

PCCP

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: L. Luza, A. Gual, J. A. Fernandes, D. Eberhardt and J. Dupont, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1039/C9CP03012C.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

ARTICLE

Tunneling Effects in Confined Gold Nanoparticle Hydrogenation Catalysts

Leandro Luza,^{*a} Aitor Gual,^b Jesum Fernandes,^c Dario Eberhardt,^d and Jairton Dupont^{*a}Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Clean surface gold ~6.6 nm nanoparticles (AuNPs) that were confined in ionic liquid (IL) cages of hybrid γ -alumina (γ -Al₂O₃) displayed hydrogenation pathways in the reduction of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde distinct from those imprinted directly onto γ -Al₂O₃. Hydrogen activation proceeded via homolytic activation in IL-encapsulated AuNPs and by heterolytic cleavage for IL-free supported AuNPs. Higher negative apparent entropy (ΔS_{app}) values were obtained for the IL-confined AuNPs compared to the non-hybrid catalyst (Au/ γ -Al₂O₃), suggesting a decrease in the number of microstates induced by the nano-confined environment. High kinetic isotope effect (KIE) values ($k_H/k_D = 2.5$ – 2.9 at 273 K) and Arrhenius convex curves were observed. Furthermore, differences of 5.6 and 6.2 kJ mol⁻¹ between the apparent activation energies of the deuteration and hydrogenation reactions ($E_{a-app}^D - E_{a-app}^H$) associated with the pre-exponential factors ratios (A^D/A^H) of 4.6 and 5.1 provided strong evidence of the possible involvement of a tunneling pathway in the case of the confined AuNPs.


Introduction

The introduction of a confined space around an active catalytic site could be a practical way to produce unusual activities and selectivities in transition metal catalysts.¹ These encapsulated catalysts can change certain steps in the catalytic cycle, giving rise to new kinetic profiles and altered selectivities, thereby inducing shape-substrate selectivity.² In particular, metal nanoparticles (MNPs) supported in bare ionic liquids (ILs) or IL hybrid materials displayed catalytic properties of nano-confined devices.^{3,4} In these restricted nano-environments, the number of microstates may change under asymmetric dynamic conditions (metal catalyst/ligand/support/reagent/product). Furthermore, the reaction could proceed far from equilibrium^{5,6} and exhibit various phenomena of temporal and spatial self-organization⁷ and complex transitory structures.^{8,9} For example, for catalytic hydrogenation over NPs@ILs, curved Arrhenius plots and relative high kinetic isotope effects (KIEs) are usually obtained, which might also suggest the involvement of a tunneling pathway.¹⁰⁻¹³

The term “tunneling control” denotes a reaction that passes through a high but narrow potential energy barrier, leading to the formation of a product that would be disfavored if the reaction proceeded by passage over kinetic barriers rather than

through them. This reactivity paradigm should be considered in addition to thermodynamic and kinetic control as a factor that can determine which of two or more possible products is likely to be obtained.¹⁰ Hydrogen tunneling plays an important role in chemical reactivity at room temperature in biochemistry, organic chemistry, and catalysis. The tunneling effect is mainly recognized based on kinetic isotope effect measurements, and it is known that the chemical environment, particularly in enzymatic catalysis, affects tunneling by the vibration of enzymes, which compresses reaction barriers at the active site.¹⁴

Therefore, NPs@ILs may constitute an adequate model to investigate the possible contribution of the tunneling effect in hydrogenation reactions over these metal surfaces. For this reason, clean-surfaced, small, and well-distributed AuNPs supported directly on γ -alumina (γ -Al₂O₃) and on IL-hybrid γ -Al₂O₃ were prepared (Scheme 1). In addition, detailed kinetic



Scheme 1. Au nanocatalysts prepared by sputtering deposition: Au/ γ -Al₂O₃, Au/M1 (X: Cl), and Au/M2 (X: NTF₂). Adapted from reference 18.

^a Laboratory of Molecular Catalysis, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Av. Bento Gonçalves, 9500, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

^b Unitat de Tecnologia Química (UTQ)-EURECAT, Centre Tecnològic de la Química de Catalunya (CTQC), c/ Marcel·lí Domingo, s/n, Building N5, Tarragona 43007, Spain.

^c School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD, Nottingham, UK.

^d PUCRS, Centro Interdisciplinar de Nanociências e Micro-Nanotecnologia, Av. Ipiranga, 6681, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: characterization of the catalytic materials (¹³C and ²⁹Si CP-MAS NMR, FT-IR, N₂ physisorption and XPS) as well as the kinetic and isotopic experiments (¹H and ²H NMR). See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

and isotopic experiments of the selective hydrogenation of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde (**1**) have offered a significant indication that, in these confined spaces (IL cages), a tunneling mechanism could be operative. This is because the Arrhenius plots have exhibited convex curves, and the primary isotope effect was larger than 2.5 when calculated from equations based on semi-classical models and transition-state theory. Additionally, the differences between the apparent activation energies of the deuteration and hydrogenation reactions ($E_{a-app}^D - E_{a-app}^H$) were significantly above 5 kJ mol⁻¹, and, finally, pre-exponential factors ratios (A^D/A^H) were larger than 2.^{12, 13, 15}

Results and Discussion

The IL-hybrid γ -Al₂O₃ supports **M1** and **M2** were prepared by the reaction of hydroxyl groups of the γ -Al₂O₃ surface with 1-methyl-3-(trimethoxysilylpropyl)-imidazolium chloride and by simple **M1** anion exchange with LiNTf₂, respectively (Scheme 1).^{16, 17} All supports were decorated with AuNPs using a 3D mixing-sputtering device (Scheme 1) and characterized by ¹³C and ²⁹Si solid-state cross-polarization magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance (CP-MAS NMR), Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR), N₂-physisorption, X-ray fluorescence (XRF), Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (see ESI: Section S1, Figures S1–S9, and Tables S1–S4). It is worth mentioning that the AuNP surfaces were completely composed




Figure 2. XPS spectra at (a) the Cl region of the **M1** support and Au/**M1** catalyst and (b) the F region of the **M2** support and Au/**M2** catalyst.

of metallic gold (Au⁰) for all catalysts (Figure 1a), as determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), with a slight positive shift for Au binding energies (4f and valence band) in Au/ γ -Al₂O₃ with respect to Au/**M1** and Au/**M2** (Figure 1b and Table S5 in the ESI). This fact suggests that the interaction of the AuNPs with the γ -Al₂O₃ support decreased due to the IL pair layers, which formed an IL cage surrounding the AuNPs.^{18–21} Moreover, a slight negative shift of 0.6 eV in the Cl 2p region of Au/**M1** was noted (197.9 and 197.3 eV for **M1** and Au/**M1**, respectively) (Figure 2). In the case of NTf₂-bearing supports, the F 1s regions of **M2** and Au/**M2** displayed a peak related to uncoordinated NTf₂ anion (688.9 and 688.1 eV, respectively), and a new component appeared at 684.9 eV only for Au/**M2**.²² These behaviors, assigned to the interaction of the contact ion pairs with the AuNPs.^{23, 24}

The kinetics of the hydrogenation and deuteration of **1** were explored using a modified Langmuir-Hinshelwood model (see ESI, Section S2, Tables S6–S8) with an overall rate law expressed as:

$$r_x = \frac{k_x K_1 - x [1] [*]_0}{1 + K_1 - x [1]} \quad (1) \text{ (with } x = \text{H or D)}$$

Different reaction rate constants (k_x) (Table 1 and Figure 3) provided distinct KIE values for the Au nanocatalysts confined in the IL when applied at temperatures between 273 and 423 K (Table 2).

Table 1. Reaction rate constants of the hydrogenation and deuteration of **1** catalyzed by Au/ γ -Al₂O₃, Au/**M1**, and Au/**M2** at different temperatures.

Entry ^[a]	T/ K	k_H and k_D / mmol m ⁻² h ⁻¹ ^[b]		
		Au/ γ -Al ₂ O ₃	Au/ M1	Au/ M2
1	273	23 and 18	5 and 2	5 and 1.7
2	323	83 and 72	59 and 30	46 and 23
3	348	176 and 139	221 and 158	144 and 89
4	373	332 and 219	352 and 239	233 and 156
5	423	777 and 599	756 and 542	509 and 377

^[a]Reaction conditions: Au (0.5 μ mol), **1**/Au = 250–4000, anisole (10 mL), 2.5 MPa of H₂ or D₂, and 250 rpm; ^[b]Analyzed by gas chromatography (GC) and calculated using a modified Langmuir-Hinshelwood model at conversions of approximately 5% from the slope of reaction rate vs. time.^{25, 26}




Figure 1. XPS measurements at (a) Au 4f and (b) Au valence band regions on the Au/ γ -Al₂O₃, Au/**M1**, and Au/**M2** catalysts.




Figure 3. Hydrogenation and deuteration rate dependence on **1** concentrations catalyzed by (a) Au/ γ -Al₂O₃, (b) Au/M1, and (c) Au/M2 at temperatures of 273 K (■), 323 K (□), 348 K (●), 373 K (○), and 423 K (▲).

A KIE value of 1.3 was observed over the entire temperature range for the Au/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst (Table 2, entry 1), which is comparable to values observed in a previous work using Au/ γ -Al₂O₃ in the hydrogenation of dienes to monoenes ($k_H/k_D = 1.1$)¹⁸ and similar to those observed in the hydrogenation reactions using Au/TiO₂,²⁷ Pd/Al₂O₃,¹⁷ Pd/SiO₂,^{19, 28} and Pd/C²⁹ ($k_H/k_D = 1.3$ – 1.6) catalysts. Consequently, it is suggested that H₂/D₂ activation is not the rate-determining step (RDS) of the hydrogenation reactions catalyzed by Au/ γ -Al₂O₃. In contrast, higher KIEs were obtained as the reaction temperature decreased, from 1.4 and 1.3 at 423 K to 2.5 and 2.9 at 273 K, by the IL-hybrid Au/M1 and Au/M2 catalysts, respectively (Table 2, entries 2 and 3), which points to a different reaction pathway in these cases. This scenario is akin to that of micelle nano (macro)reactors, compartmentalizing and concentrating/separating reactants and hence altering the apparent rate and equilibrium constants.³⁰

At 273 K, the selectivity to hydrocinnamaldehyde (**2**) was essentially the same (~97%) for all catalysts, whereas the deuterated **2-d₂** product underwent changes depending on the catalyst used (Table 3). Higher selectivities for **2-d₂**^{2,3} (72%) indicate preference for 1,2-addition at the carbonyl group (C=O), followed by isomerization of the deuterated cinnamyl alcohol (**3-d₂**)³¹ (Table 3, entry 2, and Figures S10 and S11 in

the ESI). As the hydrogenation of **3** provided only **2** by using the Au/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst (Scheme 2a), the generation of the corresponding enol followed by isomerization to aldehyde via a keto-enol equilibrium could be corroborated (Scheme 2b). Interestingly, the C=O double bond was hydrogenated only when conjugated to the C=C group, probably by means of the η^4 adsorption mode.^{32, 33} In this scenario, an ionic hydrogenation mechanism could occur in which γ -Al₂O₃ cooperates with the Au surface sites, generating the heterolytic activation of H₂ and the transference of one proton to the carbonyl group of **1** with formation of the Au-hydride (Scheme 3a).^{34, 35}

Moreover, higher amounts of **2-d₂**^{3,4} using the Au nanocatalysts confined in the IL Au/M1 and Au/M2 (87–94%) (Table 3, entries 4 and 6 and Figures S12–S15 in the ESI) indicate the following two possible hydrogenation pathways: (i) the occurrence of 1,4-addition of H₂ followed by isomerization of


Table 3. Selectivity in the hydrogenation of **1** catalyzed by confined Au nanocatalysts.

Entry ^[a]	Catalyst	Selectivity/% ^[b]		
		2-d₀	2-d₂^{3,4}	2-d₂^{2,3}
1	Au/ γ -Al ₂ O ₃	98	—	—
2 ^[c]		—	27	72
3		96	—	—
4 ^[c]	Au/M1	—	87	12
5	Au/M2	97	—	—
6 ^[c]		—	94	5

^[a]Reaction conditions: Au (0.5 μ mol), **1**/Au = 4000, anisole (10 mL), 2.5 MPa of H₂, 273 K, and 250 rpm; ^[b]Selectivity determined by gas chromatography (GC) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analyzes at conversions of approximately 5%;


^[c]By using 2.5 MPa of D₂.

^[a]Calculated from Table 2.




Scheme 2. Isomerization of (a) **3** and (b) **3-d₂** catalyzed by Au/γ-Al₂O₃. Reaction conditions: Au (0.5 μmol), substrate/Au = 1000, anisole (10 mL), 2.5 MPa of H₂ or D₂, 273 K, and 250 rpm. Adapted from reference 18.

the enol formed, or (ii) a 3,4-addition directly to the ethylenic double bond. In addition, conversions below 1% were obtained C=C double bond undergoes hydrogenation solely when conjugated with the C=O group, which implies a preferential η^4 in the hydrogenation of **2** and **3** by both Au/M1 and Au/M2 catalysts. As in the case of Au/γ-Al₂O₃, these facts indicate that adsorption pathway.^{32,33} Here, there is the possible presence of dissociative chemisorption of the H₂ mechanism with the formation of H atoms in bridge positions, which share the low coordinated Au surface atoms without substantially affecting the Au–Au distances (Scheme 3b).^{36,37} These higher KIE values and higher amounts of **2-d₂**^{3,4} could suggest the possible involvement of a tunneling mechanism in these restricted and confined spaces.^{38,39} Although this effect is rarely observed, the IL environment probably allows the hydrogen to move from one side of the energy barrier to the other. Further evidence of the probable involvement of tunneling are the shape of the Arrhenius plots, the apparent activation energies (E_{a-app}), and the pre-exponential factor (A) values for the hydrogenation and deuteration reactions (see below).^{12,13}



Scheme 3. Proposed mechanisms for the (a) heterolytic and (b) homolytic activation of hydrogen by confined Au nanocatalysts. Adapted from reference 18.

Based on these deuterium labelling and kinetics experiments, and including the desorption of saturated carbonyl compound **2** as an additional step to the classic Horiuti-Polanyi mechanism,⁴⁰ two different pathways are possible in the hydrogenation of **1**. For the hydrogenation of C=O by the Au/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst, the scenario probably involves the following: (i) adsorption of the entire C=C–C=O system on the Au surface, (ii) the first addition of H to the O atom (providing the hydroxyallyl intermediate), and (iii) the second addition of H to the carbonyl carbon (generating the allyl alcohol),⁴¹ followed by its isomerization to the saturated aldehyde (Scheme 4a). The second mechanism for the hydrogenation of C=C by Au/M1 and Au/M2 catalysts possibly includes the following: (i) adsorption of the C=C–C=O group on the Au surface, (ii) the first addition of H to the C attached to the phenyl group (producing the 1-formylphenethyl intermediate), and (iii) the second addition of H to the second ethylenic carbon (providing the saturated aldehyde)⁴¹ (Scheme 4b). These observations are in agreement with the fact that, different from group 8–10 metals, AuNP surfaces are saturated with hydrogen, since Au displays an increasing ability to dissociate H₂ homolytically and uptakes the H₂ with an increase



Scheme 4. Proposed mechanism for the hydrogenation of **1** catalyzed by (a) Au/γ-Al₂O₃, and (b) Au/M1 and Au/M2. Adapted from reference 18.

Table 4. Kinetic and adsorption data for the hydrogenation of **1** catalyzed by confined Au nanocatalysts.

View Article Online

DOI: 10.1039/C9CP03012C

Entry	Catalyst	$E_{a-app}/\text{kJ mol}^{-1} [A (\times 10^3)/\text{s}^{-1}]^{[a]}$			$\Delta H_{app}/\text{kJ mol}^{-1[b]}$	$\Delta S_{app}/\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1[b]}$
		273–348 K	348–423 K	273–423 K		
1	Au/ γ -Al ₂ O ₃	20.9 [224]	24.0 [737]	22.8 [491]	-10.7	-21.8
2 ^[c]		21.3 [211]	24.1 [552]	24.3 [597]	-9.1	-20.7
3	Au/M1	39.2 [149,529]	20.1 [227]	33.1 [13,565]	-13.6	-31.3
4 ^[c]		44.8 [685,048]	20.2 [170]	37.2 [33,666]	-11.9	-25.9
5	Au/M2	34.8 [22,298]	20.6 [178]	30.4 [3,775]	-13.9	-32.6
6 ^[c]		41.0 [114,033]	23.5 [303]	35.6 [13,046]	-12.4	-27.8

^[a]A plot of $\ln k_x$ vs. $1/T$ yields a straight line (Figure 4) with a slope of $-E_{a-app}/R$ and a y-intercept of $\ln A$ ($x = \text{H or D}$); ^[b]a plot of $\ln K_{1-x}$ vs. $1/T$ yields a straight line (Figure 5) with a slope of $-\Delta H_{app}/R$ and a y-intercept of $\Delta S_{app}/R$ ($x = \text{H or D}$); ^[c]by using D₂.

in reaction temperature.⁴³

A plot of the natural log of k_H and k_D against the inverse of reaction temperature enabled the estimation of the E_{a-app} for the hydrogenation and deuteration of **1** catalyzed by Au/ γ -Al₂O₃, Au/M1, and Au/M2 (Table 4 and Figure 4). The Arrhenius plots obtained for the Au/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst exhibited close E_{a-app} values at low and high temperature ranges in both hydrogenation and deuteration reactions (20.9–24.0 kJ mol⁻¹ and 21.3–24.1 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively) (Table 4, entries 1 and 2, and Figure 4a). Furthermore, the fraction of molecules that possessed enough kinetic energy to react, expressed by A , displayed relatively similar behavior, since the values achieved were in the same order of magnitude ($224\text{--}737 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for H₂ and $211\text{--}552 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for D₂, Table 4, entries 1 and 2). Interestingly, for Au/M1 and Au/M2 catalysts, the Arrhenius plots exhibited a convex curve within the studied temperature range (Figures 4b and 4c). Remarkably, this fact may suggest the involvement of the tunneling effect^{12, 13} with a change in the reaction pathway and, consequently, the formation of a different product.¹⁰ By using these catalysts at lower

temperatures (273–348 K), the intermediate 1-formylphenethyl predominates both in hydrogenation (A of $149,529 \times 10^3$ and $22,298 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and deuteration (A of $685,048 \times 10^3$ and $114,033 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) with higher E_{a-app} values when compared to the Au/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst (39.2 and 34.8 kJ mol⁻¹ for the hydrogenation and 44.8 and 41.0 kJ mol⁻¹ for the deuteration) (Table 4, entries 3–6, and Figures 4b and 4c, red dash). On the other hand, as the temperature increases (348–423 K), the metastable intermediate dissociates and becomes non-reactive (smaller A values by two-to-three orders of magnitude: $170\text{--}303 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$), which disturbs the reaction rates and leads to lower E_{a-app} values between 20.1 and 23.5 kJ mol⁻¹ (Table 4, entries 3–6, Figures 4b and 4c, blue dash). The E_{a-app} values at low temperatures were comparable to those reported for Pd and AuNPs on similar supports (32 and 29 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively), but the E_{a-app} values at high temperatures (~ 20 kJ mol⁻¹) were much higher when compared to Au (9 kJ mol⁻¹) and were slightly lower than Pd (24 kJ mol⁻¹).^{18, 19} Negative apparent enthalpies




Figure 4. Arrhenius plots of the hydrogenation and deuteration of **1** catalyzed by (a) Au/ γ -Al₂O₃, (b) Au/M1, and (c) Au/M2.




Figure 5. Van't Hoff plots of the hydrogenation and deuteration of **1** catalyzed by (a) Au/γ-Al₂O₃, (b) Au/M1, and (c) Au/M2.

(ΔH_{app}) were observed in the Van't Hoff plots for the adsorption of **1** for all catalysts, with higher values when using Au/γ-Al₂O₃ (−10.7 and −9.1 kJ mol^{−1}) and lower values for Au/M1 and Au/M2 (−13.9 to −11.9 kJ mol^{−1}) (Table 4, Figure 5, and Table S9 in the ESI). The same trend was detected for the apparent entropy (ΔS_{app} , related to the adsorption of **1**, Table 4), which suggests a reduction in the number of microstates in the nano-confined environment in the Au/IL-hybrid γ-Al₂O₃ (Au/M1 and Au/M2) as compared to the non-hybrid catalyst (Au/γ-Al₂O₃). Besides the convex shapes displayed by the Arrhenius plots, there were two other factors that could be associated with a contribution from the tunneling effect: differences between the apparent activation energies of the deuteration and hydrogenation reactions ($E_{\text{a-app}}^{\text{D}} - E_{\text{a-app}}^{\text{H}}$) above 5 kJ mol^{−1} associated with ratios higher than 2 for their respective pre-exponential factors ($A^{\text{D}}/A^{\text{H}}$).^{13, 15}

Because of this, and based on the deuterium labelling and kinetics experiments by using any of the catalysts at high temperatures (348–423 K), these parameters were too far below those required for the tunneling effect to have significance (Table 5, entries 1–3). Interestingly, at low temperatures (273–348 K), the values of 5.6 and 6.2 kJ mol^{−1} related to the $E_{\text{a-app}}^{\text{D}} - E_{\text{a-app}}^{\text{H}}$ and $A^{\text{D}}/A^{\text{H}}$ ratios of 4.6 and 5.1 were obtained only by the IL-confined AuNPs (Au/M1 and

Au/M2 catalysts, respectively), which are significantly away from the tunneling/nontunneling limit (Table 5, entries 2 and 3). Thus, these facts offer robust indications that the tunneling correction could be considerable in these IL-hybrid γ-Al₂O₃ environments.

Conclusions

In summary, AuNPs in direct contact with an oxide support the induction of the heterolytic cleavage of H₂, whereas AuNPs located preferentially away from the oxide support, i.e., in the IL cage, homolytically activate the H₂. These IL-nanocontainers minimize the interaction between Au and γ-Al₂O₃, thus exchanging the hydrogen activation mechanism. The hydrogenation pathways are determined by the movement of the IL layer, which rearranges and allows the hydrogen to tunnel almost instantaneously. Most importantly, in these AuNPs/IL cages, high KIEs values, convex Arrhenius plots, and $E_{\text{a-app}}^{\text{D}} - E_{\text{a-app}}^{\text{H}}$ considerably above 5 kJ mol^{−1} associated with $A^{\text{D}}/A^{\text{H}}$ ratios greater than 2 strongly suggest the possible involvement of a tunneling effect. For these reasons, this study suggests that in dynamic confined spaces the tunneling pathway can operate more often than what is generally expected.

Table 5. Contribution from tunneling in the hydrogenation of **1** catalyzed by confined Au nanocatalysts.

Entry ^[a]	Catalyst	$E_{\text{a-app}}^{\text{D}} - E_{\text{a-app}}^{\text{H}} / \text{kJ mol}^{-1}$		$A^{\text{D}}/A^{\text{H}}$	
		273–348 K	348–423 K	273–348 K	348–423 K
1	Au/γ-Al ₂ O ₃	0.4	0.1	0.9	0.7
2	Au/M1	5.6	0.1	4.6	0.7
3	Au/M2	6.2	2.9	5.1	1.7

^[a]Calculated from Table 3.

Experimental

General

All syntheses were performed using standard Schlenk techniques under an argon atmosphere. Chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. The ILs were prepared employing the original procedures described elsewhere.⁴⁴ H₂ (>99.999%) and D₂ (D>99.8%) were purchased from White-Martins and Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc, respectively. Petrobras provided the γ -Al₂O₃ used in this study. Synthesis and characterization of the IL-hybrid γ -Al₂O₃ supports (**M1** and **M2**) were as reported elsewhere.^{16, 17} The ¹³C and ²⁹Si solid-state cross-polarization magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance (CP-MAS NMR) spectra were performed using a Bruker 400 MHz spectrometer at the CNANO/UFRGS. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were obtained using an ABB FTLA 2000 instrument with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ with 128 cumulative scans. The N₂-physisorption of the catalysts, previously degassed at 373 K under vacuum for 3 h, were obtained using Tristar 3020 Micromeritics equipment. Specific surface areas were determined by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) multipoint method, and the average pore size was obtained by the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method. Au content was determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) carried out using a Shimadzu XRF-1800 sequential spectrometer. Samples were prepared in KBr, and calibration was performed using bromine as an internal standard. Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS) measurements were carried out in a 3 MV Tandemtron accelerator using a He⁺ ion beam of 1.5 MeV at IF/UFRGS. The Si surface barrier detector was positioned at a scattering angle of 165°. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses were carried out using a Philips X'Pert MPD diffractometer with Bragg-Brentano geometry using a graphite curved crystal with Cu K α X-ray radiation (1.5406 Å). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed on a JEOL-JEM 1200ExII electron microscope operating at 120 kV. The samples were prepared by the slow evaporation of a drop of each colloidal solution deposited under an argon atmosphere onto a holey carbon-coated copper grid. XPS measurements were performed using a Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD instrument. The analysis chamber pressure during the measurements was greater than 0.5 μ Pa. Wide energy range survey scans were collected at a pass energy of 80 eV in hybrid slot lens mode with a step size of 0.5 eV. High-resolution data on the Au 4f, Au VB, Al 2p, Cl 2p, and F 1s photoelectron peaks were collected at a pass energy of 20 eV over energy ranges suitable for each peak, with collection times of 5 min and step sizes of 0.1 eV. The X-ray source was a monochromated Al K α emission run at 10 mA and 12 kV (120 W). The high-resolution spectra were analyzed using a Lorentzian asymmetric line shape convoluted with a Gaussian function for each chemical component. The high-resolution data was charge corrected to the reference peak of the Al 2p signal of γ -Al₂O₃ at 74.5 eV.

Preparation of the AuNPs

As a general procedure for AuNP preparation by sputtering deposition, 1.0 g of each support (γ -Al₂O₃, **M1**, and **M2**) was placed into a conical aluminum flask inside a vacuum chamber containing an electromagnetic oscillator with variable controlled frequency, which allowed for constant movement of the conical flask. Then, the chamber was closed, its pressure was lowered to a base pressure of 0.4 Pa, and the supports were evacuated at this pressure for 4 h. Then, the vacuum chamber was placed under a sputtering working pressure of 0.4 kPa by adding argon flow. The supports were continuously homogenized by revolving the aluminum flask at a vibration frequency of 24 Hz. The Au was sputtered onto the revolving support at 35 mA of discharge current for 4.5 min to give the Au/ γ -Al₂O₃, Au/**M1**, and Au/**M2** catalysts. After deposition, the chamber was vented with nitrogen and the red powders were recovered and stored under argon atmosphere for further characterization and application.

Hydrogenation Reactions

As a general procedure for the hydrogenation reactions, the catalyst (0.5 μ mol Au), substrate (**1**/Au = 1000), and solvent (10 mL of anisole) were placed in a 25 mL stainless steel reactor. The reaction vessel was pressurized with 2.5 MPa of H₂ and warmed to the desired temperature. Aliquots of 25 μ L were regularly taken during the reaction. After the reaction time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The conversion and selectivity were determined by GC and NMR analyses of the reaction samples. GC analyses of the reaction samples were run with an Agilent Technologies GC System 6820 with an injector and detector (FID) temperature of 533 K. N₂ was the carrier (1 mL min⁻¹), the column head pressure was 70 kPa, the temperature program was from 313 K (10 min) to 523 K at a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹, and a DB-17 column (30 m \times 0.25 mm \times 0.25 μ m) was used. ¹H and ²H NMR analyses of the samples obtained by D₂ reduction of **1** catalyzed by AuNPs were performed using a Varian 400 MHz spectrometer at CNANO/UFRGS. The incorporation of D in the reaction products was quantified by comparing the ¹H and ²H NMR spectra with these obtained from standard samples. The KIEs values were calculated from the slope of hydrogenation/deuteration reaction rates vs. time at conversions of approximately 5% by using a modified Langmuir-Hinshelwood model (Equation 1).^{25, 26} Plots of ln k_x and ln K_{1-x} vs. 1/T yield straight lines with a slope of $-E_{a-app}/R$ and a y-intercept of ln A and with a slope of $-\Delta H_{app}/R$ and a y-intercept of $\Delta S_{app}/R$, respectively (x = H or D).⁴²

Conflicts of interest


There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to CAPES, FAPERGS, and CNPq-INCT for financial support.

References

1. S. H. Leenders, R. Gramage-Doria, B. de Bruin and J. N. Reek, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 433-448.
2. J. Xiao, X. Pan, S. Guo, P. Ren and X. Bao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 477-482.
3. A. Weilhard, G. Abarca, J. Viscardi, M. H. G. Precht, J. D. Scholten, F. Bernardi, D. L. Baptista and J. Dupont, *ChemCatChem*, 2017, **9**, 204-211.
4. D. Bedeaux, S. Kjelstrup, L. Zhu and G. J. Koper, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **8**, 5421-5427.
5. D. P. Sheehan, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2013, **88**, 032125.
6. L. Zhu and G. Frens, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 18307-18312.
7. S. Jakubith, H. H. Rotermund, W. Engel, A. von Oertzen and G. Ertl, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1990, **65**, 3013-3016.
8. E. Rabani, D. R. Reichman, P. L. Geissler and L. E. Brus, *Nature*, 2003, **426**, 271-274.
9. H. Tanaka, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2000, **12**, R207-R264.
10. P. R. Schreiner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 15276-15283.
11. A. Datta, D. A. Hrovat and W. T. Borden, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 2726-2727.
12. H.-H. Limbach, J. Miguel Lopez and A. Kohen, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.*, 2006, **361**, 1399-1415.
13. R. P. Bell, *The Tunnel Effect in Chemistry*, Springer US 1980.
14. M. Schäfer, K. Peckelsen, M. Paul, J. Martens, J. Oomens, G. Berden, A. Berkessel and A. J. H. M. Meijer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 5779-5786.
15. J. E. Baldwin and V. P. Reddy, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 1988, **110**, 8223-8228.
16. L. Foppa, L. Luza, A. Gual, D. E. Weibel, D. Eberhardt, S. R. Teixeira and J. Dupont, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 2827-2834.
17. L. Luza, A. Gual, D. Eberhardt, S. R. Teixeira, S. S. X. Chiaro and J. Dupont, *ChemCatChem*, 2013, **5**, 2471-2478.
18. L. Luza, C. P. Rambor, A. Gual, J. Alves Fernandes, D. Eberhardt and J. Dupont, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 2791-2799.
19. L. Luza, C. P. Rambor, A. Gual, F. Bernardi, J. B. Domingos, T. Grehl, P. Brüner and J. Dupont, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 6478-6486.
20. M. Sobota, M. Happel, M. Amende, N. Paape, P. Wasserscheid, M. Laurin and J. Libuda, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 2617-2621.
21. C. Sievers, O. Jimenez, T. E. Müller, S. Steuernagel and J. A. Lercher, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 13990-13991.
22. S. Caporali, U. Bardi and A. Lavacchi, *J. Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.*, 2006, **151**, 4-8.
23. H. Zhang and H. Cui, *Langmuir*, 2009, **25**, 2604-2612.
24. J. Zhu, Y. Shen, A. Xie, L. Qiu, Q. Zhang and S. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 7629-7633.
25. A. P. Umpierre, E. de Jesús and J. Dupont, *ChemCatChem*, 2011, **3**, 1413-1418.
26. K.-Q. Sun, Y.-C. Hong, G.-R. Zhang and B.-Q. Xu, *ACS Catal.*, 2011, **1**, 1336-1346.
27. S. Fountoulaki, V. Daikopoulou, P. L. Gkizis, I. Tamiolakis, G. S. Armatas and I. N. Lykakis, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 3504-3511.
28. L. Luza, A. Gual, C. P. Rambor, D. Eberhardt, S. R. Teixeira, F. Bernardi, D. L. Baptista and J. Dupont, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 18088-18091.
29. P. Liu, Y. Zhao, R. Qin, S. Mo, G. Chen, L. Gu, D. M. Chevrier, P. Zhang, Q. Guo, D. Zang, B. Wu, G. Fu and N. Zheng, *Science*, 2016, **352**, 797.
30. C. A. Bunton, F. Nome, F. H. Quina and L. S. Romsted, *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 1991, **24**, 357-364.
31. A. Chambers, S. David Jackson, D. Stirling and G. Webb, *J. Catal.*, 1997, **168**, 301-314.
32. A. H. Virgili, L. Luza, J. A. Fernandes, T. M. H. Costa, E. W. de Menezes and E. V. Benvenutti, *Catal. Commun.*, 2018, **116**, 32-37.
33. P. Claus, *Top. Catal.*, 1998, **5**, 51-62.
34. G. J. Kubas, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 4152-4205.
35. M. H. Voges and R. M. Bullock, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2002, 759-770.
36. M. Boronat, F. Illas and A. Corma, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2009, **113**, 3750-3757.
37. M. Boronat, P. Concepción and A. Corma, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 16772-16784.
38. M. Jan and K. Johannes, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 5400-5413.
39. T. Hama, H. Ueta, A. Kouchi and N. Watanabe, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2015, **112**, 7438-7443.
40. B. Mattson, W. Foster, J. Greimann, T. Hoette, N. Le, A. Mirich, S. Wankum, A. Cabri, C. Reichenbacher and E. Schwanke, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2013, **90**, 613-619.
41. D. Loffreda, F. Delbecq, F. Vigné and P. Sautet, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2005, **44**, 5279-5282.
42. R. H. Petrucci, F. G. Herring, J. D. Madura and C. Bissonnette, *General Chemistry: Principles and Modern Applications*, Pearson Prentice Hall 2010.
43. E. Bus, J. T. Miller and J. A. van Bokhoven, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 14581-14587.
44. S. Einloft, F. K. Dietrich, R. F. De Souza and J. Dupont, *Polyhedron*, 1996, **15**, 3257-3259.



The H₂ activation proceeds via homolytic activation over AuNPs encapsulated in ionic liquid hybrid support, and display a high kinetic isotope effect and a convex curve by the Arrhenius plots, which suggests the possible involvement of a tunnelling pathway.