

PII: S0960-894X(97)10023-3

5-HT_{1A} AND 5-HT_{2A} LIGANDS WITH ANXIOLYTIC AND ANTIPANIC-LIKE PROPERTIES

O. Diouf¹, P. Carato¹, P. Depreux¹, J.P. Bonte¹, D.H. Caignard², B. Guardiola-Lemaître², M.C. Rettori², C. Belzung², Daniel Lesieur^{1*}

¹Institut de Chimie Pharmaceutique, 3-rue du Professeur Laguesse-BP 83- 59006 Lille Cedex-France ²ADIR, 1-rue Carle Hebert- 92415 Courbevoie Cedex-France

Abstract. A series of new benzothiazolin-2-one, benzoxazolin-2-one and benzoxazin-3-one derivatives were synthesized and their binding profile at 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A}, 5-HT_{2C} as well as D₂ and α_1 receptors was determined. All studied compounds displayed high to moderate affinity for both 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} receptor subtypes. Among these, one compound (29) emerged since it exhibited potent antagonist activities at 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A}, D₂ and α_1 central receptors and showed anxiolytic and antipanic-like effects in mice. 29 is currently undergoing preclinical evaluation. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd.

Introduction

Over the last decade, with the discovery of the multiplicity of serotonin (5-HT) receptors, considerable interest developed around the physiopathological role of central serotoninergic system in CNS disturbances such as depression, anxiety, schizophrenia and panic disorders. Along this line, 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} receptor subtypes were the targets for medicinal chemistry developments.¹⁻⁷ Buspirone acts as a partial agonist at presynaptic 5-HT_{1A} autoreceptors and as an antagonist at the postsynaptic level.⁸ Clinical trials evidenced that buspirone was effective in the management of anxiety.³ On the other hand, ritanserin (Scheme 1), a potent and selective 5-HT_{2A} central antagonist, was also found to improve the symptoms of generalized anxiety disorders.^{9,10} Recent studies established that in the search of anxiolytics with reduced side-effects, combination of 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} central antagonism could lead to a new therapeutic concept. Several works in this field confirm this hypothesis, 11,12Our previous works concerned with central serotoninergic agents led to the design, synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of a series of benzothiazolin-2-one, benzoxazolin-2-one and benzoxazin-3-one derivatives containing various phenylpiperazine fragments (Scheme 1, structure A). These compounds displayed high and selective 5-HT_{1A} affinity combined with moderate D_2 affinity.¹³ Several arylpiperazine derivatives were described as potent ligands for the various 5-HT receptors. $^{14-16}$ The association of these central serotonin (5-HT) and anti-dopamine properties led as expected to important antipsychotic activities with reduced extrapyramidal side-effects (EPS). In the quest of new anxiolytics with low level of side-effects, we therefore decided to introduce in the compounds of general structure A a supplementary 5-HT2A pharmacophoric pattern using the 2-[4-(4-fluorobenzoyl)piperidin-1-yl]ethyl and 2-[4-(bis(4-fluorophenyl)methylen)-piperidin-1-yl]ethyl moieties already present in ketanserin and ritanserin, respectively (Scheme 1)

^{*} E.mail: dlesieur@phare.univ-lille2.fr Fax: 00 33 03 20 96 43 61

This concept led to compounds of general structure B (*Scheme 1* and Table I).¹⁷ We also investigated the influence of the phenylpiperazine moiety by replacing it with a morpholine ring (*Scheme 2*, compounds **25** and **26**). This paper reports the design and the pharmacological results obtained with this new series of benzoxazolin-2-one, benzothiazolin-2-one and benzoxazin-3-one derivatives among which compound **29** showed high and selective anxiolytic and antipanic-like properties in animal models¹⁸ and was selected for preclinical development.



Chemistry

Scheme 2 illustrates the procedures used to synthesize benzothiazolin-2-one, benzoxazolin-2-one and benzoxazin-3-one derivatives 17-24. From benzothiazolin-2-one (1) or benzoxazolin-2-one (2), 6-halogenoacyl derivatives 7-11 were obtained as previously described. $^{19-23}$ Reduction of the ketone carbonyl group of compounds 7-11 was carried out with the triethylsilane - trifluoroacetic acid reagent as described for the benzothiazolin-2-one analogs. 20 This ketone carbonyl reduction led to compounds 12-16 which were then reacted with the suitable amines in dry acetone in the presence of triethylamine to give the alkylamino derivatives 17-24. Derivatives 17-24 were transformed to the final compounds 25-35 by condensation with the appropriate N-(2chloroethyl)piperidinyl moiety in dry DMF in the presence of potassium carbonate. The heterocycle cleavage of the benzoxazolin-2-one derivative 4 was carried out in aqueous medium in the presence of NaOH and led to the *ortho*-aminophenol 5. A one-pot reaction procedure using sodium ethoxide, dimethylsulfoxide and ethyl bromoacetate gave compound 6, which was then transformed into its bromo analogue (11) using HBr in acetone.



	\prec		N-	
Compds	X	n	Z	R
27 28	S S	2 3	2-OCH ₃ 2-OCH ₃	
29 30 31 32	S OCH2	4 4 4	2-OCH ₃ 3-CF ₃ 2-OCH ₃ 2-OCH ₂	-u
33	s	4	2-OCH ₃	
34	0	4	2-OCH ₃	
35	OCH ₂	4	2-OCH ₃	*

Table I: Chemical structures of compounds of general structure B (27-35)

Pharmacological studies and discussion

In vivo studies

Affinities (Ki, nM) for 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A}, 5-HT_{2C}, D₂ and α_1 receptors were determined in this series. The binding parameters are reported in Table II. The most interesting compounds concerning the 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} binding potencies are derivatives **28**, **29**, **31**, and **33**.

Table II: Binding parameters of compounds 25-35 (Ki in nM)

compds	5-HT _{1A} (a)	5-HT _{2A} (b)	5-HT _{2C} (c)	D ₂ (d)	α ₁ (e)
25	700±17	0.6±0.16	20±1.5	100±16	40±5
26	930±15	6±1	60±6	100±6.5	70±10
27	6000±335	20±2.1	50±10	5±0.2	1±0.2
28	3±0.2	2 ± 0.3	70±5.8	2 ± 0.1	-
29	7±0.1	2±0.4	240±10	1.2 ± 0.3	5±0.6
30	70±2.5	50±1.4	>10000	30±4.1	1000±76
31	2 ± 0.1	16±0.9	75±6	0.5±0.03	-
32	18±1.4	14±2.3	30±4.2	0.06±0.002	2±0.9
33	5±0.3	7±0.09	110 ± 10	5±0.14	5±0.5
34	98±11	335±24	460±35	3±0.6	5±1.3
35	49±4	120 ± 7.4	250±10	1.4 ± 0.4	13 ± 2.3

Radioligands and tissue preparation for affinity determination. (a): $[{}^{3}H]$ 8-OH-DPAT and rat hippocampus; (b): $[{}^{3}H]$ ketanserine and rat cortex; (c) $[{}^{3}H]$ N-Methylmesulergine and rat choroid plexus; (d) $[{}^{3}H]$ Raclopride and rat striatum; (e): $[{}^{3}H]$ Prazosin and rat cortex.

According to these binding results, some structure-affinity relationships can be established:

- All compounds with high affinity for 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} receptors contain an *ortho*-methoxyphenylpiperazine pharmacophore which appears more important for the 5-HT_{1A} affinity than the 5-HT_{2A} one. Introduction of a *meta*-trifluoromethyl substituent (**30**) induces a decrease of the affinity for 5-HT_{1A}, 5-HT_{2A} and α_1 receptors combined with an increase of the D₂ affinity. However, **30** displays the highest 5-HT_{2A}/5-HT_{2C} selectivity in this series. Compounds bearing a morpholinoalkyl side-chain (**25** and **26**) possess high 5-HT_{2A} affinity (0.6 and 6 nM) and selectivity combined with low 5-HT_{1A} receptor affinity (700 and 930 nM respectively).

- Elongation of the methylene side-chain separating the heterocyclic pivotal template and the phenylpiperazine system appears to have important relevance on the binding affinity at 5-HT_{1A} receptors. Indeed, the three and four methylene unit derivatives (**28** and **29** respectively) are about 1000 fold more potent at these receptors as their ethyl homologue **27**. The 5-HT_{2A} and D₂ affinities are conserved.

- Replacement of the benzothiazolin-2-one heterocycle with its oxygen bioisostere, i.e. benzoxazolin-2-one (31), leads to compounds as potent at 5-HT_{1A} and D₂ receptors as the selected member (29). Compound 31 exhibits however lower 5-HT_{2A} affinity than 29 (10 fold). When the benzoxazin-3-one heterocycle is used as a pivotal template (32), this leads to a decrease of the expected 5-H_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} affinities (about 10 fold) combined with very high affinity at D₂ receptors.

- The introduction of a 4-(bis(4-fluorophenyl)methylen)piperidine one (33-35) completely changes the receptor binding profile, except for the sulfur analog 33. Indeed, compounds 33 and 34 display very high affinities at D_2 and α_1 receptors (in the nanomolar range) combined with moderate to low affinities for the 5-HT receptors. When compared with derivative 29, compounds 34 and 35 are about 10 fold and 100 fold less potent at 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} receptors respectively. These compounds however can be regarded as useful tools in the field of dopamine pharmacology.

In vivo studies

Two of the most potent ligands for the 5-HT_{2A} receptors, i.e. compounds 25 and 29 were tested in vivo.

- Central 5-HT₂ antagonist activity was assessed by the ability of these compounds to antagonise 5-HTP induced head twitches and mescaline induced scratching in mice. While compound **25** did not exert 5-HT₂ antagonist activity at the doses of 4 and 16 mg/kg IP in mice, compound **29** showed potent *in vivo* activity: it dose dependently blocked the 5-HTP induced head twitches (50% and 90% of the antagonism at 45 and 128 mg/kg PO, p<0.001) and blocked the mescaline induced scratching at 8 and 32 mg/kg PO (respectively 86% and 70% antagonism, p<0.01).

- In the light/dark box test in mice, predictive of anxiolytic activity, compounds 25 and 29 showed potent activity.

Both compounds were tested at the doses of 0.25, 1 and 4 mg/kg PO. Compound **25** was active at the lowest doses: it significantly increased the time spent in the light box (+190% and +241%, p<0.01) respectively for the doses of 0.25 and 1 mg/kg and the number of transitions between the two compartments (+160% and +265%, p< 0.01 respectively). Compound **25** was sedative at the dose of 4mg/kg PO.

Compound **29** showed potent anxiolytic-like activity, without any sedative effect at the three tested doses: it increased dose-dependently the time spent in the light box (from +141% to +300%) and the number of transitions (from +200% to +300%).

Conclusion. We have designed a series of mixed 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} ligands in which several compounds can serve as pharmacological tools in the dopamine and serotonin receptor pharmacology. Among these heterocyclic derivatives, one compound (29) emerged as it displayed potent and selective antagonist properties at both 5-HT1A and 5-HT2A central receptors. Although, its high in vitro affinity for D2 receptors, 29 was devoid of marked behavioral signs resulting from the stimulation of the blockade of these dopaminergic receptor subtype (i.e. stimulation and sedation respectively). Moreover, 29 showed anxiolytic and antipanic-like activities in mice without any sedative effects at the three tested doses and was selected for preclinical studies.¹⁸

References and notes

- Traber, J.: Glaser, T. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 1987, 8, 432-437. -1-
- -2-Glitz, D.A.; Pohl, R. Drugs, 1991, 41, 11-18.
- Goldberg, H.L.; Finnerty, R. J. Clin. Psychiatry 1982, 43, 87-91. -3-
- -4-Munjack, D.J.; Bruns, J.; Baltazar, P.L.; Brown, R. J. Anxiety Disord. 1991, 5, 87-98.
- Glennon, R.A.S. Neurosci, Biobehav, Rev., 1990, 14, 35-47, -5-
- -6-Peroutka, S.J. Ed. Serotonin Receptor Subtypes. Basic and Clinical Aspects; Ed.Wilev-Liss: New York, 1991, 211-227.
- -7-Wilkstrom, H.; Svensson, K. Ann. Rep. Med. Chem. 1989, 25, 41-50.
- Bockaert, J.; Dumuis, A.; Bouhelal, R.; Sebben, M.; Cory, R. Nauny Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharm. -8-**1987**, *335*, 588-592. Arriaga, F.; Leitao, J.; Padua, J.; Ruiz, I.; Tropa, J.; Sousa, M.P.; Mills, F.J.; Gelders, Y.
- -9-C.I.P.N., Congress Puerto Rico 1986, 174.
- -10-Da Roza Davis, J.M.; Scharpley, A.L.; Cowen, P.J. Psychopharmacol. 1992, 108, 387-389.
- Albinsson, A.; Björk, A.; Svartengren, J.; Klint, T.; Andersson G. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1994, 261, -11-285-294.
- -12-Millan, M.J.; Brocco M. Serotonin and anxiety: Mixed 5-HT_{1A} agonists-5-HT_{1C2} antagonists, potential anxiolytic agents. In: Hamon, M.; Ollat, H.; Thiebot, M.H., eds. Anxiety: Neurobiology. clinic and therapeutic perspectives. Paris: Les éditions INSERM/John Libbey Eurotext: 1993: 153-164.
- -13-Taverne, T.; Lesieur, I.; Depreux, D.; Caignard, D.H.; Guardiola, B. Eur. Pat. (1991) 4025465; Chem. Abstr. (1992), 117, 69855i.
- Lowe, J.A.; Seeger, T.F.; Nagel, A.A.; Howard, H.R.; Seymour, P.A.; Heym, J.H.; Ewing, F.E.; -14-Newman, M.E.; Schmidt, A.W.; Furman, J.S.; Vincent, L.A.; Maloney, P.R.; Robinson, G.L.; Reynolds, L.S.; Vinick, F.J. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 1860-1866.
- Glennon, R.A.; Naiman, R.A.; Lyon, R.A.; Titeler, M. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 1968-1971. -15-
- Mokrosz, J.L.; Strekowski, L.; Duszyuska, D.; Harden, D.B.; Mokrosz, M.J.; Bojarski, -16-Pharmazie 1994, 49, 801-806.
- -17-Diouf, O.; Depreux, P.; Lesieur, D.; Guardiola, B.; Caignard, D.; Adam, G. Fr. Pat. (1993) 02528.
- Griebel, G.; Blanchard, D.C.; Rettori, M.C.; Guardiola-Lemaître, B.; Blanchard, R.J. -18-Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 1996, 54, 509-516.
- -19-Yous, S.; Poupaert, J.H.; Lesieur, I.; Depreux, P.; Lesieur, D. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 1574-1576.
- Diouf, O.; Depreux, P.; Lesieur, D.; Poupaert, J.H.; Caignard, D.H. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1995, -20-30, 715-719.
- Diouf, O.; Depreux, P.; Lesieur, D.; Poupaert, J.H.; Caignard, D.H. Heterocycles 1995, 41, -21-1219-1233.
- -22-Moussavi, Z.; Depreux, P.; Lesieur, I.; Sauzières, J. Heterocycles 1991, 32, 2119-2125.
- Poupaert, J.H.; Kanyonyo, M.D ; Ucar, H. ; Mouithys, A.M. ; Diouf, O.; Lesieur, D. -23-Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 1996, 105 (7), 397-401.

(Received in Belgium 16 May 1997; accepted 10 September 1997)