'Lock and key' control of optical properties in a push-pull system[†]

Brian J. Jordan,^a Michael A. Pollier,^a Yuval Ofir,^a Steven Joubanian,^a Jonathan G. Mehtala,^a Carsten Sinkel,^b Stuart T. Caldwell,^b Andrew Kennedy,^b Gouher Rabani,^b Graeme Cooke^{*b} and Vincent M. Rotello^{*a}

Received (in Austin, TX, USA) 25th November 2007, Accepted 11th January 2008 First published as an Advance Article on the web 1st February 2008 DOI: 10.1039/b718015b

We report the modulation of the absorbance of a flavin push-pull derivative through specific recognition by a complementary diamidopyridine (DAP), shifting the flavin intramolecular charge transfer band by ~ 30 nm.

Incorporating molecular recognition into donor- π -acceptor $(D-\pi-A)^{-1}$ molecules offers a potential strategy to create responsive push-pull materials for applications in optical data storage,² telecommunications,³ nonlinear optics,⁴ and optical switching devices (including sensors).⁵ Push-pull materials combine electron donors (D) and acceptors (A) through a conjugated bond network to produce a D-n-A chemical motif with intrinsic properties: low-lying charge-transfer excited states, hyperpolarizability, solvatochromism, and secondorder optical nonlinearities.⁶ Molecular recognition utilizes noncovalent interactions, such as hydrogen bonding, π -stacking, and electrostatics, to selectively assemble molecules into a particular orientation with its complementary counterparts. Coupling molecular recognition directly to push-pull materials provides an opportunity to build synthetic host-guest systems with tunable optical and electro-optical properties.

Current D– π –A systems do not utilize the advantages associated with molecular recognition, such as reversibility, specificity and directionality. Research has mainly focused on colorimetric responses of D– π –A systems in regards to subtle changes in pH or solvent polarity.⁷ For example, solvatochromic dyes such as Reichardt's Dye⁸ and Methyl Red⁹ act as environmental indicators as they change color in different solvents primarily due to dipole–dipole and intermolecular solute–solvent interactions. The interactions remain relatively non-specific and function only as a response to the surrounding environment.

Our approach builds upon previous push–pull azobenzene derivatives, such as 4-nitro-4'-(dimethylamino)azobenzene, that demonstrate short fluorescent lifetimes (pico- and femto-second) and large solvatochromic charge transfer bands around ~400 nm.¹⁰ Direct conjugation to a flavin ring system creates an azobenzene flavin D– π –A push–pull derivative 8-[[*p*-[bis(ethyl)amino]phenyl]azo]isobutylflavin (**ABFL**) that contains molecular recognition capabilities (specifically three-point hydrogen bonding to the imido moiety) (Fig. 1).¹¹

^b WestCHEM, Department of Chemistry, Joseph Black Building, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK G12 8QQ The influence of molecular recognition on the optical properties of **ABFL** was determined *via* UV-Vis spectroscopy. Toluene was used as a solvent to promote specific three-point hydrogen bonding between **ABFL** and complementary diamidopyridine (**DAP**). Aliquots of **DAP** (stock solution 1.5 mM)¹² were titrated into a constant host solution of **ABFL** (15 μ M) and the resulting spectra were measured at each addition (Fig. 2). The spectra were corrected after each interval to eliminate any overlapping absorbance from **DAP**.

ABFL initially displayed a strong absorption at $\lambda_{max} \sim 552$ nm in the visible spectrum providing a vivid purple color commonly associated with azobenzene dye derivatives.¹³ The strong absorption was assigned to an *intra*molecular charge transfer (ICT) and not an *inter*molecular charge transfer since dilution studies exhibited a linear correlation with absorbance intensity (see ESI†). As compared to similar push–pull azobenzene derivatives, **ABFL** exhibited a moderate bathochromic shift in ICT primarily due to the extended conjugation of **ABFL**. The weaker π - π * transition originally at $\lambda_{max} \sim 335$ nm was attributed to the combination of flavin¹⁴ and azobenzene¹⁵ π - π * transitions.

Upon addition of **DAP**, a significant shift in the ICT and a noticeable color change (purple to blue) was observed for **ABFL**. There was a ~30 nm bathochromic shift (from 552 to 584 nm) for the ICT band and a slight increase in absorbance intensity. Furthermore, the weaker π - π * transition at 335 nm decreased while the peak at 374 nm increased upon addition of **DAP** (Fig. 2(b)). The resulting isosbestic points at 324, 346 and 424 nm implied that continuous additions of **DAP** shifted the equilibrium from an unbound **ABFL** + **DAP** to a bound



Fig. 1 Representative ABFL D $-\pi$ -A chemical structure and its molecular recognition capability *via* three-point hydrogen bonding.

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts at Amherst, Amherst, MA 01003, USA. E-mail: rotello@chem.umass.edu

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic procedures and characterization, Kamlet–Taft parameters, and additional UV-Vis spectroscopy. See DOI: 10.1039/b718015b



Fig. 2 UV-Vis titrations of **DAP** into **ABFL** (a, b) and **MABFL** (c, d) performed at 25 °C in toluene. Curves show entire spectrum (a and c) and expanded regions in the range 320–430 nm (b and d).

[ABFL:DAP] state.¹⁶ The binding constant (K_a) for **[ABFL:-DAP]** complex was calculated to be $K_a = \sim 1100 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (see ESI†) which was significantly larger than previous reported flavin host–guest complexes.¹⁷

A control MABFL (ABFL methylated at the N(3) position) was used to probe the specific molecular recognition binding process between ABFL and DAP. MABFL displayed similar electronic behavior (ICT ~552 nm) but remained relatively unaffected after addition of DAP. The methyl group at the N(3) position served to disrupt the three-point hydrogen bonding between MABFL and DAP. Additionally, methylation of DAP (see ESI†) provided a non-complementary hydrogen-bonding derivative which was used to further confirm the specific three-point hydrogen-bonding process. Both the ICT and π - π * transitions remained unaltered upon addition of methylated DAP to ABFL indicating that the shift in absorbance was a direct result of the specific [ABFL:DAP] molecular recognition event.

The reversibility of the three-point hydrogen bonding between **ABFL** and **DAP** was examined *via* variable-temperature UV-Vis spectroscopy. The resultant endpoints from the titrations performed in toluene (15 μ M **ABFL** with excess **DAP**) were used to evaluate the temperature dependence upon complex binding for both **ABFL** and **MABFL** (Fig. 3).

ABFL exhibited a ~30 nm blue shift in the ICT and an apparent color change (blue to purple) at 65 °C. A re-emergence of psuedo-isosbestic points¹⁸ at ~350 and ~410 nm suggested that heating the solution reduced the hydrogen bonding for [ABFL:DAP] complex and shifted the dynamic equilibrium towards the unbound ABFL + DAP state. Control MABFL demonstrated a slight blue shift in ICT (~8 nm) but did not exhibit any psuedo-isosbestic points or distinct color change in the presence of DAP. The results were consistent with a molecular recognition three-point hydrogenbonding motif for [ABFL:DAP] complex.

In addition to the specific molecular recognition event for **ABFL**, both flavin molecules **ABFL** and **MABFL** were likewise solvatochromic. A comprehensive analysis of this solvatochromic effect of **ABFL** was performed to evaluate the nature of



Fig. 3 Variable temperature UV-Vis for **ABFL** (a, b) and **MABFL** (c, d) in toluene. Curves show entire spectrum (a) and (c) and expanded regions in the range 320–430 nm (b) and (d).

the **ABFL** "push–pull" character. **ABFL** was comprised of a neutral resonance form (I) that resembled a bond-alternate polyene structure¹⁹ and a zwitterionic resonance form (II) that exhibited a large charge separation on the order of ~15 Å (Scheme 1). The combination of these resonance contributions provided a strong "push–pull" electronic character for **ABFL**.

UV-Vis spectra of **ABFL** were recorded in eleven solvents with various polarities. Extra care was taken to compare all solvent samples at a constant **ABFL** concentration. Both ICT and π - π * transitions demonstrated a strong solvent dependence but did not exhibit a linear correlation to solvent polarity (see ESI†). Less polar solvents displayed a peak around $\lambda_{max} \sim 335$ nm and a prominent ICT at $\lambda_{max} \sim$ 544–556 nm. Halogenated solvents, as well as more polar solvents, demonstrated various bathochromic shifts in ICT and π - π * transitions, suggesting that an additional solute–solvent interaction acted as a mechanism to stabilize **ABFL**.

Kamlet–Taft parameters²⁰ in combination with linear free energy relationships (LFER) have been previously used to model solute–solvent interactions by describing solvents in terms of hydrogen bond donation (α), hydrogen bond acceptance (β), and polarizability (π^*). We applied this strategy to reveal the extent of solute/solvent interaction for **ABFL** using specific Kamlet–Taft parameters from a similar electronic system (see ESI†).²¹ The contribution from each parameter (α , β , π^*) to influence the resultant ICT absorption value was calculated as a coefficient in eqn (1).



Scheme 1 Representative resonance structures of ABFL push-pull system: neutral (I) and zwitterionic (II) resonance forms.



Fig. 4 Analysis of ABFL using Kamlet–Taft parameters exhibiting strong dependence on polarity and hydrogen bond donating solvents.

$$V_{\rm max} = 18.824 - 2.318\pi^* - 1.433\alpha - 1.351\beta \tag{1}$$

The V_{max} values for the predicted ICT absorption were expressed in kilokaysers (1 kK = 10^{-4} nm⁻¹) for comparison with previous solute probes using Kamlet-Taft parameters. Calculated V_{max} values were plotted against the measured $V_{\rm max}$ obtaining a relatively linear correlation. All three parameters (α , β , π^*) had a significant impact on the ICT of **ABFL** as observed from the LFER analysis (Fig. 4). Both polar and hydrogen-bond donating solvents appeared to stabilize an ABFL excited state consistent with a more zwitterionic form. As a result, the ICT shifted to a longer wavelength for polar and hydrogen-bond donating solvents. Less polar solvents and hydrogen-bond accepting solvents destabilized ABFL shifting the ICT to a shorter wavelength. Control MABFL exhibited similar push-pull behavior in the UV-Vis spectra with respect to the various solvents (see ESI⁺). This result indicated that the effective hydrogen bonding between hydrogen-bond donating solvents and the negatively charged oxygen in the zwitterionic form was the main contribution to the stabilization of the flavin push-pull system.

In conclusion, we have the ability to tune the electronics and hence color of the **ABFL** "push-pull" system *via* molecular recognition. The addition of complementary **DAP** shifts both ICT and π - π * transitions (~30 nm) in toluene, changing the color of the solution from purple to blue and establishing an equilibrium between bound and unbound states. Stabilization of **ABFL** *via* hydrogen bonding occurs specifically at the negatively charged oxygen in the zwitterionic resonance form as indicated by its solvatochromic response. The binding process is reversible at elevated temperatures demonstrating our control over the noncovalent interactions.

V. M. R. acknowledges NSF for CHE-0518487 and G. C. gratefully acknowledges the EPSRC. B. J. J. thanks NSF (IGERT, DUE-044852) and IBM for fellowships.

Notes and references

 (a) R. Rathore, S. V. Lindeman and J. K. Kochi, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., 1997, **119**, 9393; (b) S. R. Marder, L. T. Cheng, B. G. Tiemann, A. C. Friedli, M. Blancharddesce, J. W. Perry and J. Skindhoj, *Science*, 1994, **263**, 511.

- 2 (a) D. C. Magri, G. J. Brown, G. D. McClean and A. P. de Silva, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 4950; (b) T. Ikeda, I. Aprahamian and J. F. Stoddart, Org. Lett., 2007, **9**, 1481.
- 3 L. R. Dalton, A. W. Harper, R. Ghosn, W. H. Steier, M. Ziari, H. Fetterman, Y. Shi, R. V. Mustacich, A. K. Y. Jen and K. J. Shea, *Chem. Mater.*, 1995, 7, 1060.
- 4 (a) F. Würthner, S. Yao, T. Debaerdemaeker and R. Wortmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 9431; (b) A. Abbotto, L. Beverina, R. Bozio, S. Bradamante, C. Ferrante, G. A. Pagani and R. Signorini, Adv. Mater., 2000, 12, 1963; (c) S. L. Oliveira, D. S. Correa, L. Misoguti, C. J. L. Constantino, R. F. Aroca, S. C. Zilio and C. R. Mendonca, Adv. Mater., 2005, 17, 1890.
- 5 (a) K. Rurack, A. Danel, K. Rotkiewicz, D. Grabka, M. Spieles and W. Rettig, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 4647; (b) C. J. Hawker, K. L. Wooley and J. M. J. Frechet, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 4375.
- 6 (a) P. Nguyen, G. Lesley, T. B. Marder, I. Ledoux and J. Zyss, *Chem. Mater.*, 1997, 9, 406; (b) K. V. Katti, K. Raghuraman, N. Pillarsetty, S. R. Karra, R. J. Gulotty, M. A. Chartier and C. A. Langhoff, *Chem. Mater.*, 2002, 14, 2436.
- 7 (a) J. Sworakowski, J. Lipinski, L. Ziolek, K. Palewska and S. Nespurek, J. Phys. Chem., 1996, 100, 12288; (b) N. Chattopadhyay, C. Serpa, M. M. Pereira, J. S. de Melo, L. G. Arnaut and S. J. Formosinho, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2001, 105, 10025.
- 8 (a) C. Reichardt, *Chem. Rev.*, 1994, **94**, 2319; (b) R. B. Dealencastro, J. D. D. Neto and M. C. Zerner, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 1994, 361.
- 9 (a) J. B. Benedict, D. E. Cohen, S. Lovell, A. L. Rohl and B. Kahr, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 5548; (b) C. Rottman, A. Turniansky and D. Avnir, J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol., 1998, **13**, 17.
- 10 (a) B. Schmidt, C. Sobotta, S. Malkmus, S. Laimgruber, M. Braun, W. Zinth and P. Gilch, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2004, 108, 4399; (b) N. Tirelli, U. W. Suter, A. Altomare, R. Solaro, F. Ciardelli, S. Follonier, C. Bosshard and P. Gunter, *Macromolecules*, 1998, 31, 2152.
- 11 (a) A. Bayir, B. J. Jordan, A. Verma, M. A. Pollier, G. Cooke and V. M. Rotello, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 4033; (b) A. S. F. Boyd, J. B. Carroll, G. Cooke, J. F. Garety, B. J. Jordan, S. Mabruk, G. Rosair and V. M. Rotello, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 2468; (c) G. Cooke and V. M. Rotello, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2002, **31**, 275; (d) A. Niemz and V. M. Rotello, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1999, **32**, 44.
- 12 A large excess of **DAP** was necessary to promote an observable color change at such a dilute concentration of **ABFL**.
- 13 G. J. Mohr and U. W. Grummt, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2004, 163, 341.
- 14 (a) B. J. Jordan, G. Cooke, J. F. Garety, M. A. Pollier, N. Kryvokhyzha, A. Bayir, G. Rabani and V. M. Rotello, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 1248; (b) M. Kowalczyk, E. Sikorska, I. V. Khmelinskii, J. Komasa, M. Insinska-Rak and M. Sikorski, *THEOCHEM*, 2005, **756**, 47; (c) M. D. Greaves, R. Deans, T. H. Galow and V. M. Rotello, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 785.
- 15 (a) A. Cembran, F. Bernardi, M. Garavelli, L. Gagliardi and G. Orlandi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, **126**, 3234; (b) M. Hagiri, N. Ichinose, C. L. Zhao, H. Horiuchi, H. Hiratsuka and T. Nakayama, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2004, **391**, 297.
- 16 There was no apparent *trans-cis* isomerization as both ¹H NMR and UV-Vis spectra demonstrated no changes after continuous irradiation at 365 nm. However, further investigation may be needed to confidently eliminate any rotation around the N–N bond as seen in the following references: (a) S. A. Nagamani, Y. Norikane and N. Tamaoki, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 9304; (b) H. Kang, A. Facchetti, H. Jiang, E. Cariati, S. Righetto, R. Ugo, C. Zuccaccia, A. Macthioni, C. L. Stern, Z. F. Liu, S. T. Ho, E. C. Brown, M. A. Ratner and T. J. Marks, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 3267; (c) H. Kang, A. Facchetti, C. L. Stern, A. L. Rheingold, W. S. Kassel and T. J. Marks, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 3721.
- Y. M. Legrand, M. Gray, G. Cooke and V. M. Rotello, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 15789; (b) J. B. Carroll, B. J. Jordan, H. Xu, B. Erdogan, L. Lee, L. Cheng, C. Tiernan, G. Cooke and V. M. Rotello, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 2551.
- 18 Only pseudo-isosbestic points were obtained as a result of minimal solvent loss which lead to a slight increase in absorbance intensity for both ABFL and MABFL as a function of temperature.
- 19 (a) P. Zuliani, M. Delzoppo, C. Castiglioni, G. Zerbi, S. R. Marder and J. W. Perry, J. Chem. Phys., 1995, 103, 9935; (b) S. R. Marder, C. B. Gorman, F. Meyers, J. W. Perry, G. Bourhill, J. L. Bredas and B. M. Pierce, Science, 1994, 265, 632.
- 20 M. J. Kamlet, J. L. Abboud and R. W. Taft, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 6027.
- 21 A. F. Lagalante, R. J. Jacobson and T. J. Bruno, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 6404.