View Article Online View Journal

MedChemComm

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: A. Mahindra, K. K. Sharma, D. Rathore, S. Khan, M. Jacobs and R. Jain, *Med. Chem. Commun.*, 2014, DOI: 10.1039/C4MD00041B.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/medchemcomm

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Synthesis and Antimicrobial Activities of His(2-aryl)-Arg and Trp-His(2-aryl) Classes of Dipeptidomimetics

Amit Mahindra,^a Krishna K. Sharma,^a Dinesh Rathore,^a Shabana. I. Khan,^b Melissa R. Jacob,^b and Rahul ₅ Jain^{*a}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

In this communication, we report the design, synthesis and in vitro antimicrobial activity of ultra short peptidomimetics. ¹⁰ Besides producing promising antibacterial activities against *Staphylococcus aureus* and Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA), the dipeptidomimetics exhibited high antifungal activity against *C. neoformans* with IC₅₀ values in the range of 0.16-19 µg/mL. The most potent analogs exhibited 4-fold ¹⁵ higher activity than the currently used drug amphotericin B,

with no apparent cytotoxicity in a panel of mammalian cell lines.

The invasive antimicrobial infections are devastating, and often classified as opportunistic or primary.¹ Opportunistic infections

- ²⁰ develop mainly in the immunocompromised hosts whereas primary infections can develop in the immunocompetent hosts.² The causes of immunocompromisation include AIDS, azotemia, diabetes mellitus, bronchiectasis, emphysema, TB, lymphoma, leukemia, other hematologic cancers, burns, and therapy with ²⁵ corticosteroids or immunosuppressants.
- Many fungi are opportunistic, which are usually not pathogenic except in an immunocompromised host.³ Despite state-of-the-art antifungal therapy, the mortality rates for invasive infections with the three most common species of human fungal pathogens are —
- ³⁰ *Candida albicans* (20-40%),⁴ *Aspergillus fumigatus* (50-90%),⁵ and *Cryptococcus neoformans* (20-70%).⁶ *C. neoformans* is one of the leading causes of opportunistic fungal infections in immunocompromised individuals worldwide.⁷ *Cryptococcus* is responsible for over 625,000 deaths annually within a growing
- ³⁵ cohort of susceptible individuals, particularly the AIDS population;⁸ therefore, the need for new agents to target its growing threat is vital.

The few available antimycotic agents target a limited repertoire of fungal-specific cell wall or membrane components, and have high

- ⁴⁰ toxicity, and poor efficacy.⁹ Drugs for the systemic antifungal treatment include, amphotericin B (and its lipid formulations), various azole derivatives, echinocandins, and flucytosine.¹⁰ Amphotericin B, an effective but relatively toxic drug, has long been the mainstay of antifungal therapy for invasive and serious
- ⁴⁵ mycoses.¹¹ However, newer potent and less toxic triazoles and echinocandins are now often recommended as first-line drugs for

many invasive fungal infections.¹² These drugs have markedly changed the approach to antifungal therapy, sometimes even allowing oral treatment of chronic mycoses.

⁵⁰ Unfortunately, the present repertoire of antifungal agents is limited, particularly in comparison to the number of agents available for bacterial infections.¹³ In fact, it took 30 years for the newest class of antifungal drugs, the echinocandins, to progress from bench-to-bedside.¹⁴ Furthermore, it is sobering to consider

- ⁵⁵ that the gold standard therapy for cryptococcal meningitis is based on medications (amphotericin B and flucytosine) that were discovered nearly 50 years ago. Although no "off-the-shelf" antifungal drugs have emerged from "repurposing" studies, the antifungal scaffolds with known pharmacological properties 60 could serve as useful leads for further development.¹⁵
- Over the past several years, peptides form the basis for a vast majority of anti-infective therapies in current clinical use.¹⁶ For example, broad-spectrum antimicrobial peptide pexiganan (a 22-amino acid membrane disruptor analog of the *Xenopus* peptide ⁶⁵ magainin), used for the topical treatment of diabetic foot ulcers has reached phase III in clinical trial.¹⁷ A synthetic mimic of indolicidin, named omiganan has reached in clinical trial phase II.¹⁸ Novexatin, the lead product of NovaBiotics, UK, a cyclic and highly cationic (arginine-rich) peptide based on human α and β -defensins (among others), targets stubborn fungal infections in toenails.¹⁹ Other well-known peptides in various stages of clinical trials includes, OP-145, NVB302 and arenicin.²⁰ Some of the challenges facing these peptide-based drugs are poor metabolic stability, oral bioavailability, membrane permeability and high ⁷⁵ production costs.

One possible answer for these problems is to design peptides of shorter length, while keeping the essential pharmacophore intact. In this direction, Svendsen and co-workers have synthesized a range of peptides of variable chain length.²¹ More recently, they disclosed a short synthetic peptidomimetic, LTX-109 exhibiting potent antimicrobial activity.²²⁻²³ In the recent past, we have reported the dipeptides having the motifs His-Arg and Trp-His as potent antimicrobial agents.²⁴⁻²⁵ Keeping these facts in mind, we sed developed a series of dipeptides without increasing the length of the lead peptide. In this regard, we kept the arginine and

tryptophan residues intact in the respective dipeptide motif, and modified the histidine residue by placing a substitution at the C-2 position of the imidazole ring. It was reasoned that aryl substitution at the C-2 would provide the required bulk and s hydrophobicity for membrane insertion without increasing the overall sequence length. The general scaffolds of the designed peptides are shown in Figure 1. A wide variety of lipophilic aryl substituents at the C-2 position of L-histidine were explored. To observe the effect of *C*-terminus capping four series of peptides were synthesized having NHBzl group and OMe group at the *C*terminus.





Published on 21 March 2014. Downloaded by National Chung Hsing University on 09/04/2014 21:35:10.

Starting materials N- α -Boc-2-aryl-L-histidines **3a-g** required for 15 the synthesis of series 1-4 peptides, were obtained regioselectively from N- α -trifluoroacetyl-L-histidine methyl ester by a recently developed homolytic free radical reaction using arylboronic acids.²⁶ The synthesis of designed peptides was accomplished using a recently developed environmentally benign 20 microwave (MW) assisted peptide synthesis protocol under solvent-free conditions.²⁷⁻²⁹ This method provides a new paradigm in solvent-free peptide synthesis assisted by microwave irradiation, using DIC-HONB as the coupling reagents combination. Key features of this original protocol are solvent-25 free synthesis, very short reaction time and racemization-free synthesis in high purity. To confirm the racemization predicament, Boc-L-His-Arg-OMe, Boc-D-His-Arg-OMe, and Boc-D,L-His-Arg-OMe were synthesized under solvent-free MW irradiation. As evident from the HPLC chromatograms, purified





Reagents and conditions: (i) $C_6H_5CH_2NH_2$, CDI, H_2O , 25 °C; (ii) DIEA, Boc-His(2-aryl)-OH (3), DIC, HONB, 15 min, 60 °C, MW; (iii) 3N HCI, rt, 15 min.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of His(2-aryl)-Arg-NHBzl (5a-g, series 1)

The synthesis of L-arginine benzylamide (2) was achieved by

coupling of L-arginine (1) with benzylamine using 1,1'-³⁵ carbonyldiimidazole (CDI) in water as described earlier.³⁰ L-Arginine benzylamide (2) upon solvent-free reaction with Boc-L-His(2-Ar)-OH (**3a-g**) using a coupling reagent combination of DIC, DIEA and HONB at 60 °C for 15 min under MW irradiation gave protected dipeptides **4a-g**. The removal of Boc group using ⁴⁰ aqueous 3N HCl at ambient temperature for 15 min cleanly afforded the designed dipeptides **5a-g** (Scheme 1).

L-Arginine methyl ester dihydrochloride (6) required for the synthesis of **8a-g** was obtained by the reaction of L-arginine (1) with anhydrous HCl gas at 4 °C in methanol. Compound 6 was ⁴⁵ first neutralized *in situ* using DIEA as a base and then subjected to coupling with Boc-His(2-Ar)-OH using a coupling reagents combination of DIC, and HONB at 60 °C for 15 min under MW irradiation to afford dipeptides **7a-g**. The removal of Boc group as described above afforded the designed dipeptides **8a-g** ⁵⁰ (Scheme 2).



Reagents and conditions: (i) MeOH, HCl gas, 4 °C, 2h, DIEA, 15 min; (ii) Boc-His(2-aryl)-OH (3), DIC, HONB, 15 min, 60 °C, MW; (iii) 3N HCl, rt, 15 min.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of His(2-aryl)-Arg-OMe (8a-g, series 2)

N-α-Boc-2-aryl-L-histidines **3a-g** upon condensation reaction with benzylamine in the presence of DIC and HONB afforded *N*-⁵⁵ α-Boc-2-aryl-L-histidine benzylamides **(9a-g)**. Compounds **9a-g**, upon acidolysis afforded the salts of 2-aryl-L-histidine benzylamide **10a-g**, which were neutralized *in situ* with DIEA and then coupled with Boc-Trp-OH using DIC and HONB at 60 ^oC for 15 min under MW irradiation to give protected dipeptides **60 11a-g**. The latter compounds **11a-g** upon acidolysis afforded

desired peptides **12a-g** (Scheme 3).



Reagents and conditions: (i) C₆H₅CH₂NH₂, DIC, HONB, DMF, 60 °C, 30 min; (ii) 3N HCl, rt, 15 min, DIEA, 15 min; (iii) Boc-Trp-OH, DIC, HONB, 60 °C, 15 min, MW; (iv) 3N HCl, rt, 15 min.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Trp-His(2-aryl)-NHBzl (12a-g, series 3)

In similar fashion, 2-aryl-L-histidine methyl ester 2HCl (**14a-g**) were obtained by passing HCl gas to a mixture of 2-aryl-L-histidine (**13a-g**) in methanol at 4 °C for 2h followed by *in situ* neutralization using DIEA. The coupling of latter compounds 5 with Boc-Trp-OH using DIC, and HONB at 60 °C for 15 min under MW irradiation to give protected dipeptides **15a-g**, which upon acidolysis produced **16a-g** (Scheme 4).



Reagents and conditions: (i) HCl gas, MeOH, 4 °C, 2h, DIEA, 15 min; (ii) Boc-Trp-OH, DIC, HONB, 60 °C, 15 min, MW; (iii) 3N HCl, rt, 15 min.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Trp-His(2-aryl)-OMe (16a-g, series 4)

¹⁰ Both free and Boc-protected peptides (4-5, 7-8, 11-12 and 15-16) were evaluated for in vitro growth inhibition activity against fungal (*Candida albicans, C. glabrata, C. krusei, Aspergillus fumigatus* and *C. neoformans*) and bacterial (*Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus and* methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*) strains ¹⁵ (Tables 1-3). All the peptides were found to be inactive against

Candida species, *A. fumigatus* and *E. coli* (results not included). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was measured using a protocol suggested by the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (previously known as the National Committee ²⁰ for Clinical Laboratory Standards, NCCLS).³¹ Amphotericin B and ciprofloxacin served as positive controls in these studies.³² The results of antifungal evaluation of His(2-aryl)-Arg peptides (Series 1 and 2) against *C. neoformans* are shown in Table 1. In general, we observed that the peptides with an NHBzl group at ²⁵ the *C*-terminus are more potent compared to their counterparts

- having a methyl ester linkage. For example, peptide **5e** (Ar = 4*tert*-butylphenyl, R₁= NHBzl) displayed a much lower IC₅₀ value of 0.16 μ g/mL as compared to peptide **8e** (Ar = 4-*tert*butylphenyl, R₁= OMe) exhibiting IC₅₀ value of 10.16 μ g/mL.
- ³⁰ This difference in potency appears to be a consequence of enhanced hydrophobicity imparted by the NHBzl group. We also examined the effect of substitution at the C-2 position of Lhistidine residue. It is noteworthy that peptides, which contained bulky substituents like 4-*tert*-butylphenyl, biphenyl and naphthyl
- ³⁵ groups (**5e**, **5f**, **5g**) exhibited high activity against *C. neoformans* with IC₅₀ values in the range of 0.16-0.62 μ g/mL. The most potent peptide **5e**, which contained 4-*tert*-butylphenyl at the C-2 position of imidazole of histidine and NHBzl group at the *C*-terminus exhibited IC₅₀, MIC, MFC values of 0.16 and 0.31 40 μ g/mL, respectively. The activity of **5e** is >4-fold higher than amphotericin B (IC₅₀ = 0.69 μ g/mL, MIC = 1.25 μ g/mL).

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Table 1. Anti-cryptococcal activity of dipeptides (Series 1 and 2)

Peptide	Ar	R_1	R_2	C. neoformans ^a			Cytotoxicity	Selectivity Inde	
				IC ₅₀ ^a	MIC ^b	MFC ^c	CTX (µg/mL)	C. neoformans	
4a	Н	NHBzl	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-	
4b	C ₆ H ₅	NHBzl	Boc	2.56	5	5	>10	>3.9	
4c	$4-CH_3-C_6H_4$	NHBzl	Boc	6.04	10	10	>10	>1.7	
4d	4-OCH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	NHBzl	Boc	5.77	10	10	>10	>1.7	
4e	4-C(CH ₃) ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	NHBzl	Boc	2.42	2.50	2.50	>10	>4.1	
4f	4-C ₆ H ₅ -C ₆ H ₄	NHBzl	Boc	1.09	2.5	2.5	>10	>9.2	
4g	1-Naphthyl	NHBzl	Boc	2.79	5	5	>10	>3.6	
5a	Н	NHBzl	Н	NA	NA	NA	>10	_	
5b	C ₆ H ₅	NHBzl	Н	1.22	2.5	2.5	>10	>8.2	
5c	4-CH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	NHBzl	Н	2.11	2.50	2.50	>10	>4.7	
5d	$4-OCH_3-C_6H_4$	NHBzl	Н	NA	NA	NA	>10	-	
5e	4-C(CH ₃) ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	NHBzl	Н	0.16	0.31	0.31	>10	>62.5	
5f	$4 - C_6 H_5 - C_6 H_4$	NHBzl	Н	0.2	0.31	0.31	>10	>50	
5g	1-Naphthyl	NHBzl	Н	0.62	1.25	1.25	>10	>16.1	
7a	Н	OMe	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	_	
7b	C ₆ H ₅	OMe	Boc	16.58	NA	NA	>10	-	
7c	4-CH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	OMe	Boc	16.94	NA	NA	>10	_	
7d	$4-OCH_3-C_6H_4$	OMe	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-	
7e	4-C(CH ₃) ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	OMe	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-	
7f	$4 - C_6 H_5 - C_6 H_4$	OMe	Boc	4.91	10	10	>10	>2.0	
7g	1-Naphthyl	OMe	Boc	1.25	2.5	2.5	>10	>8	
8a	Н	OMe	Н	NA	NA	NA	>10	_	
8b	C ₆ H ₅	OMe	Н	10.56	NA	NA	>10	_	
8c	$4-CH_3-C_6H_4$	OMe	Н	NA	NA	NA	>10	_	
8d	4-OCH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	OMe	Н	NA	NA	NA	>10	_	
8e	4-C(CH ₃) ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	OMe	Н	10.16	20	20	>10	_	
8f	$4-C_6H_5-C_6H_4$	OMe	Н	5.49	10	10	>10	>1.8	
8g	1-Naphthyl	OMe	Н	1.38	2.5	2.5	>10	>7.3	
Amphote	ricin B			0.69	1.25	1.25			

 a IC₅₀ is the concentration (µg/mL) that affords 50% inhibition of growth; b MIC (Minimum Inhibitory Concentration) is the lowest test concentration (µg/mL) that allows no detectable growth; c MFC (Minimum Fungicidal Concentration) is the lowest test concentration (µg/mL) that kills the organism; d'Highest tested concentration was 20 µg/mL; c highest tested concentration was 10 µg/mL; c selectivity index was calculated as CTX divided by IC₅₀ values 5 for *C. neoformans.* For cytotoxicity experiments, peptides the CTX value was set to >10 µg/mL, in order to calculate a selectivity index. NA, not active.

The relatively less bulky peptide **5f** (Ar = biphenyl, R₁= NHBzl) showed the second highest antifungal potency against *Cryptococcus* with IC₅₀ value of 0.20 µg/mL, and MIC and MFC value of 0.31 µg/mL. While, peptide **5g** (Ar = naphthyl, R₁= ¹⁰ NHBzl) showed activity (IC₅₀ = 0.62 µg/mL, MIC = 1.25 µg/mL, MFC = 1.25 µg/mL) comparable to that of amphotericin B. Other

peptides of the series, **5b** (Ar = phenyl, R_1 = NHBzl) and **5c** (Ar = tolyl, R_1 = NHBzl) showed good activity with IC₅₀ values of 2.20 and 1.22 µg/mL, respectively. Surprisingly, peptide **5d** (Ar =

- ¹⁵ anisolyl, R_1 = NHBzl) was inactive against *C. neoformans*. The remaining peptides **4b-4g**, **7b-7c**, **7f-7g**, **8b** and **8e-8g** also showed promising activity with IC_{50s} in the range of 1-17 µg/mL. The results for the Trp-His(2-aryl) peptides (Series 3 and 4) are shown in Table 2. These peptides in general are less active
- ²⁰ against *C. neoformans* as compared to His(2-aryl)-Arg class of peptides. A possible explanation for this observation is significant reduction in cationicity of peptides due to the incorporation of Trp residue. In nutshell, the most potent peptides from these series have exhibited activity against *C. neoformans* with IC₅₀ ²⁵ values in the range of 0.54-19 µg/mL.

Apart from promising activities against *C. neoformans*, the peptides also showed encouraging activity against *S. aureus* and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) as shown in Table 3. Analogs **4e**, **4f** and **12f** produced promising activity against *S.* ³⁰ *aureus* with IC₅₀ values of 5.95, 7.30 and 2.60 µg/mL, respectively. At the same time, analogs **12f** and **4e** were also effective against MRSA with IC₅₀ values of 4.31 and 9.32 µg/mL,

All synthesized peptides were also evaluated for cytotoxicity in a ³⁵ panel of mammalian cell lines to determine their safety profile. The *in vitro* cytotoxicity was determined against four human cancer cell lines (SK-MEL, KB, BT-549, and SK-OV-3) and two noncancerous mammalian cells (VERO and LLC-PK₁) by neutral red uptake assay.³³ The results demonstrated that the synthesized ⁴⁰ peptides were non-toxic up to a concentration of 10 µg/mL, which is in dicting of a which a substraint index for a

which is indicative of a higher selectivity index for some compounds and their safety against mammalian cells.

Medicinal Chemistry Communications Accepted Manu

respectively.

Communications Accepted Manu

Medicinal Chemisti

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Peptide	Ar	R_1	R_2	C. neoforman	<i>is</i> ^d		Cytotoxicity ^e	Selectivity Index ^f
				IC ₅₀ ^a	MIC ^b	MFC ^c	CTX (µg/mL)	C. neoformans
11a	Н	NHBzl	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	_
11b	C ₆ H ₅	NHBzl	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
11c	4-CH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	NHBzl	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
11d	4-OCH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	NHBzl	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
11e	4-C(CH ₃) ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	NHBzl	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
11f	$4 - C_6 H_5 - C_6 H_4$	NHBzl	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
11g	1-Naphthyl	NHBzl	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
12a	Н	NHBzl	Н	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
12b	C ₆ H ₅	NHBzl	Н	2.04	5	5	>10	>4.9
12c	$4-CH_3-C_6H_4$	NHBzl	Н	7.84	20	NT	>10	>1.3
12d	4-OCH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	NHBzl	Н	10.82	10	NA	>10	-
12e	4-C(CH ₃) ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	NHBzl	Н	4.53	10	10	>10	>2.21
12f	4-C ₆ H ₅ -C ₆ H ₄	NHBzl	Н	0.54	1.25	1.25	>10	>18.5
12g	1-Naphthyl	NHBzl	Н	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
15a	Н	OMe	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
15b	C ₆ H ₅	OMe	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
15c	4-CH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	OMe	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
15d	4-OCH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	OMe	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
15e	4-C(CH ₃) ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	OMe	Boc	18.68	NA	NA	>10	-
15f	4-C ₆ H ₅ -C ₆ H ₄	OMe	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
15g	1-Naphthyl	OMe	Boc	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
16a	Н	OMe	Н	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
16b	C ₆ H ₅	OMe	Н	7.97	20	20	>10	>1.3
16c	4-CH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	OMe	Н	11.88	NA	NA	>10	-
16d	4-OCH ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	OMe	Н	NA	NA	NA	>10	-
16e	4-C(CH ₃) ₃ -C ₆ H ₄	OMe	Н	18.84	NA	NA	>10	-
16f	$4-C_{6}H_{5}-C_{6}H_{4}$	OMe	Н	3.82	10	20	>10	>2.6
16g	1-Naphthyl	OMe	Н	17.3	NA	NA	>10	_
Amphote	ricin B			0.69	1.25	1.25		

 a IC₅₀ is the concentration (µg/mL) that affords 50% inhibition of growth; b MIC (Minimum Inhibitory Concentration) is the lowest test concentration (µg/mL) that allows no detectable growth; c MFC (Minimum Fungicidal Concentration) is the lowest test concentration (µg/mL) that kills 100% of the organism; d Highest tested concentration was 20 µg/mL; e Highest tested concentration was 10 µg/mL; f selectivity index was calculated as CTX divided by 5 IC₅₀ values for *C. neoformans.* For cytotoxicity experiments, peptides the CTX value was set to >10 µg/mL, in order to calculate a selectivity index. NA, not active. NT, not tested.

Table 3. A	Antibacterial	activity of	peptides	against S.	aureus and MRSA

Peptide	S. aureus			Methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA)			
	IC ₅₀	MIC	MBC ^a	IC ₅₀	MIC	MBC ^a	
4e	5.95	10	NA	9.32	20	NA	
5e	15.86	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
4f	7.30	10	20	8.97	20	NA	
5f	12.84	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
4g	16.37	20	20	13.20	20	NA	
15f	18.98	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
15e	15.06	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
12f	2.60	5	20	4.31	10	20	
12e	11.65	20	NA	NA	NA	NA	
11e	10.15	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Cipro	0.08	0.25	0.50	0.09	0.25	0.50	

^{*a*} MBC (Minimum Bactericidal Concentration) is the lowest test concentration (µg/mL) that kills 100% of the organism. NA, not active.

¹⁰ To measure the hydrophobicity of the synthesized peptides (series 1 and 2) ClogP values were measured using ACD labs 12 software and compiled in Table 4. As expected, dipeptides having an ester linkage at C-terminus were found to be less hydrophobic than their amidated counterparts. From Table 4, we can clearly ¹⁵ understand the hydrophobic nature of various aryl substituents and their effect on the activity against *C. neoformans*. From the values it is indicated that the high hydrophobic character was imparted by the 4-*tert* butylphenyl among all the incorporated aryl groups at the C-2 position of L-histidine. From the results, it can be concluded that among the biaryl groups (biphenyl and naphthyl), biphenyl imparts more hydrophobicity as compared to s naphthyl group. In conclusion, peptide **5e** being most hydrophobic in nature displays highest activity with IC₅₀ value of 0.16 µg/mL against *C. neoformans* and the results are in good correlation.

10 Table 4. Correlation of hydrophobicity (ClogP) with anticryptococcal activity

Peptide	ClogP	C. neoformans IC ₅₀ (µg/mL)
5a	-1.36	NA
5b	0.68	1.22
5c	1.14	2.11
5d	0.85	NA
5e	2.37	0.16
5f	2.33	0.2
5g	1.92	0.62
8a	-2.51	NA
8b	-0.47	10.56
8c	-0.01	NA
8d	-0.30	NA
8e	1.22	10.16
8f	1.18	5.49
8g	0.76	1.38

Conclusions

In summary we have prepared four series of dipeptides that were ¹⁵ based on the pharmacophore model of short antimicrobial peptides. The peptides exhibited potent antifungal activity against *C. neoformans*. The results also demonstrated that the peptides of His(2-aryl)-Arg class are more potent compared to Trp-His(2aryl) class owing to a delicate balance required between ²⁰ hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity in the peptidic structure. A combination of dual hydrophobic-hydrophilic amino acid (His), highly hydrophilic Arg residue and placement of NHBzl group at the *C*-terminus appeared to be ideal for strong antimicrobial activity.

25 Acknowledgment

Amit Mahindra thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi for the award of Senior Research Fellowship. The authors wish to thank Ms. Marsha Wright and Mr John Trott for biological testing. This work was supported by

³⁰ the NIH, NIAID, Division of AIDS, Grant No. AI 27094 (antifungal) and the USDA Agricultural Research Service Specific Cooperative Agreement No. 58-6408-1-603 (antibacterial).

Notes and references

- ³⁵ ^a*Department of Medicinal Chemistry, National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Sector 67, S. A. S. Nagar, Punjab 160 062, India Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 (172) 2292024; Fax: +91 (172) 2214692; E-mail: rahuljain@niper.ac.in
- ^bNational Center for Natural Products Research, School of Pharmacy, 40 The University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA

110

95

100

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Experimental procedures, spectral data, HPLC chromatogarms, and details of biological assay]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- D. M. Dixon, M. M. McNeil, M. L. Cohen, B. G. Gellin and J. R. La Montagne, *Public Health Rep.*, 1996, **111**, 226-235.
 - 2. M. D. Richardson, J. Antimicrob. Chemother., 1991, 28, 1-11.
- R. S. Geha, L. D. Notarangelo, J.-L. Casanova, H. Chapel, M. E. Conley, A. Fischer, L. HammarstrÖm, S. Nonoyama, H. D. Ochs and J. M. Puck, *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.*, 2007, 120, 776-794.
- C.-C. Lai, C.-K. Tan, Y.-T. Huang, P.-L. Shao and P.-R. Hsueh, *J. Infect. Chemother.*, 2008, 14, 77-85.
- J.-P. Latgé, *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, 1999, **12**, 310-350.
- B. J. Park, K. A. Wannemuehler, B. J. Marston, N. Govender, P. G. Pappas and T. M. Chiller, *AIDS*, 2009, 23, 525-530.
- 7. W. G. Powderly, Clin. Infect. Dis., 1993, 17, 837-842.
- J. N. Jarvis, A. Boulle, A. Loyse, T. Bicanic, K. Rebe, A. Williams, T. S. Harrison and G. Meintjes, *AIDS*, 2009, 23, 1182-1183.
- M. Cuenca-Estrella, A. Gomez-Lopez, E. Mellado, M. J. Buitrago, A. Monzon and J. L. Rodriguez-Tudela, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2006, 50, 917-921.
- E. M. Bailey, D. J. Krakovsky and M. J. Rybak, *Pharmacother. J. Hum. Pharmacol. Drug Ther.*, 1990, 10, 146-153.
- 11. A. Pathak, F. D. Pien and L. Carvalho, *Clin. Infect. Dis.*, 1998, **26**, 334-338.
- 12. A. Espinel-Ingroff, J. Clin. Microbiol., 1998, 36, 2950-2956.
- 13. H. Jenssen, P. Hamill and R. E. W. Hancock, *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, 2006, **19**, 491-511.
- 14. D. W. Denning, J. Antimicrob. Chemother., 2002, 49, 889-891.
- 15. A. Butts and D. J. Krysan, *PLoS Pathog.*, 2012, **8**, e1002870.
- D. J. Craik, D. P. Fairlie, S. Liras and D. Price, *Chem. Biol.* Drug Des., 2013, 81, 136-147.
- Y. Ge, D. L. MacDonald, K. J. Holroyd, C. Thornsberry, H. Wexler and M. Zasloff, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 1999, 43, 782-788.
- R. E. W. Hancock and H. -G. Sahl, Nat. Biotechnol., 2006, 24, 1551-1557.
- 19. D. K. Mercer and D. A. O'Neil, *Future Med. Chem.*, 2013, 5, 315-337.
- 20. J. L. Fox, Nat. Biotechnol., 2013, 31, 379-382.
- M. B. StrÖm, Ø. Rekdal and J. S. Svendsen, J. Pept. Sci., 2002, 8, 431-437.
- M. B. StrÖm, B. E. Haug, M. L. Skar, W. Stensen, T. Stiberg and J. S. Svendsen, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2003, 46, 1567-1570.
- B. E. Haug, W. Stensen, M. Kalaaji, Ø. Rekdal and J. S. Svendsen, J. Med. Chem., 2008, 51, 4306-4314.
- R. K. Sharma, R. P. Reddy, W. Tegge and R. Jain, J. Med. Chem., 2009, 52, 7421-7431.
- Mahindra, A.; Bagra, N.; Wangoo, N.; Khan, S. I.; Jacob, M. R.; Jain, R. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2014, (DOI: 10.1021/ml500011v)
- 26. A. Mahindra and R. Jain, Synlett, 23, 1759-1764.
- 27. A. Mahindra, K. K. Sharma and R. Jain, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2012, **53**, 6931-6935.
- 28. A. Mahindra, K. Nooney, S. Uraon, K. K. Sharma and R. Jain, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 16810-16816.
- A. Mahindra, N. Patel, N. Bagra and R. Jain, *RSC Adv.* 2014, 4, 3065-3069.
- 30. R. K. Sharma and R. Jain, Synlett, 2007, 603-606.
- Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts: Approved Standard, 2nd ed.; NCCLS document M27-A2; National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards: Wayne, PA, 2002.
- S. Kagan, D. Ickowicz, M. Shmuel, Y. Altschuler, E. Sionov, M. Pitusi, A. Weiss, S. Farber, A. J. Domb and I. Polacheck, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2012, 56, 5603-5611.

 Borenfreund, E.; Babich, H.; Martin-Alguacil, N. In Vitro Cell Dev. Biol. 1990, 26, 1030-1034.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

Synthesis and Antimicrobial Activities of His(2-aryl)-Arg and Trp-His(2-aryl) Classes of Dipeptidomimetics

Amit Mahindra, Krishna K. Sharma, Dinesh Rathore, Shabana. I. Khan, Melissa R. Jacob, and Rahul Jain*



Medicinal Chemistry Communications Accepted Manuscrip