Scatton, B. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **1997**, 280, 83.

7. Asahina, Y.; Fukuda, Y.; Fukuda, H. Eur. Patent 443,489, 1991; *Chem. Abstr.* **1991**, *115*, 256018.

8. Thomas, C.; Orecher, F.; Gmeiner, P. Synthesis 1998, 1491.

9. For a previous preparation, see: Rosen, T.; Chu, D. T. W.; Lico, I. M.; Fernandes, P. B.; Shen, L.; Borodkin, S.; Pernet,

A. G. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 1586.

10. Einsiedel, J.; Hübner, H.; Gmeiner, P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2000, 10, 2041.

11. Sokoloff, P.; Schwartz, J. C. TIPS 1995, 16, 270.

12. Huang, Y.; Luedtke, R. R.; Freeman, R. A.; Wu, L.; Mach, R. H. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 1815.

13. Hattori, T.; Hotta, H.; Suzuki, T.; Miyano, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1993, 66, 613.

14. Thorand, S.; Krause, N. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8551.

15. Rognan, D.; Mann, A.; Wermuth, C. G.; Martres, M.-P.; Giros, B.; Sokoloff, P.; Schwartz, J.-C.; Lecomte, J.-M.; Garrido, F. Eur. Patent 539,281, 1992; *Chem. Abstr.* **1993**, *119*, 139085.

16. **3b**: $\alpha_D^{20} = +17.3^{\circ}$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); **ent3b**: $\alpha_D^{20} = -17.5^{\circ}$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); mp: 184 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 360 MHz): $\delta = 0.92$ (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H, CH–CH₃), 1.50 (dddd, J = 12.0, 12.0, 11.4, 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-5a), 1.60–1.72 (m, 1H, H-5b), 1.78–1.88 (m, 1H, H-3), 2.01–2.18 (m, 2H, 2-Ha, 6-Ha); 2.84–2.93 (m, 2H, 2-Hb, 6-Hb); 2.95 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 3H, NHCH₃); 3.50 (s, 2H, NCH₂Ph); 3.72 (dddd, J = 11.4, 10.8, 8.7, 3.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.93 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.65–4.75 (m, 1H, NHCH₃), 6.10 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.16–7.37 (m, 5H, Ph), 7.51 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, NHCO), 8.10 (s, 1H, Ar). IR (KBr) 3390, 2935, 2800, 1640, 1600, 1520, 1280, 1245, 755, 700 cm⁻¹; Analysis calcd for C₂₂H₂₈ClN₃O₂: C, 65.74H, 7.02 N, 10.45. Found: C, 65.74H, 7.08 N, 10.30. EIMS (*m*/*z*): 401 (M⁺). 17. For the preparation of **3c**, **e** in racemic form, see: UK Patent 2,037,740, 1980; *Chem. Abstr.* **1981**, *94*, 156736.

18. *N*-methylclebopride was synthesized by DCC/HOBtcoupling using 4-amino-1-benzylpiperidine; see also: De Paulis, T.; Hall, Y.; Kumar, S.; Rämsby, S. O.; Ögren, S. O.; Högberg, T. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **1990**, *25*, 507.

19. Hayes, G.; Biden, T. J.; Selbie, L. A.; Shine, J. Mol. Endocrinol. 1992, 6, 920.

20. Sokoloff, P.; Andrieux, M.; Besancon, R.; Pilon, C.; Martres, M.-P.; Giros, B.; Schwartz, J.-C. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1992**, *225*, 331.

21. Agashari, V.; Sanyal, S.; Buchwaldt, S.; Paterson, A.; Jovanovic, V.; Van Tol, H. H. M. *J. Neurochem.* **1995**, *65*, 1157.

22. Hübner, H.; Haubmann, C.; Utz, W.; Gmeiner, P. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 756.