View Article Online View Journal

NJC

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the RSC Publishing peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, which is prior to technical editing, formatting and proof reading. This free service from RSC Publishing allows authors to make their results available to the community, in citable form, before publication of the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as this is available.

To cite this manuscript please use its permanent Digital Object Identifier (DOI®), which is identical for all formats of publication.

More information about *Accepted Manuscripts* can be found in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics contained in the manuscript submitted by the author(s) which may alter content, and that the standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **ethical guidelines** that apply to the journal are still applicable. In no event shall the RSC be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these *Accepted Manuscript* manuscripts or any consequences arising from the use of any information contained in them.

RSCPublishing

WWW.TSC.Org/njc Registered Charity Number 207890



115x60mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Two novel nitroso hydroxyethyl pyrazolones were obtained. Tautomerism in solution and solid state is presented. Despite of the polarity differences of two solvents, DMSO- d_6 and CDCl₃, the oxime tautomers proved to be more stable and the equilibrium between E/Z diasteromers is only observed in DMSO. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data show that tautomeric equilibrium is shifted to the Z-diasteromer of the oxime, exclusively.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Synthesis, Crystal Structures and Tautomerism in Novel Oximes Based on Hydroxyalkylpyrazolones.

Julio Belmar,*^a José Quezada, Claudio A. Jiménez*^a, Pau Díaz-Gallifa^b, Jorge Pasán^b and Catalina Ruiz-Pérez^b

s Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Two novel hydroxyethyl pyrazolones were obtained by condensation of 2-hydroxyethylhydrazine with ethyl acetoacetate or ethyl benzoyl acetate, later nitrosation of C4 carbon atom in the heterocyclic moiety, afforded two novel oxime-derivatives. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data show that 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-methyl-

¹⁰ 5-hydrypyrazol (1) it is largely present together with very small amount of the 5-one tautomer in DMSO- d_6 ; in contrast, 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-phenyl-5-pyrazolone (2) is found as a single tautomer in CDCl₃. Two diasteromers occur in DMSO- d_6 in 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-methyl-4-hydroxyimino-5-pyrazolone (3) while in 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-phenyl-4-hydroxyimino-5-pyrazolone (4), a single species is present in CDCl₃. Despite of the polarity differences in the solvents used, remarkably the oxime tautomers proved to be more stable in both cases and DMSO- d_6 only allows the equilibrium between E/Z diasteromers. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data of 1, 3 and 4 are presented, they show that 1 occurs as a keto-enamine tautomer, in clear contrast with the situation in solution; in the case of 3 and 4, the tautomeric equilibrium remain shifted to the oximes in the solid phase as the Z-diasteromer, exclusively. This finding is the opposite of what was described in a previous report for 1-alkyl-3-methyl-4-20 hydroximino-5-pyrazolone, where the *E*-diasteromer was present. Besides, the data show that the oxime

motif is not affected by the substitution changes described in C3. IR data in solid phase supports the crystal structures and suggest that **2** occurs as a similar tautomer as **1**.

Introduction

Published on 26 March 2013 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C3NJ00163F

Downloaded by University of Sussex on 27/03/2013 17:45:36.

- Oxime-based ligands play an important role in several areas of ²⁵ chemistry. They have been widely used in analytical chemistry for separation or detection of metals¹ after Tschugaeff reported the use of dimethylglyoxime for the gravimetric determination of nickel.² A variety of bidentate chelating oximes are known as it is the case of 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde oximes or salicylaldoximes. ³⁰ These kind of compounds deserve special mention because some
- of them are commercially available and used extensively in copper hydrometallurgy or as anticorrosives in protective coatings.³ Almost one fifth of the total world production of copper is obtained every year in solvent extraction processes that
- ³⁵ use phenolic oximes.³ There are however, other areas of coordination chemistry were oximes are the subject of continuous research, such as molecular magnetism,⁴⁻⁶ coordination polymers and molecular clusters^{5,6} or biological modeling.⁵⁻⁸
- Probably the most straightforward way to obtain oximes is the 40 condensation of a ketone or aldehyde with hydroxylamine.⁹ Nitrosation reactions represent an attractive procedure to obtain oximes in the case of products that are able to undergo tautomerism such as some naphthols, phenols or ketones.¹⁰⁻¹² Pyrazolone oximes can also be obtained by nitrosation¹³⁻¹⁶ and it
- ⁴⁵ seems that the compounds exist mainly as the oximino tautomer^{16,17} in solution and in the solid phase.

The heterocycle pyrazolone has been known for years, being first reported in the 19th century by Knorr.13 Derivatives of this heterocycle remain as the focus of continuous research. The 50 appeal stems from the tautomerism they present¹⁸ and from the applications in analytical chemistry in the determination of carbohvdrates^{19,20} or as chelating agents for a wide range of metals;²¹⁻²³ besides, they are attractive in the field of medicinal chemistry, where this moiety is often included. In daily life 55 pyrazolones are important in dyes industry^{24,25} and in medicine, where some derivatives are still used to treat pain, fever, among other diseases and symptoms²⁵ while other uses have appeared.²⁶. Nitrosopyrazolones are as old as pyrazolone itself; however, they have attracted less attention than other derivatives such as 60 acylpyrazolones, for instance. In recent years only a few reports including nitroso derivatives of the heterocycle can be found. 14,15,21,27-29 Following with our studies aimed at having a better understanding on the structural features of pyrazolones, the synthesis, structural and spectroscopic characterization of some 65 new derivatives obtained by nitrosation are presented in this article.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and spectroscopic characterization

To date most pyrazolone derivatives reported are 1-aryl or N-1

60

unsubstituted derivatives. However, some of us reported years ago that 3-methyl-5-pyrazolone can be alkylated with quite good yields at the N-1 position.³⁰ Moreover, 3-phenyl-5-pyrazolone can also be alkylated, although the yields reported were not as ⁵ good as with the 3-methyl homologue.¹⁵ The 1-alkylderivatives of 5-pyrazolone react with electrophiles the same way 1-arylderivatives do and the resulting products represent interesting

- targets because, in general, an improved solubility in low polarity organic solvents can be expected when comparing 1-alkyl ¹⁰ derivatives with 1-aryl homologues. Thus, encouraged for the good results previously obtained with primary and benzylic halogen derivatives^{14,15,30} we focused on using hydroxylated
- halogen derivatives, because the resulting products would broaden scope of the alkylation of pyrazolones giving rise to new 15 potential applications.
- The first synthetic attempt considered the innovative alkylation of 3-methyl-5-pyrazolone with 2-bromoethanol, 3-bromopropan-1ol, and 3-chloro-1,2-propanediol. This approach seemed very attractive because only a few examples of alkylhydrazines ²⁰ commercially available exist and the preparation of them are not straightforward.³⁰ Despite all our efforts, it turned out impossible to isolate any product from the reacting mixtures. No trials with 3-phenylpyrazolone were carried out since this compound is less reactive toward alkylation and a lower yield has been reported.¹⁵
- 25 Therefore, the typical condensation of a β -keto ester with a monosubstituted hydrazine was used instead.³¹ In this way (see Scheme 1), after the condensation of ethyl acetoacetate and ethyl benzoylacetate 2-hydroxyethylhydrazine, with 1 - (2 -1-(2hydroxyethyl)-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone (1) and 30 hydroxyethyl)-3-phenyl-5-pyrazolone (2) were obtained. In the second step these compounds were treated with HCl and then with sodium nitrite, affording 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-methyl-4hydroxyimino-5-pyrazolone (3) and 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3phenyl-4-hydroxyimino-5-pyrazolone (4) in good yields.

SCHEME 1

35

Tautomerism is and old issue in pyrazolone chemistry and it has been extensively studied.¹⁸ Since 1-alkyl-5-pyrazolones are less ⁴⁰ known and considering some results^{32,33} showing that small structural variations can have a significant effect on tautomeric equilibria, it is important to discuss the subject in detail considering the data available (The numbering used in the following spectroscopic discussion will be the traditional were ⁴⁵ N1 is the one bonded to C=O or COH which in turn is C5).

- The ¹³C data for compound **1** in DMSO- d_6 , according to the solubility, present a number of signals that agrees with the existence of more than one species in solution. The signals 173.2 and 41.6 ppm can be attributed to a carbonyl in the C5 and to a
- ⁵⁰ CH₂ in the C4 of the heterocycle. Therefore, it is possible to conclude that the tautomer CH (Figure 1) is present in the solution. On the other side, the OH form accounts the signal at 154.9 ppm that corresponds to an enolic C5, and for another at 86.6 ppm for a vinylic C4 in the heterocycle, as it is usual in this
- ⁵⁵ solvent.^{15,34} However, the presence of the **NH** form cannot be excluded for certain, because of the possibility of a rapid equilibrium between **OH** and **NH** species or the coincidence of the chemical shifts.

FIGURE 1

The signals for the chain bonded to N1 are duplicated, 59.9 and 58.6 ppm for the CH₂O and 47.7 and 46.1 ppm for the CH₂N. Two signals, attributable to the CH₃ attached to C3 in the heterocycle, are observed at 16.9 and 14.2 ppm. Previous 65 results^{15,34} indicate that the **OH** tautomer is the most important in this solvent. Moreover, Holzer³⁴ showed that the change of the solvent from $CDCl_3$ to $DMSO-d_6$ has only a very small effect on the chemical shifts of the OH form. In this way each signal can be associated with one tautomeric form (Figure 2). This situation ⁷⁰ is also valid and it was also used as a guide with the ¹H spectrum, where there are also clues for the presence of mainly two species. A broad singlet, integrating roughly for 1H, at 10.70 ppm is in agreement with the existence of the OH (or NH) tautomer; this implies that there should be a signal for the vinylic hydrogen, 75 which can be observed at 5.09 ppm. There is also a small broad signal between 4.85 and 4.70 ppm for the CH₂-C=O unit, but in this case integration is not accurate. There are two signals corresponding to CH₃, a singlet with a small shoulder, at 1.99 and 1.98 ppm. Thus, one of the tautomers is present in a larger so amount; however, the ratio cannot be determined owing to the overlapping of the signals. In this case, OH(NH) would be the main specie while CH occurs in a tiny amount. It deserves to be noted that the other signals in the proton spectrum are not duplicated, because the differences are not big enough to be 85 observed or the concentration of the minor species is too low. There are two triplets, at 3.75 ppm (2H) for the OCH₂ and 3.59 ppm (2H) for the NCH₂. The signal OH in the chain appears as a singlet (roughly 1H) at 3.54 ppm.

90 FIGURE 2

Compound 2 is soluble in chloroform and therefore the NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ solution. The ¹³C spectrum presents a number of signals that shows that only one tautomer 95 occurs in this case. The two key chemical shifts are 172.4 ppm for C5 with a C=O structure and 38.4 ppm for C4 as a CH₂. There is no signal around 80 to 90 ppm for a vinylic carbon, and thus the compound exists largely as the CH tautomer. The signal for C3 in the heterocycle has a chemical shift of 155.1 ppm. There 100 are four signals between 125 and 131 ppm, corresponding to the four different carbons of the benzene ring. The signals for the CH₂O and CH₂N can be observed at 61.6 and 47.7 ppm, respectively. The ¹H spectrum further supports the existence of the CH tautomer alone. The lack of the signals corresponding to ¹⁰⁵ OH or NH over 8 ppm and around 5 ppm for the vinylic proton are in agreement with the CH tautomer. The signal for the CH₂ α to the carbonyl can be seen at 3.67 ppm and its area corresponds to 2H. The signals for OCH₂ at 3.97 ppm and NCH₂ at 3.96 ppm are almost completely overlapped; both signals appear as two 110 broad singlets and their area as a whole corresponds to 4H. The ¹³C spectrum was also recorded in DMSO- d_6 , in contrast with the less polar CDCl₃, it presents signals showing that only the OH tautomer occurs in this case. The two key signals are now 154.3 ppm for C5 with a COH structure and 83.6 ppm for C4 as =CH.

¹¹⁵ On the other hand, there is no signal around 38 ppm for a saturated C4 in the heterocycle. The signal for C3 can be

Published on 26 March 2013 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C3NJ00163F

Downloaded by University of Sussex on 27/03/2013 17:45:36.

observed at 148.2 ppm. The corresponding proton spectrum of **2** shows a singlet at 5.73 ppm (1H) for a vinylic CH, and the signal for a CH_2 in C4 (3.67 ppm in $CDCl_3$) is missing; therefore, the equilibrium is shifted to the **OH(NH)** tautomer. The signal ⁵ corresponding to the OH, however, was not observed. May be it

is too broad to be detected or a proton-deuterium exchange occurred.

The solid state IR spectrum supports the crystal structure of 1, when compared with that of 2 it is possible to conclude that a

¹⁰ similar tautomer occurs in both cases. Around 3200 to 3300 cm⁻¹ can be clearly seen the stretching for the OH of the chain attached to N1. A second peak can be observed around 3120 to 3150 cm⁻¹ that can be associated to N-H stretching. There is also a very broad signal starting from 3200 to 1800 cm⁻¹ that may also 15 correspond to NH stretching in the heterocycle. Another peak around 1597 cm⁻¹ for **1** and at 1586 cm⁻¹ for **2** must be assigned to an amidic C=O involved in hydrogen bonding. The C=C stretching is observed at 1548 cm⁻¹ for **1** and 1550 cm⁻¹ in the case of **2**. Interestingly, in 1-butyl-3-phenyl-5-pyrazolone occurs ²⁰ as the OH tautomer, according to an earlier report.³²

The most important spectroscopic clue evidencing that the nitrosation reaction took place can be obtained from the fact that the signal for C4 at 86.9 and 41.6 ppm in each tautomer of compound **1** disappears upon reaction. The fundamental ²⁵ tautomeric forms for 1,3-disubstituted-4-nitroso-5-pyrazolones are presented in Figure 3. Some of the signals in the ¹³C spectrum

- of **3** (obtained in DMSO- d_6) are duplicated showing that two species occur in solution. There are two signals for NCH₂ at 46.7 and 46.4 ppm and also two signals for the CH₃ at 17.4 and 12.6 ³⁰ ppm. The signals that can be assigned to C3 and C5 in the
- heterocycle are also duplicated. In this case the values are 146.3 and 144.4 for C3 and 161.5 and 153.4 ppm for C5. A detailed assignation of the signals was enabled (Figure 4) based upon the reports by Holzer¹⁶ and Enchev¹⁷. Moreover, according to these ³⁵ authors, it is possible to consider the existence of one oximino or
- hydroxyimino tautomer (**NOH** in Figure 3) with the E and Z diasteromers in equilibrium (Figure SI1).

FIGURE 3 40 FIGURE 4

The information obtained from the ¹H spectrum is also in agreement with the existence of two species in solution. The signal corresponding to the CH_3 is duplicated, at 2.08 and 2.25

- ⁴⁵ ppm. Theoretical calculations¹⁷ showed that, without considering the solvent, the *E* isomer should be more stable in the case of the 1-ethyl homologue. Holzer¹⁶ observed that in DMSO- d_6 , the two isomers of 1-phenyl-3-methoxy-4-oximino-5-pyrazolone were equally abundant and he assigned the more deshielded methoxy
- ⁵⁰ group to the Z isomer and the more shielded to the *E*. Therefore we assigned the signals at 2.25 and 2.05 ppm to the Z and *E* diasteromers, respectively; and since they are well resolved it is possible to estimate the ratio E/Z as 35/65. Besides, the proton spectrum shows a signal at 14.28 ppm corresponding to the
- ⁵⁵ proton of the hydroxyimino moiety, and a multiplet for 1H, corresponding to the OH in the chain at 4.76 ppm. In this case one triplet for each diasteromer should be expected, but partial overlapping produces a more complex pattern. Two overlapped

- multiplets with a total area of 4H are observed at 3.55 and 3.63 ⁶⁰ ppm and they correspond to the two CH₂ in the chain. The less shielded signal should correspond to the OCH₂ unit.
- Again, going from **2** to **4**, the signal at 38.4 ppm for C4 in the heterocyclic moiety in the former compound is missing in the ¹³C spectrum of the latter, showing that the nitrosation reaction was ⁶⁵ successfully achieved. Regarding the ¹³C spectrum of **4** (obtained
- in CDCl₃) the data is consistent with only one tautomer. Enchev¹⁷ showed that after considering corrections for the solvent, in chloroform the *Z* isomer of the oximino tautomer becomes more stable. A structure probably stabilized by an intramolecular ⁷⁰ hydrogen bond. Relevant chemical shifts for the heterocycle are 158.4 ppm for C5 (C=O), 146.8 ppm for C3 (C=N) and 145.7 ppm for C4 (C=NOH). Four signals for the benzene ring between
- 127.9 and 131.4 ppm are observed and the signals corresponding to the chain are observed at 60.8 (OCH₂) and 47.6 ppm (NCH₂). ⁷⁵ The ¹H NMR spectrum for **4** provides no additional evidence regarding the nature of the tautomers. The signal corresponding to the proton of the =NOH group is absent, may be it is too broad to be detected. There is one singlet at 4.00 ppm with and area corresponding to 4H, showing that after nitrosation the two ⁸⁰ methylenes of the chain became equivalents. It is worthy of note that in the case of **3**, the two CH₂ did not became equivalent, but closer than in compound **1**. This behavior must be a consequence of the electron withdrawing ability of the =NOH moiety. The
- benzene ring of compound **4** presents a multiplet from 7.43 to ⁸⁵ 7.48 ppm for the *m*-H an *p*-H atoms, and therefore it integrates for 3H; the *o*-H atoms present a doublet at 8.07 ppm, each signal with a tiny splitting corresponding to the *m* coupling that it is not measurable. The OH of the chain can be seen as a broad singlet at 2.16 ppm.
- ⁹⁰ The ¹H NMR of **4** was also obtained in DMSO- d_6 ; a broad signal at 14.63 ppm for the =NOH group can be observed. Besides, two signals in the aromatic region are accompanied by two small ones; that result may be the consequence of the equilibrium between the *E* and *Z* diasteromers of the oxime. Taking this into ⁹⁵ account, the *Z*:*E* ratio would be 90:10. It is important to note that
- the signal corresponding to NCH₂ is not duplicated. Surprisingly the ¹³C spectrum signals are duplicated just in the case of C1 (128.7 and 128.3) and C4 (130.1 and 129.8) in the benzene ring, and C1 (47.3 and 47.0). Even though this result supports the E/Z¹⁰⁰ equilibrium, the changes in the chemical shifts going from one diasteromer to the other may be too small or the intensity of some signals is to low, making impossible to observe more duplicated signals.

Regarding the solid state, IR spectra of compounds **3** and **4** ¹⁰⁵ present a signal at 1680 and 1687 cm⁻¹, respectively, that clearly can be assigned to a C=O stretching. A signal that may correspond to C=N stretching of the hydroxyimino group occurs at 1629 cm⁻¹ and 1639 cm⁻¹, for **3** and **4**, respectively. The data are in agreement with the crystal structure of these compounds.

110 Crystal Structure descriptions

The crystal structure of **1** consists of isolated molecules engaged in a two-dimensional (4,4) hydrogen bonded grid, which are further connected through a weak CH $\cdots\pi$ interaction to form a three-dimensional supramolecular network. The clear presence of ¹¹⁵ the H atom in the vicinity of N(2) in the Fourier difference map and the absence of any residual electronic density confirm that compound **1** crystallizes as a pure **NH** tautomer (Figure 5) and there is not desmotropism as occur in some 1-phenyl derivatives.³³ The distances around the heterocycle ring (Table 1) correspond to well defined double bonds for C(1)=C(2) and ${}^{5}C(3)=O(1)$, while N(2)-C(1) is clearly a single bond, as expected.

This situation is in agreement with previously reported crystal structures for 1-alkyl derivatives.³² Each molecule of 1 is connected to other two adjacent ones

through the N(2)-H···O(1)^{*i*} H-bond to build chains [(i) = x-1, y, z]¹⁰ (see Table 2 and Figure 5) running along the *a* axis. These chains are further connected to their adjacent ones through the O(2)-H···O(1)^{*ii*} H-bond to build the (4,4) grid in the *ac* plane [(ii) x-0.5, -y+1.5, z-0.5](Figure 6a). Therefore, each pyrazolone moiety acts as a double H-bond donor (through the heterocyclic NH and

Is the OH of the hydroxyethyl chain) and as a double H-bond acceptor (through the pyrazolone C=O group). The hydroxyethyl group is torsionated to participate in this H-bond network [N(1)-C(4)-C(5)-O(2) torsion angle being $67.0(2)^{\circ}$] with the O(2) atom far from the heterocycle ring [the separation being 2.457(2) Å].

²⁰ Within these layers, the heterocycle rings are located above and below the central hydrophilic area, with the methyl groups in the outside of the layer, and a total thickness of *ca.* 9.0 Å. The layers are stacked following an *ABABAB* sequence along the *b* direction. Each layer is engaged with the two adjacent ones, the methyl ²⁵ group forming a weak CH… π interaction with the heterocycle [2.888(1) Å for the H…centroid distance] (Figure 6b).

FIGURE 5 FIGURE 6

30

The crystal structures of **3** and **4** consist of neutral molecular units which are hydrogen bonded to form a (4,4) layer extended in the (10-1) plane, similar to that of **1**, which are stacked with the methyl and methylene (**3**) or aryl (**4**) groups occupying the ³⁵ interlayer space. The C1=N2 and C3=O1 bond distances in the heterocycle ring of **3** and **4** are shorter than those of **1**; whereas C1=C2 and C2=C3 are longer (see Table 1). These values are in accordance with those of related compounds^{35,36} supporting the fact that the prototropic equilibrium is shifted towards the *Z*-⁴⁰ diasteromer of the oxime **NOH** (Figure 3), in solid state.

FIGURE 7

Each molecule in **3** and **4** participates in four hydrogen bonds, the ⁴⁵ hydroxyl alkyl and the pyrazolone C=O acting as acceptors and also the hydroxyl alkyl and the hydroxyimino as donors (Figure 7). The 2D network is formed by the same single H-bond circuit repeated within the layers in **3** and **4**. A total of four molecules participate in the sixteen-atom ring, being …O–H…O–C–C–N–O–

- ⁵⁰ H···O–H···O–C–C–N–O–H···, which exhibit an inversion centre in the middle (see Figure 8). The H-bonded circuits are almost planar in **3** and **4** [maximum deviation from the mean plane being 0.30(4) Å for H(3) (**3**) and 0.198(2) Å for O(2) (**4**)]. They form an angle with the (4,4) layer of 66.45(4)° for **3** and 55.25(5)° for **4**.
- These rings are parallel along the *b* axis in **4**, but twisted [with an angle of $79.73(3)^{\circ}$] along the [101] direction; however in **3**, they are twisted along the *b* axis [20.72(1)°] and parallel along the [101] direction (Figure 8). For the hydroxyl group to participate

in this H-bonded pattern the hydroxyethyl substituent exhibits a torsion angle for N(1)-C(4)-C(5)-O(2) of $59.8(3)^{\circ}$ (3) and $61.30(3)^{\circ}$ (4), with the hydroxyl group far from the pyrazolone heterocycle [O(2) separation from the mean plane being 2.298(2) (3) and 2.362(2) Å (4)].

65 FIGURE 8

Within the 2D framework of 3 there is also a weak $\pi \cdots \pi$ interaction between the heterocycle rings of adjacent molecules in the [101] direction, with a centroid---centroid ⁷⁰ distance of 3.764(4) Å and an off-set angle of 30.9(2)° (see Table 2). These layers are stacked along the *a* axis in a regular sequence in 3, with the methyl and the methylene groups occupying the interlayer space. The methyl groups located close to the methylene ones of the neighbour layer displaying short approach 75 C…C distances in the range 3.665(3) - 3.743(5) Å, leading to a distance from the mean planes of adjacent layers of 7.707(3) Å (Figure 9a). The aryl and the heterocycle rings in the molecular unit of 4 are almost coplanar, with a dihedral angle of 13.6(1)°. Within the (4,4) layer of 4 the aryl ring and the heterocycle of so adjacent molecules along the b axis exhibit a π ... π interaction, with a centroid centroid separation of 3.573(1) Å and an off-set angle of 7.95(6)°, this short value caused by the restrains of the H-bonding pattern and the interlayer arrangement. The layers are stacked regularly along the a axis in 4, with the aryl groups 85 occupying the interlayer space, alternatively above and below the layer (Figure 9b). They are located facing the voids of the next layer in order to minimize the interlayer separation, though the separation of the mean planes of adjacent layers is 13.689(2) Å, larger than that of 3. The aryl groups of adjacent layers are 90 engaged in weak C-H··· π interactions [H···centroid separation of 3.347(2) Å].

FIGURE 9

Conclusions

95 New hydroxyalkylpyrazolones were synthesized by condensation of two different β-keto esters with 2-hydroxyethylhydrazine. In contrast with previous reports that described alkylation of 3methyl-5-pyrazolone with primary alkylbromides, alkylation with haloalcohols turned out to be unsuitable. After nitrosation the 1-100 (2-hydroxyethyl)pyrazolones afforded two novel oximes. Yields

varied from regular in one case to good in the other three. According to the ¹³C and ¹H NMR data compound 1 in DMSO- d_6 occur as mixture of **OH** and **CH** tautomers, the **OH** form is largely the most abundant. On the other hand, compound 2 was ¹⁰⁵ studied in CDCl₃, the number of signals and the chemicals shift values show that the structure of this derivative corresponds mainly to the **CH** tautomer. In DMSO- d_6 , the equilibrium is shifted toward the **OH** tautomer. These results are in agreement with previous reports and show the important role of the solvent.

¹¹⁰ Compound **3** occurs as a mixture of diasteromeric E and Z oximes in DMSO- d_6 . On the other side, in CDCl₃ **4** also exists as an oximino tautomer, but in this case a single Z diasteromer is observed. In DMSO- d_6 there also seems to be a mixture of E and Z oximes. In both cases (**3** and **4**) in DMSO- d_6 solution the Z¹¹⁵ diasteromer is the most abundant. In spite of the polarity

differences between the solvents used, the oxime tautomers proved to be remarkably more stable in both cases.

- To the best of our knowledge, this is the first crystallographic description of hydroxyalkylpyrazolones and their corresponding ⁵ oximes in literature. The X-ray structure of **1** shows the **NH**
- tautomer as a predominant structure in solid state. IR data in solid phase supports the crystal structures and suggest that 2 occurs in a similar tautomer as 1. The crystallographic data for 3 and 4 shows that the **NOH** are the most important tautomeric structure 10 and the Z configuration the most stable so far.

From supramolecular point of view, the crystal packing of both oximes is similar. The hydrogen bonding networks are the main responsible of supramolecular packing, each molecule use all the donor/acceptor moieties to form layer with similar patterns. The

¹⁵ secondary intermolecular interactions are related to the little structural differences between **3** and **4**. The π ··· π interactions are more important in **4**, whereas the hydrophobic interactions connect the layers in **3**.

Experimental

20 General remarks

Published on 26 March 2013 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C3NJ00163F

Downloaded by University of Sussex on 27/03/2013 17:45:36.

Commercially available reagent grade chemicals were used as received without further purification. Compounds were characterized by FT-IR (Nicolet, Magna 550, KBr pellets), NMR (Bruker Avance 400, 400 MHz for ¹H and 100.6 MHz for ¹³C)

²⁵ were obtained in DMSO- d_6 or CDCl₃ according to the solubility, the solvents were used as internal standards, operating temperature was 28°C, and the amount of sample used was not weighed neither the volume of solvent was measured. Melting points (°C degrees, Olimpus BX41 microscope plus a Linkam ³⁰ T95-PE temperature controlled stage) are uncorrected. To complete characterization, C, H, and N analyses were carried out (Fisons EA 1108). Chemical shifts for NMR spectra (δ) are quoted in ppm. Infrared values (v) are quoted in reciprocal centimeters (cm⁻¹).

35 Synthesis

1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone (1).

To a magnetically stirred solution of ethyl acetoacetate (0.5 mol, 65 mL) in 50 mL of ethyl alcohol, 2-hydroxyethyl hydrazine (0.5 mol, 34.5 mL) was dropwise added. The white solid observed ⁴⁰ two days after the addition was several times crystallized from ethanol. Finally, X-ray quality single crystals in the form of yellow prisms were obtained from the ethanol solution. Yield 55 %, mp: 109-111°. Elemental analysis (%): Calc. for C₆H₁₀N₂O₂: C, 50.69; H, 7.09; N, 19.71. Found: C, 50.35; H, 7.32; N, 19.37. ⁴⁵ FTIR (KBr): 3429 (O-H, alkyl chain), 3152 (N-H), 2920 (C-H,

- ⁴⁵ F HK (KB). 3429 (0-H, akyr chain), 3132 (N-H), 2920 (C-H, sat.), 2936, 2882 (C-H, sat.), 2500 3100 (N-H), 1597 (C=O), 1548 (C=C). ¹H-NMR (DMSO- d_6): 10.54 (s, wide, 1H, O-H or N-H, heterocycle), 5.09 (s, 1H, vinylic), 3.75 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂O), 3.59 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H, NCH₂), 3.54 (s, 1H, CH₂OH), ⁵⁰ 1.99 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6): 172.2 (1C, C-5, C=O),
- 145.2 (1C, C-3 heterocycle), 86.6 (1C, C-4, vinylic), 59.5 (1C, OCH₂), 47.3 (1C, NCH₂), 13.8 (1C, CH₃).

1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-phenyl-5-pyrazolone (2). The same procedure was followed. Ethyl benzoylacetate (0,47 mol, 81mL) ⁵⁵ and 2-hydroxyethylhidrazine (0.47 mol, 32.5 mL) were used. After the addition, the mixture was stirred for one more hour and then filtered. The white solid was crystallized from ethanol

several times without affording X-ray quality crystals. Yield: 64.8 %, mp: 162-163°. Elemental analysis (%): Calc. for $C_{11}H_{12}N_2O_2$:

- ⁶⁰ C, 64.69; H, 5.92; N, 13.72. Found: C, 64.53; H, 6.31; N, 13.36. FTIR (KBr): 3316 (O-H, alkyl chain), 3123 (N-H) 3052 (C-H, unsat.), 2943, 2871 (C-H, sat.), 2500 – 3100 (N-H, heterocycle), 1586 (C=O), 1150 (C=C). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 7.66 (d-d, *J* = 4.0 y 8.0 Hz, 2H, phenyl, *o*-), 7.43 (m, 3H, phenyl *m*- and *p*-), 3.97 (s,
- ⁶⁵ 2H, CH₂O), 3.96 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 3.67 (s, 2H, CH₂, C-4, heterocycle), 2.69 (s, 1H, OH, alkyl chain). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 158.1 (1C, C-5, C=O), 155.0 (1C, C-3 heterocycle), 131.0, 130.7, 129.1, 125.9 (6C, phenyl), 61.5 (1C, OCH₂), 47.7 (1C, NCH₂), 38.3 (1C, CH₂, C-4, heterocycle). ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): 7.68 (s,
- ⁷⁰ broad, 2H, *o*-), 7.34 (s, broad, 2H, *m*-), 7.24 (s, broad, 1H, *p*-), 5.73 (s, 1H, OH, chain), 3.93 (s, broad, 2H, OCH₂), 3.71 (s, broad, 2H, NCH₂). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d₆*): 154.3 (1C, C4, heterocycle, COH), 148.2 (1C, C3, heterocycle), 134.7 (1C, C1, benzene), 129.8 (2C, benzene, *o*-), 127.5 (1C, benzene, *p*-), 125.1 ⁷⁵ (2C, benzene, *m*-), 83.6 (1C, =CH, C4, heterocycle), 60.1 (1C, C4, heterocycle),
- $_{5}$ (2C, benzene, *m*-), 83.6 (1C, =CH, C4, heterocycle), 60.1 (10 OCH₂), 48.8 (1C, NCH₂).

1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-methyl-4-hydroxyimino-5-pyrazolone (3).

- In a beaker, provided with magnetic stirring and a thermometer, ⁸⁰ containing 80 mL of ethyl alcohol, compound **1** was dissolved (0.028 mol, 4.0 g) and then concentrated HCl (0.03 mol, 2.4 mL) was added. The mixture was cooled down to 0°C with a mixture of ice–NaCl. Then a solution of NaNO₂ (0.03 mol, 2.13 g) in 8 mL of water is slowly added at such a rate that the temperature ⁸⁵ remains below 4°C. Then the mixture was stirred at room temperature for another hour. The solvent was evaporated in a rotary evaporator and the yellow crude was crystallized from a chloroform-EtOH mixture (8 : 2) affording small yellow prisms of enough quality for the X-ray diffraction experiments. Yield
- ⁹⁰ 74.5 %, mp: 165-166°. Elemental Analysis: Calc. for C₆H₉N₃O₃:
 C, 40.10; H, 5.30, N, 24.55. Found: C, 41.91; H, 5.42; N, 24.48.
 FTIR (KBr): 3317 (O-H, alkyl chain), 2600-3140 (NO-H,), 1679 (C=O), 1629 (C=N). ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆): 14.28 (s, 1H, N-OH), 4.76 (m, 1H, CH₂-OH), 4.63, 3.55 (m-m, badly resolved, 4H,
- ⁹⁵ CH₂-CH₂), 2.25, 2.08 (s-s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d₆*): 161.4, 153.5 (2C, C-5, heterocycle, C=O), 146.3, 144.4 (2C, C-3), 139.8 (1C, C-4), 58.4 (1C, OCH₂), 46.7, 46.4 (2C, NCH₂), 17.4, 12.5 (2C, CH₃).

1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-phenyl-4-hydroxyimino-5-pyrazolone 100 (4).

The same procedure used to obtain compound **3** was followed. Compound **2** (0.025 mol, 5.0 g), concentrated HCl (0.028 mol, 2.3 mL) and sodium nitrite (0.028 mol, 1.93 g) in 5 mL of water were used. The orange solid was crystallized from a mixture ¹⁰⁵ water – EtOH (9:1) yielding deep red block crystals used in the crystallographic measurements. Yield: 79.8 %, mp: 168-170°. Elemental Analysis: Calc. for C₁₁H₁₁N₃O₃: C, 56.65; H, 4.75; N, 18.02. Found: C, 56.18; H, 4.71; N, 17.83. FTIR (KBr): 3335 (O-H, alkyl chain), 2600 – 3100 (O-H, oxime), 1667 (C=O), 1639 ¹¹⁰ (C=N), 1506 (C=C). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 8.07 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, *o*-), 7.43-7.50 (m, 3H, *m*- y *p*-), 4.02 (s, 4H, CH₂CH₂), 2.16 (s, 1H, OH, chain). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 158.4 (1C, C=O, C-5 heterocycle), 146.8 (1C, C-3, heterocycle), 145.7 (1C, C-4 heterocycle), 131.4, 129.2, 128.8, 127.9 (6C, phenyl), 60.8 (1C,

OCH₂), 47.6 (1C, NCH₂).

Crystallography

The data collection of the X-ray diffraction experiment was carried out in an Agilent Supernova diffractometer with Cu s radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) at 293 K for compounds 1, 3 and 4. Data were indexed, integrated and scaled with the CrysAlisPRO³⁷ programs. The crystal structures of 1, 3 and 4 were solved by direct methods and refined with the full-matrix least-squares technique on F^2 by using the SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-97 ¹⁰ programs³⁸ included in the WINGX³⁹ software package. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms were set on geometrical positions and refined with a riding model, except those of the hydroxyl group of the ethanol substituent, and those relevant to determine the tautomeric form 15 of the pyrazolone, i.e., the NH in 1, the NOH in 3 and 4, which were found in the difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. A summary of the crystallographic data and structure refinement is given in Table 3. The final geometrical calculations and the graphical manipulation were carried out with 20 PARST9740 and DIAMOND41 and MERCURY42 programs, respectively. CCDC numbers 922744-6 for 1, 3 and 4, respectively, contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the 25 Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road,

Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK: fax: (+44)1123-336-033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the University of Concepcion ³⁰ (Grant 211023048-10) and also funded by the Ministerio Español de Ciencia e Innovación (Projects CSD2006-00015, MAT2010-16981 and DPI2010-21103-C04-03), and the ACIISI-Gobierno Autónomo de Canarias (Project PIL-2070901). J.P. thanks the funding from the Universidad de La Laguna as part of the ³⁵ Campus of Excellence Project 'CEI Canarias: Campus Atlántico Tricontinental'. P. D.-G. acknowledges the Ministerio Español de

Tricontinental'. P. D.-G. acknowledges the Ministerio Español de Ciencia e Innovación for a FPI predoctoral grant (BES-2011-046637).

Notes and references

⁴⁰ ^a Departamento de Química Orgánica. Facultad de Ciencias Químicas. Universidad de Concepción. Casilla 160-C, Concepción. Chile. Fax: 56 41 2247954; Tel: 56 41 2204258.

- ^b Laboratorio de Rayos X y Materiales Moleculares. Departamento de
- ⁴⁵ Física Fundamental II. Facultad de Física. Universidad de La Laguna. Avda. Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez s/n, E-38204 La Laguna. Spain.
 † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- 50
- M.E. Keeney, K- Osseo-Asare, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1984, 59, 141-201.
 L. Tschugaeff, Chem. Ber. 1923, 56, 2083-2522.
- [3] A. G. Smith, P. A. Tasker, D. J. White, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2003, 241, 61-85.
- ⁵⁵ [4] P. Chaudhuri, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2003, **243**, 143-190.
- [5] A. I. Buvailo, E. Gumienna-Kontecka, S. V. Pablova, I. O. Fritsky, M. Haukka, *Dalton Trans.* 2010, **39**, 6266-6275.

[6] T.C. Stamatatos, G. Vlahopoulou, C. P. Raptopoulou, V. Psycharis, A. Escuer, G. Christou, S. Perlepes, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2012, 3121-3131.

- 60 [7] G. N. Schrauzer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1976, 15, 417-426.
- [8] M. J. Prushan, A. W. Addison, R. J. Butcher, L. K. Thompson, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2005, **358**, 3449-3456.
- [9] T. W. Greene, P. G. M. Wuts, *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*, Wiley, Toronto, 2003, 355-358.
- 65 [10] J. Charalambous, M. J. Kensett, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1976, 16, 213-217
- [11] G. Ivanova, V. Enchev, Chem. Phys. 2001, 264, 235-244
- [12] V. Enchev, G. Ivanova, N. Stoyanov, J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM), 2003, 640, 149-162.
- 70 [13] L. Knorr, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1884, 17, 2032-2049.
- [14] J. Bartulin, J. Belmar, H. Gallardo, G. Leon, *J. Heterocyclic Chem.* 1994, **31**, 561-563.
- [15] J. Belmar, J. Alderete, M. Parra, C. Zuñiga, Bol. Soc. Chil. Quím. 1999, 44, 367-374.
- ⁷⁵ [16] W. Holzer, L. Hallak, *Heterocycles*, 2004, **63**, 1311-1334.
 [17] V. Enchev, S. Angelova, *J. Mol. Struct.* 2009, **897**, 55-60.
 [18] W. Holzer, C. Kautsch, C. Laggner, R. M. Claramunt, M. Pérez-Torralba, I. Alkorta, J. Elguero, *Tetrahedron* (2004, **60**, 6791-6805.
 [19] P. Zhang, Z. Wang, M. Xie, W. Nie, L. Huang, *J. Chromatoghr. B*, 80 2010, **878**, 1135-1144.
 [20] C. Ding, L. Wang, C. Tian, Y. Li, Z. Sun, H. Wang, Y. Suo, J. You,
- Chromatographia, 2008, 68, 893-902.
 [21] J. S. Casas, M. García-Tasende, A. Sánchez, J. Sordo, A. Touceda, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 1561-1589.
- 85 [22] F. Marchetti, C. Pettinari, R. Pettinari, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005, 249, 2909-2945.
- [23] S. Umetani, J. Alloy. Compd. 2006, 408-412, 981-984.
- [24] R. Bianchini, M. Bonanni, M. Corsi, A. S.Infantino, *Tetrahedron*, 2012, **68**, 8636-8644.
- 90 [25] W.Herbst, K. Hunger, Industrial Organic Pigments: Production, Properties Applications, Wiley-VCH Verlag, 3rd. Ed. 2004, Weinheim. Pp 601-636.
- [26] X. Qi, Y. Okuna, T. Hosoi, Y. Nomura, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2004, **311**, 388-393.
- 95 [27] S. A. Abdel-Latif, O. M. El-Roudi, M. G. K. Mohamed, J. Therm. Anal. Cal. 2003, 73, 939-950.
- [28] L. Beverina, M. Crippa, M. Sassi, A. Monguzzi, F. Meinardi, R. Tubino, G. A. Pagani, *Chem Commun* 2009, 5103.
- [29] S. A. Latif, Y. M. Issa, *Nat. Sci.* 2010, **2**, 1035.
- [30] J. Bartulin, J. Belmar, G. Leon, Bol. Soc. Chil. Quim. 1992, 37, 13-18.
 - [31] G. Varvounis, Y. Fiamegos, G. Pilidis, *Adv. Heterocycl. Chem.* 2001, **80**, 73-156.
- [32] J. Belmar, C. Jiménez, C. Ruiz-Pérez, F. S. Delgado, R. Baggio, 105 Acta Cryst. 2006, C62, 0599-0601.
 - [33] C. Foces-Foces, C. Fontenas, J. Elguero, I Sobrados, An. Quím. Int. Ed. 1997, **93**, 219-224.
- [34] B. M. T. Wolf, W. G. Eller, W. Holzer, *Heterocycles*, 2008, 75, 2035-2042.
- 110 [35] J. Belmar, C. Jiménez, L. Ortíz, M. T. Garland, R. Baggio, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 2006, C62, 076-078.
 - [36] J. Belmar, F. R. Pérez, Y. Moreno, R. Baggio, *Acta Cryst.* 2004, C60, o705-o708
- [37] Agilent 2011. CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies UK Ltd, 115 Yarnton, England.
 - [38] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. 2008, A64, 112-122.
 - [39] L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1999, 32, 837 (WINGX).
 - [40] M. Nardelli, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1995, 28, 659.
- [41] DIAMOND 2.1d, Crystal Impact GbR, CRYSTAL IMPACT, K, 120 Brandenburg & H. Putz GbR, Bonn, Germany, 2000.
- [42] Mercury: visualization and analysis of crystal structures C. F. Macrae, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, G. P. Shields, R. Taylor, M. Towler, J. van de Streek, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2006, **39**, 453.

E-mail:jbelmar@udec.cl, cjimenez@udec.cl

Published on 26 March 2013 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C3NJ00163F

Downloaded by University of Sussex on 27/03/2013 17:45:36.

Synthesis, Crystal Structures and Tautomerism in Novel Oximes Based on Hydroxyalkylpyrazolones

Julio Belmar,*^a José Quezada, Claudio A. Jiménez*^a, Pau Díaz-Gallifa^b, Jorge Pasán^b and Catalina Ruiz-Pérez^b

^a Departamento de Química Orgánica. Facultad de Ciencias Químicas. Universidad de Concepción.

Casilla 160-C, Concepción. Chile. Email: jbelmar@udec.cl, cjimenez@udec.cl

^{*b*} Laboratorio de Rayos X y Materiales Moleculares. Departamento de Física Fundamental II. Facultad de Física. Universidad de La Laguna. Avda. Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez s/n, E-38204 La Laguna. Spain.



Scheme 1. Synthetic pathway.

	1	3	4
N1 - N2	1.373(2)	1.406(2)	1.394(3)
N2 - C1	1.344(2)	1.292(2)	1.298(3)
C1 – C2	1.370(2)	1.457(2)	1.461(4)
C2 - C3	1.411(2)	1.497(2)	1.490(3)
N1 – C3	1.366(2)	1.348(2)	1.351(3)
C3 – O1	1.275(2)	1.228(2)	1.224(3)
C2 – N3	-	1.293(2)	1.293(3)
N3 – O3	-	1.347(2)	1.359(3)

Table 1. Selected bond length (Å) in 1, 3 and 4 compounds.

	9.	1	
H-bond	$D \cdots A(A)$	$H \cdots A(A)$	D-H…A (deg)
$N(2)-H(1)\cdots O(1)^{l}$	2.689(2)	1.76(2)	170(2)
$O(2)-H(2)-O(1)^{n}$	2.748(2)	1.90 (3)	167(3)
$C-H \cdots \pi$	$H\cdots\pi(\mathring{A})$	C-H …π (Å)	C - H ··· π (deg)
C(6)–H(6B)··· π (1) ⁱⁱⁱ	2.894(2)	3.536(2)	125.2 (1)
		3	
H-bond	D …A (Å)	H…A (Å)	D-H…A (deg)
$O(3) - H(3) - O(2)^{iv}$	2.628(3)	1.6(1)	170 (5)
$O(2)-H(2)\cdots O(1)^{\nu}$	2.740(2)	1.91(4)	163(3)
$\pi^{}\pi$	$C_p \cdots C_p (A)$	β(deg)	γ(deg)
$\pi(2)\cdots\pi(2)^{\nu}$	3.765(2)	28.96(5)	2.11(7)
		4	
H-bond	D…A (Å)	H…A (Å)	D-H…A (deg)
$O(3)-H(3)-O(2)^{vi}$	2.576(2)	1.84(5)	169(4)
$O(2)-H(2)\cdots O(1)^{vii}$	2.576(2)	1.68(3)	176(3)
$\pi^{\dots}\pi$	$C_p \cdots C_p (A)$	β(deg)	γ(deg)
$\pi(3) - \pi(4)^{viii}$	3.574(2)	7.95(6)	13.5(1)
С-Н… π	$H^{\dots}\pi(\mathring{A})$	C-H…π(Å)	C-H $\cdots \pi$ (deg)
$C(9)-H(9)\cdots \pi(4)^{ix}$	3.34(3)	4.100(4)	138(1)

 Table 2. Selected intermolecular interactions for 1,3, and 4 compounds^{a,b,c}

"Symmetry codes: (*i*) = x-1, *y*, *z*; (*ii*) = x-0.5, -y+1.5, z-0.5; (*iii*) = -x, -y+2, -z+2; (*iv*) = -x+1.5, y+0.5, -z+1.5; (*v*) = x-0.5, -y+0.5, z-0.5; (*vi*) = x+0.5, -y+0.5, z+0.5; (*vii*) = -x+1.5, y+0.5, -z+0.5; (*viii*) = x, -1+y, *z*; (*ix*) = -x+2.5, y+0.5, -z+0.5.

^{*b*} Aromatic rings: $\pi(1)$: N(1)-N(2)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3) for 1; $\pi(2)$: N(1)-N(2)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3) for 3; $\pi(3)$: N(1)-N(2)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3); $\pi(4)$: C(6)-C(11) for 4.

^c D = donor, A = acceptor, H··· π = distance between the hydrogen atom of the CH to the centroid of the ring, C_p···C_p = centroid···centroid distance; β = offset angle; γ = angle between mean planes of the rings.

	1	3	4
Formula	$C_{6}H_{10}N_{2}O_{2}$	C ₆ H ₉ N ₃ O ₃	$C_{11}H_{11}N_3O_3$
FW	142.16	171.16	233.23
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P 2_1/n$	$P 2_1/n$	$P 2_1/n$
<i>a</i> (Å)	5.7744(3)	8.298(2)	15.8072(7)
b(Å)	15.2403(8)	15.1999(5)	4.9253(2)
<i>c</i> (Å)	7.9988(4)	12.458(3)	16.3792(7)
β(°)	92.736(4)	149.966(3)	116.696(5)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	703.12(6)	786. 5(3)	1139.27(8)
Ζ	4	4	4
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.855	1.003	0.855
<i>T</i> (K)	293(2)	293(2)	293(2)
ρ_{cal} (g cm ⁻³)	1.343	1.446	1.360
λ(Å)	1.54180	1.54180	1.54180
Index ranges	$-6 \le h \le 5$	$-9 \le h \le 9$	-19≤ <i>h</i> ≤13
muex ranges	$-16 \le k \le 18$	$-19 \le k \le 17$	$-5 \le k \le 5$
	$-9 \le l \le 7$	$-14 \le l \le 11$	$-19 \le l \le 19$
Indep. Reflect. (R _{int})	1177(0.0192)	1274 (0.0128)	1626 (0.0299)
Total reflections	1356	1392	2105
Parameters	100	118	167
Goodness-of-fit	0.988	0.996	1.220
$R\left[I > 2\sigma(I)\right]$	0.0414	0.0429	0.0378
$R_w [I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.1059	0.1176	0.1265
R (all data)	0.0470	0.0456	0.0575
R_w (all data)	0.1130	0.1210	0.1325

Table 3. Crystallographic data for compounds 1, 3 and 4.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Tautomeric structures corresponding to compounds 1 and 2.

Figure 2. ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of OH and CH tautomers for 1 in DMSO- d_6

Figure 3. Main tautomeric forms for 1,3-disubstituted-4-nitroso-5-pyrazolone

Figure 4. ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts corresponding to the diasteromers of compound 3 in DMSO- d_6 .

Figure 5. View of the hydrogen bonded chain in 1 growing along the *a*-axis. Broken lines represent hydrogen bonds. The asymmetric unit has been represented in elipsoids model with a 50% probability, together with the atom numbering. Symmetry codes: (*i*) = x-1, y, z.

Figure 6. *a*) A view along the *b* axis of the (4,4) hydrogen bonded layer of **1**. The layer grows in the crystallographic *ac* plane. The H atoms are omitted for clarity, expect those participating in hydrogen bonds. *b*) The crystal packing of **2** viewed along the *a* axis, where each H-bonded (4,4) layer has been depicted in a different colour. The C–H··· π interactions are indicated in dashed blue lines. Symmetry codes: (*i*) = *x*–1, *y*, *z*; (*ii*) = *x*–0.5, –*y*+1.5, *z*–0.5.

Figure 7. A view of a fragment of the structures of **3** (*a*) and **4** (*b*). The asymmetric units are depicted in elipsoids model with a 50% probability, with the atom numbering scheme. Symmetry codes: (*iv*) = -x+1.5, y+0.5, -z+1.5; (*v*) = x-0.5, -y+0.5, z-0.5; (*vi*) = x+0.5, -y+0.5, z+0.5; (*x*) = -x+1.5, y-0.5, -z+1.5, (*xi*) = -x+1.5, y-0.5, -z+0.5.

Figure 8. A view of the (4,4) H-bonded layer growing in the (10-1) crystallographic plane of **3** (a) and **4** (b). The hydrogen bonds are depicted in dashed red/green lines, whereas the $\pi \cdots \pi$ interactions in **3** are presented in blue dashed lines. The H atoms and the aryl ring have been omitted for clarity.

Figure 9. (a) A view of the crystal packing of **3** where the methyl and methylene groups are occupying the interlayer space. (b) The crystal packing of **4** viewed along the *b* axis. The aryl groups located alternatively above and below each layer occupying the voids of the adjacent one to minimize the interlayer space.

Published on 26 March 2013 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C3NJ00163F

Downloaded by University of Sussex on 27/03/2013 17:45:36.











H

,H









OH







Figure 5.



Figure 6. (a) left, (b) right.



Figure 7a







Figure 8a.

Downloaded by University of Sussex on 27/03/2013 17:45:36. Published on 26 March 2013 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C3NJ00163F



Figure 8b.



Figure 9a.



Figure9b.