## Tetrahedron Letters 56 (2015) 6478-6483

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Tetrahedron Letters** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

# An expedient synthesis of oxazepino and oxazocino quinazolines

Albertus Wijnand Hensbergen<sup>a</sup>, Vanessa R. Mills<sup>a</sup>, Ian Collins<sup>b</sup>, Alan M. Jones<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Chemistry and Environmental Science, School of Science and the Environment, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Manchester Metropolitan University, John Dalton Building, Chester Street, Manchester, Lancashire M1 5GD, United Kingdom <sup>b</sup> Cancer Research UK Cancer Therapeutics Unit, The Institute of Cancer Research, London SM2 5NG, United Kingdom

Cancer Research OK Cancer Therapeutics Only, The Institute of Cancer Research, London SM2 SNG, Onlied Kingdom

## ARTICLE INFO

#### ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 25 July 2015 Revised 30 September 2015 Accepted 3 October 2015 Available online 9 October 2015

Keywords: Niementowski reaction Quinazoline Heterocycle Cyclisation Medium-ring

## Introduction

Quinazolines belong to a privileged class of bioactive small molecules,<sup>1</sup> which are found in a collection of natural products<sup>2</sup> and interact with a variety of important biological targets<sup>3</sup> including tankyrase-1 protein<sup>4</sup> (as a senescence inducer), as well as various anticonvulsant<sup>5</sup> and antifungal targets.<sup>6</sup> Most notably, several substituted quinazolines act as anti-cancer ATP-competitive kinase inhibitors.<sup>7–11</sup> Examples of quinazoline containing drugs on the market (Fig. 1) include gefitinib (also known as Iressa<sup>®</sup>)<sup>12,13</sup> and laptinib<sup>14</sup> (for HER2+/EGFR+ and ER+ advanced breast cancer treatment).

As part of a medicinal chemistry research programme, we required a method to synthesise medium ring containing oxazepino[5,6,7-*de*]quinazolines with increased  $Fsp^3$  (fraction of  $sp^3$  hybrid atoms) content as a surrogate measure of complexity, thus accessing new 3D pharmacophores with potentially improved solubility and increasing the chance of discovering new biologically relevant space.<sup>15,16</sup> Medium-ring containing molecules are challenging to synthesise due to competing effects arising from loss of entropy, Pitzer, Baeyer and transannular strain.<sup>17,18</sup> In particular there is a paucity of information regarding the synthesis of oxazepino[5,6,7-*de*]quinazolines, and to date, the only four examples have been reported by Bradbury and co-workers<sup>19,20</sup> using



A synthetic route to a new class of privileged tri- and tetra-cyclic quinazolines containing a medium-

sized ring is reported. An expedient synthetic route involving nucleophilic aromatic substitution, and

sequential Niementowski and BOP-mediated ring closures afforded a collection of analogues. The scope

of the reaction was explored in terms of cyclic and acyclic linkers, ring size and substitution pattern.



Figure 1. Selected examples of quinazoline containing anti-cancer drugs.

Thorpe–Ingold and *pseudo*-Thorpe–Ingold cyclisation assistance to prepare quinazolines with a 7-membered amide ring junction (Fig. 2). Encouragingly, these examples showed potent inhibition of both EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor) and erbB2 tyrosine kinase protein phosphorylation. Inhibition of the phosphorylation of erbB2 in the MCF7 breast cancer cell line was also demonstrated.<sup>21</sup>

The binding mode and/or a small molecule-erbB2 protein crystal structure have not been published on the protein data bank (PDB).<sup>22</sup> Comparison with other reported small molecule-erbB2 inhibitors crystal structures (PDB codes: 3PP0, 4LRM and 4G5J) suggested that the N1 nitrogen of the quinazoline would make a hydrogen bond accepting contact with the methionine residue in the ATP-dependent active site and act as an activity anchor. Encouraged by this, we investigated a concise synthesis of a more general class of oxazepino[5,6,7-*de*]quinazolines using the disconnection approach detailed in Scheme 1.





© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

etrahedro

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 (0)161 247 6195. *E-mail address:* A.M.Jones@mmu.ac.uk (A.M. Jones). *URL:* http://www.jonesgroupresearch.wordpress.com (A.M. Jones).



Figure 2. Previously reported synthesis of oxazepino[5,6,7-de]quinazolines and a representative example of their associated erbB2 and EGFR tyrosine kinase protein phosphorylation inhibition.



**Scheme 1.** Proposed disconnection strategy to a new class of oxazepino[5,6,7-*de*] quinazolines.



**Scheme 2.** Preparation of oxazepino quinazoline scaffold **3a**. Yields are reported and discussed in Tables 1 and 3.

It was envisioned to generate diversity<sup>15</sup> through the 5-O-attachment position, from one of a number of commercially available aminoalcohols. These have been installed via  $S_NAr$  chemistry with preference for O-substitution<sup>23</sup> on a suitably functionalised fluorobenzonitrile. The quinazoline group could be part-assembled via a number of reported 4(3*H*)-quinazolinone syntheses<sup>24,25</sup> such as the Niementowski reaction.<sup>26</sup> The completion of the synthesis relied on identifying a suitable coupling agent for the *intra*-molecular cyclisation<sup>27</sup> between a primary or secondary amine group and a heteroaromatic amide in preference to polymeric cyclisation. The non-cyclic reaction of an amine and heteroaromatic amide has recently been evaluated with a range of amide bond coupling agents.<sup>28</sup>

## **Results and discussion**

To probe the feasibility of the proposed disconnection strategy we investigated a synthetic route to an example quinazoline (**3a**) as shown in Scheme 2. Using 2-amino-6-fluorobenzonitrile, an  $S_N$ -Ar reaction with a protected amino alcohol afforded the key starting material **1a** in a modest yield. A Niementowski-type cyclisation of **1a** in a mixture of formic and sulfuric acid at reflux afforded **2a** in sufficient yield to attempt the final cyclisation of the secondary amine with the pre-installed amide group. The choice of amide bond coupling agent has been shown to be critical to the type of the product formed (see HATU vs HBTU),<sup>28</sup> and we found that BOP operated in the same manner as HBTU to afford **3a** in good yields. Encouraged by this result, we next looked to explore a diverse range of amino alcohols to react with 6-fluorobenzonitrile to explore the scope of the tandem cyclisation strategy.

The selection of amino alcohol would probe the parameters of the Niementowski (**2**) and BOP (**3**) mediated ring closures (Table 3). The previously reported<sup>23</sup> S<sub>N</sub>Ar reaction of 6-fluoro-2-aminobenzonitrile with a limited selection of aminoalcohols generally proceeded in modest to good yields (Table 1).

To aid purification of the diaminonitrile products (1), *N*-tertbutylcarboxy protection of the amino alcohol prior to treatment with sodium hydride was examined. The protecting group was smoothly installed under standard conditions. However, the *N*-tertbutylcarboxy group was found to be readily cleaved under the reaction conditions on both primary and secondary amines (entries 1a, 6a and 9a).

Comparison of the yields between protected and unprotected amines revealed a 2.5-fold improvement in yield for the unprotected secondary amine (entries 1a vs 1b). In the case of a primary amine versus the protected carbamate (entries 6a vs 6b and 9a vs 9b) showed that either no desired product could be isolated from the complex reaction mixture or a 2:1 inseparable mixture of *O*- and *N*-S<sub>N</sub>Ar products resulted. This was presumably caused by the increasing acidity of the carbamate NH group, resulting in the removal of a proton using sodium hydride, allowing an alternative S<sub>N</sub>Ar reaction pathway for the conjugate base of the hydroxyl group to substitute the *ipso* fluorine atom.

Although the Niementowski reaction has been extensively explored and reviewed,<sup>29–31</sup> details regarding the mechanism are less clear despite the apparent simplicity of the reaction components.<sup>32,33</sup>

Table 2 shows that in our system, portion-wise addition of the organic starting material afforded high conversion. However, addition in one portion afforded a similar high conversion but proved capricious on scale. The best balance when using small quantities of the benzonitrile **1** was found to be to dissolve **1** in formic acid to facilitate the drop-wise addition.

During the course of our studies into the Niementowski ring closure reaction and subsequent functionalisation we observed the formation of an amide by-product (e.g., **4b**), either resulting from acid-mediated hydrolysis by adventitious water or as a reaction intermediate. Of note, re-submission of the amide (**4b**) to the reaction mixture yielded the Niementowski product (**2b**), giving further support to the most recent enquiry into the mechanism which demonstrated that a benzamide intermediate formed via a slower reaction pathway.<sup>33</sup>

Furthermore we noted during our optimisation studies (Table 2) that the reaction proceeded in a higher yield in a sealed system (a crimped microwave vial) in comparison to a round bottom flask under an inert atmosphere.<sup>34</sup> We therefore, designed an experiment to explore if the Niementowski reaction is in fact a carbon monoxide-mediated reaction. The literature was found to be inconsistent in terms of parameters such as portion-wise addition of starting materials, sealed or open reaction vessels and having

#### Table 1

Isolated yields for the  $S_NAr$  reactions

Entry	Amino alcohol	Product 1	Yield of <b>1</b> (%)
1a	OH Boc		17
1b	OH H	NH NH	46
2	OH HN N	NH <sub>2</sub> CN NH	43
3	HO~~N~ H	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	78
4	HO	NH <sub>2</sub> CN H H	70
5	HO <sup>N</sup> Ph		47 <sup>a</sup>
6a	HONHBoc		0
6b	HONH2		35
7	HO	$ \begin{array}{c} NH_2\\ NH_2\\ NH_2 \end{array} $	65
8	NH <sub>2</sub>	CN CN CN	53
9a	HO	→NH <sub>2</sub>	n.d. <sup>b</sup>
9b	HO NH2	1i	72
10	OH NH <sub>2</sub>	VIH2 VIH2 VCN V 1j NH2	44
11	ΠΥ <sub>10</sub> ,, NH <sub>2</sub>		25

*Key:* <sup>a</sup> An enriched sample of **1e** was obtained with trace amounts of the *N*-substituted analogue. <sup>b</sup> An inseparable 2:1 mixture of 2-amino-6-(3-aminopropoxy)benzonitrile and 2-amino-6-(3-hydroxypropylamino)benzonitrile was obtained.

#### Table 2

Optimisation of the Niementowski quinazolin(4H) one ring synthesis (conversion measured by  $^1\rm H$  NMR spectroscopy)



Entry	Conditions	Conversion (%) after 2 h
1	(B1) addition of <b>1b</b> from <i>t</i> = 0 min	60
2	(B2) portion-wise addition of <b>1b</b>	63
3	(B3) portion-wise addition of <b>1b</b> in formic acid	72

Key: B1, B2, B3 general conditions are detailed in the accompanying ESI.

#### Table 3

Tandem cyclisation reactions

Our attention turned next to the generality of the tandem Niementowski and BOP mediated sequential ring closures of **1** to give **3** (via **2**) and are reported in Table 3.

Despite the improvements in yield shown in Table 2, in some cases purification (flash silica gel and/or ion-exchange chromatog-raphy) remained an issue and therefore telescoping the Niemen-towski product (2) after isolation, but not purification, onto the

Entry	Substrate	1 Conditions	2 3 Yield of <b>2a-m</b> <sup>4</sup> (%)	Product <b>3</b>	Yield of <b>3a-m</b> <sup>b</sup> (%)
la lb lc	CN 0 1a	B1 B2 B3	14 83 80		71 65 66
2	NH <sub>2</sub> CN 0 1b	B3	35°	N N H	52 (18%, 2 steps)
3	CN O 1c	B3	-	N 3c N N	60 (2 steps)
4	H CN H H	B3	55	N 3d N	89 (49%, 2 steps)
5	NH <sub>2</sub> CN 1e	B3	-	N 3e N C	25 (2 steps)
6	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	B3	-	N 3f N NH	70 (2 steps)
7	$ \begin{pmatrix} NH_2\\ CN\\ 0 & 1g\\ NH_2 \end{pmatrix} $	B3	-	N N NH Me	<5 conversion <sup>d</sup>

BOF

DBU

H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>

Table 3 (COT	tinued
--------------	--------

Entry	Substrate	Conditions	Yield of <b>2a–m</b> <sup>a</sup> (%)	Product <b>3</b>	Yield of $3a-m^{b}$ (%)
8	$ \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & $	B3	_	N, 3h NH	32 (2-steps)
9	CN CN 1i NH2	B3	99 (80% purity)	N 3i N NH	0 <sup>d,e</sup>
10		B3	_	NH Me	<5 conversion <sup>d</sup>
11		B3	0 <sup>a</sup>	-	_

Key: B1, B2 or B3 refer to the Niementowski conditions as described in Table 2 and the ESI.

- <sup>a</sup> Purified and isolated yield for intermediates **2**.
- <sup>b</sup> Purified and isolated yield for products **3**.
- <sup>c</sup> Terminating the reaction at t = 30 min led to isolation of the amide intermediate (**4b**).
- <sup>d</sup> Decomposition products were observed.
- <sup>e</sup> The benzotriazole reaction intermediate (5i), amongst others, was observed.



Scheme 3. A limitation of the methodology and  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectroscopic observation of reaction intermediate 5i.

BOP-mediated ring closure, improved the two-step yield as shown in Table 3.

As the original structure  $3a^{41}$  (entries 1a–c) was based on a cyclic amino alcohol, we sought to investigate whether this group could be varied in size (changing piperidine to pyrrolidine; entries 1 and 2) which gratifyingly proceeded in good yields. We also probed whether a *pseudo*-Thorpe–Ingold effect of an  $\alpha$ -ring system encouraged a 7-ring cyclisation. Removal of the piperidine ring system to give the alkyl chain secondary amino alcohol (entries 1 vs 3) gave an analogous yield of the ring closure product.

Thus far, only secondary amines were used (entries 1–5). To probe whether primary amines were also suitable (entries 6–11) these were also subjected to the tandem cyclisation strategy with mixed results. For instance the Thorpe–Ingold assisted product **3h** (entry 8) proceeded in a modest 32% yield over two-steps. Contrary, to the data obtained in the secondary amine system (entries 3 vs 1 and 2) removal of one methyl group in the primary amine system (entry 7), only showed trace conversion to product. Moving the methyl group adjacent to the oxa-position was also detrimental to the reaction success (entry 10). However, the unsubstituted alkyl chain in entry 6 proceeded in excellent yields over two-steps.

The formations of 8 membered and above ring systems are significantly more challenging targets in synthesis. By drawing analogy with the linear alkyl chain example, homologated amino alcohols (entries 4 and 9) would deliver the comparison to the 7ring (entries 1 and 6). Molecular mechanics (MM2) optimisation of the structures suggested the 8-ring system would be the limit of accessible cyclisation conformations when fused to a bicyclic

#### Table 4

Downfield shift of the diagnostic singlet aromatic proton H2 signal upon cyclisation of secondary amine examples of **2** as measured by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra

Starting material	Product	±ppm
2a	3a	+0.35
2b	3b	+0.21
2c	3c	+0.39
2d	3d	+0.19
2e	3e	+0.27

quinazoline ring system. To our delight, the tetracyclic 8-ring system (entry 4) formed in excellent yields. However, the acyclic analogue (entry 9) failed to deliver the desired cyclic product. The dichotomy in conversion between the acyclic and cyclic amino examples may be a result of the now accentuated difference in *pseudo* Thorpe–Ingold effects between the  $\alpha$ -H and  $\alpha$ -ring systems. Intriguingly, investigation of the reaction mixture revealed the presence of **5i** confirming the postulated reaction mechanism (Scheme 3).<sup>28</sup>

A probe compound (entry 11) was attempted to form a more strained product. However, this did not afford the desired Niementowski reaction product and instead degradation was observed. It was also found that neither 2- nor 4-aminocyclohexanol afforded the Niementowski intermediate.

Finally, to demonstrate the possibility of examples of *N*-substitution at the 4-position which did not use H or methyl, a phenyl example was introduced (entry 5) in good yields.

Evidence for the desired transformation from the quinazolin (4H)one  $(2)^{42}$  to give the cyclised 4-aminoquinazoline  $(3)^{43}$  was gathered not only from the loss of the amide carbonyl peak but also the diagnostic downfield shift of the H2 proton as detailed in Table 4, indicating connection of the aliphatic amino termini to the activated quinazolin(4H)one ring system (3).

Representative examples of the final compounds (**3a–d**, **3f** and **3h**) were tested for anti-proliferative effects using MCF7, BT474 and MDA-MB231 breast cancer cell lines, however none showed

effects in the submicromolar range.<sup>44</sup> Further development of this new compound class and its application to other biological targets are currently underway and will be reported in due course.

## Conclusions

In the present Letter, we have identified a straightforward three-step route to a new class of oxazepino and oxazocino quinazolines. We have demonstrated the  $S_NAr$  of a fluorobenzonitrile with a range of commercially available aminoalcohols followed by sequential Niementowski and BOP-mediated ring closure to afford a collection of rigidified analogues. This remarkable tandem cyclisation strategy is a new and enabling route to rigidify kinase inhibitor-like quinazolines and has wide-ranging potential in the field of medicinal chemistry.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Manchester Metropolitan University for funding and Dr. Swee Sharp at the Institute of Cancer Research (London) for biological evaluation of **3a–d**, **3f** and **3h** using MCF7, BT474 and MDA-MB231 cancer cell lines. The authors thank the analytical services at MMU and ICR for performing NMR and MS analyses. A.W.H. thanks the Erasmus + Scholarship scheme and the University of Applied Sciences, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

## Supplementary data

Supplementary data (experimental details and characterization of novel compounds) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2015.10. 008.

#### **References and notes**

- 1. Marzaro, G.; Guiotto, A.; Chilin, A. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 2012, 22, 223.
- 2. Shakhidoyatov, K. M.; Elmuradov, B. Z. Chem. Nat. Compd. 2014, 50, 781.
- 3. Wang, D.; Gao, F. Chem. Cent. J. 2013, 7, 95.
- Kamal, A.; Sultana, F.; Ramaiah, M. J.; Srikanth, Y. V. V.; Viswanath, A.; Bharathi, E. V.; Nayak, R.; Pushpavalli, S. N. C. V. L.; Srinivas, C.; Pal-Bhadra, M. MedChemComm 2013, 4, 575.
- 5. Ugale, V. G.; Bari, S. B. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 80, 447.
- Kathiravan, M. K.; Salake, A. B.; Chothe, A. S.; Dudhe, P. B.; Watode, R. P.; Mukta, M. S.; Gadhwe, S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2012, 20, 5678.
- 7. Bridges, A. J. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 2541.
- 8. Klebl, B. M.; Muller, G. Expert Opin. Ther. Targets 2005, 9, 975.
- 9. Zhang, J.; Yang, P. L.; Gray, N. S. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2009, 9, 28.
- 10. Laird, A. D.; Cherrington, J. M. Expert Opin. Invest. Drugs 2003, 12, 51.
- Zuccotto, F.; Ardini, E.; Casale, E.; Angiolini, M. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 2681.
   Barker A J.; Gibson K H.; Grundy W.; Godfrey A A; Barlow J J.; Healy M P.
- Barker, A. J.; Gibson, K. H.; Grundy, W.; Godfrey, A. A.; Barlow, J. J.; Healy, M. P.; Woodburn, J. R.; Ashton, S. E.; Curry, B. J.; Scarlett, L.; Henthorn, L.; Richards, L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2001, 11, 1911.
- Gibson, K. H.; Grundy, W.; Godfrey, A.; Woodburn, J. R.; Ashton, S. E.; Curry, B. J.; Scarlet, L.; Barker, A. J.; Brown, D. S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1997**, *7*, 2723.
- Petrov, K. G.; Zhang, Y. M.; Carter, M.; Cockerill, G. S.; Dickerson, S.; Gauthier, C. A.; Guo, Y.; Mook, R. A., Jr.; Rusnak, D. W.; Walker, A. L.; Wood, E. R.; Lackey, K. E. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 4686.
- 15. Collins, I.; Jones, A. M. Molecules 2014, 19, 17221.
- 16. Lovering, F.; Bikker, J.; Humblet, C. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 6752.
- Jones, A. M.; Liu, G.; Lorion, M. M.; Patterson, S.; Lebl, T.; Slawin, A. M.; Westwood, N. J. Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 5714.
- 18. Hussain, A.; Yousuf, S. K.; Mukherjee, D. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 43241.
- Bradbury, R. H.; Kettle, J. G.; Scott, J. S.; Barlaam, B. C. PCT Patent, WO 2005/ 118572 A1, 2005.
- 20. Bradbury, R. H.; Barlaam, B. C.; Ducray, R. PCT Patent, WO 2007/034144, 2007.
- 21. Roskoski, R., Jr. Pharmacol. Res. 2014, 87, 42.
- 22. RCSB Protein Data Bank can be found at http://www.rcsb.org/pdb.
- Tachdjian, C.; Tang, X. Q.; Karanewsky, D. S.; Servant, G.; Li, X.; Zhang, F.; Chen, Q.; Zhang, H.; Davis, T.; Darmohusodo, V.; Wong, M.; Selchau, V. PCT Int. Appl. WO 2011123693 A1 20111006, 2011.
- 24. Baumann, M.; Baxendale, I. R. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2013, 9, 2265.
- 25. He, L.; Li, H.; Chen, J.; Wu, X.-F. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 12065.

- 26. Niementowski, S. J. Prakt. Chem. 1895, 51, 564.
- 27. Jones, A. M. Molbank 2015, M859.
- 28. Xiao, Z.; Yang, M. G.; Li, P.; Carter, P. H. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1421.
- 29. Kidwai, M.; Rastogi, S.; Mohan, R.; Ruby Croat. Chem. Acta 2003, 76, 365.
- **30.** Loidreau, Y.; Besson, T. *Tetrahedron* **2011**, 67, 4852.
- Kundu, S. K.; Mahindaratne, M. P. D.; Quintero, M. V.; Bao, A.; Negrete, G. R. ARKIVOC 2008, 33.
- 32. Roth, G. A.; Tai, J. J. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1996, 33, 2051–2053.
- Layeva, A. A.; Nosova, E. V.; Lipunova, G. N.; Trashakhova, T. V.; Charushin, V. N. Russ. Chem. Bull. Int. Ed. 2007, 56, 1821.
- 34. CAUTION: It should be noted that the mixing of formic acid with strong acids liberates carbon monoxide, as witnessed by the evolution of a gas upon mixing.
- Suzuki, T.; Oka, M.; Maeda, K.; Furusawa, K.; Uesaka, H.; Kataoka, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1999, 47, 28.
- Jacquet, O.; Das Neves Gomes, C.; Ephritikhine, M.; Cantat, T. ChemCatChem 2013, 5, 117.
- Miyata, T.; Mizuno, T.; Nagahama, Y.; Nishiguchi, I.; Hirashima, T. Heteroat. Chem. 1991, 4, 473.
- 38. Bassoli, A.; Rindon, B.; Tollari, S. J. Mol. Catal. 1990, 60, 41.
- 39. Kihlberg, T.; Karimi, F.; Långstrom, B. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3687.
- 40. Mizuno, T.; Toba, H.; Miyata, T.; Nishiguchi, I. Heteroat. Chem. 1994, 5, 437.
- Experimental procedure: Synthesis of 8,8a,9,10,11,12-hexahydropyrido 41. [2',1':3,4][1,4]oxazepino[5,6,7-de]quinazoline (**3a**) sodium hydride (60% w/w in oil, 87 mg, 2.28 mmol) was added portion wise to N-Boc-piperidine-2methanol (0.49 g, 2.28 mmol) in THF (7.6 mL) at 0 °C over a period of 10 min. The resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. Then, 2-amino-6fluorobenzonitrile (0.28 g, 2.05 mmol) was added in one-portion and the mixture heated at 85 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with water (2 mL) and then evaporated. The residue was diluted with ethyl acetate (30 mL) and washed with a saturated aqueous solution of sodium bicarbonate  $(2 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The organic layer was dried over sodium sulphate, filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue was passed through a SCX-2 ion exchange column (10 g), eluting the product with 2 M ammonia-methanol. Purification by flash column chromatography [SiO2, MeOH:DCM, 0-15%] J = 8.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.20 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (s, 2H), 3.96 (dd, J = 9.0, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (dd, J = 9.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (ddt, J = 11.5, 4.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.10–2.97 (m, 1H), 2.78 (s, 1H), 2.71 (td, J = 11.9, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.96–1.78 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.26 (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 161.0, 151.1, 134.5, 115.4, 107.6, 100.4, 86.7, 73.0, 55.4, 46.4, 28.3, 25.9, 24.1; LC-MS (TOF, 2.0 min)  $R_t = 0.59$  min; m/z (ESI) 232 (M+H); Hi-Res LC-MS (ESI) m/zcalcd for C13H18N3O (M+H) 232.1450, found 232.1452. 2-Amino-6-(piperidin-2-ylmethoxy)benzonitrile (1a) (87 mg, 0.38 mmol) was added portion-wise to a refluxing mixture of formic acid (1.5 mL, 39.1 mmol) and concd sulphuric acid (0.09 mL, 1.7 mmol). 30 min after the last addition, the mixture was cooled to 0 °C and poured onto ice-water. The aqueous was made basic with satd. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (aq) and extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL  $\times$  3). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulphate, filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. Purification by ion-exchange chromatography [SCX-2, ammoniamethanol, 2 M] afforded the corresponding product (2a) as a clear oil (81 mg, 0.31 mmol, 83%). v<sub>max</sub>/cm<sup>-1</sup> 2923, 1630, 1603, 1578, 1472 and 1461; H NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  = 8.05 (s, 1H), 7.65 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (dd, J = 9.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (t, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.20–3.06 (m, 2H), 2.76 (td, *J* = 12.0, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 1.93– 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.81–1.63 (m, 2H), 1.50 (ddd, J = 25.1, 12.6, 8.0, 3.7 Hz, 2H), 1.35 (tdd, J = 12.6, 11.1, 3.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta = 163.7$ , 159.1, 151.3. 148.5. 134.1. 118.4. 112.6. 109.2. 72.9. 55.3. 45.4. 27.2. 25.0. 23.6: LC-MS (TOF, 2.0 min)  $R_t = 0.16$  min; m/z (ESI) 260 (M+H); Hi-Res LC-MS (ESI) m/zcalcd for  $C_{14}H_{18}N_3O_2$  (M+H) 260.1399, found 260.0805. A round-bottom flask was charged with 5-(2-piperidylmethoxy)-3H-quinazolin-4-one (2a) (80 mg, (benzotriazol-1-yloxy)tris(dimethylamino) 0.31 mmol. 1.0 equiv) and phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (BOP) (1.3 equiv) before the addition of anhydrous acetonitrile (2.5 ml). DBU (2.5 equiv) was added dropwise whilst stirring at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for a further 5 min and then at rt for 19 h. The reaction mixture was evaporated and the residue purified by flash column chromatography [SiO2, EtOAc:Petrol, 50-80%] to afford the title compound 3a as a yellow oil (53 mg, 0.22 mmol, 71%).  $v_{max}(\rm cm^{-1}$  2923, 1630, 1603, 1578, 1472 and 1461;  $^{1}\rm H$  NMR (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  = 8.40 (s, 1H), 7.62 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (dd, J = 8.40 Hz, 1H), 7.40 H J = 7.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.28–5.20 (m, 1H), 4.41 (dd, J = 13.2, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (dd, J = 13.3, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (ddt, J = 10.7, 5.1, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.01 (td, J = 12.9, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.00 (dtd, J = 10.1, 4.1, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 1.91–1.55 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, 1H), 2.01 (dt, J = 10.1, 4.1, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 1.91–1.55 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, 1H), 2.01 (dt, J = 10.1, 4.1, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 1.91–1.55 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, 1H), 2.01 (dt, J = 10.1, 4.1, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 1.91–1.55 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, 1H), 1.91–1.55 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz), 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz); <sup>14</sup>C NMZ (126 MHz); <sup>14</sup> CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  = 159.9, 158.9, 157.6, 153.9, 132.6, 120.3, 114.6, 109.8, 73.3, 63.5, 49.2, 29.2, 25.2, 24.2; LC–MS (TOF, 4.0 min)  $R_t = 1.59 \text{ min}; m/z \text{ (ESI) } 242 \text{ (M+H)};$ Hi-Res LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O (M+H) 244.1345, found 244.1346.
- 42. Chen, X.; Chen, T.; Zhou, Y.; Han, D.; Han, L. B.; Yin, S. F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 3802.
- Addicott, C.; Lüerssen, H.; Kuzaj, M.; Kvaskoff, D.; Wentrup, C. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2011, 24, 999.
- 44. MCF7 cell line GI50 > 10  $\mu$ M