View Article Online View Journal



Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: F. Han, X. Zhang, M. Hu and L. Jia, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, DOI: 10.1039/C5OB01730K.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/obc

Published on 02 October 2015. Downloaded by University of Lethbridge on 05/10/2015 11:18:45.

CROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Decarboxylative Substitution of β-Keto Acids to Benzylic Alcohols Catalyzed by Molecular Iodine

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

Fuzhong Han, *, ^a Xinxin Zhang, ^a Minggang Hu^a and Lina Jia*, ^a

. . .

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

An efficient method for the molecular iodine catalyzed decarboxylative substitution of β -keto acids with benzylic alcohols under mild conditions has been dedcribed and the valuable α -functionalized ketones were obtained in good to excellent yields.

Introduction

The development of catalytic and mild carbon-carbon bond formations is of a paramount importance in the synthesis of fine chemicals, natural products and pharmaceuticals.¹ Recently, decarboxylative carbon allylation processes have been widely used for organic synthesis.² Among the myriad of reports devoted to this reaction, an important type of such reactions involves decarboxylative substitution of β-keto acids to benzyl alcohols or benzyl derivatives.³ Indeed, benzyl alcohols are superior to benzyl derivatives since no modified substrates are involved.⁴ In particular, the direct reaction between β -keto acids and benzyl alcohols are noteworthy, because only the water and CO₂ are generated as byproducts. As we know, only FeCl₃ has been reported so far to catalyze decarboxylative substitution to assemble the valuable α -functionalized ketones efficiently. ⁵⁻⁷ However, FeCl₃ as the catalyst often results in the residue of heavy metals in the products such as pharmaceuticals. Therefore, the development of a metal-free that could catalyze the decarboxylative substitution in broad substrate spectrum is highly desirable.

In the past decade, molecular iodine has attracted much attention in organic synthesis due to its high tolerance to air and moisture, as well as its inexpensive, less toxic, and environmentally friend characteristics.⁸ Furthermore, recent developments on carbon-carbon bond forming reaction has demonstrated that molecular iodine would hold promise as an efficient Lewis acid catalyst.⁹ We therefore believe that molecular iodine should be also a suitable catalyst for the decarboxylative substitution of β -keto acids to benzylic alcohols. Herein, we describe a concise decarboxylative substitution process of various β -keto acids with benzylic alcohols in the presence of catalytic amount iodine that

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

proceeded in good to excellent yields (up to 96%) under mild conditions (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1 Molecular iodine catalyzed decarboxylative substitution of β -keto acids with benzylic alcohols.

Results and discussion

We initiated our investigation by reacting benzhydrol **1a** with benzoylacetic acid **2a** catalyzed by I_2 at 60 °C. To determine the optimized reaction conditions, the effects of reaction solvent, temperature and catalyst loading on the reaction were examined (Table 1).

Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions^a

	OH Ph Ph + Ph		I ₂ Ph of Solvent Ph	⊃ ↓ Ph
	1a 2a		3aa	
Entry	Solvent	Temp (°C)	Cat. (mol %)	Yield $(\%)^b$
1	CH ₃ CN	60	10	23
2	DCE	60	10	50
3	CH ₃ NO ₂	60	10	76
4	THF	60	10	-
5	Toluene	60	10	-
6	CH ₃ NO ₂	70	10	92
7	CH ₃ NO ₂	80	10	92
8	CH ₃ NO ₂	70	5	65
9	CH ₃ NO ₂	70	-	-

^{*a*} Reactions were carried out with **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2a** (0.6 mmol), I_2 (10 mol%) in 3 mL solvent for 2 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield based on the amount of benzhydrol.

^a College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Qiqihar University, Qiqihar 161006, China. E-mail: hfzgood1982@163.com, jialinachn@163.com

[†]Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Published on 02 October 2015. Downloaded by University of Lethbridge on 05/10/2015 11:18:45

DOI: 10.1039/C5OB01730K Journal Name

Thus, CH₃NO₂ was selected as an optimal reaction medium for subsequent condition optimization. To our satisfaction, product 3aa was obtained in excellent yield (92%) by increasing the temperature to 70 °C (Table 1, entry 6). However, further promoting the reaction temperature didn't improve the reaction performance (Table 1, entry 7). Finally, lower product yield of 65% was obtained on decreasing the I₂ loading from 10 to 5 mol% under similar conditions and no reaction took place in the absence of I₂ (Table 1, entries 8 and 9).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we carried out the investigation on the scope of the process with respect to benzylic alcohols (Table 2). We were pleased to find that the reaction worked efficiently for all benzylic alcohols substrates tested, with the desired products being obtained in excellent yields (up to 96%). The aromatic ring bearing electron-neutral groups with different substitution patterns were well tolerated (Table 2, entries 2-4). And the presence of F, Cl or Br at the para-position of the phenyl ring had no influence on the reactivity, affording the products in excellent yields (Table 2, entries 5-7). Indeed, it is noteworthy that a 4-methoxy group on aryl ring led to the best yield (Table 2, entry 8). Also, 2naphthyl substituted substrate presented a suitable substrate for the reaction (Table 2, entry 10).

Encouraged by the success of benzylic alcohols, we next conducted the reactions of various β -keto acids with *p*-methoxy substituted substrate 1h. The results are summarized in Table 3. Studies showed that the substituents in the phenyl ring of β -keto acids have a significant influence on the reactivity. The electron-rich groups still gave the desired product in excellent yields (Table 3, entries 4-5). However, an electron-withdrawing substituent such as fluorine was detrimental to the catalytic reaction with moderate reactivity (Table 3, entry 3). 2-naphthyl substituted 2g presented a suitable substrate for the reaction (Table 3, entry 7). Furthermore, aliphatic substrate β -keto acid **2g** worked well, leading to the desired decarboxylative substitution product in excellent yield (Table 3, entry 8).

In addition, more benzylic alcohols and β-keto acids were employed to investigate of the present method for extension of the substrate scope. The results are summarized in Scheme 2. To our delight, cyclohexanone carboxylic acid 2i, tetralone carboxylic acid 2i and 2-thienone carboxylic acid 2k gave the products 3ai, 3aj and 3ak in very high yields (88%, 92% and 93%, respectively). And allylic benzylic alcohol 1k underwent the reaction at room temperature to give the corresponding product 3kh in high yield (90%). However, in comparison with allylic benzylic alcohol, the reaction with propargylic-benzylic alcohol 11 gave lower yield. Unfortunately, the reaction failed when 2-cyclohexenol was employled in the system.

Based on the above experimental results, a possible reaction mechanism was proposed for this molecular iodine-catalyzed decarboxylative substitution, as depicted in Scheme 2. Benzhydrol 1a is subjected to iodine-catalyzed carbon-oxygen bond cleavage to provide benzhydryl carbocation A.¹⁰ Then, benzhydryl carbocation A could be attacked by benzoylacetic acid 2a to afford β -keto acid intermediate B via carbon-carbon bond-formation. Consequent ketone product 3aa was generated undergoes decarboxylation (Scheme 3).

Table 2 lodine-catalyzed decarboxylative substitution of benzoyla	С
etic acid 2a with benzhydryl alcohols 1a-j ^a	

Ar	OH OH ↓ Ph + Ph	$\begin{array}{c} O \\ O \\ O H \end{array} \xrightarrow{I_2 (10 \text{ mol}\%)} Ph^{-1} \\ CH_3NO_2, Ph^{-1} \end{array}$	Ar O Ph
	1a-j 2a	70 ºC, 2 h	3aa-ja
Entry	1a-j: Ar	3aa-ja	Yield (%) ^b
1	Ph OH	Ph O Ph O Ph Baa	92
2	Ph	Ph O Ph O Ph 3ba	94
3	Ph	Ph O Ph O Ph Bh	91
4	Ph	Ph O Ph O Ph 3da	94
5	Ph F	F 3ea	90
6	CI CI CI	CI Sta	93
7	Br OH	Br 3ga	90
8	MeO Ph OH	MeO Ph O Ph	96
9	MeO Ph OH	MeO Ph O Jia	90
10	Ph	Ph O Ph O Ph	93

^a Reactions were carried out with 1a-j (0.5 mmol), 2a (0.6 mmol), I₂ (10 mol%) in 3 mL CH₃NO₂ at 70 °C for 2 h.^b Isolated vield based on the amount of benzylic alcohol.

The results in Table 1 disclosed that the solvent showed a significant effect on the reaction. When CH₃CN, DCE and CH₃NO₂ were used as the solvent, the reaction proceeded smoothly (Table 1, entries 1, 2 and 3). And no decarboxylative substitution product was separated by use of THF or toluene as the solvent (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). It was found that CH₃NO₂ could offer the reaction in the highest yield (Table 1, entry 3).

Published on 02 October 2015. Downloaded by University of Lethbridge on 05/10/2015 11:18:45.

Journal Name

Table 3 Iodine-catalyzed decarboxylative substitution of benzhydrylalcohol **1h** with benzoylacetic acids **2a-h** a





^{*a*} Reactions were carried out with **1h** (0.5 mmol), **2a-h** (0.6 mmol), I_2 (10 mol%) in 3 mL CH₃NO₂ at 70 °C for 6 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield based on the amount of benzylic alcohol.



In summary, we have documented a molecular iodine-catalyzed decarboxylative substitution of β -keto acids with benzylic alcohols at 70 °C under atmospheric and moisture conditions that proceeded in good to excellent yields. The results have disclosed that the present catalytic system showed broad generality with regard to β -keto acids nucleophiles, in which benzylic alcohols containing electron-withdrawing and donating, and sterically demanding group also proved to be suitable substrates. Studies on mechanistic investigations as well as the extension of the protocol to other carbon nucleophiles are in progress.





DOI: 10.1039/C5OB01730K

ARTICLE

Scheme 2 Substrate scope of benzylic alcohols and β-keto acids.



Scheme 3 Proposed reaction mechanism.

Experimental

General Information

All reactions were carried out under air. Solvents were purified by standard procedure before use. Commercial solvents were used without further purification. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (40-63 μ m, 60Å). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on glass plates coated with silica gel 60 with F254 indicator. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker 600 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in Published on 02 October 2015. Downloaded by University of Lethbridge on 05/10/2015 11:18:45

parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to residual protium in the NMR solvent (CHCl₃ = δ 7.26). Carbon nuclear magnetic resonance (¹³C NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker 151 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts for carbon are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the carbon resonances of the solvent (CDCl₃ = δ 77.07). Data are represented as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet), coupling constants in Hertz (Hz), integration. Benzhydryl alcohols ¹¹ and β -keto acids ¹² were synthesized according to reported procedures. Electrospray ionization high-resolution mass spectra (ESI-HRMS) were recorded on a Bruke P-SIMS-Gly FT-ICR mass spectrometer.

Typical procedure for I₂-catalyzed decarboxylative substitution of β -keto acids with benzylic alcohols.

A 25 mL Schlenk flask, fitted with a reflux condenser, I₂ (0.05 mmol, 10 mol %), benzylic alcohol **1** (0.5 mmol), β -keto acid **2** (0.6 mmol) and 3 mL CH₃NO₂. The mixture was heated at 70 °C for 2-6 h, cooled down, and treated with aqueous Na₂S₂O₃, then extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After concentration under reduced pressure, the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂; Hexane/EtOAc, 20:1) to give propargylic alkylation product **3**.

1,3,3-triphenylpropan-1-one (3aa).¹³ White solid, 92% yield, m.p. = 93.2-94.2 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.28 (s, 4 H), 7.27 (s, 4 H), 7.18 (m, 2 H), 4.84 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.0, 144.2, 137.1, 133.1, 128.7, 128.6, 128.1, 127.9, 126.4, 46.0, 44.8.

1,3-diphenyl-3-(*p***-tolyl)propan-1-one (3ba).**¹³ White solid, 94% yield, m.p. = 95.5-96.5 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.27-7.26 (m, 4 H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 3 H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2 H), 4.80 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.73 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.29 (s, 3 H). 13C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3) δ 198.1, 144.5, 141.2, 137.2, 135.9, 133.1, 129.3, 128.6, 128.6, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8,126.4, 45.6, 44.9, 21.1.

1,3-diphenyl-3-(*m***-tolyl)propan-1-one (3ca).**¹⁴ White solid, 91% yield, m.p. = 72.5-73.2 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.93 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.26-7.25 (m, 4 H), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.06 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.78 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.72 (dd, *J* = 7.2, 2.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.29 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.1, 144.3, 144.2, 138.2, 137.2, 133.1, 128.8, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.1, 127.9, 127.3, 126.4, 124.8, 45.99, 44.81, 21.58.

1,3-diphenyl-3-(*o***-tolyl)propan-1-one (3da).**¹³ White solid, 94% yield, m.p. = 89.2-90.2 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.92 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.26-7.20 (m, 5 H), 7.17-7.10 (m, 4 H), 5.02 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.71 (qd, *J* = 17.2, 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.33 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.1, 143.8, 141.9, 137.2, 136.5, 133.1, 130.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.1, 128.1, 126.4, 126.3, 126.1, 45.1, 41.9, 20.0.

3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,3-diphenylpropan-1-one (3ea).¹³ White solid, 90% yield, m.p. = 95.3-97.2 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.58-7.55 (m, 1 H), 7.47-7.44 (m, 2 H), 7.29 -7.22 (m, 7 H), 6.98- 6.95 (m, 2 H), 4.83 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.76-3.69 (m, 2 H).¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.9, 161.4 (d, J = 244.7 Hz), 144.1, 139.9, 137.1, 133.2, 129.4, 128.7, 128.1 (d, J = 2.9 Hz), 127.8, 127.7, 126.6, 115.4 (d, J = 21.3 Hz), 45.2, 44.8.

3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-diphenylpropan-1-one (**3fa**).¹⁴ White solid, 93% yield, m.p. = 85.2-86.0 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.92 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.29-7.17 (m, 9H), 4.80 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (dd, J = 7.2, 4.4 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.7, 143.8, 142.7, 137.0, 133.3, 132.2, 129.3, 128.7, 128.7, 128.1, 127.8, 126.7, 45.3, 44.6.

3-(4-bromophenyl)-1,3-diphenylpropan-1-one (3ga).¹⁵ White solid, 90% yield, m.p. = 101.1-102.1 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.93 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.56 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.19 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 4.79 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.72-3.70 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.7, 143.7, 143.27, 136.97, 133.3, 131.7, 129.7, 128.7, 128.7, 128.1, 127.8, 126.7, 120.3, 45.4, 44.5.

3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,3-diphenylpropan-1-one(3ha).¹³ White solid, 96% yield, m.p. = 91.5-92.5 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.28-7.25 (m, 4 H), 7.19-7.17 (m, 3 H), 6.82-6.81 (m, 2 H), 4.79 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.76 (s, 3 H), 3.71 (dd, J = 7.3, 1.7 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.2, 158.1, 144.6, 137.2, 136.3, 133.1, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.1, 127.8, 126.4, 114.0, 55.3, 45.2, 45.0.

3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1,3-diphenylpropan-1-one (**3ia**).¹⁴ White solid, 90% yield, m.p. = 85.1-86.5 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.93 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.53 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.26 (s, 4H), 7.20-7.17 (m, 2H), 6.86 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.81 (s, 1 H), 6.71 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.74-3.71 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.0, 159.8, 145.9, 144.1, 137.1, 133.1, 129.6, 128.7, 128.1, 127.9, 126.5, 120.3, 114.2, 111.4, 55.2, 46.0, 44.7.

3-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1,3-diphenylpropan-1-one (3ja).¹⁴ White solid, 93% yield, m.p. = 125.1-126.1 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.95 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.76-7.71 (m, 4 H), 7.54 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.45-7.42 (m, 5H), 7.30-7.25 (m, 4 H), 7.17 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.00 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.88-3.79 (m, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.0, 144.0, 141.6, 137.1, 133.5, 133.1, 132.2, 128.6, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, 127.8, 127.6, 126.8, 126.5, 126.0, 125.8, 125.6, 46.0, 44.6.

3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-1-(*p***-tolyl)propan-1-one (3hb).** White solid, 84% yield, m.p. = 80.7-81.7 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.26-7.22 (m, 6 H), 7.18-7.15 (m, 3 H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 4.77 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 3.67 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 2.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.40 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.8, 158.0, 144.6, 143.9, 136.4, 134.7, 129.3, 128.8, 128.5, 128.2, 127.8, 126.3, 113.9, 55.2, 45.2, 44.8, 21.6. HRMS calc. for [M-H]⁻C₂₃H₂₁O₂: 329.1542, found: 329.1532.

1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropan-1one (3hc). White solid, 75% yield, m.p. = 75.5-76.5 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.95 (dd, J = 8.8, 5.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.28-7.23 (m, 2

DOI: 10.1039/C5OB01730K

Journal Name

Published on 02 October 2015. Downloaded by University of Lethbridge on 05/10/2015 11:18:45

Journal Name

H), 7.24 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.18-7.08 (m, 3 H), 7.10 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 4.75 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 3.66 (dd, J = 7.3, 1.3 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.6, 165.7 (d, J = 254.6 Hz), 158.1, 144.4, 136.1, 133.5, 130.7 (d, J = 9.3 Hz), 128.75, 128.59, 127.72, 126.38, 115.7 (d, J = 21.9 Hz), 113.98, 55.23, 45.25, 44.85. HRMS calc. for [M+H]⁺ C₂₂H₂₀FO₂: 335.1447, found: 335.1451.

1,3-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropan-1-one(3hd).¹⁴ White solid, 92% yield, m.p. = 88.4-89.2 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.92 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.27-7.24 (m, 4 H), 7.17-7.14 (m, 3 H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.76 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.85 (s, 3 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 3.64 (dd, J = 7.3, 2.0 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 196.7, 163.5, 158.0, 144.7, 136.4, 130.4, 130.2, 128.8, 128.5, 127.8, 126.3, 113.9, 113.7, 55.5, 55.2, 45.3.

1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylpropan-1one (3he). White solid, 90% yield, m.p. = 66.5-68.2 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.53 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (s, 1 H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.28- 7.24 (m, 4 H), 7.18-7.15 (m, 3 H), 7.09 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.81 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.77 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.82 (s, 3 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 3.69 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 1.4 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.0, 159.9, 158.1, 144.6, 138.5, 136.3, 129.6, 128.8, 128.6, 127.8), 126.3, 120.7, 119.7, 114.0, 112.3, 55.5, 55.2, 45.3, 45.1. HRMS calc. for [M+Na]⁺ C₂₃H₂₂NaO₃: 369.1467, found: 369.1458.

3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-1-(*o***-tolyl)propan-1-one** (**3hf**). White solid, 86% yield, m.p. = 75.2-76.2 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.53 (dd, *J* = 7.7, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.34 (td, *J* = 7.5, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.27-7.14 (m, 9 H), 6.81-6.79 (m, 2 H), 4.68 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.76 (s, 3 H), 3.60 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 202.8, 158.1, 144.3, 138.5, 137.9, 136.0, 131.8, 131.1, 128.8, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 126.4, 125.6, 114.0, 55.3, 48.1, 45.8, 20.7. HRMS calc. for [M+Na]⁺ C₂₃H₂₂NaO₂: 353.1517, found: 353.1524.

3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)-3-phenylpropan-1one (3hg).¹⁴ White solid, 91% yield, m.p. = 111.3-112.0 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.45 (s, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.87 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.59 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.28 (s, 4 H), 7.21 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.17 (s, 1 H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 4.84 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.84 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.1, 158.1, 144.6, 136.3, 135.6, 134.5, 132.5, 129.7, 129.6, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 127.8, 126.8, 126.4, 123.9, 114.0, 55.23, 45.36, 45.05.

4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-phenylbutan-2-one (3hh).^{5a} White solid, 87% yield, m.p. = 58.0-59.0 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27-7.24 (m, 2 H), 7.20 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.18-7.11 (m, 3 H), 6.82-6.80(m, 2 H), 4.53 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 3.14 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.06 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 207.1, 158.1, 144.3, 136.0, 128.7, 128.6, 127.6, 126.4, 114.0, 55.2, 49.9, 45.3, 30.7.

2-benzhydrylcyclohexanone (3ai).^{5a} White solid, 88% yield, m.p. = 104.0-105.0 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28-7.20 (m, 8 H), 7.16-7.10 (m, 2 H), 4.31 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.35 (td, *J* = 10.5, 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.42-2.34 (m, 2 H), 2.04-2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.85-1.82 (m, 2 H), 1.78-1.73(m, 1 H), 1.68-1.62 (m, 1 H), 1.43-1.36 (m, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 211.8, 143.4, 142.6, 128.1, 127.9, 127.9, 127.1, 125.9, 125.6, 54.4, 50.5, 42.1, 33.0, 28.7, 24.1.

2-benzhydryl-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1(2H)-one (3aj).^{5a} White solid, 92% yield, m.p. = 129.7-130.7 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.91 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.45 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.29-7.26 (m, 9 H), 7.22 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.19-7.16 (m, 2 H), 4.69-4.67 (m, 1 H), 3.50-3.46 (m, 1 H), 3.05-2.94 (m, 2 H), 2.17-2.14(m, 1 H), 1.90-1.84(m, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.5, 142.9, 132.7, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.5, 127.2, 126.2, 125.9, 125.8, 50.9, 49.5, 27.4, 26.4.

3,3-diphenyl-1-(thiophen-2-yl)propan-1-one (3ak).^{5a} White solid, 93% yield, m.p. = 148.0-149.0 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.70 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.59 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (dd, J = 8.1, 4.0 Hz, 8 H), 7.18-7.15 (m, 2 H), 7.10-7.08 (m, 1 H), 4.81 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.65 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.1 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 190.4, 143.4, 133.2, 131.3, 128.1, 127.6, 127.4, 126.0, 45.6, 45.0.

(*E*)-4,6-diphenylhex-5-en-2-one (3kh).^{5a} Colorless oil, 90% yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32-7.30 (m, 4 H), 7.28-7.24 (m, 4 H), 7.23-7.17 (m, 2 H), 6.38 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.32 (ddd, *J* = 15.9, 6.8, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.08 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.98-2.90 (m, 2 H), 2.10 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.4, 142.5, 136.6, 131.9, 129.5, 128.2, 128.0, 127.2, 126.8, 126.2, 125.8, 48.9, 43.5, 30.3.

4,6-diphenylhex-5-yn-2-one (31h).^{5a} Yellow oil, 42% yield. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (d, J = 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.43-7.40 (m, 2 H), 7.35-7.33 (m, 2 H), 7.29-7.27 (m, 3H), 7.26-7.24 (m, 1 H), 4.41 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.07 (dd, J = 16.4, 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.89 (dd, J = 16.4, 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.17 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 205.3, 131.2, 128.2, 127.7, 127.5, 127.0, 126.6, 89.9, 82.8, 51.4, 33.1, 30.2.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 21402102) and the Heilongjiang Province Science Foundation for Youths (no. QC2011C057). Financial support from the Program Young Teachers Scientific Research in Qiqihar University (no. 2014k-M02) is also gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- (a) B. M. Trost and D. L. Van Vranken, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, 96, 395; (b) R. C. Larock, *Comprehensive Organic Transforma- tions*, VCH: New York, 1999; (c) K. C. Nicolaou, P. G. Bulger and D. Sarlah, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 4442.
- 2 (a) S. Nakamura, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 394; (b) Z. L. Wang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2013, 355, 2745; (c) L. Bernardi, M. Fochi, M. C. Franchini and A. Ricci, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 2911; (d) Y. Pan and C. H. Tan, Synthesis, 2011, 2044; (e) J. D. Weaver, A. Recio III, A. J. Grenning and J. A. Tunge, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1846; (f) J. Lubkoll and H. Wennemers, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 6841; (g) A. Sharma, N. Sharma, R. Kumar, A. Shard and A. K. Sinha, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 3283.

ARTICLE

Published on 02 October 2015. Downloaded by University of Lethbridge on 05/10/2015 11:18:45

- 3 (a) J. Zuo, Y. H. Liao, X. M. Zhang and W. C. Yuan, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 11325; (b) F. L. Zhu, Y. H. Wang, D. Y. Zhang, X. H. Hu, S. Chen, C. J. Hou, J. Xu and X. P. Hu, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 3231.
- 4 (a) K. Mertins, I. Iovel, J. Kischel, A. Zapf and M. Beller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 238; (b) I. Iovel, K. Mertins, J. Kischel, A. Zapf and M. Beller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 3913; (c) K. Mertins, I. Iovel, J. Kischel, A. Zapf and M. Beller, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2006, 348, 691.
- 5 (a) C. F. Yang, C. Shen, H. H. Li and S. K. Tian, *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 2012, 57, 2377; (b) I. Bauer and H. J. Knölker, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, 115, 3170.
- 6 (a) S. Rozen, A. Hagooly and R. Harduf, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 7464; (b) J. Alliot, E. Gravel, F. Pillon, D. A. Buisson, M. Nicolasb and E. Doris, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 8111.
- 7 J. Zhang, J. W. Jiang, Y. L. Li, Y. Zhao and X. B. Wan, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 3222.
- 8 (a) T. Hideo and I. Shinpei, *Synlett.*, 2006, 17, 2159; (b) K. Fujiki, N. Tanifuji, Y. Sasaki and T. Yokoyama, *Synthesis*, 2002, 34, 343; (c) J. S. Yadav, B. V. Subba Reddy, G. Sabitha and G. S. K. K. Reddy, *Synthesis*, 2000, 32, 1532.
- 9 For I₂ catalyzed carbon-carbon bond formation, see examples: (a) H.Y. Huang, H. R. Wu, F. Wei, D. Wang and L. Liu, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 3702; (b) M. M. Naik, D. P. Kamat, S. G. Tilve and V. P. Kamat, Tetrahedron, 2014, 70, 5221; (c) X. F. Lin, X. X. Dai, Z. J. Mao and Y. G. Wang, Tetrahedron, 2009, 65, 9233; (d) Z. X. Li, Z. Duan, H. Q. Wang, R. Q. Tian, Q. R. Zhu and Y. J. Wu, Synlett., 2008, 19, 2535; (e) W. D. Rao, A. H. L. Tay, P. J. Goh, J. M. L. Choy, J. K. Ke and P. W. H. Chan, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 122; (f) P. Srihari, D. C. Bhunia, P. Sreedhar and J. S. Yadav, Synlett., 2008, 19, 1045; (g) G. J. Sun and Z. Y. Wang, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 4929; (h) P. Srihari, D. C. Bhunia, P. Sreedhar, S. S. Mandal, J. S. S. Reddy and J. S. Yadav, Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48, 8120; (i) Z. Liu, L. Liu, Z. H. Shafiq, Y. C. Wu, D. Wang and Y. J. Chen, Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48, 3963.
- 10 (a) H. Mary, B. Kempf and A. R. Ofial, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2003, 36, 66; (b) E. Emer, R. Sinisi, M. G. Capdevila, D. Petruzziello, F. D. Vincentiis and P. G. Cozzi, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, 647.
- 11 H. H. Li, D. J. Dong, Y. H. Jin and S. K. Tian, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 9501.
- 12 D. A. Evans and D. Seidel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11583.
- 13 R. B. Bedford, M. Betham, J. P. H. Charmant, M. F. Haddow, A. G. Orpen and L. T. Pilarski, *Organometallics* 2007, 26, 6346.
- 14 G. H. Chen, J. W. Xing, P. Cao and J. Liao, *Tetrahedron* 2012, 68, 5908.
- 15 L. Dong, Y. J. Xu, L. Z. Gong, A. Q. Mi and Y. Z. Jiang, Synthesis 2004, 1057.