



A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker

Angewandte Chemie

GDCh

International Edition

www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: Highly Selective Production of Ethylene by Electroreduction of Carbon Monoxide

Authors: Ruixue Chen, Hai-Yan Su, Deyu Liu, Rui Huang, Xianguang Meng, Xiaojun Cui, Zhong-Qun Tian, Dong H. Zhang, and Dehui Deng

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 10.1002/anie.201910662
Angew. Chem. 10.1002/ange.201910662

Link to VoR: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201910662>
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201910662>

Highly Selective Production of Ethylene by Electroreduction of Carbon Monoxide

Ruixue Chen^{+1,2}, Hai-Yan Su⁺³, Deyu Liu¹, Rui Huang², Xianguang Meng², Xiaoju Cui^{1,2}, Zhong-Qun Tian¹, Dong H. Zhang³ and Dehui Deng^{1,2*}

¹Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China.

²State Key Laboratory of Catalysis, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China.

³State Key Laboratory of Molecular Reaction Dynamics, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China.

⁺These authors contributed equally.

*Email: dhdeng@dicp.ac.cn

Abstract: Conversion of carbon monoxide to high value-added ethylene with high selectivity by traditional syngas conversion process is always challenging because of the limitation of Anderson-Schulz-Flory distribution. Herein we report a direct electrocatalytic process for highly selective ethylene production from CO reduction with water over the Cu catalysts at room temperature and ambient pressure. An unprecedented 52.7% Faradaic efficiency of ethylene formation from CO electroreduction has been achieved through rational optimization of cathode structure to facilitate CO diffusion at the surface of electrode and Cu catalysts to enhance the C-C bond coupling. Moreover, the highly selective ethylene production almost without other carbon-based byproducts such as C₁-C₄ hydrocarbons and CO₂ refrains from the drawback of traditional Fischer-Tropsch process that always delivers massive undesired products. This study provides a new and promising strategy for highly selective production of ethylene from the abundant industrial CO.

Ethylene (C₂H₄) is an important building block for chemical industry and usually produced by steam cracking of naphtha feedstocks at 800-900 °C worldwide. However, considering the utilization of the nonpetroleum carbon resources, converting the

abundant industrial carbon resource, such as CO from syngas ($\text{CO} + \text{H}_2$) to C_2H_4 through energy-efficient strategy is regarded as one of the key process. Over the years, much attention has been attracted in $\text{C}_2\text{-C}_4$ olefins production by Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (FTS) under high temperatures (200-450 °C) and high pressures (5-50 bar).^[1] Apart from these harsh reaction conditions and consuming hydrogen resources, the products from FTS process are often limited by the Anderson-Schulz-Flory (ASF) distribution, which leads to 30% selectivity of C_2 hydrocarbons (ethylene and ethane) at most.^[2] The resultant gas mixture containing $\text{C}_1\text{-C}_4$ hydrocarbons requires further separation to get high-purity ethylene.^[2b, 3] Besides, there is still as high as 30-50% CO_2 selectivity accompanying with the products in FTS to olefins,^[2b, 3a-c] which causes undesired carbon emission and loss. Therefore, the development of highly selective and energy-saving ethylene production route without additional separation and waste of carbon resources is of great technological, economical and environmental interest.

In contrast to the electrocatalytic systems, cracking of naphtha and FTS to olefins both suffer from high energy consumption and complex processes of product separation. Electrocatalytic systems with the spatially separated oxidation and reduction processes allow for a different reaction equilibrium and product selectivity via designing special electrodes in electrolytes at mild conditions. Taking the advantage of the rational designed anode, recently we have succeeded in high-purity hydrogen production via electrochemical water-gas shift reaction at room temperature.^[4] This inspires us to adopt electrocatalytic strategy for another reaction, i.e. electrocatalytic CO reduction to ethylene (CORTE) process. Electrocatalytic CO reduction has recently emerged as a promising approach to attain multi-carbon alcohols^[5] with high energy conversion efficiency. However, the direct electrocatalytic CORTE process had rarely been reported due to the low Faradaic efficiency (FE) and selectivity of ethylene.

Herein we report a highly selective and efficient production of ethylene process from electrocatalytic CO reduction with water at room temperature and ambient pressure. Through rational optimization of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) content to increase CO concentration at the surface of electrode and Cu particle catalysts to

enhance the C-C bond coupling, this electrocatalytic CORTE process can achieve an unprecedentedly high ethylene FE of 52.7%. Moreover, the high selectivity of ethylene production without any CO₂ emission breaks through the 30% selectivity limitation from CO to C₂ hydrocarbons in traditional FTS process, which always delivers uncontrollable mixture of C₁-C₄ hydrocarbons and massive CO₂.




Figure 1. Electrode structure and the related CORTE performance. a) Faradaic efficiency and geometric current density for CO electroreduction over Cu-Ps loaded on four types of carbon papers tested in 1 M KOH at 25 °C. Bottom pictures show contact angles of water droplets on the corresponding surface of carbon papers. b) SEM images of Cu-Ps/CP-MPL electrode. c) Schematic illustration for CORTE process on Cu catalysts with the assistant of the hydrophobic micro-porous layer to improve the CO diffusion.

The low solubility of CO in electrolyte leads to the low local concentration of CO on the surface of catalysts, which therefore limits the efficiency of the electrocatalytic CORTE process. It is believed that increasing the hydrophobicity of the electrode surface can reduce the affinity of water to the electrode and promote the diffusion of CO to the water-electrode interface. Besides, the carbon papers themselves are inert in

CO electroreduction reaction (Figure S1). Hence, carbon papers with different hydrophobicity (CP-5%, CP-13%, CP-25% and CP-MPL) were selected as the supports of Cu particles (Cu-Ps) to optimize the CO diffusion on the surface of Cu-Ps. The amounts of PTFE of CP-5%, CP-13% and CP-25% are 5 wt%, 13 wt% and 25 wt%, respectively. CP-MPL is composed of hydrophobic carbon fiber and 25 % PTFE treatment micro-porous layer (MPL) while other three types of carbon paper are without MPL.

The electrocatalytic CORTE process is greatly affected by the hydrophobicity and porous structure of catalyst supports. As shown in Figure 1a and Figure S2, with the hydrophobicity increasing from CP-5% to CP-MPL reflected by their contact angle, the corresponding FE of C₂H₄ will increase from 2.46% to 52.7%. It suggests that the high hydrophobicity of electrode is one of the key factors to enhance CO to C₂H₄. Moreover, the geometric current density of C₂H₄ ($j_{C_2H_4}$) over Cu-Ps/CP-MPL is 2-fold as that of Cu-Ps/CP-25% when the amounts of PTFE in both samples is 25 wt%. It indicates that micro-porous layer (MPL) structure is another key factor to enhance the reaction rate of CORTE. Accordingly, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images (Figure 1b) show Cu-Ps/CP-MPL electrode has a special granular micro-porous structure (around 100 nm). This micro-porous structure of Cu-Ps/CP-MPL can efficiently increase the CO diffusion at the interface of gas/liquid/solid as depicted in Figure 1c which is similar with the report where CO₂ electroreduction is enhanced by using carbon paper with MPL.^[6] Compared with CP-MPL, carbon paper without MPL (Figure S3) does not favor the construction of three-phase interface. Besides, the C₂H₄ production performance of Cu-Ps on carbon papers with higher PTFE content was also tested (Figure S4). However, the activity slightly decreases with PTFE increasing to 35 wt%. It is probably caused by a reduction in the conductivity of electrode at higher PTFE content, which is in agreement with the conductivity test reported by Kenis et al.^[6b] Thus, optimizing the hydrophobicity and micro-porous layer structure of carbon paper provides an efficient strategy to construct hydrophobic microenvironment in gas/liquid/solid interfaces, which enhances the diffusion of CO molecules and the

corresponding electrocatalytic CORTE process.




Figure 2. Copper oxidation-state effect on CORTE performance. a) Faradaic efficiencies of products over different Cu-based catalysts at applied potentials versus the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) in 1 M KOH at 25 °C. b) XRD patterns of freshly prepared catalysts and that after 2 hours CO electroreduction process at -0.7 V versus RHE (conditions: 1 M KOH, 25 °C). c) Geometric current densities for C_2H_4 production over different copper-based catalysts.

Since initial oxidation state of copper-based catalysts plays key role in CO/ CO_2 electroreduction,^[7] in particular for yielding multi-carbon oxygenates, it is unclear whether the oxidation state of initial catalysts benefits CORTE process. Then three typical copper-based samples were selected as catalysts to examine the initial oxidation-state effect of copper on the CORTE reactions, including Cu-Ps catalyst, copper oxide (CuO-syn) synthesized by an oxidation procedure of Cu-Ps, and commercial copper

oxide (CuO). As shown in Figure 2b, the samples of both CuO-syn and CuO share similar X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns containing copper oxide phase, whereas the freshly prepared Cu-Ps has a face-centered cubic copper phase. During the CO electroreduction process, there are hydrogen and five carbon-based products detected on the cathode including ethylene, ethanol (EtOH), acetate (AcO^-), *n*-propanol (*n*-PrOH) and trace amount of methane (Figure S5 and Table S1).

Comparisons in Figure 2a clearly show that the Cu-Ps delivers the highest C_2H_4 formation FE and total CO electroreduction FE among all three samples. Correspondingly, the geometric current density of C_2H_4 production over Cu-Ps is larger than that over CuO-syn (except at -0.9 V) and commercial CuO (Figure 2c). In addition, geometric current density (Figure 2c) and the intrinsic activity normalized by electrochemical surface area (ECSA, see Figure S6 for more details) of three catalysts are similar at -0.4 V and -0.5 V. However, the C_2H_4 FE of CuO-syn are much like that of Cu-Ps at lower potentials (< -0.6 V). It implies that the electrocatalytic CORTE performance is recovered to some extent when CuO-syn is mostly in situ reduced into metallic copper at lower potentials as evidenced by XRD in Figure 2b. This suggests that the oxidized copper could make against the CORTE reaction and the metallic copper should be the real active species for CORTE process. The optimal FE of C_2H_4 and total FE of CO electroreduction over Cu-Ps can reach 52.7 % and 72.5% at -0.7 V in 1 M KOH, respectively. Ethylene is the only hydrocarbon product from CO electroreduction at wide potentials. Besides, a small amount of liquid products including EtOH, AcO^- , and *n*-PrOH are concomitantly generated, which are widely considered as value-added products and will not influence the separation of ethylene gas in practice. To the best of our knowledge, the FE of C_2H_4 is unprecedented in comparison to all previous reports of CO electroreduction listed in Table S2, which could benefit from both the excellent catalytic performance of Cu-Ps and the hydrophobic micro-porous structure of the electrode.




Figure 3. OH⁻ concentration effect on the performance of CORTE. Faradaic efficiencies (top bars) and geometric current densities (bottom bars) over Cu-Ps for CO electroreduction to C₂H₄, EtOH, AcO⁻ and *n*-PrOH at applied potentials versus RHE. Reaction temperature: 25 °C. KOH concentration: 0.1, 1, 3 M. The potential value highlighted in red on the bottom of each panel corresponding to the optimal value of each condition, respectively.

Apart from the effect of electrode structure and initial oxidation-state of copper-based catalysts, we find that the OH⁻ concentration also has an important influence on the performance of electrocatalytic CORTE over Cu-Ps. As shown in Figure 3, the highest geometric current density of CORTE increases from 7.2 mA cm⁻² to 22.4 mA cm⁻² with increasing the concentration of KOH electrolyte from 0.1 M to 3 M, and the total geometric current density correspondingly has a two-fold increase at all potentials. One possible reason of reaction rate enhancement is that increasing OH⁻ concentration can accelerate the ion migration in aqueous electrolyte. The increasing FE of CO electroreduction with the increasing concentration of OH⁻ is possibly caused by inhibiting the competitive hydrogen evolution in higher pH (Figure S7), leading to more reaction sites for CO reduction and a higher FE of C-C coupling reaction. The

selectivity of C₂H₄ reaches the highest value in 1 M KOH at -0.7 V while the high the concentration of OH⁻ in favor of higher selectivity of AcO⁻ at all tested potentials. Besides, the selectivity based on CO conversion to ethylene (Figure S8) is around 70% (in 1 M KOH), breaking through the 30% selectivity limitation from CO to C₂ hydrocarbons in traditional FTS process. Through optimizing the OH⁻ concentration together with the catalyst and the electrode structure, we can achieve a C₂H₄ FE of up to 52.7% with a geometric current density of 14.9 mA cm⁻² in 1 M KOH at -0.7 V. Besides, the Cu-Ps also has a good stability within 24 hours during CORTE process (Figure S9).

For better understanding the nature of CO electroreduction reaction, the in-situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) experiment and density functional theory (DFT) simulations were carried out. As shown in Figure 4a-b, the X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra both prove that the catalyst keeps the metallic copper during the CO electroreduction reaction. Note that the peak of Cu-Cu bond of Cu-Ps becomes higher after applying negative potentials comparing with the initial catalyst (Figure 4b), which may be caused by the reduction of the surface oxide layer on the Cu particles during the reaction. It further demonstrates that the metallic Cu should be the active phase for the CORTE process, which provides the basis for constructing the DFT model. Then we investigated the reaction mechanism of electrochemical reduction of CO on three Cu surfaces (111), (100) and (110) by using DFT calculations.




Figure 4. Insights into the reaction mechanism towards CO electroreduction on the Cu surfaces. a, b) The in-situ normalized (a) Cu K-edge XANES spectra and (b) Cu K-edge k^2 -weighted EXAFS spectra of Cu-Ps for no voltage and at -0.7 V in CO or Ar compared with the reference Cu foil and Cu_2O . c) Schematic representations of CO electroreduction reaction pathways. d) Free energy diagram for CO electroreduction on Cu(100) at $U = 0$ V, and at the potentials that all reaction steps are exothermic except for the first step. e) Comparison of adsorption energy of key intermediates that affect activity (upper panel) and free energies of competitive pathways for C_2H_4 and EtOH formation (lower panel) on Cu(100), Cu(111) and Cu(110).

The key intermediates and favorable reaction pathways in the present work are shown in Figure 4c (see Figures S10-S11 for more intermediates and pathways investigation). Figure 4d and Figures S12-S13 show the free energy diagrams on Cu(100), Cu(111) and Cu(110). It can be seen that formation of the C_2O_2^* is the most endergonic electrochemical step and determines the overpotentials of the cathode reaction, which follows the order 0.89 V on Cu(111) > 0.70 V on Cu(110) > 0.21 V on Cu(100). As shown in Figure 4e, the high activity of Cu(100) may originate from its stronger binding with C_2O_2^* relative to 2CO^* (by 0.92 eV). Since Cu(111) and Cu(110)

are unfavorable to yield C_2O_2^* intermediate, we only investigate the C_2O_2^* coupling with CO^* for the formation of *n*-PrOH (Figure 4c and Figures S11f and S11g) on Cu(100). The step is endothermic by 0.57 eV, indicating that the C_3 product is not preferred to be formed on the Cu single crystalline surfaces.

The proton-electron transfer of CHCOH^* splits the pathway to C_2H_4 from the pathway to EtOH. The competitive CCH^* and CHCHOH^* formation largely determines the selectivity of C_2H_4 and EtOH on the three surfaces (Figure 4d and Figures S12-S13). As seen from Figure 4e, CCH^* formation is thermodynamically more favorable than CHCHOH^* formation by 0.32 eV on Cu(100), which therefore results in the higher selectivity towards C_2H_4 than EtOH. On Cu(111), the two competitive steps have equivalent ΔG , suggesting similar selectivity towards C_2H_4 and $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$. However, CHCHOH^* instead of CCH^* is preferred to be formed (by 0.17 eV) on Cu(110), which indicates a higher selectivity towards EtOH. The structure dependent selectivity may be due to the distinct water arrangement on the Cu surfaces.^[8] Cu(110) prefers the five-membered ring of water molecules, which is more sparse than the six-membered ring arrangement on Cu(100) and Cu(111), thereby stabilizing the adsorption of large CHCHOH^* intermediates by 0.67 and 0.35 eV compared to other two Cu surfaces.

In addition, we also investigated the CH_3COOH selectivity, which is few addressed in the literatures. Following previous work,^[9] we studied the pathway via CH_2COHO^* and $(\text{HO})(\text{CH}_2)\text{OC}^*$ (see Figure S14 for more details) intermediates. However, no stable structures are identified on Cu(100) in water environment. Based on the consideration of both activity and selectivity as shown above, Cu(100) is the most preferred surface for C_2H_4 formation. This agrees with the results of online electrochemical mass spectroscopy experiments reported previously where Cu single crystals were used as the model catalysts to explore the active sites.^[10] Thus, it could be speculated that exposed {100} planes in Cu-Ps dominate the performance of CORTE process.

In summary, we report a direct and efficient electrocatalytic process by using the

Cu particle catalysts to enhance the CO conversion to ethylene at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. Through optimizing the structure of gas diffusion electrode, the intrinsic activity of Cu-based catalyst and the OH⁻ concentration, we achieve an ultrahigh CORTE performance with the C₂H₄ Faradaic efficiency of up to 52.7%. Besides, theoretical calculations suggest that Cu(100) is the most preferred plane for C₂H₄ formation via considering all possible products. This electrocatalytic CORTE process provides a highly selective, energy-efficient and eco-friendly way to convert the abundantly industrial CO to C₂H₄ in comparison with the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis process.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (No. 2016YFA0204100, 2017YFA0204800 and 2016YFA0200200), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21890753, 21988101 and 21872136), the Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. QYZDB-SSW-JSC020), and the DNL Cooperation Fund, CAS (No. DNL180201). We thank the staff at the BL14W1 beamline of the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facilities for assistance with the EXAFS and XANES measurements. We thank Pengyang Zhang for the assistance in contact angle tests.

Conflict interest

The authors declare no conflict interest.


Keyword: CO reduction; Ethylene production; Cu catalyst; Electrocatalysis; Heterogeneous catalysis

- [1] a) H. M. T. Galvis, K. P. de Jong, *ACS Catal.* **2013**, 3, 2130-2149; b) K. Cheng, J. C. Kang, D. L. King, V. Subramanian, C. Zhou, Q. H. Zhang, Y. Wang, *Adv. Catal.* **2017**, 60, 125-208.

- [2] a) G. Henrici-Olivé, S. Olivé, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **1976**, *15*, 136-141; b) F. Jiao, X. L. Pan, K. Gong, Y. X. Chen, G. Li, X. H. Bao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **2018**, *57*, 4692-4696.
- [3] a) F. Jiao, J. J. Li, X. L. Pan, J. P. Xiao, H. B. Li, H. Ma, M. M. Wei, Y. Pan, Z. Y. Zhou, M. R. Li, S. Miao, J. Li, Y. F. Zhu, D. Xiao, T. He, J. H. Yang, F. Qi, Q. Fu, X. H. Bao, *Science* **2016**, *351*, 1065-1068; b) L. S. Zhong, F. Yu, Y. L. An, Y. H. Zhao, Y. H. Sun, Z. J. Li, T. J. Lin, Y. J. Lin, X. Z. Qi, Y. Y. Dai, L. Gu, J. S. Hu, S. F. Jin, Q. Shen, H. Wang, *Nature* **2016**, *538*, 84; c) H. M. T. Galvis, J. H. Bitter, C. B. Khare, M. Ruitenbeek, A. I. Dugulan, K. P. de Jong, *Science* **2012**, *335*, 835-838; d) Y. Yao, D. J. Graziano, M. Riddle, J. Cresko, E. Masanet, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *55*, 3493-3505.
- [4] X. Cui, H. Y. Su, R. Chen, L. Yu, J. Dong, C. Ma, S. Wang, J. Li, F. Yang, J. Xiao, M. Zhang, D. Ma, D. Deng, D. H. Zhang, Z. Tian, X. Bao, *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 86.
- [5] a) Y. Hori, A. Murata, R. Takahashi, S. Suzuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 5022-5023; b) Y. Hori, R. Takahashi, Y. Yoshinami, A. Murata, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1997**, *101*, 7075-7081; c) C. W. Li, J. Ciston, M. W. Kanan, *Nature* **2014**, *508*, 504-507; d) D. Raciti, L. Cao, K. J. T. Liv, P. F. Rottmann, X. Tang, C. Y. Li, Z. Hicks, K. H. Bowen, K. J. Hemker, T. Mueller, C. Wang, *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 4467-4472; e) Y. X. Wang, D. Raciti, C. Wang, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 5657-5663; f) M. Jouny, W. Luc, F. Jiao, *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1*, 748-755; g) E. Bertheussen, T. V. Hogg, Y. Abghoui, A. K. Engstfeld, I. Chorkendorff, I. E. L. Stephens, *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 634-640; h) L. H. Han, W. Zhou, C. X. Xiang, *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3*, 855-860; i) T.-T. Zhuang, Y. Pang, Z.-Q. Liang, Z. Wang, Y. Li, C.-S. Tan, J. Li, C. T. Dinh, P. De Luna, P.-L. Hsieh, T. Burdyny, H.-H. Li, M. Liu, Y. Wang, F. Li, A. Proppe, A. Johnston, D.-H. Nam, Z.-Y. Wu, Y.-R. Zheng, A. H. Ip, H. Tan, L.-J. Chen, S.-H. Yu, S. O. Kelley, D. Sinton, E. H. Sargent, *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1*, 946-951; j) Y. J. Pang, J. Li, Z. Y. Wang, C. S. Tang, P. L. Hsieh, T. T. Zhuang, Z. Q. Liang, C. Q. Zou, X. Wang, P. De Luna, J. P. Edwards, Y. Xu, F. W. Li, C. T. Dinh,

- M. Zhong, Y. H. Lou, D. Wu, L. J. Chen, E. H. Sargent, D. Sinton, *Nat. Catal.* **2019**, 2, 251-258.
- [6] a) Kopljär, A. Inan, P. Vindayer, N. Wagner, E. Klemm, *J. Appl. Electrochem.* **2014**, 44, 1107-1116; b) B. Kim, F. Hillman, M. Ariyoshi, S. Fujikawa, P. J. A. Kenis, *J. Power Sources* **2016**, 312, 192-198.
- [7] a) C. S. Le Duff, M. J. Lawrence, P. Rodriguez, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **2017**, 56, 12919-12924; b) D. F. Gao, I. Zegkinoglou, N. J. Divins, F. Scholten, I. Sinev, P. Grosse, B. Roldan Cuenya, *Acs Nano* **2017**, 11, 4825-4831.
- [8] J. Carrasco, A. Michaelides, M. Forster, S. Haq, R. Raval, A. Hodgson, *Nat. Mater.* **2009**, 8, 427-431.
- [9] a) Y. W. Lum, T. Cheng, W. A. Goddard, J. W. Ager, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, 140, 9337-9340; b) A. J. Garza, A. T. Bell, M. Head-Gordon, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, 8, 1490-1499.
- [10] a) F. S. Roberts, K. P. Kuhl, A. Nilsson, *Chemcatchem* **2016**, 8, 1119-1124; b) E. Perez-Gallent, G. Marcandalli, M. C. Figueiredo, F. Calle-Vallejo, M. T. M. Koper, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, 139, 16412-16419.

Table of Contents



This work reports a direct electrocatalytic process for highly selective ethylene production from CO reduction with water over Cu catalysts at room temperature and ambient pressure. An unprecedented 52.7% Faradaic efficiency of ethylene formation from CO electroreduction has been achieved through rational optimization of cathode structure to facilitate CO diffusion and Cu catalysts to enhance the C-C bond coupling.